## US 13, US 40 TO MEMORIAL DRIVE PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS

## CONTRACT NO. T201601102 AGREEMENT 1710 - TASK 1.1

New Castle County, Delaware

PREPARED FOR:
DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 800 SOUTH BAY ROAD DOVER, DE

## PREPARED BY:

PENNONI
121 CONTINENTAL DRIVE,
SUITE 207
NEWARK, DE


PROJECT DDOT1602
April, 2017

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... 1
INTRODUCTION ..... 4
Background ..... 4
Study Area ..... 4
PREVIOUS STUDIES ..... 5
US 13 Pedestrian Safety Study - SR 273 to Market Street/Walnut Street Split ..... 5
Pedestrian Safety Study, US 13 and US 40 ..... 5
US 13 at Memorial Drive and E. Hazeldell Avenue Intersections Study ..... 6
Wilton Boulevard / Llangollen Boulevard Pedestrian Access Study Update ..... 7
CURRENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS ..... 8
DelDOT Projects ..... 8
Delaware River and Bay Authority (DRBA) Projects ..... 9
Developer Projects ..... 10
DATA COLLECTION ..... 12
Vehicular Turning Movement Counts ..... 12
US Route 13 Speed and Safety Study at Quigley Boulevard ..... 12
Pedestrian Observations at US Route 40 and Wilton Boulevard ..... 12
Curb Ramp ADA Inventory ..... 13
TRAFFIC ANALYSIS ..... 14
U-Turn Intersection Analysis ..... 14
U-Turn Intersection Analysis Conclusions ..... 16
Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - US 13 @ Gracelawn Memorial Park ..... 21
PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE CRASH ANALYSIS ..... 28
10-Year Pedestrian and Bicycle Crash Trends ..... 22
US 40 to SR 273 Pedestrian Crash Analysis - Pre and Post Lighting Improvements ..... 27
RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS ..... 28
Corridor-Wide Recommendations ..... 28
Intersection-Specific Recommendations ..... 28
NEXT STEPS ..... 32

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Study Area ................................................................................................................................ 4
Figure 2 - Adjacent Project Locations....................................................................................................... 8
Figure 3 - Median Openings Along US 13 Corridor ............................................................................... 18
Figure 4 - Pedestrian Crashes by Location ............................................................................................ 23
Figure 5 - Pedestrian Crashes by Lighting Condition............................................................................. 23
Figure 6 - Pedestrian Crashes by Pedestrian Age Group........................................................................ 24
Figure 7 - Pedestrian Crashes by Time of Day....................................................................................... 24
Figure 8 - Pedestrian Crashes by Day of Week....................................................................................... 25
Figure 9 - Pedestrian Crashes by Month ............................................................................................... 25
Figure 10 - Pedestrian Crashes by Year.................................................................................................. 26
Figure 11 - Pedestrian Crashes by Alcohol/ Drug Involvement.............................................................. 26
Figure 12 - Pre and Post Lighting Improvements Evaluation ................................................................ 27

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 - 2016 Intersection Capacity Analysis Results ........................................................................... 19
Table 2 - 2040 Intersection Capacity Analysis Results ........................................................................... 20

## APPENDICIES

Appendix A: Improvements Matrix
Appendix B: Traffic Volume Figures

- Figure 1-2016 Existing AM \& PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes - Quigley Blvd to School Ln
- Figure 2 - 2016 Existing AM \& PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes - Harrison Ave/Stahl Ave to McMullen Ave
- Figure 3-2016 Existing AM \& PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes - State Hospital to Marsh Ln/Wildel Ave
- Figure 4-2016 Existing AM \& PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes - Public Safety Dr to Memorial Dr
- Figure 5-2016 Diverted AM \& PM Peak Hour Traffic Volume w/ US 13 Median Closures - Quigley Blvd to School Ln
- Figure 6-2016 Diverted AM \& PM Peak Hour Traffic Volume w/ US 13 Median Closures - Harrison Ave/Stahl Ave to McMullen Ave
- Figure 7 - 2016 Diverted AM \& PM Peak Hour Traffic Volume w/ US 13 Median Closures - State Hospital to Marsh Ln / Wildel Ave
- Figure 8 - 2016 Diverted AM \& PM Peak Hour Traffic Volume w/ US 13 Median Closures - Public Safety Dr to Memorial Dr

Appendix C: US 13 Speed \& Safety Study at Quigley Boulevard
Appendix D: Pedestrian Observations at US 40 \& Wilton Boulevard
Appendix E: ADA Curb Ramp Inventory
Appendix F: Synchro/SimTraffic Worksheets
Appendix G: Fence and Gate Research
Appendix H: US 13 \& Gracelawn Memorial Park Signal Warrant Analysis
Appendix I: Pedestrian and Bicycle Crash Data Summary
Appendix J: Project Implementation Strategy and Prioritization Meeting Minutes
Appendix K: DART Coordination Meeting Minutes

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

US 13 (Dupont Highway) from US 40 to Memorial Drive in New Castle County has been identified by the Delaware Department of Transportation (DelDOT) as one of the corridors presenting higher than average pedestrian crash rates in the State of Delaware. The overall goal of this study is in line with the latest Delaware Strategic Highway Safety Plan: Towards Zero Deaths from December 2015, to "achieve a reduction of at least 3 fatalities and 15 serious injuries annually and continue to reduce the total number of fatalities and serious injuries to achieve at least a 50 percent reduction by 2035".

Two main pedestrian safety studies have been conducted for parts of this corridor in New Castle County, Delaware. In April 2009 a safety evaluation was conducted by Urban Engineers along US 13 from Saienni Boulevard to SR 273 and along US 40 from Buckley Boulevard to the US 13 split. In August 2015, a second evaluation was conducted by Whitman Requardt and Associates (WRA) along US 13 from SR 273 to the Market Street/Walnut Street split just south of Wilmington. Pennoni's 2016 report builds upon the previous evaluations and provides a comprehensive set of recommendations to improve pedestrian safety along this corridor. In order to provide a complete, connected pedestrian network, the northern limits of improvements were extended from Memorial Drive to the intersection of US 13 and A Street in South Wilmington. The improvements are based on the DeIDOT Pedestrian Accessibility

> Key Report Highlights:
> - Review of previous studies
> - Review of adjacent current \& future projects
> - Data collection overview
> - Traffic analysis for the closure of unsignalized median openings
> - Updated crash study of US 13/US 40 from Llangollen Blvd/Wilton Blvd to SR 273
> - Improvement recommendations

Standards for Facilities in the Public Right of Way and the observed sidewalk connectivity deficiencies and pedestrian behavior along this corridor.

This report contains the investigative traffic analysis required for the potential long term improvements outlined in previous studies, which involve the closure of existing unsignalized median openings and the installation of median pedestrian fence/barrier to prevent mid-block crossings. Data was collected in the field, including turning movement counts of the unsignalized median openings and spot pedestrian counts/observations, which were used in the traffic analysis. An updated pedestrian crash analysis is also provided for US 13/US 40 from Llangollen Boulevard/Wilton Boulevard to SR 273.


THREE PEDESTRIANS CROSSING US 40 ILLEGALLY AT WILTON BOULEVARD

Corridor-wide recommendations include the closure of several unsignalized median openings and installation of median fencing along the study corridor to limit the number of illegal mid-block crossing opportunities. Installation of ADA-compliant sidewalks and curb ramps are also recommended along the entire corridor to fill in existing gaps and provide connectivity of pedestrian facilities. A formal lighting warrant analysis is recommended for areas of the study corridor without lighting, with prioritization of specific areas for lighting installation. Additional recommendations are related to providing safe pedestrian crossings at signalized intersections, such as the installation of additional crosswalks, lighting improvements at signalized crossings and transit stops, upgrading pedestrian signal systems as
necessary, and providing adequate pedestrian clearance intervals. To compliment the pedestrian infrastructure improvements, DeIDOT, DART, Delaware State Police, and the Delaware Office of Highway Safety should coordinate to expand public outreach and education in the communities along the study corridor to reinforce the importance of practicing common sense and safety when crossing US 13.

## Proposed Corridor-Wide Improvements

- Install pedestrian fencing/barrier in median (decorative fencing recommended)
- Close the US 13 unsignalized median openings at the following locations:
- 600' north of School Lane
- Franklin Avenue
- 700' north of Boulden Boulevard/Bacon Avenue
- Lovelace Avenue
- 700' south of Wildel Avenue
- E. Hazeldell Avenue (utilizing an automatic sliding gate for emergency vehicle access)
- Install ADA-compliant sidewalk to provide connectivity
- Implement signal adjustments as necessary to provide adequate pedestrian clearance intervals
- Install lighting at US 13 pedestrian crossings and transit stops
- Perform formal lighting warrant analysis with prioritized locations for installation
- Reduce the shoulder width along US 13 NB from US 40 split to $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave. to provide a buffered sidewalk and clearly delineate commercial access points
- Increase level of public outreach/education in the surrounding communities to promote pedestrian safety
- Continue and sustain Delaware State Police campaigns
- Maintain Pedestrian Council Collaboration


## Intersection-Specific Improvements

- Add signalized crosswalks of US 13 and minor street approaches
- Consolidation of bus stops and provision of benches, shelters, etc. (coordinate with DTC)
- Consider at least one of four ped improvement options outlined for US 40/Wilton Boulevard (pedestrian overpass, pedestrian tunnel, at-grade rail crossing, rerouting of PAR)
- Convert unsignalized median opening at US 13 and Gracelawn Memorial Park to a fully signalized intersection with a pedestrian crossing of US 13
- Construct a second left-turn lane for US 13 northbound at Bacon Boulevard
- Close median opening at E. Hazeldell Avenue and install automatic gate system for emergency vehicle access
- Reconstruct/realign existing medians, turn lanes and islands to provide more direct pedestrian crossings at the following intersections with US 13:
- Harrison Avenue/Stahl Avenue
- Bacon Avenue/Boulden Boulevard
- Gracelawn Memorial Park
- Wildel Avenue/E. Fernwood Avenue
- Memorial Drive
- Hessler Boulevard

Accompanying this report is a comprehensive roll map of the entire study corridor with the following information displayed in graphical format:

- Proposed and existing sidewalks,
- Proposed ADA-compliant curb ramps (includes new curb ramps and retrofits for existing non ADA-compliant ramps),
- Proposed and existing crosswalks,
- Proposed median pedestrian fencing,
- Existing and proposed roadway lighting,
- GIS-level right-of-way mapping,
- Interactive pedestrian and bicycle crash data with linked crash reports,
- Pedestrian volumes at various intersections and mid-block locations,
- DART bus route and stop locations with daily boarding/alighting volumes, and
- Typical sections of specific improvement areas

A summary table of the proposed improvements from the previous pedestrian safety studies (including what has been constructed as of the summer of 2016), along with Pennoni's improvement recommendations (that are provided on the roll map and summarized in this report) can be found in Appendix A.

In February 2017, Pennoni hosted a "Prioritization Meeting" with over twenty DelDOT personnel, representing various departments within the organization, to discuss the proposed pedestrian improvements and develop a strategy for implementation of the improvements. Pennoni prepared a Project Implementation Strategy Package for the Prioritization Meeting, which broke the 7+ mile study corridor in to eight sections. Each section was evaluated based on pedestrian crash history and ease of project implementation in regards to constructability, right-of-
way/stakeholder impacts, potential utility conflicts and maintenance of traffic impacts. Conceptual construction cost estimates were also developed for each section. The Project Implementation Strategy Package and Prioritization Meeting Minutes are provided in Appendix J.

## INTRODUCTION

This study builds upon previous pedestrian safety studies and recommendations for the US 13 corridor completed by DelDOT consultants, including Urban Engineer's April 2009 Pedestrian Safety Study, US 13 and US 40, which focused on US 13 from Saienni Boulevard to SR 273 and along US 40 from Buckley Boulevard to the US 13 split, and WRA's August 2015 US 13 Pedestrian Safety Study, SR 273 to Market Street/Walnut Street Split. The purpose of this study is to assess the previous recommendations from the aforementioned studies, and to provide additional recommendations to enhance existing pedestrian accommodations along the US 13 /US 40 corridor from Langollen Boulevard/Wilton Boulevard near New Castle to A Street in Wilmington. Existing pedestrian facilities were compared to State and Federal standards and regulations, including the latest DeIDOT Pedestrian Accessibility Standards for Facilities in the Public Right of Way. The assessment identified issues with pedestrian midblock crossings and sidewalk network connectivity deficiencies. This report provides recommendations to improve pedestrian safety and connectivity along the US 13 /US 40 corridor.

## Background

US 13 (Dupont Highway) from US 40 to Memorial Drive in New Castle County has been identified by the Delaware Department of Transportation (DelDOT) as one of the corridors presenting higher than average fatalities and pedestrian crash rates in the State of Delaware. The most recent fatality occurred in April 27, 2016, when a 14 -year old boy from the George Read Middle School was trying to cross the northbound lanes of US 13 in the proximity of the SR 141 interchange. As a result of the high pedestrian crash rate along US 13, DeIDOT has initiated the US 13, US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements Project to design and construct pedestrian safety improvements that were developed in previous reports and along the corridor.

## Study Area

The original study area of the US 13 /US 40 Pedestrian Improvements project includes US 40 from Wilton Boulevard to the US 13 /US 40 split, and US 13 from Langollen Boulevard to the S. Market Street /S. Walnut Street split in New Castle County. The northern limit of proposed improvements was extended to include S. Walnut Street (US 13 northbound) to A Street in the City of Wilmington in order to provide a fully connected sidewalk corridor. Figure 1 shows the location of the US 13/US 40 corridor study limits.

Figure 1 - Study Area


## PREVIOUS STUDIES

Numerous pedestrian safety studies have been completed for the US Route 13 corridor. These reports have evaluated existing conditions including crash data, vehicular travel speeds, pedestrian observations, and an inventory of existing pedestrian features such as sidewalks, crosswalks, pedestrian signals, roadway lighting and transit facilities. The previous reports identified problem areas based on their evaluation of existing conditions and proposed short-term, mid-term and long-term pedestrian safety improvements to be implemented. The previous studies are summarized below, and a table of the proposed improvements from each study and the final improvements recommended by Pennoni are provided in Appendix A.

## US 13 Pedestrian Safety Study - SR 273 to Market Street/Walnut Street Split

## August 2015 - Whitman, Requardt \& Associates, LLP. on behalf of DeIDOT

This comprehensive study focused on the 5-mile stretch of US Route 13 from SR 273 near New Castle to the Market Street/Walnut Street split in South Wilmington. The report identified corridor wide improvements and specific intersection improvements. The corridor-wide improvements include upgrading pedestrian clearance intervals and installing pedestrian pushbutton signs where applicable, evaluation of corridor lighting, construction of sidewalks along both directions of US 13 to connect existing sidewalks, installation of ADA-compliant curb ramps, consolidation and/or relocation of transit stops, and investigating the impacts of modifying and/or closing the unsignalized median openings along the corridor. Intersection-specific improvement recommendations generally include installation of new signalized crosswalks, relocation/realignment of existing crosswalks, specific bus stop consolidation/relocation, and signing and striping upgrades.

WRA Report Key Recommendations:

- Installation of new crosswalks / realignment of existing crosswalks
Construct ADA-compliant sidewalks and curb ramps for pedestrian connectivity
Perform lighting warrant evaluation
Upgrade pedestrian clearance intervals and pushbutton signs

Consolidation of bus stops

- Public outreach/education through coordination with DART, DSP, etc.


## Pedestrian Safety Study, US 13 and US 40

April 2009 - Urban Engineers on behalf of DeIDOT
This study focused on US Route 13 from Saienni Boulevard to SR 273 and US Route 40 from Buckley Boulevard to the US Route 13 split near New Castle. Most of the short-term improvements recommended in this report have been constructed, which includes the installation of signalized crosswalks for all approaches at the intersections of US 13 and Saienni Boulevard and US 13 and Llangollen Boulevard, a signalized crosswalk across the south leg of the US 13 and SR 273 intersection and a signalized crosswalk across US 13 at the Wilmington Manor Firehouse just north of the US 13/US 40 split. Short-term improvements at the US 40 and Wilton Boulevard intersection include installation of a signalized crosswalk on the east leg of the intersection, extension of the pork chop islands, additional lighting and installation of fencing in the US 40 median from Wilton Boulevard to the US 13/US 40 split to discourage jaywalking. As of the summer of 2016, the only improvements that have been implemented at this intersection are the extension of the pork chop islands and the installation of an additional luminaire on the southeast corner of the intersection. A lighting analysis is also recommended for the entire study corridor, with priority given to improper crossing locations.

## Urban Report Key Recommendations:

- Signalized crosswalks for all legs of the US 13 intersections with Saienni Blvd \& Llangollen Blvd (completed)
- Construct sidewalks and curb ramps for pedestrian connectivity (completed along US 13 SB from Saienni Blvd to Buena Vista Blvd)
- Install crosswalk on south leg of US 13 \& SR 273 (completed, plus crosswalks on SR 273 legs)
- Install crosswalk at US 13 \& Wilm. Manor Fire Station, just north of US 13/US 40 split (completed)

US 40/Wilton Blvd: Install east leg crosswalk, improve lighting, extend pork chop islands (completed, except for east leg crosswalk)

- Install median pedestrian barrier/fencing
- Implement bus route "loop" along US 13 between US 40 \& SR 273

Long term improvements recommended in this report include the potential installation of a traffic signal with pedestrian crosswalks at the intersection of US 40 and Fir Avenue, provision of connecting sidewalk along southbound US 13 between Saienni Boulevard and Buena Vista Drive with bus stop consolidation, installation of fencing or barriers along the US 13 median between US 40 and SR 273 to discourage illegal mid-block crossings, and general connection of sidewalk segments along the corridor where feasible. Bus route modifications were also proposed which would include a loop on US 13 between US 40 and SR 273 to prevent mid-block crossings. At the time of this report, the only long-term improvement that has been constructed is the connecting sidewalk along southbound US 13 from Saienni Boulevard to Buena Vista Boulevard. Signalized crossings of US 13 at $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue and $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue between US 40 and SR 273 have also been installed since the Urban Engineers pedestrian safety study was completed, however, those improvements were not recommended in their study.

## US 13 at Memorial Drive and E. Hazeldell Avenue Intersections Study October 2015 - Whitman, Requardt \& Associates, LLP. on behalf of DelDOT

This study focused on the US Route 13 intersections at Memorial Drive and East Hazeldell Avenue near New Castle. This study was initiated to investigate existing traffic operations and identify improvement options at the two closelyspaced intersections. Field observations identified long southbound left-turn queues at US 13 and Memorial Drive and a significant number of southbound U-turns at US 13 and E. Hazeldell Avenue that subsequently complete a northbound right turn on to Memorial Drive. Additionally, there were several legislative requests for improvements in this area, including a pedestrian overpass in the vicinity of Memorial Drive.

The pedestrian overpass was not recommended due to the cost (approximately $\$ 3$ million), right-of-way impacts, and the fact that past studies have shown that many pedestrians will not use an overpass if there is a more direct route available or if it will result in a longer travel time (which would be the case due to the required switch-back ramps for ADA-compliance).
Signal timing adjustments that were made during the summer of 2015 have increased the green time for southbound left turns at Memorial Drive which has decreased the frequency of motorists using the u-turn at Hazeldell Avenue followed by a northbound right on Memorial Drive. Because of the timing improvement plus a lack of crash history at US 13 and Hazeldell Avenue, it was not recommended that the median opening be closed to nonemergency vehicles. However, it was recommended that the intersection be monitored to determine the need for closing the median opening in the future. Other recommendations included

US 13 @ Memorial Drive \& E. Hazeldell Ave Study
Key Recommendations:

- A pedestrian overpass is not recommended due to cost, right-of-way impacts, and availability of more direct pedestrian route
- The Hazeldell Ave median opening should remain open due to lack of crash history and improved signal timings at US 13 \& Memorial Dr
- Install sidewalk on north side of grass island between EB Memorial Drive and E. Hazeldell Ave to encourage use of existing crosswalk at Memorial
- Monitor the Hazeldell Ave median opening to determine the need for future closure
lengthening the US 13 southbound left-turn lane at Memorial Drive as well as minor singing and striping improvements. Consistent with the previous corridor-wide pedestrian safety study, it was also recommended that sidewalk be installed along the north side of the large grass island between the eastbound Memorial Drive and West Hazeldell Avenue approaches to encourage pedestrians to cross at the signalized crosswalk located on the south leg of the US 13/Memorial Drive intersection, rather than crossing mid-block near the Hazeldell Avenue median opening.


## Wilton Boulevard / Llangollen Boulevard Pedestrian Access Study Update

## April 2009 - Whitman, Requardt \& Associates, LLP. on behalf of DeIDOT, New Castle County, WILMAPCO \& DART

This report provides brief update of a large scale Route 40 Corridor Improvements study, which focused on the specific area of US 40 at Wilton Boulevard and US 13 at Llangollen Boulevard. Field observations indicate that significant number of pedestrians originate from the residential area around Llangollen Boulevard, destined for the Walmart at US 40 and Wilton Boulevard, which requires illegal crossing of the railroad. Similarly, pedestrians originating from the residential area near Wilton Boulevard cross US 40 and the railroad track to reach the liquor store, bar and other commercial service on US 13 opposite Llangollen Boulevard. The goal of this report was to develop and evaluate options to provide safer pedestrian access between Wilton Boulevard and Llangollen Boulevard, which includes four proposed alternatives: improve lighting and signing, provide an at-grade railroad crossing, re-directing pedestrians to the US 13/US 40 split utilizing barriers and at-grade pedestrian facilities, and providing a pedestrian overpass or underpass to cross US 40 and the railroad. As of the summer of 2016, none of the recommended improvements have been designed or constructed.

## CURRENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

The following projects are planned in the study area which could affect the design and construction of the US 13 Pedestrian Improvements project. It is critical that the construction schedules and maintenance of traffic schemes for adjacent projects are coordinated in the design phase to ensure minimal delays for motorists and efficient work by the contractors. The locations of the various DeIDOT, Delaware River and Bay Authority (DRBA) and developer projects are displayed in Figure 2.

## DelDOT Projects

1. Pavement and Rehabilitation, North XXI, 2016 (T201606121)
This pavement and rehabilitation maintenance project includes a $2^{\prime \prime}$ profile mill, bituminous concrete patching and a $2^{\prime \prime}$ Type C overlay along US 13 from Boulden Boulevard to Rogers Road. ADA pedestrian improvements will be included, as well as guardrail improvements and new signing and pavement markings. Construction is anticipated to take place over the Spring/Summer of 2017 with final ADA designs due by November 2016. Several of the improvements recommended in this report are planned to be implemented in the upcoming pavement and rehabilitation contract, namely pedestrian improvements at the intersections of US 13 and Memorial Drive (including extension of the southbound left-turn lane) and US 13 and Hessler Boulevard.
2. BR 1-680 on SR 141 Basin Road over US 13 (T201407105)
This bridge project involves replacement of the existing bridge deck and improving the overhead clearance to meet AASHTO standards. This project will remove the substandard sidewalk on the south side of the bridge, allowing the sidewalk on the north side of the bridge to be widened to ADA standards. Additional sidewalk will be constructed along the north side of SR 141 and crosswalks will be added to the interchange ramps to provide a pedestrian access route along the north side of SR 141. Construction is anticipated to take place over the Summer of 2017 under an accelerated schedule. Complete closures of SR 141 and various interchange ramps are anticipated for this project.

Figure 2 - Adjacent Project Locations


## 3. New Castle Industrial Track Trail (T201330009)

Phase 3 of this trail project is scheduled to begin construction in FY 2017. The New Castle Industrial Track Trail will eventually link The Wilmington Riverwalk to downtown New Castle. Phase 3 includes the area between I-495 and the Wilmington Riverwalk. Currently, the trail dead ends at US 13 and abandoned Baylor Boulevard when heading from downtown New Castle.

## 4. Christina River Bridge Approaches (T200512102)

This project proposed a new multi-modal crossing of the Christina River in order to add another access point to Wilmington Riverfront attractions and to improve access to US 13, I-495 and I-95. The project includes the bridge approaches and connection to the existing street grid. The crossing is anticipated to tie in to US 13 just south of the Walnut Street/Market Street split. In addition to the river crossing, this project provides pedestrian connectivity from James Court to A Street. Construction is scheduled to begin in the Fall of 2016, lasting through early 2019.

## 5. I-95 and SR 141 Interchange Improvement Project (T201109002 and T2011109003)

This large interchange improvement project will reconfigure the interchange to better accommodate direction traffic, improve ramp connections with I-95, and increase the horizontal clearance between through lanes on I-95 and the bridge piers on SR 141. The project will also reconstruct the SR 141 bridges that cross over I-95. Intersection improvements will also occur at the SR 141 and Commons Boulevard intersection. The interchange improvement project began construction in the Spring of 2016 and is expected to be complete and open to traffic in late 2018. The Commons Boulevard intersection improvements are scheduled to begin in 2018 with construction funding allocated through FY 2019. Although these projects are not along the US 13 corridor, they are in close proximity and US 13 serves as the primary north-south alternative to I-95.

## 6. US 13 @ Wildel Avenue / E. Fernwood Avenue Intersection Improvements (T201500401)

This intersection improvement project involves signal upgrades, installing Accessible Pedestrian Signals (APS), relocating existing bus pads and upgrades to existing curb ramps at the intersection of US 13 and Wildel Avenue / E. Fernwood Avenue. The existing diagonal crosswalk will be removed and replaced with a direct pedestrian crossing on the north leg of the intersection. The intersection improvements are triggered by the realignment of the New Castle County (NCC) Public Safety Building site access (Developer Project \#17), which is still in the conceptual design phase. This project is scheduled to begin construction in FY 2017.

## Delaware River and Bay Authority (DRBA) Projects

## 7. I-295 Delaware Approach Road Improvement Project

This project is currently in construction and includes the removal of four existing overpasses, replacement of one existing bridge, and elimination of the weaving patterns for increased traffic capacity and safety. The mainline through lanes will be increased from two to three and several ramps will be realigned. This project directly affects the US 13 and I-295 interchange within the study area. Construction is anticipated to commence in November 2018.

## 8. New Castle Airport Development

This project is currently in construction and involves on-site development of the New Castle Airport including the construction of new hangars, taxiways, parking lots, etc. This project includes the installation of new sidewalk along a portion of the southbound US 13 site frontage and a signalized crosswalk on the eastern leg of the School Lane intersection.

## Developer Projects

## 9. Old State Road Hotel - Old State Road at Llangollen Boulevard

This project involves the construction of a new 80-room hotel, proposed to be located between Old State Road and US 40. One access point is proposed via Old State Road near Llanogollen Boulevard. Access will not be provided to/from US 40.

## 10. Old State Road Self Storage - 345 Old State Road

This project involves the construction of a new self-storage facility, proposed to be located on the west side of Old State Road, between US 13 and US 40 in the vicinity of Lllangollen Boulevard and Wilton Boulevard. Access is proposed off of Old State Road and the proposed development is not expected to impact the proposed pedestrian improvements in the Wilton Boulevard/Llangollen Boulevard area.

## 11. Hertrich's of New Castle - Auto Sales \& Service - 130 S. Dupont Hwy (US 13 NB at Lisa Drive)

Proposed redevelopment project located on the north side of Lisa Drive along US 13 northbound. Sidewalk is not proposed to extend beyond the northern parcel limits because a culvert/bridge would be required to cross a small tributary which is beyond the developer's budget (the developer also owns the parcel to the north that the bridge would connect to).

## 12. New Castle Town Center - NW Corner of US 13 \& SR 273

This large commercial development will include 116,000 square feet of commercial/retail space on 16 pad sites on approximately 56 acres of land. Two access points are proposed via SR 273 and Churchman Road. The site has had an approved and recorded plan since 2012, but construction has not been scheduled at the time of this report. This proposed development is anticipated to generate a significant amount of pedestrian traffic, hence the recommendation for a new signalized crosswalk on the north leg of the US 13/SR 273 intersection, which would also connect the East Coast Greenway Trail that runs along the north side of SR 273.

## 13. Stahl Memorial Post No. 30-156 N. Dupont Pkwy (US 13 NB north of School Lane)

This project involves construction of a new American Legion Post Home, located off of northbound US 13, north of School Lane. The parcel is set back behind several car dealerships. Access is proposed via an existing access point approximately $450^{\prime}$ north of the US 13 and School Lane intersection.

## 14. Manor Park Shopping Center - US 13 at Bacon Avenue/Boulden Boulevard

This project involves the redevelopment of an existing shopping center located on the southwest corner of US 13 and Bacon Avenue. The existing access points will remain for the redeveloped shopping center with minor improvements.

## 15. Checkers Drive-In Restaurant - 1503 N. Dupont Hwy (US 13 SB north of Bacon Ave)

This project involves the construction of 796 square-foot drive-in restaurant, proposed to be located off southbound US 13 just north of Bacon Avenue. Access from US 13 SB is proposed via an existing right-in only driveway. Access for all other traffic is provided via the frontage road behind the strip commercial development that links Bacon Avenue and McMullen Avenue.

## 16. Dollar Tree - 2201 Hessler Boulevard (US 13 SB at Hessler Blvd)

This project involves the construction of a 9,180 square-foot retail store at the northwest corner of US 13 and Hessler Boulevard. Access to the store is proposed via an interconnection to the existing access road for the Lowe's home improvement store. No access via US 13 is proposed for this development.
17. New Castle County Public Safety Building - 3601 N. DuPont Hwy (US 13 SB at E. Fernwood Ave)

This project involves the realignment of the entrance to the New Castle County (NCC) Public Safety Building at the north west corner of US 13 and E . Fernwood Avenue. This project is currently in the concept phase with multiple entrance alternatives being reviewed.

## 18. Royal Farms - 522 S. Market Street (Business US 13 at Market Street/Walnut Street split)

This project involves the construction of a 5,371 square-foot convenience store with 20 fueling stations, proposed to be located between southbound Market Street (Business US 13) and northbound Walnut Street (Business US 13) within the City of Wilmington limits. Two accesses are proposed; one via Market Street and one via Walnut Street. This project falls within the limits of the DeIDOT Christina River Bridge project.

## 19. Dutch Inn Restaurant and Retail - 111 S. DuPont Hwy (US 13 SB south of SR 273)

This project involves redevelopment of the existing Dutch Inn Motel site, with proposed land uses to include 12,000 square feet of retail, a 2,678 square-foot Starbucks coffee shop and 4,969 square-foot Chick-fil-A fast food restaurant. Four site accesses are proposed; a right-in/right-out driveway along SR 273 eastbound, a right-in/right-out driveway along US 13 southbound, and two full-access driveways along Valley Road, which provides access to the adjacent Wawa convenience store and gas station from SR 273 eastbound. Sidewalk is proposed along the US 13 southbound site frontage, but a missing link will remain between the site and the adjacent Wawa.

## DATA COLLECTION

In order to validate the recommended improvements from previous studies and to analyze the impacts of closing various median openings along the corridor, the following data collection efforts were completed in the Spring/Summer of 2016 by Pennoni Associates Inc.:

## Vehicular Turning Movement Counts

The following intersections were counted on Thursday, May 12, 2016 from 6:30AM-9:30AM, 11AM-1PM, and 3:30PM6:30 PM:

- US 13 Northbound U-Turn at Quiqley Boulevard
- US 13 Southbound U-Turn north of School Lane
- US 13 Southbound U-Turn north of Bacon Avenue
- US 13 Southbound U-Turn at Lovelace Avenue
- US 13 Northbound and Southbound U-Turns at Gracelawn Memorial Park ( 800 feet north of Lovelace Ave)
- US 13 Northbound U-Turn south of Wildel Avenue

In addition, the northbound and southbound US 13 U-turns at Franklin Avenue were counted from 7AM-9AM on Thursday, June 16, 2016 and from 4PM-6PM on Wednesday, June 26, 2016. The counts at this intersection do not include mainline US 13 volumes - only U-turns, pedestrians and bicyclists were counted.

In addition to the counts listed above, existing turning movement counts located on DelDOT's Transportation Management Center (TMC) extranet site were utilized for traffic analysis. The existing traffic volumes along the study corridor are summarized in Figures 1-4, located in Appendix B.

## US Route 13 Speed and Safety Study at Quigley Boulevard

A speed study was conducted for northbound and southbound US Route 13 traffic approaching the intersection with Quigley Boulevard to determine the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds for mainline US 13 traffic. In addition, a crash study was performed in the vicinity of this crossover. These studies were performed as part of an investigation to determine the feasibility of installing a traffic signal at Quigley Boulevard to provide a pedestrian crossing of US Route 13. As explained later in this report, it was determined that the median opening at US 13 and Quigley Boulevard remain open and unsignalized at this time. Detailed findings from the speed study and crash study along with pedestrian observations at US 13 and Quigley Boulevard can be found in Appendix C.

## Pedestrian Observations at US Route 40 and Wilton Boulevard

Weekday AM and PM peak hour pedestrian counts were conducted at the intersection of US Route 40 and Wilton Boulevard near the Walmart to determine if pedestrian volumes were significantly different from the volumes reported in Urban Engineer's 2009 Pedestrian Safety Study. Pennoni's 2016 pedestrian observations show that 10 pedestrians crossed US 40 at Wilton Boulevard during the AM peak hour, none of which used the marked crosswalk, while 12 pedestrians were observed crossing US 40 during the PM peak hour, with only 1 of those using the marked crosswalk. The 2009 study documented 54 pedestrians crossing US 40 at Wilton Boulevard over the course of an entire weekday, which seems in line with the peak hour volumes observed in 2016. Roughly half of the pedestrians observed in 2009 used the marked crosswalk on the west leg of the intersection. Pedestrian counts at US 40 and Wilton Boulevard can be found in Appendix D.

## Curb Ramp ADA Inventory

A detailed ADA inventory was conducted of existing curb ramps along the study corridor. Utilizing DelDOT Gateway and Google Earth, it was determined that there are approximately 300 curb ramps within the study area. Over half of these curb ramps were dismissed for data collection as they would either be affected by other projects or need to be totally reconstructed/relocated based on our final improvement recommendations. It was assumed that most of the curb ramps along US 13 from Bacon Avenue/Boulden Boulevard to Rogers Road would be reconstructed under the upcoming Pavement and Rehabiliation, North XXI, 2016 contract (T201606121).

A total of 128 curb ramps were measured and recorded in the field utilizing smart levels, tape measures and the Curb Ramp ADA Compliance Tool spreadsheet provided by DeIDOT. At each curb ramp, the type was identified and measured accordingly. Measurements include running slopes and cross slopes for approach ramps, landing areas, and road grades, counter slope of the ramp and roadway, length and width of ramps and landing areas, and significant horizontal gaps and vertical differences at joint lines. Pinch points were also measured where applicable, and any drainages issues, utility conflicts and obstructions/constraints were noted. At signalized crossings, an inventory of push buttons and pushbutton signage was conducted, which includes reach and height measurements of the pushbutton from the landing area and


FIELD MEASUREMENTS OF CURB RAMP SLOPES AND GRADES observations on whether the pushbuttons were correctly aligned with the intended crossing. Pedestrian signal heads were also inventoried, including the presence of countdown displays and height measurements of the signal head from the sidewalk grade.

After collecting information in the field, the data was analyzed to determine which curb ramps are ADA-compliant and could be salvaged and which curb ramps would require reconstruction. For those ramps that did not pass inspection, it was then determined whether each ramp could be reconstructed utilizing DelDOT's Standard Construction Details, or if a special design is required. Based on field observations of 128 curb ramps, it was determined that 57 were compliant and can be salvaged, and 71 do not meet ADA standards. Of the 71 non-compliant ramps, it is anticipated that 54 can be reconstructed using the DeIDOT Standard Construction Details and 19 would require a special design. These figures are solely based on field observations/measurements and could vary as final design progresses. The ADA curb ramp inventory is provided in Appendix E.

## TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

## U-Turn Intersection Analysis

The purpose of the U-Turn Intersection Analysis is to provide a supplemental traffic analysis to the Long-Term (Priority 4) recommendation provided in WRA's 2015 study. The long-term recommendation suggests the investigation of the impacts of modifying or closing the unsignalized median openings along the study corridor so that a continuous median barrier/fence can be installed with breaks in the fence located only at signalized intersections with crosswalks. From crash statistics and previous studies, it has been noted that illegal midblock pedestrian crossings are the main reason for pedestrian injuries and fatalities along this corridor. By providing marked pedestrian crossings at existing signalized intersections and limiting and/or removing opportunities for illegal midblock crossings, it is expected that pedestrian injuries and fatalities would be reduced significantly along the corridor.

A proposed pedestrian fence along the corridor median would limit the numerous midblock crossings occurring along the corridor; however, the existing unsignalized median openings would become unsafe locations for midblock pedestrian crossings if they were left open. Traffic capacity analysis was conducted to assess the impacts of closing the following unsignalized median openings, in order to provide a continuous median fence between signalized intersections:

- NB US 13 Left/U-Turn at Quigley Boulevard
- SB US 13 U-Turn located 600 feet north of School Lane
- SB and NB US 13 Left and U-Turns located at Franklin Avenue
- SB US 13 U-Turn located 700 feet north of Boulden Boulevard/Bacon Avenue
- SB US 13 U-Turn at Lovelace Avenue
- NB US 13 Left /U-Turn located 700 feet south of Wildel Avenue
- SB US 13 Left/U-Turn and NB US 13 Left turn at E. Hazeldell Avenue

The following unsignalized median openings are recommended to remain open at this time and no analysis was performed to assess the impact of their closures:

- NB and SB US 13 left/U-turns located 1,100 feet north of SR 273: The unsignalized SB left turn provides access to the large commercial/retail area, including the New Castle Farmers Market, east of US 13. Diverting this volume to make U-turns or left turns at SR 273 would further exacerbate the existing LOS issue for the southbound left-turn movement which currently operates at LOS F during both the AM and PM peak hours. There is also no history of pedestrian crashes at this location, likely due to the lack of pedestrian generators on the west side of US 13 (this area is the airfield for the New Castle Airport).
- NB US 13 U-turn located 1,350 feet north of School Lane: The NB U-turn provided at this opening is the second and final access point for the New Castle Airport (the first access is at School Lane). If this NB U-turn were to be closed, northbound traffic that may miss the turn at School Lane would have to travel nearly a mile to the next intersection at Lincoln Avenue to complete a U-turn and head back to the airport. The crash analysis also showed no history of pedestrian crashes near this location.

Level of service (LOS) and $95^{\text {th }}$-percentile queues were analyzed at the existing signalized intersections which would attract the re-routed left and U-turn traffic from the median openings that are proposed to be closed. The US 13 intersections that were analyzed include:

- US 13 \& SR 273
- US 13 \& School Lane
- US 13 \& Harrison Avenue/Stahl Avenue
- US 13 \& Boulden Boulevard/Bacon Avenue
- US 13 \& State Hospital Driveway
- US 13 \& Wildel Avenue/Marsh Lane
- US 13 \& Memorial Drive

It should be noted that the Wildel Avenue and Memorial Drive intersections have been analyzed by WRA as presented in the report from October 2015. The October 2015 report assumed the closure of the median opening at E. Hazeldell Avenue, allowing emergency vehicle access only. The proposed traffic volumes along the corridor as a result of diverted traffic from the median closures are provided in Figures 5-8 in Appendix B. Figure 2 provides a graphical display of each unsignalized median opening throughout the study corridor, and whether they are to remain open or closed based on the analysis.

Weekday AM and PM peak hour LOS and capacity analyses were performed on the study intersections utilizing Synchro 9 software based on Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology. Queue length analysis was conducted utilizing $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths from Synchro 9 and queue lengths from SimTraffic software. The LOS and queuing results at each study intersection for 2016 existing conditions and 2016 with closure of the median openings are summarized below and presented in Table 1. The Synchro/SimTraffic worksheets are provided in Appendix F.

LOS and queueing analysis was also conducted for future 2040 traffic conditions with and without closure of the median openings. An annual growth rate of $0.85 \%$ was assumed for US 13 traffic volumes, which were grown out to horizon year 2040. The results of the future 2040 traffic analysis with and without closure of the median openings is summarized in Table 2, and the Synchro/SimTraffic worksheets are provided in Appendix F.

## US 13 and SR 273

This intersection would receive the NB US 13 left and U-turn traffic volumes from the existing unsignalized median opening at Quigley Boulevard. Under current conditions, this intersection provides dual NB left-turn lanes, with a storage bay length of approximately 375 feet. This intersection currently operates at overall LOS D during both AM and PM peak hours. With the additional U-turn traffic at the NB approach, the intersection would continue to operate at LOS D during both peak periods; however, the northbound left turn queues are projected to spill back into the US 13 through lanes.

## US 13 and School Lane

This intersection would receive the SB US 13 U-turn traffic volumes from the existing unsignalized median opening located approximately 600 feet north of this intersection. Currently this intersection provides dual SB left-turn lanes, with a storage bay length of approximately 250 feet. This intersection currently operates at overall LOS A during the AM peak hour and at LOS B during the PM peak hour. With the additional U-turn traffic at the SB approach, the intersection would operate at LOS B during both peak periods.

## US 13 and Harrison Avenue / Stahl Avenue

This intersection would receive the SB US 13 left and U-turn traffic volumes from the existing unsignalized median opening at Franklin Avenue. Currently this intersection provides a SB left-turn lane with a storage bay length of approximately 200 feet. This intersection operates at overall LOS A during the AM peak hour and at LOS B during the PM peak hour. With the additional U-turn traffic at the SB approach, the intersection would continue to operate at LOS A during the AM peak hour and LOS B during the PM peak hour.

## US 13 and Boulden Boulevard / Bacon Avenue

This intersection would receive the SB US 13 left and U-turn traffic from the existing unsignalized median opening located approximately 700 feet north of the intersection, as well as the NB left and U-turn traffic from the unsignalized median opening at Franklin Avenue. Under current conditions this intersection provides dual SB left-turn lanes, with a storage bay length of approximately 275 feet and a NB left-turn lane with a storage bay length of approximately 325 feet. This intersection operates at overall LOS C during the AM peak hour and at LOS E during the PM peak hour. With the additional U-turn traffic at the SB and NB approaches, the intersection would operate at LOS D during the AM peak hour and would continue to operate at LOS E during the PM peak hour. This intersection was also analyzed with dual northbound left-turn lanes for the PM peak hour. The addition of the dual northbound left-turn lanes has no effect on existing conditions, but improves the overall intersection delay by 10 seconds with the re-routed volumes, including a substantial improvement for the northbound left-turn movement from LOS F ( 267.9 seconds) to LOS E ( 63.3 seconds).

## US 13 and State Hospital Driveway

This intersection would receive the SB US 13 U-turn traffic volumes from the existing unsignalized median opening located at Lovelace Avenue. In addition, the EB left turning traffic at Lovelace Avenue would be rerouted to the SB left turn at the State Hospital Driveway. Currently this intersection provides a single SB left-turn lane, with a storage bay length of approximately 500 feet. This intersection operates at overall LOS B during the AM peak hour and at LOS C during the PM peak hour. With the additional U-turn traffic at the SB approach, the intersection would continue to operate at the same level of service during both peak periods.

## US 13 and Wildel Avenue

This intersection would receive a portion of the SB US 13 left and U-turn traffic volumes from the existing unsignalized median opening at E. Hazeldell Avenue and the NB left and U-turn traffic from the unsignalized median opening located approximately 700 feet south of the intersection. This intersection provides a single SB left-turn lane, with a storage bay length of approximately 400 feet and a single NB left-turn lane, with a storage bay length of approximately 800 feet. This intersection operates at overall LOS B during the AM peak hour and at LOS A during the PM peak hour. With the additional U-turn traffic at the SB and NB approaches, the intersection would continue to operate at the same level of service during both peak periods.

## US 13 and Memorial Drive

This intersection would receive a portion of the SB US 13 U-turn traffic and the NB U-turn traffic volumes from the existing unsignalized median opening at E. Hazeldell Avenue. This intersection provides a single SB left-turn lane, with a storage bay length of approximately 200 feet and a single NB left-turn lane, with a storage bay length of approximately 150 feet. This intersection currently operates at overall LOS B during the AM and the PM peak hours. With the additional U-turn traffic at the SB and NB approaches, the intersection would continue to operate at LOS B during the AM peak hour and would operate at LOS C during the PM peak hour.

## U-Turn Intersection Analysis Conclusions

Based on the traffic analysis results and the expected benefits in pedestrian safety, it is recommended the following median openings be closed:

- SB US 13 U-Turn located 600 feet north of School Lane
- SB and NB US 13 Left and U-Turns located at Franklin Avenue
- SB US 13 U-Turn located 700 feet north of Boulden Boulevard/Bacon Avenue
- SB US 13 U-Turn at Lovelace Avenue
- NB US 13 Left /U-Turn located 700 feet south of Wildel Avenue
- SB US 13 Left/U-Turn and NB US 13 Left turn at E. Hazeldell Avenue

The closure of the unsignalized opening serving the NB US 13 left/U-turn movement at Quigley Boulevard is not recommended due to excessive queuing and delay that would result for the northbound left-turn movement at SR 273, which is already operating at LOS F and LOS E for the existing AM and PM peak hours, respectively. SimTraffic simulations showed that the additional volume for the northbound left turn movement resulted in queue spillback into the northbound through lanes of US 13, presenting a safety issue.

The closure of the unsignalized opening serving the NB and SB US 13 left/U-turns at Franklin Avenue will require the installation of dual northbound left-turn lanes at the intersection of US 13 and Boulden Boulevard/Bacon Avenue to accommodate the re-routed northbound U-turns. Adding a second US 13 northbound left-turn lane will require signing and striping improvements on the receiving leg of Bacon Avenue to include a second lane, one of which should drop as a left or right turn only lane to the commercial strip developments. These design details should be determined during final design.

The closure of the median opening at Hazeldell Avenue will have minimal impacts to the US $13 /$ Memorial Drive intersection. The installation of an automatic gate for emergency vehicle access at the existing median opening will require further coordination with the Minquadale Fire Company and DeIDOT officials to determine if this option is feasible. The recommended gate system for this application is a sliding, chain driven gate system with two gate doors that meet in the middle of the opening. This style has a minimal footprint while in the open position since the gates slide parallel to the fence line, and having two gate doors will reduce the opening/closing distance. There are several automation options that can be used to open the gate in advance of emergency vehicles arriving, including opticoms, siren sensors, remote transmitters and hard-wired controls back to the fire station. Research on different styles of gate systems is provided in Appendix G.

It is recommended that decorative aluminum fencing is implemented within the median along the entire study corridor to serve as a pedestrian barrier to prevent mid-block crossings. In comparison to other barrier/fencing options, aluminum fencing is relatively inexpensive and requires very little maintenance and repair throughout its life lifetime. It is extremely durable and resistant to rust and corrosion, and also comes in a variety of styles that are aesthetically pleasing. Research on different fencing options is provided in Appendix G.

Figure 3 - Median Openings Along US 13 Corridor


Table 1-2016 Intersection Capacity Analysis Results

| US 13 Intersection | Peak Hour | 2016 Existing |  |  |  |  | 2016 Left / U-Turns Closed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall Intersection LOS / Delay (sec) | NB Left / U-Turn |  | SB Left / U-Turn |  | Overall Intersection LOS / Delay (sec) | NB Left / U-Turn |  |  | SB Left / U-Turn |  |  |
|  |  |  | LOS / Delay (sec) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HCM } \\ 2000- \\ 95 \% \\ \text { Queue } \end{gathered}$ $(\mathrm{ft})$ | LOS / Delay (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HCM } \\ & 2000- \\ & 95 \% \\ & \text { Queue } \end{aligned}$ $(\mathrm{ft})$ |  | LOS / Delay (sec) | $\begin{gathered} \text { HCM } \\ 2000- \\ 95 \% \\ \text { Queue } \\ \text { (ft) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | SimTraffic 95\% Queue <br> (ft) | LOS / Delay (sec) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HCM } \\ 2000- \\ 95 \% \\ \text { Queue } \\ (\mathrm{ft}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | SimTraffic 95\% Queue <br> (ft) |
| SR 273 | AM | D / 52.3 | F/90.5 | 304 |  |  | D / 52.9 | F/ 92.6 | 357 | 505 |  |  |  |
|  | PM | D / 53.6 | E/71.8 | \#281 |  |  | D / 53.2 | F/87.0 | \#361 | 636 |  |  |  |
| School Lane | AM | A / 8.4 |  |  | E/78.5 | 64 | B / 10.3 |  |  |  | F/85.1 | 137 | 152 |
|  | PM | B / 12.0 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline F / \\ 105.0 \end{gathered}$ | m165 | B / 12.9 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline F / \\ 102.4 \end{gathered}$ | m204 | 231 |
| Stahl Ave / Harrison Ave | AM | A / 2.6 |  |  | B / 19.2 | 35 | A / 2.8 |  |  |  | C / 27.7 | 68 | 84 |
|  | PM | B / 15.6 |  |  | A / 5.1 | m5 | B / 16.3 |  |  |  | C / 28.6 | m57 | 135 |
| Boulden Blvd Bacon Ave | AM | C / 33.1 | F/ 113.4 | m67 | F/82.0 | 189 | D / 36.3 | F/ 107.4 | 164 | 321 | F/86.6 | 229 | 227 |
|  | PM | E/ 67.4 | F/ 128.7 | \#453 | F/81.1 | 71 | E/74.1 | F/267.9 | \#649 | 710 | F/80.6 | 89 | 523 |
| Boulden Blvd/Bacon Ave (w/ dual NB left-turn lanes) | AM |  |  |  |  |  | C / 32.6 | F/ 112.3 | 87 | 82 | F/95.7 | \#251 | 203 |
|  | PM |  |  |  |  |  | E/59.9 | F/ 101.0 | \#276 | 226 | F/88.5 | 93 | 358 |
| State Hospital | AM | B / 18.4 |  |  | B / 10.8 | m2 | B / 18.7 |  |  |  | A / 9.6 | 24 | 25 |
|  | PM | C / 30.4 |  |  | A / 0.8 | m0 | C / 29.6 |  |  |  | A / 1.0 | m1 | 10 |
| Wildel Ave / Marsh Ln | AM | B / 13.3 | A / 6.8 | 23 | E/ 74.3 | 39 | B / 14.6 | D / 49.4 | 108 | 112 | E/79.9 | 76 | 60 |
|  | PM | A / 8.3 | B/11.7 | 12 | E/ 67.6 | m8 | A / 9.3 | B / 13.2 | 21 | 48 | E/76.9 | m94 | 73 |
| Memorial Drive | AM | B/18.7 | B/11.0 | m14 | E/66.2 | 165 | B / 19.8 | B / 12.0 | 26 | 89 | E/70.2 | 188 | 176 |
|  | PM | B / 17.7 | C / 24.1 | 38 | C / 21.3 | 141 | B / 19.4 | C / 26.5 | 71 | 75 | D / 35.5 | 259 | 263 |

\# - 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer
$m$ - Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal

Table 2-2040 Intersection Capacity Analysis Results

| US 13 Intersection | Peak Hour | 2040 Existing Geometrics |  |  |  |  | 2040 Left / U-Turns Closed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overall Intersection LOS / Delay (sec) | NB Left / U-Turn |  | SB Left / U-Turn |  | Overall Intersection LOS / Delay (sec) | NB Left / U-Turn |  |  | SB Left / U-Turn |  |  |
|  |  |  | LOS / Delay (sec) | HCM <br> 2000 - <br> 95\% <br> Queue <br> (ft) | LOS / Delay (sec) | HCM 2000 95\% Queue (ft) |  | LOS / Delay (sec) | HCM 2000 95\% Queue (ft) | SimTraffic 95\% Queue ( ft ) | LOS / Delay (sec) | HCM <br> 2000 - <br> 95\% <br> Queue <br> (ft) | SimTraffic 95\% Queue <br> (ft) |
| SR 273 | AM | F/ 108.0 | F/88.0 | m325 |  |  | F/ 108.8 | F/ 101.9 | m410 | 505 |  |  |  |
|  | PM | F/ 101.7 | F/ 140.7 | \#375 |  |  | F/ 103.6 | F/ 174.3 | \#476 | 636 |  |  |  |
| School Lane | AM | B / 18.3 |  |  | F/82.6 | 74 | B / 20.0 |  |  |  | E/785 | 160 | 152 |
|  | PM | B / 16.5 |  |  | F/ 101.1 | m158 | B / 15.7 |  |  |  | F/ 96.7 | m199 | 231 |
| Stahl Ave / Harrison Ave | AM | A / 5.1 |  |  | C / 32.0 | 49 | A / 5.1 |  |  |  | D / 46.5 | 91 | 84 |
|  | PM | D / 46.0 |  |  | C / 24.1 | m7 | D / 46.8 |  |  |  | E/65.7 | m115 | 135 |
| Boulden Blvd / Bacon Ave | AM | E/ 74.1 | F/ 105.4 | m60 | F/87.0 | 225 | E/78.6 | F/ 102.4 | m164 | 321 | F/ 100.9 | \#309 | 227 |
|  | PM | F/ 114.8 | F/ 197.3 | \#572 | F/80.8 | 82 | F/ 123.9 | F/ 388.3 | \#806 | 710 | F/80.2 | 104 | 523 |
| Boulden Blvd / Bacon Ave (with dual NB left-turn lanes) | AM |  |  |  |  |  | E/55.1 | F/ 106.7 | m82 | 82 | F/ 151.6 | \#345 | 203 |
|  | PM |  |  |  |  |  | F/ 100.5 | F/ 193.0 | \#370 | 189 | F/86.1 | 108 | 347 |
| State Hospital | AM | C / 23.7 |  |  | B / 13.3 | m3 | C / 26.7 |  |  |  | B / 13.1 | m27 | 25 |
|  | PM | D / 51.1 |  |  | A / 0.6 | m0 | D / 50.2 |  |  |  | A / 1.0 | m0 | 10 |
| Wildel Ave / Marsh Ln | AM | B / 16.6 | A / 8.1 | 28 | E/73.2 | 45 | B / 18.5 | D / 50.2 | 127 | 112 | E/ 79.6 | m86 | 60 |
|  | PM | B / 10.6 | B/18.2 | 14 | E/68.1 | m8 | B / 10.9 | B / 19.6 | 27 | 48 | E/74.9 | m94 | 73 |
| Memorial Drive | AM | C / 24.1 | B / 15.4 | m20 | E/77.4 | 197 | C / 25.8 | B / 16.2 | m38 | 89 | E/ 79.9 | 221 | 176 |
|  | PM | C / 22.2 | C/28.9 | 48 | D / 43.0 | 232 | C / 24.6 | C / 30.6 | 84 | 75 | E/59.6 | \#433 | 263 |

\# - 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer
m - Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis - US 13 @ Gracelawn Memorial Park

A brief traffic signal warrant analysis was conducted for the existing unsignalized median opening at the entrance to Gracelawn Memorial Park, which serves northbound and southbound left/U-turns. The traffic analysis conducted on the various existing median openings determined that the crossovers north and south of the opening at Gracelawn Memorial Park can be permanently closed. Pedestrian fencing can be installed in the median from the State Hospital to Wildel Avenue, with an opening at the Gracelawn Memorial Park. Providing a traffic signal at this location would would allow pedestrians to safely cross US 13 rather than illegally cross at the unsignalized crossover. The nearest crossings of US 13 are located 1,500 feet to the north at Wildel Avenue and 1.1 miles to the south at Boulden Boulevard/Bacon Avenue.

According to Section 4C. 01 of the DE MUTCD, "At an intersection with a high volume of left-turn traffic from the major street, the signal warrant analysis may be performed in a manner that considers the higher of the major-street left-turn volumes as the "minor-street" volume and the corresponding single direction of opposing traffic on the major street as the "major-street" volume." This methodology was employed for analysis of the existing crossover, which serves a high volume of northbound U-turns, particularly during the AM peak hour. A brief overview of the nine traffic signal warrants outlined in Chapter 4C of the DE MUTCD is provided below and backup is provided in Appendix H .

- Warrant 1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Condition A - Not Satisfied
- Condition B - Satisfied
- Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume


## - Satisfied

- Warrant 3 - Peak Hour
- Not Satisfied (volumes warrant the signal, but not an unusual case)
- Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume
- Not Satisfied
- Warrant 5 - School Crossing
- Not applicable
- Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System
- Not applicable
- Warrant 7 - Crash History
- Not applicable
- Warrant 8 - Roadway Network
- Not Applicable
- Warrant 9 - Intersection Near a Grade Crossing
- Not Applicable

Should DeIDOT consider installing a traffic signal at this location for pedestrian access purposes, a more detailed Traffic Signal Justification Study is recommended.

## PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE CRASH ANALYSIS

## 10-Year Pedestrian and Bicycle Crash Trends

Crash data was obtained for the ten-year study period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2016 for the entire study corridor from US 13/Llangollen Boulevard and US 40/Wilton Boulevard to US 13 (Market Street) /A Street. During the ten-year study period, a total of 5,827 crashes were reported, including 77 crashes involving pedestrians and 7 crashes involving cyclists. Of these 84 pedestrian and bicycle crashes, 29 resulted in fatalities, 55 resulted in personal injuries, and one crash involved no documented injuries. Of the 84 total crashes, 50 occurred at night (60\%), and of the 50 nighttime crashes, 26 crashes (52\%) were in unlit areas. $55 \%$ of all pedestrian/bicycle crashes occurred at midblock locations, while $26 \%$ occurred at or within 50 feet of an intersection. 74 of the 84 crashes ( $88 \%$ ) occurred on dry surface conditions, and 10 on wet surface conditions. Pedestrian alcohol/drug impairment was reported for 24 of the 84 total crashes (29\%).

Crash trends are depicted in Figures 4 through 11 below, and a full summary of pedestrian and cyclist involved crashes can be found in Appendix I.


Figure 4 - Pedestrian Crashes by Location:
Figure 4 shows the breakdown of pedestrian crashes by location. Most pedestrian crashes occurred at mid-block locations (55\%), followed by intersections with a crossing provided (21\%).


Figure 5 - Pedestrian Crashes by Lighting Condition:
Figure 5 shows the number of pedestrian crashes that occurred based upon lighting condition at the crash site. Of the 84 crashes, 50 crashes ( $60 \%$ ) occurred at nighttime. Of the 50 nighttime crashes, 24 occurred in a Dark-Lit setting and 26 occurred in a Dark-Not Lit setting. 33 crashes occurred in a Daylight setting, and 1 occurred in a Dusk/Dawn setting.


Figure 6 - Pedestrian Crashes by Pedestrian Age Group:
Figure 6 shows the number of pedestrian crashes based upon age group. Most pedestrian crash victims were age 40$59(41 \%)$ and the least were age 60+. Overall, this figure shows a wide range of ages that are involved in pedestrian crashes; they are not limited to one age group.


Figure 7-Pedestrian Crashes by Time of Day:
Figure 7 shows the number of pedestrian crashes based upon time of day. Most occurred between 8:00 PM and 11:00 PM, accounting for $37 \%$ of the crashes.


Figure 8 - Pedestrian Crashes by Day of Week:
Figure 8 shows the number of pedestrian crashes based upon day of the week. The majority occurred on Wednesdays and Fridays, however, no clear trend is observed based upon the day of the week.


Figure 9 - Pedestrian Crashes by Month:
Figure 9 shows the number of pedestrian crashes that have occurred each month of the year. The summer months of July through September saw the highest number of crashes, accounting for $45 \%$ of all crashes.


Figure 10 - Pedestrian Crashes by Year:
Figure 10 shows the number of pedestrian crashes that have occurred each year. 2008 saw the highest number of crashes with 15 , however, there are no noticeable trends.


Figure 11 - Pedestrian Crashes with Alcohol/ Drug Involvement:
Figure 11 shows the percentage of pedestrian crashes that involved alcohol/drug impairment. 67\% of crashes involved no person under the influence, whereas $29 \%$ involved pedestrian impaired crashes. Only 1 pedestrian crash involved an impaired driver.


## US 40 to SR 273 Pedestrian Crash Analysis - Pre and Post Lighting Improvements

In 2011, DeIDOT implemented a corridor-wide lighting improvement project along US 13 from the US 40 split to SR 273. Pedestrian crash history was analyzed for the 4-year period from 2007 through 2010, making up the "Pre-Lighting Improvements" data set, and the 4-year period from 2012 through 2015 was analyzed as the "Post-Lighting Improvements" data set.

During pre-lighting improvement conditions from 2007 through 2010, there were a total of 10 pedestrian crashes along US 13 from US 40 to SR 273, 4 of which were fatal. 8 of the 10 pedestrian crashes occurred at nighttime, 3 of which were in unlit conditions.

Following implementation of the lighting improvements, a total of 8 pedestrian crashes occurred along the same stretch of US 13 from 2012 through 2015, 4 of which were fatal. Nighttime crashes decreased from 8 to 2, and each of the nighttime crashes occurred under lit conditions. The lighting improvements project significantly decreased the number of nighttime pedestrian crashes, but had little to no effect on the total number of pedestrian crashes and pedestrian fatalities.

Figure 12 - Pre and Post Lighting Improvements Evaluation:
Figure 12 shows key statistics comparing the Pre-Lighting Conditions to Post-Lighting Conditions along US 13 from US 40 to SR 273:


## RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

The recommended improvements provided in this document generally agree with the proposed improvements in WRA's US 13 Pedestrian Safety Study, SR 273 to Market Street/Walnut Street Split report, dated August 2015. Detailed traffic analysis was conducted to verify if existing unsignalized median openings can be closed, and the results of the analyses are reflected in the proposed improvements in this report. The proposed improvements are summarized below, depicted on the companion roll plan and provided in table format in Appendix A. Potential conflicts and design challenges anticipated due to physical and right-of-way constraints, utilities, and grades/geometrics were taken in to consideration during the concept-level design of these improvements.

## Corridor-Wide Recommendations

- Install median fencing along the US $13 / \mathrm{US} 40$ corridor to deter dangerous and illegal mid-block crossings. (It should be noted that installation of fencing along both sides of the roadway was also evaluated. Due to the large number of driveways and commercial entrances along the study corridor, this alternative did not provide the safety benefits expected from the median fence). During the final design process, it is recommended that DeIDOT develops a standard detail and specification for median barrier fencing to be implemented on future projects. Decorative aluminum fencing is recommended for this application due to its relatively low cost and maintenance, resistance to rust and corrosion, and aesthetics. An evaluation of different fencing options and a list of other known pedestrian fencing locations throughout the country is provided in Appendix G.
- Close and modify existing unsignalized median openings to limit mid-block crossing opportunities.
- Construct new sidewalk to connect existing segments of sidewalk along both sides of the corridor where feasible, including ADA-compliant curb ramps.
- Install roadway lighting in the vicinity of each signalized pedestrian crossing and transit stop along the corridor, and along US 13 from Rogers Road to the bridge over the railroad, approximately 1,600 feet north of Rogers Road. Perform a formal lighting warrant analysis for the remainder of the corridor and prioritize specific areas for roadway lighting installation.
- Implement traffic signal improvements for existing pedestrian signal facilities that do not meet the current ADA and DeIDOT standards. Includes evaluation and potential retiming of pedestrian walk and clearance times, as well.
- Reduce the shoulder width along US 13 northbound from the US 40 split to $5^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to provide a buffered sidewalk and clearly delineate commercial access points. This will require the installation of new curb and drainage features.


## Intersection-Specific Recommendations

US 40 and Wilton Boulevard

- Consider three potential options for providing a pedestrian access route (PAR) from the Wilton Boulevard/US 40 intersection to the US 13/LLangollen Boulevard intersection, where pedestrians currently cross the railroad tracks illegally and follow a "goat path" through private property:
- At-Grade Railroad Crossing: The provision of an at-grade railroad crossing was investigated. While this option would provide the most direct route for pedestrians, Norfolk Southern Railroad prohibits atgrade pedestrian crossings of their tracks. In order to take pedestrians from street level to the top of rail, an approximate 85 -foot long ramp would be required. With limited room for grading between US 40 and the railroad tracks, a barrier/retaining wall and hand railing would also be required to separate
the ramp from the roadway and protect pedestrians from vehicular traffic. Close coordination and a request for a waiver or agreement between DeIDOT and Norfolk Southern will be necessary to move forward with the at-grade crossing option.
- Pedestrian Overpass: The feasibility of a pedestrian overpass was investigated and it was determined that the construction of an overpass is possible, but at very high cost and with several design challenges. Norfolk Southern Railroad requires a minimum $23^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ overhead clearance over the rails, which would result in over 500' of ramp on either side of the overpass at $5 \%$ grade to meet ADA requirements. Installation of the ramps on either side of US 40 would require a large footprint. Space is limited between US 40 and the railroad tracks and there is a line of utility poles that would need to be relocated or buried in order to accommodate the approach ramps.
- Pedestrian Tunnel: The feasibility of a pedestrian overpass was investigated and it was determined that the construction of a tunnel is possible. However, strong Norfolk Southern railroad coordination would be required to confirm location of tunnel and depth beneath the tracks. Two conceptual tunnel layouts were explored: 1. crossing beneath the railroad running parallel to US 40 northbound and 2. crossing beneath both the railroad and US 40 to daylight along US 40 southbound. It is important to note a tunnel alternative may present a concern with vandalism; preventatives may need to be implemented to ensure the safety of the tunnel for pedestrians. Compared to the Pedestrian Overpass alternative, the length of ramps would be approximately half that of the overpass alternative.
- Re-Routing PAR: The easiest option from a constructability and right-of-way impact standpoint it to reroute pedestrians to the US 13/US 40 split area where they can cross the railroad via the US 13 underpass. This option will require the installation of raised curb and sidewalk along US 13 to separate pedestrians from the roadway. Fencing should also be installed along eastbound US 40 to prevent pedestrians from crossing the railroad, forcing them to use the PAR and cross the railroad tracks via the US 13 underpass.
- Install median fencing within the US 40 median to prevent mid-block crossings and force pedestrians to use the existing crosswalk on the west leg of the US 40/Wilton Boulevard intersection.

US 13 Wilmington Manor Fire Company (North of US 13 / Route 40 Split)

- Consolidate northbound bus stops located in front of United Rentals (south of US 13 / Rt 40 split) and Carman Chrysler Jeep Dodge (north of US 13/US 40 split) and relocate to signalized crossing in front of Wilmington Manor Fire Company.

US 13 and SR 273:

- Install signalized pedestrian crosswalk on the north leg of the intersection to connect the East Coast Greenway Trail.

US 13 and School Lane:

- Install signalized pedestrian crosswalk on the southern US 13 leg of the intersection.
- Install roadway lighting.
- Relocate the southbound US 13 bus stop from the south leg of the intersection to the north leg and consolidate this bus stop with the southbound US 13 bus stop at the New Castle County Airport northern right-in access.
- Close the median opening 600 feet north of School Lane serving southbound US 13 U-turns, which will be redirected to the US 13/School Lane intersection.

US 13 and Lincoln Avenue:

- Install a signalized pedestrian crosswalk on the western Lincoln Avenue leg of the intersection and provide an ADA-compliant at-grade signalized crosswalk on the north leg of US 13 to compliment the non-compliant pedestrian overpass on the south leg.

US 13 and Harrison Avenue/Stahl Avenue:

- Install a signalized pedestrian crosswalk on the western Harrison Avenue leg of the intersection and provide an ADA-compliant at-grade signalized crosswalk on the south leg of US 13 to compliment the non-complaint pedestrian overpass on the north leg.
- Install bus stop benches and lighting at the US 13 northbound bus stop

US 13 and Roosevelt Avenue:

- Install a signalized pedestrian crosswalk on the western Roosevelt Avenue leg of the intersection.
- Close the existing median opening serving northbound and southbound US 13 left/U-turns at Franklin Avenue. The southbound left/u-turns will be re-rerouted to the US 13/Roosevelt Avenue intersection.


## US 13 and Bacon Avenue/Boulden Boulevard:

- Install a signalized pedestrian crosswalk on the eastern Boulden Boulevard leg of the intersection.
- Construct geometric improvements and realign the existing south leg crosswalk to provide a more direct pedestrian path.
- Close the existing median opening serving northbound and southbound US 13 left/U-turns at Franklin Avenue. The northbound left/U-turns will be re-rerouted to the US 13/Bacon Avenue/Boulden Boulevard intersection.
- Close the existing median opening $700^{\prime}$ north of Bacon Avenue/Boulden Boulevard serving southbound US 13 U-turns. The southbound left/U-turns will be re-routed to the US 13/Bacon Avenue/Boulden Boulevard intersection.
- Install dual US 13 northbound left-turn lanes to accommodate re-routed traffic from the closure of the existing median opening at Franklin Avenue.
- Improve lighting at the intersection.


## US 13 and Central Avenue

- Remove US 13 southbound bus stop located north of the intersection to consolidate with the existing bus stop located approximately 350 feet south near the Department of Health \& Social Services complex. Consider installation of benches and lighting at the existing bus stop.
- At the request of the Clarion Hotel on US 13 NB, a bus shelter will be proposed for the northbound bus stop.

US 13 and Lovelace Avenue

- Close the existing median opening serving US 13 southbound U-turns and re-route that volume to the US 13/State Hospital intersection.


## US 13 and Gracelawn Memorial Park

- Convert the existing unsignalized median opening to a signalized intersection and provide a signalized pedestrian crosswalk on the northern US 13 leg of the intersection

US 13 and Marsh Lane/Wildel Avenue

- Construct geometric improvements and realign the existing diagonal crosswalk to provide a more direct pedestrian path across the northern US 13 leg of the intersection.
- Install a signalized pedestrian crosswalk on the western Wildel Avenue leg of the intersection.
- Relocate the existing northbound bus stop on the north leg of the intersection closer to the intersection to prevent mid-block crossings and remove the southbound bus stop located 600 feet south of the intersection.
- Close the existing median opening located 700' south of the US $13 /$ Marsh Lane/Wildel Avenue intersection serving northbound US 13 left/U-turns. The northbound left/U-turns will be re-routed to the US 13/Marsh Lane/Wildel Avenue intersection.


## US 13 and Hazeldell Avenue

- Coordinate with the Minquadale Fire Company regarding the feasibility of installing an automatic gate to close the existing median opening while maintaining access for emergency vehicles. During the final design process, it is recommended that DeIDOT develop a standard detail and specification for automatic gate systems that can be used on future projects. An automatic sliding gate is recommended for this application that can be opened/closed via preemptive technology for emergency vehicles. Research on various automatic gate systems is provided in Appendix G.
- Install pedestrian crosswalks on the eastern and western Hazeldell Avenue legs of the intersection

US 13 and Memorial Drive

- Realign northbound and southbound left-turn lanes and construct geometric improvements to realign the existing south leg crosswalk to provide a more direct pedestrian crossing.
- Extend the US 13 southbound left-turn lane 200 feet to provide additional storage
- Install signalized crosswalk along north leg of intersection.
- Install sidewalk along the north side of the west leg of the intersection


## US 13 and Hessler Boulevard

- Install signalized pedestrian crosswalks on the northern US 13 leg, western Hessler Boulevard leg and eastern Comcast facility access leg of the intersection. The north leg crossing will require widening of the median nose.
- Update the eastbound approach pavement marking legends to show a left-turn lane and shared through/leftturn lane.

US 13 and I-495 Ramps

- Install signalized pedestrian crosswalks on the southern US 13 leg and the western I-495 SB off-ramp leg of the intersection.


## Business US 13 and Millside Drive

- Install bus stop pads along Business US 13 northbound and southbound
- Install lighting from Rogers Road to the bridge over the railroad
- Install oversized pedestrian warning signs along Business US 13 northbound and southbound approaching this area
Business US 13 (S. Walnut Street) and Christina Crossing Shopping Center
- Install a signalized pedestrian crosswalk on the south leg of US 13 and west leg of the shopping center driveway
- Install sidewalk on west side of $S$. Walnut Street to connect the Christiana Shopping Center to the intersection of S. Walnut Street and A Street
- Consider relocation of the northbound US 13 bus stop opposite Howard Street to the intersection at the Christina Crossing shopping center where a signalized crosswalk is proposed


## NEXT STEPS

With the implementation of the improvement recommendations listed above, the US 13/US 40 corridor would become much more comfortable and safer for pedestrians, and should result in a reduction of pedestrian-involved crashes. The installation of new crosswalks and median fencing would funnel pedestrians to signalized intersections where they can safely and legally cross US 13, and deter dangerous mid-block crossings. Constructing sidewalks and curb ramps along the corridor will improve pedestrian mobility and comfort by not forcing pedestrians and wheelchairs to utilize the roadway shoulder and the installation of lighting should help reduce the nighttime crash rate when most pedestrian crashes occur.

In February 2017, Pennoni hosted a "Prioritization Meeting" with over twenty DelDOT personnel, representing various departments within the organization, to discuss the proposed pedestrian improvements and develop a strategy for implementation of the improvements. Pennoni prepared a Project Implementation Strategy Package for the Prioritization Meeting, which broke the $7+$ mile study corridor in to eight sections. Each section was evaluated based on pedestrian crash history and ease of project implementation in regards to constructability, right-of-way/stakeholder impacts, potential utility conflicts and maintenance of traffic impacts. Conceptual construction cost estimates were also developed for each section. It was generally agreed that one or two median fencing pilot programs should be implemented, particularly along Sections A and D since they do not require the closure of median crossovers. It was also agreed that Sections B, E, and G should be prioritized due to high pedestrian activity and historical crash rates. Currently, coordination is ongoing between DelDOT and Norfolk Southern, DART, and the Minquadale Fire Company to determine the feasibility of pedestrian improvements. The Project Implementation Strategy Package and Prioritization Meeting Minutes are provided in Appendix J and DART Coordination Meeting Minutes are provided in Appendix K. Through a combination of pavement and rehabilitation projects, DelDOT Traffic intersection improvement projects, developer projects, and state and federally funded Capital Transportation Program projects, these improvements can be constructed in phases to eventually reach a final product.

## APPENDIX A

IMPROVEMENTS MATRIX

## US 13 / US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements

## recommendations from previous and current studies

| Location | Study / Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wilton / Llangollen Pedestrian Access Study Update by WRA, DelDOT, NCC, WILMAPCO, DART April 2009 | Pedestrian Safety Study US 13 and US 40 by Urban Engineers April 2009 | US 13 Pedestrian Safety Study, SR 273 to Market /Walnut Street Split by WRA August 2015 | US 13 /US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements by Pennoni August 2016 |
| Corridor-Wide | Improve lighting and signage <br> Make at-grade improvements to redirect pedestrians to the US 13/US 40 split and under the railroad via US 13 | -Sidewalk improvements along both corridors to connect existing sidewalks and improve existing non ADA-compliant sidewalks and curb ramps. <br> -Install median fencing or barriers along the US 13 and US 40 corridors to deter jaywalking. <br> -Bus route modification of DART Bus Route \#25 to create a "loop" between US 40 and SR 273 to reduce the amount of people needing to cross US 13. | -Coordinate with DSP to include certain types of information in pedestrian crash reports and incorporate into DELIS E-Crash training -Establish a working group to coordinate aspects of bus stop locations -Enhance Bus Stop and Passenger Facilities Policy to improve pedestrian safety <br> -Develop pedestrian safety advertisements to install at high-traffic bus shelters <br> Distribute questionnaires to transit riders along US 13 to gether feedback on pedestrian safety <br> -Investigate feasibility of providing prerecorded audio messages on buses directing pedestrians to cross as designated crosswalks -Upgrade pedestrian push button signage <br> -Perform formal lighting evaluation along US 13 from SR 273 to the Market Street/Walnut Street split to identify the need for additional roadway lighting <br> -Install lighting along US 13 from Rogers Road to the bridge located approximately 1,200 feet north of Rogers Road <br> -Install speed limit signs along US 13 to match the latest speed resolutions <br> -Construct sidewalk along corridor and investigate feasibility of shareduse paths <br> -Consider reducing the number and frequency of access points for any future redevelopment <br> -Investigate modifying/closing unsignalized median openings | - Install pedestrian median fencing along US 13/US 40 corridor to deter midblock crossing <br> - Close/modify unsignalized median openings at the following locations: north of School Lane, Franklin Avenue, north of Boulden Boulevard, Lovelace Avenue, Gracelawn Memorial Park, south of Wildel Avenue, and E. Hazeldell Avenue. <br> - Construct sidewalk along both sides of the corridor - Install lighting at each signalized pedestrian crossing and transit stop along the corridor, and along US 13 from Rogers Road to the bridge over the Christina River. <br> -Perform formal lighting evaluation along US 13 from SR 273 to the Market Street/Walnut Street split to identify the need for additional roadway lighting <br> - Confirm that pedestrian clearance interval adjustments and push button sign adjustments outlined in WRA's 2015 report have been implemented and improve any pedestrian signal equipment that does not meet current ADA and/or DelDOT standards. <br> - Reduce the shoulder width along US 13 northbound from the US 40 split to 5th Avenue to provide a buffered sidewalk or shared-use path and to clearly delineate commercial access points. |
| US 13 @ Saienni Blvd |  | -Install pedestrian crosswalks, countdown pedestrian signals on all approaches (Completed). |  |  |
| US 13 @ Llangollen Blvd |  | -Install pedestrian crosswalks, countdown pedestrian signals, sidewalk improvements between crosswalks and bus stops (Completed). |  |  |
| US 40 @ Wilton Blvd | Install at-grade railroad crossing for pedestrians, consider an overpass spanning US 40 and the Norfolk souther railroad, and at-grade mprovements to redirect pedestrians. | -Install pedestrian crosswalks and countdown pedestrian signals on all approaches, additional lighting (Completed, except east leg crossing). |  | Consider pedestrian overpass spanning US 40 and Norfolk Southern Railroad line, pedestrian tunnel, at-grade crossing, and/or redirecting pedestrian with proposed sidewalk. |
| US 13/40 @ Firehouse |  | -Install pedestrian crosswalks, countdown pedestrian signals (Completed 2013). Consolidate Exxon and Wilson bus stops in front of fire station. |  | - Consolidate US 13 NB bus stops goo' south and 550' north of the signalized crosswalk to one stop near the signalized crosswalk. |
| US 13 @ 2nd / 3rd Aves |  | -Install pedestrian crosswalks, countdown pedestrian signals (Completed). |  |  |

US 13 / US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements
recommendations from previous and current studies

| Location | Study / Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wilton / Llangollen Pedestrian Access Study Update by WRA, DeIDOT, NCC, WILMAPCO, DART April 2009 | Pedestrian Safety Study US 13 and US 40 by Urban Engineers April 2009 | US 13 Pedestrian Safety Study, SR 273 to Market /Walnut Street Split by WRA August 2015 | US 13 /US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements by Pennoni August 2016 |
| US 13 @ DE 273 |  | -Install pedestrian crosswalks, countdown pedestrian signals (Completed). | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-Install crosswalk across northern leg of intersection } \\ & \text { - Consolidate existing SB US } 13 \text { bus stops north and south of SR } 273 \text { by } \\ & \text { removing the bus stop north of SR } 273 \end{aligned}$ | -Install crosswalk across northern leg of intersection - Maintain SB US 13 bus stops north and south of SR 273 |
| US 13 @ School Ln |  |  | Increase pedestrian clearance interval for SB US 13 crossing to 22 seconds and NB US 13 crossing to 16 seconds. <br> Install signalized crosswalk across School Lane (eastern leg) of US 13 Included in New Castle Airport Improvements Project nstall sidewalk between US 13 NB bus stop and northeastern corner of intersection <br> Install lighting within vicinity of the intersection <br> - Relocate SB US 13 bus stop north of the intersection and remove SB <br> US 13 bus stop south of the intersection | - Install signalized crosswalks on southern leg of intersection. Adjust signal timings accordingly <br> Close median crossover 600' north of intersection Install lighting <br> - Relocate SB US 13 bus stop north of the intersection and remove SB US 13 bus stop south of the intersection |
| US 13 @ Lincoln Ave |  |  | -Install crosswalk a aross western leg of intersection | $\qquad$ intersection to provide ADA compliant crosswalks. Adjust signal timings accordingly |
| US 13 @ Harrison/ Stahl Ave |  |  | -Install crosswalk across western leg of intersection -Install bus stop benches at NB US 13 bus stop | - Install signalized crosswalks across southern and western legs of intersection to provide ADA compliant crosswalks. Adjust signal timings accordingly. <br> -Install bus stop benches at NB US 13 bus stop |
| US 13 @ Roosevelt Ave |  |  | Instal croswalk across western leg of intersection | Install crosswalk across western leg of intersection Close median crossover north of the intersection at Franklin Avenue |
| US 13 @ Boulden Blvd / Bacon Avenue |  |  | Increase pedestrian clearance interval for NB US 13 crossing to 21 seconds. <br> Install crosswalk across eastern leg of intersection Realign crosswalk across southern leg of intersection to provide more direct pedestrian path Install bus stop shelter at SB US 13 bus stop at southwest corner of the intersection (Completed) | Realign crosswalk across southern leg of intersection nstall signalized crosswalk along the east leg of the intersection Close remaining median crossover between intersection and I-295 Close median crossover south of the intersection at Franklin Ave Install dual US 13 NB left-turn lanes <br> nstall lighting |
| US 13 @ Central Avenue |  |  |  | -Remove SB US 13 bus stop located north of the intersection. Transit riders will be able to use existing bus stops located 300 ' south or north of this bus stop. Requires coordinatioon with DTC |
| US 13 @ Lovelace Avenue |  |  |  | - Close the existing median opening serving US 13 southbound U-turns |
| US 13 @ Gracelawn Memoria Park |  |  |  | -Signalize intersection <br> -Install crosswalk across northern leg of intersection -Close median crossovers north and south (Lovelace Avenue) of intersection |


| US 13 / US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements RECOMMENDATIONS FROM PREVIOUS AND CURRENT STUDIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Study / Report |  |  |  |
| Location | Wilton / Langollen Pedestrian Access Study Update by WRA, DeIDOT, NCC, WILMAPCO, DART April 2009 | Pedestrian Safety Study US 13 and US 40 by Urban Engineers April 2009 | US 13 Pedestrian Safety Study, SR 273 to Market /Walnut Street Split by WRA August 2015 | US 13 /US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements by Pennoni August 2016 |
| US 13 @ Marsh Ln / Wildel Ave * |  |  | -Increase pedestrian clearance interval for US 13 crossing to 60 seconds and west leg to 34 seconds. <br> Relocate US 13 crosswalk to north leg of intersection <br> Install crosswalk across eastern leg of intersection <br> -Install countdown signals <br> Relocate NB US 13 bus stop <br> -Remove NB US 13 bus stop located 625' south of the intersection | -Realign crosswalk across northern leg of intersection to provide more direct pedestrian path <br> nstall signalized crosswalk across eastern leg of intersection - Install lighting <br> -Relocate NB US 13 bus stop north of the intersection to the vicinity of the proposed realigned crosswalk <br> -Remove SB US 13 bus stop south of the intersection |
| US 13, Hazeldell Ave |  |  | -Install sidewalk along north side of grass island between Memorial Drive and Hazeldell Avenue <br> Consider parking restrictions along W. Hazeldell Avenue | -Limit access at Hazeldell Ave to emergency vehicles only -Install automatic sliding gate across Hazeldell Ave median opening |
| US 13 @ Memorial Dr * |  |  | -Increase pedestrian clearance interval for SB US 13 crossing to 19 seconds and west leg crossing to 10 seconds - Install sidewalk along the north side of the grass island between Memorial drive and W. Hazeldell Avenue |  |
| US 13 @ Hessler Blvd * |  |  | -Update outside left turn lane pavement markings on the eastbound approach to show shared through/left-turn movement -Install crosswalk across northern, eastern, and western legs of intersection | -Update outside left-turn lane pavement markings on the eastbound approach to show shared through/left-turn movement. Install signalized crosswalks on northern, eastern and western legs of intersection |
| US 13 @ 1-495 Ramp |  |  |  | -Instal signalized croswalk across western leg of intersection |
| Business US 13 near Rogers Road |  |  | Install oversized pedestrian warning signs along both NB and SB Business US 13 approaching the area north of Rogers Road Install lighting along corridor | -Install sidewalks on both sides of US 13 to tie to existing bridge sidewalks -Install oversized pedestrian warning signs along both NB and SB Business US 13 appraoching the area north of Rogers Road -Install lighting along corridor |
| Business US 13 @ Millside Dr |  |  | Install bus stop shelters at us stops on both sides of Business US 13 | -Install sidewalks on both sides of US 13 to tie to existing bridge sidewalks - Improve bus stop waiting pads and evaluate/install lighting on both sides of US 13 |
| Business US 13 @ Christina Crossing Shopping Center |  |  |  | -Install signalized crosswalk on south leg and west leg of existing signalized intersection. <br> Install sidewalk on west side of S . Walnut Street to connect this intersection to the intersection with A Street Consider relocation of US 13 NB bus stop loacted 500' south of the intersection closer to the intersection and proposed signalized crosswalk. |

* DelDOT intersection improvements currently in design with construction anticipated in 2017.
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## APPENDIX C

US 13 SPEED AND SAFETY STUDY @ QUIGLEY BLVD

US 13 NB Approaching Quigley Blvd
6/8/2016
DDOT1602

| Start Time: | 1:30PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| End Time: | 2:00PM |
| Posted Speed: | 50 MPH |
| Cumalative Total= | 151 Vehicles |
| EB 85 Percentile= | 85 |
| $=$ | 54 MPH |


|  | Northbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Speed (MPH) | Vehicle |
| 1 | 39 |  |
| 2 | 40 | Bus |
| 3 | 40 | Bus |
| 4 | 41 |  |
| 5 | 42 |  |
| 6 | 42 |  |
| 7 | 43 | Truck |
| 8 | 43 | Truck |
| 9 | 43 |  |
| 0 | 44 |  |
| 1 | 44 |  |
| 2 | 44 |  |
| 3 | 44 |  |
| 4 | 44 | Truck |
| 5 | 44 | Truck |
| 6 | 45 |  |
| 7 | 45 |  |
| 8 | 45 |  |
| 9 | 45 |  |
| 20 | 45 |  |
| 1 | 45 |  |
| 2 | 45 | Truck |
| 3 | 45 | Truck |
| 4 | 46 |  |
| 5 | 47 |  |
| 6 | 47 |  |
| 7 | 47 |  |
| 8 | 47 |  |
| 9 | 47 |  |
| 0 | 47 |  |
| 1 | 48 |  |
| 2 | 48 |  |
| 3 | 48 |  |
| 4 | 48 |  |
| 35 | 48 |  |
| 6 | 48 |  |
| 7 | 48 |  |
| 8 | 48 |  |
| 9 | 48 |  |
| 0 | 48 |  |
| 1 | 48 |  |
| 2 | 48 | Truck |
| 3 | 48 | Truck |
| 4 | 49 |  |
| 5 | 49 |  |
| 6 | 49 |  |
| 7 | 49 |  |
| 48 | 49 |  |
| 49 | 49 |  |
| 50 | 49 |  |
| 51 | 49 |  |
| 52 | 49 |  |
| 53 | 49 |  |
| 54 | 49 |  |
| 55 | 49 |  |
| 56 | 49 |  |
| 7 | 49 |  |
| 8 | 49 | Truck |
| 9 | 50 |  |
| 0 | 50 |  |
| 1 | 50 |  |
| 2 | 50 |  |
| 3 | 50 |  |
| 4 | 50 |  |
| 5 | 50 |  |
| 6 | 50 |  |
|  | 50 |  |
| 8 | 50 |  |
| 69 | 50 |  |

US 13 SB Approaching Quigley Blvd 6/8/2016
DDOT1602

| Start Time: | $2: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| End Time: | $2: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ |
|  |  |
| Posted Speed: | 50 MPH |


| Cumalative Total $=$ | 124 Vehicles |
| ---: | :--- |
| WB 85 Percentile $=$ | 85 |
| $=$ | $\mathbf{5 3 ~ M P H}$ |




| 70 | 48 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | 48 |  |
| 72 | 48 | Truck |
| 73 | 48 | Bus |
| 74 | 49 |  |
| 75 | 49 |  |
| 76 | 49 |  |
| 77 | 50 |  |
| 78 | 50 |  |
| 79 | 51 |  |
| 80 | 51 |  |
| 81 | 51 |  |
| 82 | 51 |  |
| 83 | 51 |  |
| 84 | 51 |  |
| 85 | 51 |  |
| 86 | 51 |  |
| 87 | 51 |  |
| 88 | 51 |  |
| 89 | 51 |  |
| 90 | 51 |  |
| 91 | 51 |  |
| 92 | 51 |  |
| 93 | 51 |  |
| 94 | 51 |  |
| 95 | 51 |  |
| 96 | 51 |  |
| 97 | 51 |  |
| 98 | 51 |  |
| 99 | 51 |  |
| 100 | 52 |  |
| 101 | 52 |  |
| 102 | 52 |  |
| 103 | 52 |  |
| 104 | 52 | Truck |
| 105 | 53 |  |
| 106 | 53 |  |
| 107 | 53 |  |
| 108 | 53 |  |
| 109 | 54 |  |
| 110 | 54 |  |
| 111 | 54 |  |
| 112 | 54 |  |
| 113 | 54 |  |
| 114 | 54 | Truck |
| 115 | 55 |  |
| 116 | 55 |  |
| 117 | 56 |  |
| 118 | 59 |  |
| 119 | 59 |  |
| 120 | 59 |  |
| 121 | 60 |  |
| 122 | 60 |  |
| 123 | 61 |  |
| 124 | 62 |  |


| Pedestrian Traffic Volumes - Quigley Blvd |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5/12/2016 | Thursday |
| Observer: | Tri-State |
| Weather: | Sunny-dry |


| Time | US 13 NB-SB <br> Midblock | Quigley Blvd <br> Midblock | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6: 30-7: 30$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $7: 30-8: 30$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $8: 30-9: 30$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 12:00-1:00 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $3: 30-4: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $4: 30-5: 30$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| $5: 30-6: 30$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| $7: 00$ | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| $7: 15$ | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| $7: 30$ | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| $7: 45$ | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| $8: 00$ | 7 | 2 | 9 |

## Vehicular Crashes

US Route 13 near Quigley Boulevard


The crash diagram above depicts the crashes within the displayed area from March 2013 to March 2016. The unsignalized crossover serving US 13 northbound left and U-turns is indicated by a red arrow. Three crashes were angle collisions that resulted directly from vehicles using this crossover - a rate of approximately one crash per year. There are other crashes in the vicinity of this median opening, but it is difficult to directly attribute them to this crossover - there are several commercial driveways along both directions of US 13 near the crossover, and the intersection with SR 273 is located just 1000' north of the crossover.

Eliminating this US 13 northbound crossover would likely reduce crashes by one or two per year, but the alternative is to force traffic that would normally use this crossover to continue and make a U-turn at the SR 273 intersection. According to traffic analysis results, the northbound left-turn movement at US 13 and SR 273 is already operating at LOS F with queue lengths nearly reaching the full length of storage. Re-routing additional volume to this movement would further exacerbate the capacity issue and could result in queue spillback to the US 13 through lanes without extension of the left-turn lane. Due to the lack of vehicular and pedestrian crash history at this specific location, and the capacity issues at US 13 and SR 273 that would result from closing the crossover, it is recommended that the crossover remain open at this time. Pedestrian activity at this crossover should be monitored in the future to determine if further improvements and/or signalization should be implemented.

## APPENDIX D

## PEDESTRIAN OBSERVATIONS - US 40 @ WILTON BLVD

Pedestrian Traffic Volumes - US 40 and Wilton Blvd - AM
6/22/2016 Wednesday
Observer: DH
Weather: Sunny-dry

|  | US 40 EB-WB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Crosswalk | Midblock | Total |
| $7: 00$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| $7: 15$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $7: 30$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $7: 45$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $8: 00$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $8: 15$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $8: 30$ | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| $8: 45$ | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Total | 2 | 14 | 16 |


| Wilton Blvd |  |  | Intersection <br> Crosswalk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midblock | Total | Total |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 18 |

HOURLY BREAKDOWN:

|  | US 40 EB-WB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Crosswalk | Midblock | Total |
| 7:00 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 7:15 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| $7: 30$ | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| $7: 45$ | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{8 : 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |


| Wilton Blvd |  |  | Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crosswalk | Midblock | Total | Total |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Pedestrian Traffic Volumes - US 40 and Wilton Blvd - PM
6/22/2016 Wednesday
Observer: DH
Weather: Sunny-dry

|  | US 40 EB-WB |  |  |  | Wilton Blvd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Crosswalk | Midblock | Total | Crosswalk | Midblock | Total | Intersection <br> Total |
| 16:00 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 45$ | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| $17: 00$ | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| $17: 15$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 3 | 3 | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

HOURLY BREAKDOWN:

|  | US 40 EB-WB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Crosswalk | Midblock | Total |
| 16:00 | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| 16:15 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 12 |
| $16: 30$ | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| $16: 45$ | 1 | 10 | 11 |
| $17: 00$ | 0 | 9 | 9 |


| Wilton Blvd |  |  | Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crosswalk | Midblock | Total | Total |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 |

## APPENDIX E

CURB RAMP ADA INVENTORY

US 13 -- DE 273
AGREEMENT NO. 1710

| Ramp ID | General Location; Curb Ramp Location | Curb Ramp Type | DelDOT Compliance | Pennoni Observation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}-19$ | US 13-DE 273; SE at intersection crossing US 13 | TYPE 2A | COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| $\mathrm{N}-20$ | US 13 - DE 273; SE at intersection crossing DE 273 | TYPE 2A | COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT | Measurements within tolerance, vertical difference can be fixed |
| N-21 | US 13-DE 273; NE island | TYPE 5A | N/A | COMPLIANT | Measurements within tolerance, vertical difference can be fixed |
| N-23 | US 13-DE 273; NE at intersection | TYPE 2A | COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-24 | US 13-DE 273; S island | TYPE 5A | N/A | COMPLIANT | Measurements within tolerance |
| N-26 | US 13 - Verizon Wireless; $S$ at entrance | TYPE 1B | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-126 | US 13-McDonalds; S at entrance | TYPE 2A | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-125 | US 13-McDonalds; island | TYPE 5B | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-123 | US 13-McDonalds; N at entrance | TYPE 2A | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-122 | US 13 - DE 273; SW at intersection | TYPE 2B | COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-121 | US 13 - DE 273; SW island | TYPE 5A | N/A | COMPLIANT | Measurements within tolerance, vertical difference can be fixed |
| S-118 | US 13-DE 273; NW island | TYPE 5A | N/A | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-116 | US 13-DE 273; NW at intersection | TYPE 2A | COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-115 | US 13 - Burger King; N of 273 intersection | TYPE 2A | COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| A-1 | DE 273 -McDonalds; W at entrance | TYPE 2A | N/A | COMPLIANT | Measurements within tolerance, vertical difference can be fixed |
| A-2 | DE 273-McDonalds; island | TYPE 5B | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| A-3 | DE 273 -McDonalds; E at entrance | TYPE 2A | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| A-4 | DE 273 - Sheridan; W at entrance | TYPE 1A | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| A-5 | DE 273 - Sheridan; isalnd | TYPE 5B | N/A | COMPLIANT | Measurements within tolerance, vertical difference can be fixed |
| A-6 | DE 273 - Sheridan; E at entrance | TYPE 2A | N/A | COMPLIANT | Measurements within tolerance |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## US 13 -- GRACELAWN MEMORIAL PARK

| Ramp ID | General Location; Curb Ramp Location | Curb Ramp Type | DeIDOT Compliance | Pennoni Observation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-78 | US 13-Gracelawn; S at S entrance | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-79 | US 13-Gracelawn; S at main entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required |
| S-66 | US 13-Case; S at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required |
| S-65 | US 13-Case; S at island | TYPE 5B | N/A | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-63 | US 13-Case; N at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required |
| S-62 | US 13-Bayshore; S at entrance | TYPE 1A | COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required |
| S-61 | US 13-Bayshore; N at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required |
| S-60 | US 13-N of Bayshore (Building); S at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-59 | US 13-N of Bayshore (building); N at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-58 | US 13-S of cashpoint (house); $S$ at entrance | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-57 | US 13-S of cashpoint (house); N at entrance | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-56 | US 13 - Fairview ave; S at intersection | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required |
| S-55 | US 13 - Fairview ave; N at intersection | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |



US 13 -- LINCOLN AVE
AGREEMENT NO. 1710

| Ramp ID | General Location; Curb Ramp Location | Curb Ramp Type | DeIDOT Compliance | Pennoni Observation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-45 | US 13-Lincoln Avenue; SE at intersection | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-46 | US 13-Lincoln Avenue; NE at intersection | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT | Measurements within tolerance |
| N-47 | US 13-Speedway; 5 at S entrance | TYPE 1B | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-48 | US 13-Speedway; N at S entrance | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-49 | US 13-Speedway; S at N entrance | TYPE 1B | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-50 | US 13 - First Choice; N at S entrance | TYPE 1B | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-51 | US 13 - First Choice; S at N entrance | TYPE 1B | N/A | COMPLIANT | Design required - drainage issue |
| N-52 | US 13 - First Choice; N at N entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-53 | US 13-Monroe Avenue; S at intersection | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-54 | US 13-Monroe Avenue; N at intersection | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required - drainage inlet in landing |
| S-106 | US 13 - Lincoln ave; SW at intersection | TYPE 1B | COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-105 | US 13 - Lincoln ave; NW at intersection | TYPE 1A | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-104 | US 13 - Wilmington Manor Fire Company; $S$ at entrance | TYPE 1B | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-103 | US 13 - Wilmington Manor Fire Company; N at entrance | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |



US 13 -- LLANGOLLEN BLVD
AGREEMENT NO. 1710


## US 13 -- MCMULLEN AVE

| Ramp ID | General Location; Curb Ramp Location | Curb Ramp Type | Deldot Compliance | Pennoni Observation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S-89 | US 13 - Wawa; S at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-88 | US 13-Wawa; N at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-87 | US 13-Loan Max; S at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-86 | US 13 - Loan Max; N at entrance | TYPE 1B | N/A | COMPLIANT | Design required - drainage issue |
| S-85 | US 13-McMullen ave; $S$ at intersection | TYPE 2A | N/A | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-X1 | US 13-McMullen ave; N at intersection | TYPE 3 | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-X2 | US 13-Tobacco Express; S at entrance | TYPE 1B | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-X3 | US 13-Tobacco Express; N at entrance | TYPE 1B | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-84 | US 13-295 E Off-ramp; W at ramp | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-83 | US 13-295 E Off-ramp; E at ramp | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |



## US 13 -- MEMORIAL DR

AGREEMENT NO. 1710

| Ramp ID | General Location; Curb Ramp Location | Curb Ramp Type | DelDOT Compliance | Pennoni Observation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-81 | US 13-Minquadale Blvd; SE at intersection | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required |
| N-82 | US 13-Minquadale Blvd; NE at intersection | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-83 | US 13-Mac Cars; S at entrance | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-84 | US 13 - Mac Cars; N at entrance | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-85 | US 13 - E Hazeldell Ave; SE at intersection | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-86 | US 13-E Hazeldell Ave; NE at intersection | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-87 | US 13 - Minquadale Liquors; S at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-88 | US 13 - Minquadale Liquors; N at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-89 | US 13-NY Fried Chicken; S at entrance | TYPE 3 | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-90 | US 13-NY Fried Chicken; N at aentrance | TYPE 1B | COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-91 | US 13-Memorial Dr; SE at intersection | TYPE 2B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-92 | US 13-Memorial Dr; SE island | TYPE 5A | N/A | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-95 | US 13-Memorial Dr; center island | TYPE 5B | N/A | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required - drainage inlet |
| N-97 | US 13-Memorial Dr; NE island | TYPE 5A | N/A | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-99 | US 13-Memorial Dr; NE at intersection | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-100 | US 13-Wawa; S at entrance | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-101 | US 13-Wawa; N at entrance | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-38 | US 13 - Public storage; S at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-37 | US 13 - Public storage; island | TYPE 5B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-35 | US 13 - Public storage; N at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-34 | US 13-Memorial dr S entrance; $S$ at entrance | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-33 | US 13-Memorial dr S entrance; N at entrance | TYPE IB | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-32 | US 13-Memorial dr; SW at intersection | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-31 | US 13-Memorial dr; NW island | TYPE 5A | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-29 | US 13-Memorial dr; NW at intersection | TYPE 2A | COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |



## US 13 -- QUIGLEY BLVD

AGREEMENT NO. 1710


## US 13 -- ROOSEVELT AVE

AGREEMENT NO. 1710

| Ramp ID | General Location; Curb Ramp Location | Curb Ramp Type | DelDOT Compliance | Pennoni Observation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-55 | US 13-Stahl Ave; S at intersection | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-56 | US 13-Stahl Ave; N at intersection | TYPE 2A | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-57 | US 13-E Grant Ave; S at intersection | TYPE 2B | N/A | COMPLIANT | 0.5 " vertical difference can be fixed |
| N-58 | US 13-E Grant Ave; N at intersection | TYPE 4 | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-59 | US 13-Autozone; S at entrance | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-60 | US 13-Autozone; N at entrance | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-61 | US 13-E Roosevelt Ave; SE at intersection | TYPE 2A | COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| N-62 | US 13-E Roosevelt Ave; NE at intersection | TYPE 3 | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT |  |
| N-63 | US 13-E Roosevelt Ave; at island | TYPE 5B | N/A | COMPLIANT | All measurements within tolerance, $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ vertical difference can be fixed |
| N-66 | US 13-E Van Buren Ave; S at intersection | TYPE 2B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required - drainage inlet at landing |
| S-102 | US 13-BP gas station; S at N entrance | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-101 | US 13 - Harrison ave; NW at intersection | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-100 | US 13-W Roosevelt ave; at median | TYPE 5B | N/A | COMPLIANT |  |
| S-99 | US $13-\mathrm{W}$ Roosevelt ave; W N of intersection | TYPE 2A | NON-COMPLIANT | COMPLIANT | All measurements within tolerance, $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ vertical difference can be fixed |



US 13 -- WILDEL AVE

| Ramp ID | General Location; Curb Ramp Location | Curb Ramp Type | DeIDOT Compliance | Pennoni Observation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-80 | US 13 - Wildel Ave; NE at intersection | TYPE 1B | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-54 | US 13-Delaware Plumbing Supply Company; S at $S$ entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-53 | US 13-Delaware Plumbing Supply Company; N at S entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required - utility pole |
| S-52 | US 13 - Delaware Plumbing Supply Company; S at N entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-51 | US 13- Delaware Plumbing Supply Company; N at N entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-50 | US 13-Alderman; S at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-49 | US 13 - Alderman; $N$ at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-48 | US 13 - Public Auto Auction; S at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-47 | US 13 - Public Auto Auction; N at entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required - utility pole |
| S-46 | US 13-Tools and More; S at $S$ entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-45 | US 13 - Tools and More; N at S entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-44 | US 13-Tools and More; S at N entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-43 | US 13-Tools and More; N at N entrance | TYPE 1A | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Improve by detail |
| S-42 | US 13-E Fernwood ave; SW at intersection | TYPE 3 | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required |
| S-41 | US 13-E Fernwood ave; NW at intersection | TYPE 3 | NON-COMPLIANT | NON-COMPLIANT | Design required |



## US 13 -- WILTON BLVD




Curb Ramp, Type 1 A (Retrofit)

|  |  |  |  | Curb Ramp, Type 1 A (Retrofit) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 惑音 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \frac{G a p}{}(\ln ) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Height } \\ \hline(\operatorname{ln.} . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Landing |  |  |  | Counter Slope |  |  | Approach Ramp |  |  |  | Road Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Ramplo | Loc. | Dir. | A ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 8 <br> Inches | $\stackrel{c}{\text { c }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { D }}{\underline{\underline{6}}}$ | E | F | $\frac{\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{F}}{\%}$ | ${ }_{\text {Feet }}{ }^{\text {Feet }}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H} \text { Inchs }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \underline{o} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \% | \% | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/11/2016 | A. 4 |  |  | 166.80 | 106.00 | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 6.50 | 111.00 | 6.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | Good | 7.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/18/2016 | N-52 |  |  | 90.00 | 57.60 | 2.4\% | 13.6\% | 6.8\% | 0.9\% | 7.7\% | $20+$ | 57.60 | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | Good | 7.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drainge inlet constraint, no detectable warring surface |
| 7/18/2016 | S-105 |  |  | 48.00 | 85.20 | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 2.0\% | 14.90 | 135.60 | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | Good | 6.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/18/2016 | 5.89 |  |  | 92.40 | 61.20 | 3.1\% | 7.9\% | 5.2\% | 0.6\% | 5.8\% |  | 60.00 | 0.9\% | 2.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | Good | 5.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/18/2016 | 5.88 |  |  |  |  | 1.3\% | 3.1\% | 7.4\% | 0.6\% | 8.0\% | 10.30 | 60.00 | 2.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | Good | 5.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/18/2016 | 5.87 |  |  | 91.20 | 55.20 | 1.8\% | 2.7\% | 6.5\% | 0.7\% | 7.2\% | 9.50 | 60.00 | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | Good | 6.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/18/2016 | 5.56 |  |  | 78.00 | 100.00 | 7.5\% | 0.5\% | 11.2\% | 0.9\% | 12.1\% | 5.00 | 100.00 | 8.9\% | 1.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.9\% | Sump | 7.50 | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { utily } \\ \text { pole }}}_{\text {colily }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Very poor condition, no domes |
| 7/18/2016 | s.55 |  |  | 96.00 | 58.00 | 2.8\% | 1.0\% | 5.1\% | 3.7\% | 8.8\% | 7.33 | 58.00 | 3.0\% | 0.3\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | Sump | 8.00 |  |  |  | Yes | 1 |  |  |  | No domes |
| 7/18/2016 | S.59 |  |  | 105.00 | 55.00 | 4.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 3.3\% | 3.75 | 47.00 | 3.5\% | 0.3\% | 4.9\% | 5.2\% | Good | 7.00 |  |  |  | Yes | 2 |  |  |  | Uneven pavement within crossing, dome direction incorrect |
| 7/18/2016 | 5.60 |  |  | 105.00 | 52.00 | 4.4\% | 1.4\% | 6.3\% | 3.5\% | 9.8\% | 5.00 | 59.00 | 0.2\% | 2.4\% | 3.3\% | 0.2\% | Sump | 6.50 |  |  |  | yes | 2 |  |  |  | Unever pavement within crossing, dome direction incorrect |
| 7/18/2016 | s.61 |  |  | 176.00 | 56.00 | 3.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.3\% | 4.7\% | 7.0\% | 9.83 | 55.00 | 3.1\% | 5.4\% | 2.5\% | 1.0\% | Sump | 8.00 |  |  | 3/4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/18/2016 | s.62 |  |  | 188.00 | 58.00 | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.9\% | 12.75 | 60.00 | 4.4\% | 3.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | Good | 7.00 |  |  |  | yes | 1 |  |  |  | Dome direction wrong |
| 7/18/2016 | 5.63 |  |  | 113.00 | 58.00 | 9.3\% | 2.4\% | 11.4\% | 4.0\% | 15.4\% | 10.00 | 60.00 | 1.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | Good | 7.00 |  |  | 2 | Yes | 1 |  |  |  | Uneven pavement, no domes, brocken curb |
| 71/8/2016 | 5.66 |  |  | 125.00 | 61.00 | 6.6\% | 3.5\% | 13.0\% | 3.5\% | 16.5\% | 53.00 | 60.00 | 5.2\% | 1.4\% | 3.5\% | 9.5\% | sump | 7.00 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | Uneven pavement, no domes, brocken curb |
| 7/19/2016 | N-88 |  |  | 62.40 | 60.00 | 2.3\% | 2.6\% | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | 5.2\% | 57.60 | 60.00 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | Good | 7.00 |  |  |  | Yes | 7/8 |  |  |  | No domes |
| 7/19/2016 | N-87 |  |  |  | 60.00 | 1.1\% | 2.6\% | 1.9\% | 4.8\% | 6.7\% | 108.00 | 64.80 | 3.6\% | 1.7\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | Good | 7.00 |  |  |  | Yes | 1 |  |  |  | No domes |
| 7/19/2016 | N.86 |  |  |  | 56.40 | 0.9\% | 3.4\% | 3.4\% | 1.8\% | 5.2\% | 108.00 | 58.80 | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | 1.8\% | Good | 7.00 | Gurwie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No domes |
| 7/19/2016 | s.32 |  |  | 84.00 | 58.80 | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 4.1\% | 5.3\% 11 | 112.80 | 58.80 | 4.3\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.2\% | Good | 8.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/19/2016 | S.35 |  |  | 103.20 | 57.60 | 0.6\% | 4.1\% | 2.5\% | 0.5\% | 3.0\% | 76.80 | 60.00 | 0.7\% | 4.2\% | 1.9\% | 1.6\% | Good | 4.00 |  |  |  | Yes | 11/2 |  |  |  | No domes |
| 7/1920216 | 5.38 |  |  | 80.40 | 50.40 | 3.0\% | 6.8\% | 8.0\% | 1.3\% | 9.3\% | 61.20 | 56.40 | 10.4\% | 5.0\% | 1.8\% | 2.4\% | Good | 6.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/19/2016 | N-79 |  |  | 112.00 | 61.00 | 8.7\% | 0.3\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 7.00 | 60.00 | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.7\% | Sump | 5.50 | ${ }_{\text {coill }}^{\substack{\text { utily } \\ \text { pole }}}$ |  |  | Yes | 1/2 |  |  |  | Sideewakk leads nowhere; constrained by former pole base |
| 7/19/2016 | S.54 |  |  | 115.00 | 96.00 | 11.9\% | 3.8\% | 13.9\% | 4.4\% | 18.3\% | 4.92 | 93.96 | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 3.5\% | Sump | 7.00 |  |  |  | Yes | 1 |  |  |  | No domes or crosswalk |
| 7/19/2016 | 5.53 |  |  | 74.00 | 96.00 | 7.0\% | 1.7\% | 8.6\% | 1.0\% | 9.6\% | 4.75 | 96.00 | 2.6\% | 4.5\% | 0.1\% | 3.8\% | Good | 5.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No domes or crosswalk |
| 7/192/2016 | S.52 |  |  | 80.00 | 93.00 | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 6.0\% | 5.5\% | 11.6\% | 5.00 | 95.04 | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 8.0\% | Good | 6.00 |  |  | 11/2 |  |  |  |  |  | No domes or crosswalk |
| 7/19/2016 | 5.51 |  |  | 72.00 | 96.00 | 3.5\% | 4.0\% | 8.6\% | 5.9\% | 14.5\% | 4.92 | 95.04 | 2.4\% | 2.3\% | 1.2\% | 8.4\% | Good | 5.50 |  |  | 11/2 |  |  |  |  |  | No domes or crosswalk |
| 7/19/2016 | s.50 |  |  | 180.00 | 60.00 | 2.3\% | 4.7\% | 5.9\% | 4.0\% | 9.9\% | 5.17 | 60.96 | 1.0\% | 4.4\% | 1.2\% | 7.2\% | Sump | 4.00 |  |  |  | Yes | 3/4 |  |  |  | No domes or crosswalk, overgrown wooden retaining wall |
| 7/19/2016 | 5.49 |  |  | 192.00 | 45.00 | 24.9\% | 0.2\% | 13.9\% | 0.3\% | $14.2 \%$ | 10.00 | 48.00 | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 6.6\% | Good | 8.00 |  |  |  | Yes | 1 |  |  |  | No domes or crosswalk |

$\qquad$ ADA Reviewer
ADA Coordinator $\qquad$ ed isperior
Project Superviso
$\qquad$


Curb Ramp, Type 1 A (Retrofit)
$\qquad$
ADA Coordinator $\qquad$ Field Inspector
Proiect sumeriso


## If $1>9.3 \%$ and up to $10 \%$ max, approval is required

Klimited to 15 L.F. max
Curb Ramp, Type 18 (Retrofit)

f..p. No. $\quad 0$
$\qquad$ 0
Field Inspector
Project Superiso
$\qquad$ 0

Main Menu

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } L>9.3 \% \text { and } \text { up to } 10 \% \text { max, approval is required }
\end{aligned}
$$

Klimited to 15 L.F. max
Curb Ramp, Type 18 (Retrofiti)

| Curb Ramp, Type 18 (Retro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Gap } \\ \text { In. } \end{array}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Height }}{(i n)}$ |  |  | 丞 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Ramplo | Loc. | Dir. | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {E }}^{\text {E }}$ | Fer | Ef | ${ }_{\text {Inches }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{\text {and }}^{\text {Lendes }}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | ${ }_{\text {K }}^{\text {Keet }}$ | roach | ${ }^{\text {M }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Rood }}$ | \% | $\underset{\text { Transit }}{\text { Theses }}$ | $\frac{\text { aition }}{\text { ances }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/19/2016 | N-78 |  |  | Inches | Inches | 1.7\% | $\stackrel{\text { O.9\% }}{ }$ | 2.3\% | ${ }_{1.2 \%}^{1}$ | 3.5\% | ${ }^{\text {Inches }}$ | 60.0 | 1.6\% | ${ }_{\text {1.6\% }}^{\text {¢ }}$ | reet | $\stackrel{1}{6}$ | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | 0.9\% | 1.7\% |  | Inches | Good | 7.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Doest't lea anywhere |
| 7/19/2016 | ${ }^{\mathrm{N}, 80}$ |  |  | 101.00 | 140.00 | 0.5\% | 3.8\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 2.6\% | 92.00 | 93.00 | 6.5\% | 0.2\% |  |  |  | 6.5\% | 0.2\% |  |  | Good | 8.00 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | Pavement breaking up, no countoown timer |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Contract No. |  | 0 | - |  | ADA Rev | jewer |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fied Insp | sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | f.a.p. No. |  | 0 |  |  | ADA CoO | rdinator |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Project S | Superisor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |



Curb Ramp, Type 2A (Retrofit)


[^0]$\qquad$ ADA Reviewer $\qquad$ Field Inspector $\qquad$ 0
f.A.P. no. $\qquad$ ADA Coordinator 0 Project Supervisor $\qquad$


## Curb Ramp, Type 2A (Retrof

| Curb Ramp, Type 2A (Retrofit) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 영 } \\ \text { oug } \\ \text { ond } \end{array}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { Pinch }}{}$ <br> $\frac{\text { Point }}{\text { Pidh }}$ <br> Win.) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \frac{G a p}{(1 n .)} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Height }}{(\text { In. })}$ |  |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Approach Ramp |  |  |  | Approach Ramp |  |  |  | Counter Slope |  |  | \| Landing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Ramp ID | Lo. | Dir. | $\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\frac{\mathrm{~A}}{\text { Feet }}}$ | B ${ }^{\text {Inches }}$ | $\frac{c}{\underline{o}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { D }}{\text { \% }}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\text { Feet }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{F} \\ \hline \text { Inches } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\sigma} \underline{\underline{o}} \\ & \hline \underline{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & \underline{\underline{o}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \underline{\underline{o}} 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & \underline{\underline{o}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {(+) }}$ | K ${ }_{\text {Inches }}$ | L L Leses | M | $\stackrel{N}{\text { N/ }}$ | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/13/2016 | S-155 |  |  | 5.00 | 60.00 | 0.1\% | 3.3\% | 4.70 | 60.00 | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 58.80 | 60.00 | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | sump | 6.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8.50 |  |  | Ped push button - - veritial: 42", Horizontal: 5 ", Height $9.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 7/13/2016 | S-154 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  | sump |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/13/2016 | N-1 |  |  | 5.50 | 56.40 | 0.2\% | 3.7\% | 4.90 | 56.40 | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 58.80 | 70.00 | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | Good | 5.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.50 |  |  | Ped push button - - vertical: $411^{\prime \prime}$, Horizontal: 9 ", Height : 9 ', Stop bar offset: 8.3' |
| 7/13/2016 | N-2 |  |  | 6.20 | 50.40 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |  |  |  |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 67.20 | 68.40 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | Sump | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.83 |  |  | Ped push button - - vertical: 42", Horizontal: 7 ", Height: 9.1' |
| 7/13/2016 | N-18 |  |  | 6.00 | 58.00 | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 7.00 | 60.00 | 0.9\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 60.00 | 72.00 | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | Good | 6.00 |  |  |  | yes | 0.5" |  |  |  | Detectible warring strip too small (2'x4') |
| 7/13/2016 | S-133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 61.00 | 60.00 | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | Good | 4.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/18/2016 | N-53 |  |  | 9.20 | 58.80 | 1.0\% | 3.2\% |  |  |  |  | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 60.00 | 58.80 | 0.5\% | 1.8\% | 0.1\% | Good | 6.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Orainage inlet constraint in road |
| 7/18/2016 | N-54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.90 | 57.60 | 0.8\% | 2.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 2.4\% | 60.00 | 55.20 | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | Sump | 6.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drainage inlet overflow creates bad drainage |
| 7/18/2016 | N-46 |  |  | 11.30 | 63.60 | 0.9\% | 3.4\% | 6.00 | 5.30 | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% | 58.80 | 63.60 | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | Good | 6.00 |  | 48.00 |  |  |  | 9.90 |  |  | Ped push button -- vertical: 41", horizontal: $6^{\prime \prime}$, height: 9.5', stop bar distance: 15', ped signal not working |
| 7/18/2016 | 5.85 |  |  | 6.40 | 58.80 | 4.7\% | 4.6\% |  |  |  |  | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.4\% | 45.60 | 62.40 | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | Good | 8.00 | $\mathrm{sign}^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/18/2016 | 5.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.60 | 60.00 | 1.1\% | 3.7\% | 0.6\% | 1.4\% | 2.0\% | 64.80 | 60.00 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | Sump | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.83 |  |  | 5.30 | 40.80 | 4.1\% | 4.9\% | 5.50 | 72.00 | 1.8\% | 2.3\% | 3.8\% | 0.8\% | 4.6\% | 57.60 | 141.60 | 1.0\% |  | 0.2\% | Sump | 7.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No domes |
|  | N -101 |  |  | 4.60 | 60.00 | 1.8\% | 7.3\% |  |  |  |  | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 60.00 | 63.60 | 6.4\% | 2.0\% | 3.7\% | Sump | 6.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No domes |
|  | N-81 |  |  | 8.10 | 61.20 | 0.7\% | 4.8\% |  |  |  |  | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 3.2\% | 60.00 | 60.00 | 0.8\% | 4.5\% | 0.8\% | Good | 8.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5-29 |  |  | 9.00 | 62.40 | 0.2\% | 3.6\% |  |  |  |  | 0.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 86.40 | 56.40 | 6.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | Sump | 8.00 | Uuilit Poole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ ADA Reviewer $\qquad$ Field Inspector
F.A.P. No. $\qquad$ ADA Coordinato $\qquad$ Project Supervisor
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ ADA Reviewer $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ Field Inspector
f.A.P. No. $\qquad$ ADA Coordinato $\qquad$

Main Menu


Curb Ramp, Type 3 (Retrofit)


Contract No. 0
$\qquad$ ADA Reviewer 0 $\qquad$ Field Inspector $\qquad$
f.A.P. No. 0 $\qquad$ ADA Coordinator 1 Project Superisor $\qquad$


| Type 4 (Retrofit) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{Gap}}{\mathrm{In} \cdot \mathrm{I}}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Height } \\ (\ln .) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 敛 |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Landing |  |  |  | Counter Slope |  |  | Ramp |  | Approach Ramp |  |  |  | Approach Ramp |  |  |  |  | Apron |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Street \& Block | toc. | Dir. | $\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\text { Inches }}$ | $\frac{\text { B }}{\text { Inches }}$ | $\stackrel{c}{\text { \% }}$ | \% | E | F | $\frac{\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{F}}{\%}$ | $\stackrel{6}{\%}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\%}$ | $\frac{1}{\text { Feet }}$ | Inches | $\stackrel{\text { K }}{\ldots}$ | $\stackrel{L}{\circ}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\text { feet }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { Inches }}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | P | $\frac{a}{\%}$ | R <br> $\%$ | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  | Feet |  |  |  |
| 712/2016 | N-58 |  |  | 78.00 | 62.40 | 2.1\% | 1.4\% | 5.4\% | 0.3\% | 5.7\% | 1.0\% | 4.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6\% | 14.7\% | 10.8\% |  |  |  | Yes | ${ }^{1 "}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## APPENDIX F

## SYNCHRO/SIMTRAFFIC ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS

2016 EXISTING SYNCHRO WORKSHEETS

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% ${ }^{1+1}$ | ¢4 | 「 | \% ${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 个4 | F' | \% ${ }^{*}$ | tttt | F | ** | tttt | $\overline{7}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 439 | 348 | 81 | 218 | 252 | 51 | 397 | 3025 | 323 | 153 | 900 | 287 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 439 | 348 | 81 | 218 | 252 | 51 | 397 | 3025 | 323 | 153 | 900 | 287 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 477 | 378 | 88 | 237 | 274 | 55 | 432 | 3288 | 351 | 166 | 978 | 312 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 477 | 378 | 88 | 237 | 274 | 55 | 432 | 3288 | 351 | 166 | 978 | 312 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | , |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 28.9 | 28.9 | 180.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 180.0 | 27.5 | 84.8 | 180.0 | 14.3 | 71.6 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 32.9 | 32.9 | 180.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 180.0 | 29.5 | 88.8 | 180.0 | 16.3 | 75.6 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.49 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 0.42 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 627 | 646 | 1583 | 362 | 373 | 1583 | 562 | 3161 | 1583 | 310 | 2691 | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.14 | 0.11 |  | 0.07 | c0.08 |  | c0.13 | c0.51 |  | 0.05 | 0.15 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.06 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.20 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.76 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 0.03 | 0.77 | 1.04 | 0.22 | 0.54 | 0.36 | 0.20 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 69.8 | 67.3 | 0.0 | 77.3 | 78.1 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 45.6 | 0.0 | 78.2 | 35.7 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.20 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 4.7 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 24.6 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | 75.6 | 68.9 | 0.1 | 82.0 | 85.9 | 0.0 | 90.5 | 52.6 | 0.2 | 81.5 | 37.6 | 0.3 |
| Level of Service | E | E | A | F | F | A | F | D | A | F | D | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 65.9 |  |  | 75.9 |  |  | 52.1 |  |  | 34.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  | D |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 52.3 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.92 |  | 23.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 180.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | E |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $86.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 477 | 378 | 88 | 237 | 274 | 55 | 432 | 3288 | 351 | 166 | 978 | 312 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.76 | 0.58 | 0.06 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 0.03 | 0.77 | 1.04 | 0.22 | 0.53 | 0.36 | 0.20 |
| Control Delay | 78.3 | 71.1 | 0.1 | 86.5 | 90.4 | 0.0 | 92.4 | 53.5 | 0.2 | 85.5 | 38.2 | 0.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 78.3 | 71.1 | 0.1 | 86.5 | 90.4 | 0.0 | 92.4 | 53.5 | 0.2 | 85.5 | 38.2 | 0.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 277 | 216 | 0 | 141 | 168 | 0 | 237 | $\sim 1235$ | 0 | 100 | 175 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 345 | 276 | 0 | 192 | 224 | 0 | 304 | \#1277 | 0 | 150 | 257 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1593 |  |  | 1512 |  |  | 3260 |  |  | 3364 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 520 |  | 515 | 470 |  | 470 | 570 |  | 420 | 425 |  | 630 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 648 | 668 | 1583 | 365 | 376 | 1583 | 610 | 3157 | 1583 | 343 | 2689 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.57 | 0.06 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 0.03 | 0.71 | 1.04 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.36 | 0.20 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个4 | 「 | \％${ }^{1}$ | 个4 | 「 | \％${ }^{*}$ | tttt | F | ${ }^{7 *}$ | tttt | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 475 | 300 | 424 | 525 | 400 | 95 | 291 | 1275 | 266 | 52 | 2725 | 604 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 475 | 300 | 424 | 525 | 400 | 95 | 291 | 1275 | 266 | 52 | 2725 | 604 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 516 | 326 | 461 | 571 | 435 | 103 | 316 | 1386 | 289 | 57 | 2962 | 657 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 516 | 326 | 461 | 571 | 435 | 103 | 316 | 1386 | 289 | 57 | 2962 | 657 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 24.0 | 24.0 | 180.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 180.0 | 16.0 | 83.0 | 180.0 | 8.0 | 75.0 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 28.0 | 28.0 | 180.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 180.0 | 18.0 | 87.0 | 180.0 | 10.0 | 79.0 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.16 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.48 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.44 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 534 | 550 | 1583 | 610 | 629 | 1583 | 343 | 3097 | 1583 | 190 | 2812 | 1583 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．15 | 0.09 |  | c0．17 | 0.12 |  | c0．09 | 0.22 |  | 0.02 | c0．46 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.29 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.41 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.97 | 0.59 | 0.29 | 0.94 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.92 | 0.45 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 1.05 | 0.42 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 75.5 | 70.7 | 0.0 | 73.0 | 69.4 | 0.0 | 80.3 | 30.7 | 0.0 | 81.6 | 50.5 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.54 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.27 | 0.70 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 30.4 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 22.1 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 28.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 31.0 | 0.6 |
| Delay（s） | 105.9 | 72.7 | 0.5 | 95.0 | 72.9 | 0.1 | 71.8 | 30.5 | 0.2 | 104.9 | 66.5 | 0.6 |
| Level of Service | F | E | A | F | E | A | E | C | A | F | E | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 60.3 |  |  | 77.5 |  |  | 32.7 |  |  | 55.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 53.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.00 |  | 23.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 180.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | F |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $91.6 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | - | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 516 | 326 | 461 | 571 | 435 | 103 | 316 | 1386 | 289 | 57 | 2962 | 657 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.97 | 0.59 | 0.29 | 0.94 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.92 | 0.44 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 1.05 | 0.42 |
| Control Delay | 105.5 | 75.7 | 0.5 | 95.8 | 75.9 | 0.1 | 75.0 | 30.4 | 0.2 | 103.9 | 65.9 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 105.5 | 75.7 | 0.5 | 95.8 | 75.9 | 0.1 | 75.0 | 30.4 | 0.2 | 103.9 | 65.9 | 0.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 317 | 191 | 0 | 348 | 257 | 0 | 171 | 435 | 0 | 36 | $\sim 1098$ | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#438 | 249 | 0 | \#462 | 323 | 0 | \#281 | 483 | 0 | m48 | \#1136 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1593 |  |  | 1512 |  |  | 3260 |  |  | 3364 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 520 |  | 515 | 470 |  | 470 | 570 |  | 420 | 425 |  | 630 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 534 | 550 | 1583 | 610 | 629 | 1583 | 343 | 3141 | 1583 | 343 | 2812 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.97 | 0.59 | 0.29 | 0.94 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.92 | 0.44 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 1.05 | 0.42 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% | ( | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 24 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 38 | 72 | 3587 | 12 | 61 | 1332 | 24 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.47 | 0.69 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 84.5 | 0.0 | 82.7 | 80.5 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 90.1 | 8.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 84.5 | 0.0 | 82.7 | 80.5 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 90.1 | 8.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 28 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 89 | 127 | 0 | 34 | 81 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 62 | 0 | 27 | 13 | 0 | m92 | m133 | m0 | 64 | 260 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 698 |  |  | 742 |  |  | 3364 |  |  | 2571 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 100 | 230 |  | 230 | 550 |  | 375 | 475 |  | 185 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 280 | 1583 | 224 | 236 | 1583 | 189 | 5162 | 1583 | 362 | 5020 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 0.69 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.02 |

## Intersection Summary

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\frac{1}{\square}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 66 | 66 | 48 | 47 | 23 | 1957 | 17 | 245 | 3370 | 11 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.04 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.61 | 0.75 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 87.2 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 106.7 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 87.2 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 106.7 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 76 | 0 | 57 | 56 | 0 | 261 | 0 | 155 | 50 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 129 | 0 | 107 | 105 | 0 | m332 | m0 | m165 | 60 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 698 |  |  | 742 |  | 3364 |  |  | 2571 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 100 | 230 |  | 230 |  | 375 | 475 |  | 185 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 277 | 1583 | 224 | 224 | 1583 | 4077 | 1583 | 448 | 4522 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 0.01 |

## Intersection Summary

$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


Analis Peliod (min) 15
c Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | 4 |  | ( | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 32 | 87 | 2803 | 35 | 1666 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.66 | 0.26 | 0.39 |
| Control Delay | 1.0 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 21.1 | 2.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay | 1.0 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 21.1 | 2.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 99 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 2 | 10 | 35 | 98 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  | 626 |  | 454 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 585 |  | 325 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 399 | 433 | 4225 | 298 | 4230 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 866 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.50 |

[^1]
c Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | 4 |  | ( | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 18 | 65 | 1984 | 40 | 3329 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 0.14 | 0.92 |
| Control Delay | 0.2 | 58.3 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 19.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 0.2 | 58.3 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 19.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 50 | 153 | 4 | 1515 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 105 | 105 | m5 | 1316 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  | 626 |  | 454 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 585 |  | 325 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 411 | 297 | 3596 | 350 | 3612 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 0.11 | 0.92 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | ¢4ヶ | F＇ | \％＊ | 4乐 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 197 | 80 | 68 | 260 | 64 | 448 | 29 | 2450 | 208 | 215 | 1250 | 39 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 197 | 80 | 68 | 260 | 64 | 448 | 29 | 2450 | 208 | 215 | 1250 | 39 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1732 | 1583 | 1681 | 1717 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1732 | 1583 | 1681 | 1717 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 214 | 87 | 74 | 283 | 70 | 487 | 32 | 2663 | 226 | 234 | 1359 | 42 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 148 | 153 | 74 | 173 | 180 | 487 | 32 | 2663 | 226 | 234 | 1359 | 42 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 19.4 | 19.4 | 180.0 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 180.0 | 8.3 | 95.4 | 180.0 | 16.9 | 104.0 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green， $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 21.4 | 21.4 | 180.0 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 180.0 | 10.3 | 99.4 | 180.0 | 18.9 | 108.0 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.60 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 199 | 205 | 1583 | 226 | 231 | 1583 | 101 | 2808 | 1583 | 360 | 3051 | 1583 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.09 | c0．09 |  | 0.10 | c0．10 |  | 0.02 | c0．52 |  | c0．07 | 0.27 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.31 |  |  | 0.14 |  |  | 0.03 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.95 | 0.14 | 0.65 | 0.45 | 0.03 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 76.6 | 76.7 | 0.0 | 75.1 | 75.3 | 0.0 | 81.5 | 37.9 | 0.0 | 77.4 | 19.7 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.37 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 0.1 | 15.1 | 16.1 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 91.4 | 91.2 | 0.1 | 90.2 | 91.3 | 0.5 | 113.4 | 30.3 | 0.2 | 82.0 | 20.1 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | F | F | A | F | F | A | F | C | A | F | C | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 73.3 |  |  | 38.4 |  |  | 28.9 |  |  | 28.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 33.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.86 |  | 16.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Lenght（s） | 180.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | D |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $79.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 148 | 153 | 74 | 173 | 180 | 487 | 32 | 2663 | 226 | 234 | 1359 | 42 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.95 | 0.14 | 0.65 | 0.44 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 98.6 | 98.1 | 0.1 | 96.6 | 97.5 | 0.5 | 113.6 | 31.2 | 0.2 | 86.2 | 20.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 98.6 | 98.1 | 0.1 | 96.6 | 97.5 | 0.5 | 113.6 | 31.2 | 0.2 | 86.2 | 20.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) | 178 | 185 | 0 | 208 | 217 | 0 | 39 | 1127 | 0 | 138 | 332 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 269 | 277 | 0 | \#307 | \#323 | 0 | m67 | \#1253 | 0 | 189 | 387 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 214 | 221 | 1583 | 242 | 248 | 1583 | 196 | 2809 | 1583 | 381 | 3085 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.95 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 0.44 | 0.03 |

Intersection Summary
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

c Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | * | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 139 | 148 | 178 | 348 | 362 | 311 | 204 | 1576 | 185 | 72 | 2500 | 48 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.11 | 1.05 | 1.07 | 0.20 | 1.04 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.31 | 0.99 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 104.5 | 105.3 | 0.1 | 129.2 | 134.3 | 0.3 | 126.5 | 61.8 | 0.1 | 83.0 | 61.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 104.5 | 105.3 | 0.1 | 129.2 | 134.3 | 0.3 | 126.5 | 61.8 | 0.1 | 83.0 | 61.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 170 | 182 | 0 | $\sim 474$ | $\sim 504$ | 0 | ~265 | 590 | 0 | 42 | 1064 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#282 | \#297 | 0 | \#704 | \#735 | 0 | \#453 | 643 | 0 | 71 | \#1185 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 186 | 194 | 1583 | 332 | 338 | 1583 | 196 | 2736 | 1583 | 381 | 2514 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.11 | 1.05 | 1.07 | 0.20 | 1.04 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.99 | 0.03 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 25 | 72 | 175 | 2 | 1001 | 420 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.35 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.36 |
| Control Delay | 27.2 | 11.2 | 56.0 | 14.0 | 10.8 | 2.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 27.2 | 11.2 | 56.0 | 14.0 | 10.8 | 2.9 |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 14 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 97 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 32 | 31 | 195 | m2 | 153 | 57 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 683 |  |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 683 | 339 | 276 | 1114 | 3202 | 1152 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.36 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |



C Critical Lane Group

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\square$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 67 | 337 | 235 | 2 | 1951 | 58 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 25.6 | 84.4 | 126.2 | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 25.6 | 84.4 | 126.2 | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 34 | 199 | ~200 | 0 | 415 | 2 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 66 | \#395 | \#363 | m0 | 164 | m1 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 683 |  |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 683 | 339 | 221 | 1003 | 2881 | 932 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.06 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ |  | F | \％ |  | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个个4 | F | ${ }_{1}$ | 个种 | F |
| Trafic Volume（vph） | 9 | 0 | 32 | 38 | 0 | 6 | 47 | 1941 | 26 | 16 | 996 | 20 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 9 | 0 | 32 | 38 | 0 | O | 47 | 1941 | 26 | 16 | 996 | 20 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 |  | 0.85 | 1.00 |  | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 |  | 1583 | 1770 |  | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 |  | 1583 | 1770 |  | 1583 | 385 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 10 | 0 | 35 | 41 | 0 | 7 | 51 | 2110 | 28 | 17 | 1083 | 22 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 10 | 0 | 35 | 41 | 0 | 7 | 51 | 2110 | 28 | 17 | 1083 | 22 |
| Turn Type | Perm |  | Free | Perm |  | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 3 |  | Free | 3 |  | Free | 2 |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 9.2 |  | 120.0 | 9.2 |  | 120.0 | 80.1 | 68.6 | 120.0 | 8.7 | 63.8 | 120.0 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 11.2 |  | 120.0 | 11.2 |  | 120.0 | 84.1 | 72.6 | 120.0 | 10.7 | 67.8 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.09 |  | 1.00 | 0.09 |  | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 9.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 165 |  | 1583 | 165 |  | 1583 | 425 | 3076 | 1583 | 157 | 2873 | 1583 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  | c0．01 | c0．41 |  | 0.01 | 0.21 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.01 |  | c0．02 | c0．02 |  | 0.00 | 0.07 |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.06 |  | 0.02 | 0.25 |  | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.69 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.38 | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 49.6 |  | 0.0 | 50.5 |  | 0.0 | 6.3 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 50.3 | 14.4 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.46 | 0.26 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 1.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 49.8 |  | 0.0 | 51.6 |  | 0.0 | 6.8 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 74.3 | 4.2 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | D |  | A | D |  | A | A | B | A | E | A | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 11.1 |  |  | 44.1 |  |  | 16.8 |  |  | 5.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 13.3 |  | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratioActuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 120.0 |  | um of los | time（s） |  |  | 23.0 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 49．9\％ |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | \% | 7 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | WBL | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 10 | 35 | 41 | 7 | 51 | 2110 | 28 | 17 | 1083 | 22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.69 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.38 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 49.0 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 74.4 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 49.0 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 75.2 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 7 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 378 | 0 | 13 | 37 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 24 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 23 | 480 | 0 | 39 | 46 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 2370 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 800 |  | 250 | 450 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 295 | 1583 | 295 | 1583 | 438 | 3075 | 1583 | 206 | 2873 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 986 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.69 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.57 | 0.01 |

[^2]|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ |  | 「 | \％ |  | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个个中 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个种 | F |
| Trafic Volume（vph） | 15 | 0 | 50 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 1260 | 62 | 4 | 1907 | 6 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 15 | 0 | 50 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 1260 | 62 | 4 | 1907 | 6 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 |  | 0.85 | 1.00 |  | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 |  | 1583 | 1770 |  | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 |  | 1583 | 1770 |  | 1583 | 104 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 16 |  | 54 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 1370 | 67 | 4 | 2073 | 7 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | ， | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | ， | O | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 16 | 0 | 54 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 1370 | 67 | 4 | 2073 | 7 |
| Turn Type | Perm |  | Free | Perm |  | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 3 |  | Free | 3 |  | Free | 2 |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 8.8 |  | 120.0 | 8.8 |  | 120.0 | 80.7 | 69.8 | 120.0 | 7.9 | 64.8 | 120.0 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 10.8 |  | 120.0 | 10.8 |  | 120.0 | 84.7 | 73.8 | 120.0 | 9.9 | 68.8 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.09 |  | 1.00 | 0.09 |  | 1.00 | 0.71 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 0.57 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 9.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 159 |  | 1583 | 159 |  | 1583 | 252 | 3127 | 1583 | 146 | 2915 | 1583 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  | c0．01 | c0．27 |  | 0.00 | c0．41 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.01 |  | 0.03 | c0．02 |  | 0.00 | 0.05 |  | c0．04 |  |  | 0.00 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.10 |  | 0.03 | 0.22 |  | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.71 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 50.1 |  | 0.0 | 50.7 |  | 0.0 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 18.4 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 0.19 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.4 |  | 0.0 | 1.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 50.5 |  | 0.0 | 51.6 |  | 0.0 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 0.1 | 67.6 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | D |  | A | D |  | A | B | B | A | E | A | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 11.6 |  |  | 46.3 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 8.3 |  | CM 2000 | Level of S | Service |  | A |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 120.0 |  | m of los | time（s） |  |  | 23.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 48．5\％ |  | Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 7 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | WBL | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 16 | 54 | 35 | 4 | 21 | 1370 | 67 | 4 | 2073 | 7 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.71 | 0.00 |
| Control Delay | 50.4 | 0.0 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 67.5 | 4.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.4 | 0.0 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 67.5 | 4.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 12 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 4 | 190 | 0 | 4 | 53 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 34 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 12 | 243 | 0 | m8 | 72 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 2370 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 800 |  | 250 | 450 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 295 | 1583 | 295 | 1583 | 269 | 3126 | 1583 | 206 | 2912 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.71 | 0.00 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个个中 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 24 | 7 | 42 | 168 | 10 | 247 | 21 | 1719 | 264 | 149 | 831 | 15 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 24 | 7 | 42 | 168 | 10 | 247 | 21 | 1719 | 264 | 149 | 831 | 15 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.88 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1551 |  | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.29 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1551 |  | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 | 545 | 5085 | 1583 | 115 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 26 | 8 | 46 | 183 | 11 | 268 | 23 | 1868 | 287 | 162 | 903 | 16 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 23 | 15 | 0 | 97 | 97 | 34 | 23 | 1868 | 287 | 162 | 903 | 16 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 8.4 | 8.4 |  | 13.2 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 65.9 | 58.8 | 120.0 | 76.9 | 64.3 | 120.0 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 10.4 | 10.4 |  | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 69.9 | 62.8 | 120.0 | 79.4 | 68.3 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.09 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.57 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 145 | 134 |  | 212 | 214 | 200 | 410 | 2661 | 1583 | 277 | 2894 | 1583 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | c0．06 | 0.06 |  | 0.00 | c0．37 |  | c0．07 | 0.18 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 | 0.03 |  | c0．18 | 0.32 |  | 0.01 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.11 |  | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.70 | 0.18 | 0.58 | 0.31 | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 50.7 | 50.5 |  | 48.6 | 48.6 | 46.8 | 10.6 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 13.5 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 2.36 | 0.49 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.7 | 0.5 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 51.4 | 51.0 |  | 50.7 | 50.6 | 47.3 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 0.2 | 66.2 | 6.9 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | D | D |  | D | D | D | B | B | A | E | A | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 51.2 |  |  | 48.7 |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 15.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 18.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.60 |  | 17.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $63.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 23 | 57 | 97 | 97 | 268 | 23 | 1868 | 287 | 162 | 903 | 16 |
| V/c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 0.05 | 0.70 | 0.18 | 0.58 | 0.31 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 52.3 | 23.8 | 54.9 | 54.7 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 15.7 | 0.2 | 58.8 | 7.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 52.3 | 23.8 | 54.9 | 54.7 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 15.7 | 0.2 | 58.8 | 7.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 17 | 8 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 5 | 143 | 0 | 88 | 46 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 45 | 51 | 128 | 128 | 77 | m14 | 214 | 0 | 165 | 54 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 598 |  | 727 |  |  | 1055 |  |  | 587 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 150 |  | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  | 300 | 250 |  | 255 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 196 | 221 | 266 | 268 | 476 | 448 | 2662 | 1583 | 354 | 2896 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.56 | 0.05 | 0.70 | 0.18 | 0.46 | 0.31 | 0.01 |

Intersection Summary
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4中4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 18 | 18 | 43 | 163 | 9 | 200 | 40 | 1009 | 331 | 209 | 1827 | 26 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 18 | 18 | 43 | 163 | 9 | 200 | 40 | 1009 | 331 | 209 | 1827 | 26 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.90 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1587 |  | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1587 |  | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 | 127 | 5085 | 1583 | 335 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 20 | 20 | 47 | 177 | 10 | 217 | 43 | 1097 | 360 | 227 | 1986 | 28 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 18 | 26 | 0 | 94 | 93 | 27 | 43 | 1097 | 360 | 227 | 1986 | 28 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 8.8 | 8.8 |  | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 64.1 | 56.5 | 120.0 | 77.1 | 63.5 | 120.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 10.8 | 10.8 |  | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 68.1 | 60.5 | 120.0 | 79.1 | 67.5 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.09 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.56 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 151 | 142 |  | 211 | 213 | 199 | 203 | 2563 | 1583 | 419 | 2860 | 1583 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | c0．06 | 0.05 |  | 0.02 | 0.22 |  | c0．07 | c0．39 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 | 0.10 |  | c0． 23 | 0.28 |  | 0.02 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.18 |  | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.23 | 0.54 | 0.69 | 0.02 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 50.2 | 50.5 |  | 48.6 | 48.5 | 46.7 | 14.6 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 18.8 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.60 | 0.63 | 1.00 | 1.88 | 0.76 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.5 | 0.9 |  | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 50.7 | 51.4 |  | 50.6 | 50.5 | 47.1 | 24.1 | 12.4 | 0.3 | 21.3 | 15.7 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | D | D |  | D | D | D | C | B | A | C | B | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 51.2 |  |  | 48.7 |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 16.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 17.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.61 |  | 17.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $61.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 18 | 69 | 94 | 93 | 217 | 43 | 1097 | 360 | 227 | 1986 | 28 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.56 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.23 | 0.54 | 0.69 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 50.6 | 27.0 | 54.3 | 54.0 | 11.7 | 18.6 | 13.5 | 0.3 | 20.4 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.6 | 27.0 | 54.3 | 54.0 | 11.7 | 18.6 | 13.5 | 0.3 | 20.4 | 16.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 13 | 16 | 72 | 71 | 0 | 9 | 88 | 0 | 48 | 228 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 37 | 64 | 123 | 122 | 68 | 38 | 106 | 0 | 141 | 540 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 598 |  | 727 |  |  | 1055 |  |  | 587 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 150 |  | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  | 300 | 250 |  | 255 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 197 | 227 | 294 | 296 | 456 | 226 | 2564 | 1583 | 537 | 2862 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.48 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.73 | 0.02 |

[^3]
## 2016 PROPOSED SYNCHRO WORKSHEETS (WITH MEDIAN OPENINGS CLOSED)

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7\％ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | 「 |  | ＊＊ | †tt† | 「 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | tttt |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 439 | 348 | 81 | 218 | 252 | 51 | 61 | 397 | 3025 | 323 | 153 | 900 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 439 | 348 | 81 | 218 | 252 | 51 | 61 | 397 | 3025 | 323 | 153 | 900 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Utill．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |  | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |  | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 477 | 378 | 88 | 237 | 274 | 55 | 66 | 432 | 3288 | 351 | 166 | 978 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 477 | 378 | 88 | 237 | 274 | 55 | 0 | 498 | 3288 | 351 | 166 | 978 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | ， | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 28.9 | 28.9 | 180.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 180.0 |  | 29.0 | 84.8 | 180.0 | 14.3 | 70.1 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 32.9 | 32.9 | 180.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 180.0 |  | 31.0 | 88.8 | 180.0 | 16.3 | 74.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 1.00 |  | 0.17 | 0.49 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 0.41 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 627 | 646 | 1583 | 362 | 373 | 1583 |  | 591 | 3161 | 1583 | 310 | 2637 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．14 | 0.11 |  | 0.07 | c0．08 |  |  | c0．15 | c0．51 |  | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.06 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  | 0.22 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.76 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 0.03 |  | 0.84 | 1.04 | 0.22 | 0.54 | 0.37 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 69.8 | 67.3 | 0.0 | 77.3 | 78.1 | 0.0 |  | 72.1 | 45.6 | 0.0 | 78.2 | 36.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.19 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 4.7 | 7.8 | 0.0 |  | 7.0 | 24.6 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 |
| Delay（s） | 75.6 | 68.9 | 0.1 | 82.0 | 85.9 | 0.0 |  | 92.6 | 52.6 | 0.2 | 81.0 | 38.4 |
| Level of Service | E | E | A | F | F | A |  | F | D | A | F | D |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 65.9 |  |  | 75.9 |  |  |  | 53.0 |  |  | 35.1 |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  |  | D |  |  | D |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 52.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.94 | Sum of lost time（s） | 25.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 180.0 | E |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $86.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

|  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| Lat就Tonfigurations | 「 |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 287 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 287 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 312 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 312 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm | 0.20 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.20 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | 0.3 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\gamma$ | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 477 | 378 | 88 | 237 | 274 | 55 | 498 | 3288 | 351 | 166 | 978 | 312 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.76 | 0.58 | 0.06 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 0.03 | 0.84 | 1.04 | 0.22 | 0.53 | 0.37 | 0.20 |
| Control Delay | 78.3 | 71.1 | 0.1 | 86.5 | 90.4 | 0.0 | 94.2 | 53.5 | 0.2 | 84.9 | 38.9 | 0.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 78.3 | 71.1 | 0.1 | 86.5 | 90.4 | 0.0 | 94.2 | 53.5 | 0.2 | 84.9 | 38.9 | 0.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 277 | 216 | 0 | 141 | 168 | 0 | 279 | $\sim 1235$ | 0 | 100 | 177 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 345 | 276 | 0 | 192 | 224 | 0 | 357 | \#1277 | 0 | 150 | 257 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1593 |  |  | 1512 |  |  | 3260 |  |  | 3364 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 520 |  | 515 | 470 |  | 470 | 570 |  | 420 | 425 |  | 630 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 648 | 668 | 1583 | 365 | 376 | 1583 | 610 | 3157 | 1583 | 343 | 2633 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.57 | 0.06 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 0.03 | 0.82 | 1.04 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.37 | 0.20 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 44 | 「 | ＊＊ | 44 | 「 |  | ＊） | ††t | 「 | 71 | $\dagger \dagger \dagger \dagger$ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 475 | 300 | 424 | 525 | 400 | 95 | 79 | 291 | 1275 | 266 | 52 | 2725 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 475 | 300 | 424 | 525 | 400 | 95 | 79 | 291 | 1275 | 266 | 52 | 2725 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |  | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |  | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 516 | 326 | 461 | 571 | 435 | 103 | 86 | 316 | 1386 | 289 | 57 | 2962 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 516 | 326 | 461 | 571 | 435 | 103 | 0 | 402 | 1386 | 289 | 57 | 2962 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 22.0 | 22.0 | 180.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 180.0 |  | 19.0 | 92.0 | 180.0 | 4.0 | 77.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 26.0 | 26.0 | 180.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 180.0 |  | 21.0 | 96.0 | 180.0 | 6.0 | 81.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 1.00 |  | 0.12 | 0.53 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.45 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 495 | 511 | 1583 | 553 | 570 | 1583 |  | 400 | 3417 | 1583 | 114 | 2883 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．15 | 0.09 |  | c0．17 | 0.12 |  |  | c0．12 | 0.22 |  | 0.02 | c0．46 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.29 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |  | 0.18 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.04 | 0.64 | 0.29 | 1.03 | 0.76 | 0.07 |  | 1.00 | 0.41 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 1.03 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 77.0 | 72.6 | 0.0 | 75.5 | 72.2 | 0.0 |  | 79.5 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 85.5 | 49.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.53 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.17 | 0.68 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 51.9 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 46.9 | 6.4 | 0.1 |  | 44.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 21.8 |
| Delay（s） | 128.9 | 75.5 | 0.5 | 122.4 | 78.6 | 0.1 |  | 87.0 | 24.3 | 0.2 | 103.4 | 55.5 |
| Level of Service | F | E | A | F | E | A |  | F | C | A | F | E |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 70.1 |  |  | 93.9 |  |  |  | 33.1 |  |  | 46.4 |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | F |  |  |  | C |  |  | D |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 53.2 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.04 |  | 25.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 180.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | F |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $93.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

|  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| Lat就Tonfigurations | 「 |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 604 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 604 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 657 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 657 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.41 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.42 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.6 |
| Delay (s) | 0.6 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | - | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 516 | 326 | 461 | 571 | 435 | 103 | 402 | 1386 | 289 | 57 | 2962 | 657 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.04 | 0.64 | 0.29 | 1.03 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 1.00 | 0.40 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 1.03 | 0.42 |
| Control Delay | 123.7 | 78.9 | 0.5 | 118.1 | 82.0 | 0.1 | 88.0 | 24.0 | 0.2 | 106.3 | 55.3 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 123.7 | 78.9 | 0.5 | 118.1 | 82.0 | 0.1 | 88.0 | 24.0 | 0.2 | 106.3 | 55.3 | 0.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | ~338 | 194 | 0 | ~371 | 263 | 0 | $\sim 223$ | 426 | 0 | 36 | ~1072 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#462 | 253 | 0 | \#498 | 330 | 0 | \#361 | 470 | 0 | m48 | \#1111 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1593 |  |  | 1512 |  |  | 3260 |  |  | 3364 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 520 |  | 515 | 470 |  | 470 | 570 |  | 420 | 425 |  | 630 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 495 | 511 | 1583 | 553 | 570 | 1583 | 400 | 3460 | 1583 | 133 | 2883 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.04 | 0.64 | 0.29 | 1.03 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 1.00 | 0.40 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 1.03 | 0.42 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


C Critical Lane Group

|  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| Latatit'onfigurations | 「 |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 22 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 22 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |
| Fit | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 24 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 24 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.02 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.02 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% | $\pm$ | $\frac{1}{\square}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 24 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 38 | 72 | 3587 | 12 | 166 | 1332 | 24 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.47 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 0.51 | 0.27 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 84.5 | 0.0 | 82.7 | 80.5 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 88.5 | 7.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 84.5 | 0.0 | 82.7 | 80.5 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 88.5 | 7.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 28 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 89 | 128 | 0 | 102 | 83 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 62 | 0 | 27 | 13 | 0 | m92 | m134 | m0 | 137 | 256 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 698 |  |  | 742 |  |  | 3364 |  |  | 2571 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 100 | 230 |  | 230 | 550 |  | 375 | 475 |  | 185 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 280 | 1583 | 224 | 236 | 1583 | 189 | 4971 | 1583 | 368 | 5020 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 0.45 | 0.27 | 0.02 |

## Intersection Summary

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| Latatitonfigurations | 「 |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |
| Fit | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 11 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 11 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | \% | , | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 66 | 66 | 48 | 47 | 23 | 1957 | 17 | 316 | 3370 | 11 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.04 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.67 | 0.75 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 87.2 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 104.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 87.2 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 104.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 76 | 0 | 57 | 56 | 0 | 263 | 0 | 193 | 56 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 129 | 0 | 107 | 105 | 0 | m273 | m0 | m204 | 97 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 698 |  |  | 742 |  | 3364 |  |  | 2571 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 100 | 230 |  | 230 |  | 375 | 475 |  | 185 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 277 | 1583 | 224 | 224 | 1583 | 3948 | 1583 | 485 | 4522 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.65 | 0.75 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| L角他けConfigurations |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 33 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 33 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  |
| Fit |  |
| Flt Protected |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 36 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 |
| Turn Type |  |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  |
| Effective Green， g （s） |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |
| Clearance Time（s） |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |
| v／c Ratio |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  |
| Progression Factor |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  |
| Delay（s） |  |
| Level of Service |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 32 | 87 | 2803 | 56 | 1666 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.67 | 0.38 | 0.39 |
| Control Delay | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 33.8 | 2.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 33.8 | 2.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 15 | 17 | 99 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 2 | 14 | 68 | 98 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  | 626 |  | 454 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 585 |  | 325 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 374 | 436 | 4187 | 296 | 4230 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 866 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.70 | 0.19 | 0.50 |

[^4]|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | \％ | 4 | ＋ | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBU | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  | 「＇ | ${ }^{7}$ | 性中 |  |  | ＊ | 性 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 60 | 1750 | 75 | 66 | 37 | 3050 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 60 | 1750 | 75 | 66 | 37 | 3050 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  |  |  |  |  | 1611 | 1770 | 5054 |  |  | 1770 | 5082 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.03 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.07 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  |  |  |  |  | 1611 | 59 | 5054 |  |  | 135 | 5082 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 65 | 1902 | 82 | 72 | 40 | 3315 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 65 | 1982 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 3329 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  |  |  | Prot | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | pm＋pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  |  |  |  |  | 18.0 | 142.0 | 124.0 |  |  | 142.0 | 124.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） |  |  |  |  |  | 20.0 | 146.0 | 128.0 |  |  | 146.0 | 128.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  |  |  |  |  | 0.11 | 0.81 | 0.71 |  |  | 0.81 | 0.71 |
| Clearance Time（s） |  |  |  |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  |  |  |  |  | 179 | 237 | 3593 |  |  | 291 | 3613 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  |  | c0．00 | 0.03 | 0.39 |  |  | c0．04 | c0．65 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.19 |  |  |  | 0.27 |  |
| v／c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.55 |  |  | 0.38 | 0.92 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  |  |  |  |  | 71.2 | 43.1 | 12.4 |  |  | 10.6 | 21.8 |
| Progression Factor |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 2.56 | 0.50 |  |  | 2.62 | 0.74 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |  |  | 0.7 | 3.4 |
| Delay（s） |  |  |  |  |  | 71.2 | 110.9 | 6.7 |  |  | 28.6 | 19.5 |
| Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  | E | F | A |  |  | C | B |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 71.2 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |  | 19.8 |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 16.3 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.76 |  | 14.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 180.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | D |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $80.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |


|  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 18 | 65 | 1984 | 112 | 3329 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 0.38 | 0.92 |
| Control Delay | 0.4 | 58.3 | 6.7 | 26.2 | 19.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 0.4 | 58.3 | 6.7 | 26.2 | 20.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 49 | 151 | 25 | 1512 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 106 | 106 | m57 | 1259 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  | 626 |  | 454 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 585 |  | 325 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 348 | 297 | 3596 | 350 | 3612 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 0.32 | 0.92 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
|  | 4乐 | F |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 1250 | 39 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1250 | 39 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1359 | 42 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1359 | 42 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 98.0 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 102.0 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.57 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 2881 | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.27 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.03 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.47 | 0.03 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 23.1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 23.6 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | C | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 33.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% |  | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 148 | 153 | 74 | 173 | 180 | 487 | 83 | 2663 | 226 | 287 | 1359 | 42 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.05 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.31 | 0.52 | 0.96 | 0.14 | 0.76 | 0.47 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 98.6 | 98.1 | 0.1 | 96.6 | 97.5 | 0.5 | 113.1 | 33.4 | 0.2 | 91.2 | 24.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 98.6 | 98.1 | 0.1 | 96.6 | 97.5 | 0.5 | 113.1 | 33.4 | 0.2 | 91.2 | 24.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 178 | 185 | 0 | 208 | 217 | 0 | 95 | 1132 | 0 | 172 | 356 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 269 | 277 | 0 | \#307 | \#323 | 0 | 164 | \#1254 | 0 | 229 | 414 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 214 | 221 | 1583 | 242 | 248 | 1583 | 196 | 2781 | 1583 | 386 | 2881 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.96 | 0.14 | 0.74 | 0.47 | 0.03 |

Intersection Summary
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


|  | $\dagger$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| Lanis ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ \％onfigurations | 个个个 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 2300 | 44 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 2300 | 44 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 2500 | 48 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 2500 | 48 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 85.0 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 89.0 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.49 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 2514 | 1583 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．49 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  | 0.03 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.99 | 0.03 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 45.3 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 61.9 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | E | A |
| Approach Delay（s） | 61.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 139 | 148 | 178 | 348 | 362 | 311 | 276 | 1576 | 185 | 97 | 2500 | 48 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.11 | 1.05 | 1.07 | 0.20 | 1.41 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.38 | 0.99 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 104.5 | 105.3 | 0.1 | 129.2 | 134.3 | 0.3 | 251.1 | 60.5 | 0.1 | 83.2 | 61.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 104.5 | 105.3 | 0.1 | 129.2 | 134.3 | 0.3 | 251.1 | 60.5 | 0.1 | 83.2 | 61.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 170 | 182 | 0 | $\sim 474$ | $\sim 504$ | 0 | $\sim 445$ | 579 | 0 | 57 | 1064 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#282 | \#297 | 0 | \#704 | \#735 | 0 | \#649 | 640 | 0 | 89 | \#1185 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 186 | 194 | 1583 | 332 | 338 | 1583 | 196 | 2699 | 1583 | 381 | 2514 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.11 | 1.05 | 1.07 | 0.20 | 1.41 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.99 | 0.03 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | 4 | ＋ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBU | SBL |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | ＊＊ | 个种 | F |  | ＊${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 197 | 80 | 68 | 260 | 64 | 448 | 47 | 29 | 2450 | 208 | 49 | 215 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 197 | 80 | 68 | 260 | 64 | 448 | 47 | 29 | 2450 | 208 | 49 | 215 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 0.91 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1732 | 1583 | 1681 | 1717 | 1583 |  | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 |  | 3433 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1732 | 1583 | 1681 | 1717 | 1583 |  | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 |  | 3433 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 214 | 87 | 74 | 283 | 70 | 487 | 51 | 32 | 2663 | 226 | 53 | 234 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 148 | 153 | 74 | 173 | 180 | 487 | 0 | 83 | 2663 | 226 | 0 | 287 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 17.5 | 17.5 | 180.0 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 180.0 |  | 6.4 | 100.0 | 180.0 |  | 16.1 |
| Effective Green， $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 19.5 | 19.5 | 180.0 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 180.0 |  | 8.4 | 104.0 | 180.0 |  | 18.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 1.00 |  | 0.05 | 0.58 | 1.00 |  | 0.10 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |  | 6.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 4.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 182 | 187 | 1583 | 209 | 213 | 1583 |  | 160 | 2938 | 1583 |  | 345 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.09 | c0．09 |  | 0.10 | c0．10 |  |  | 0.02 | c0．52 |  |  | c0．08 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.31 |  |  |  | 0.14 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.31 |  | 0.52 | 0.91 | 0.14 |  | 0.83 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 0.0 | 76.9 | 77.1 | 0.0 |  | 83.8 | 33.7 | 0.0 |  | 79.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.30 | 0.59 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 0.1 | 23.7 | 26.0 | 0.5 |  | 3.1 | 4.4 | 0.2 |  | 16.2 |
| Delay（s） | 102.9 | 103.0 | 0.1 | 100.6 | 103.1 | 0.5 |  | 112.3 | 24.1 | 0.2 |  | 95.7 |
| Level of Service | F | F | A | F | F | A |  | F | C | A |  | F |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 82.7 |  |  | 43.1 |  |  |  | 24.8 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 32.6 |  | CM 2000 | evel of | ervice |  | C |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 180.0 |  | um of los | time（s） |  |  | 18.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 80．4\％ |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
|  | 个4¢ | 「 |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 1250 | 39 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1250 | 39 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1359 | 42 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1359 | 42 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 109.7 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 113.7 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.63 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 3212 | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.27 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.03 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.42 | 0.03 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 17.1 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | B | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 30.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% |  | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 148 | 153 | 74 | 173 | 180 | 487 | 83 | 2663 | 226 | 287 | 1359 | 42 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.31 | 0.52 | 0.91 | 0.14 | 0.83 | 0.42 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 109.3 | 108.8 | 0.1 | 106.3 | 107.7 | 0.5 | 119.6 | 24.6 | 0.2 | 99.1 | 17.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 109.3 | 108.8 | 0.1 | 106.3 | 107.7 | 0.5 | 119.6 | 24.6 | 0.2 | 99.1 | 17.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 183 | 189 | 0 | 212 | 222 | 0 | 52 | 1027 | 0 | 174 | 287 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#307 | \#318 | 0 | \#349 | \#364 | 0 | 87 | 764 | 0 | \#251 | 320 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 186 | 192 | 1583 | 214 | 219 | 1583 | 160 | 2935 | 1583 | 346 | 3211 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.05 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.31 | 0.52 | 0.91 | 0.14 | 0.83 | 0.42 | 0.03 |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


|  | $\dagger$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| Larien ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ (onfigurations | 个个¢ | \% |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 2300 | 44 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2300 | 44 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2500 | 48 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 2500 | 48 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 86.9 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 90.9 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.51 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 2567 | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.49 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.03 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.97 | 0.03 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 43.4 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 12.6 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 56.0 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | E | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 56.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 139 | 148 | 178 | 348 | 362 | 311 | 276 | 1576 | 185 | 97 | 2500 | 48 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.11 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.20 | 0.96 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.56 | 0.97 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 114.2 | 115.7 | 0.1 | 100.1 | 104.0 | 0.3 | 102.1 | 57.3 | 0.1 | 96.6 | 55.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 114.2 | 115.7 | 0.1 | 100.1 | 104.0 | 0.3 | 102.1 | 57.3 | 0.1 | 96.6 | 55.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 172 | 184 | 0 | 430 | 450 | 0 | 177 | 559 | 0 | 58 | 1038 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#306 | \#322 | 0 | \#644 | \#674 | 0 | \#276 | 621 | 0 | 93 | \#1116 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 168 | 175 | 1583 | 373 | 379 | 1583 | 287 | 2740 | 1583 | 172 | 2570 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.11 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.20 | 0.96 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.56 | 0.97 | 0.03 |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 25 | 72 | 175 | 27 | 1001 | 420 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.04 | 0.35 | 0.66 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.36 |
| Control Delay | 27.2 | 11.2 | 56.0 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 27.2 | 11.2 | 56.0 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 3.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 14 | 0 | 125 | 6 | 92 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 32 | 31 | 195 | 24 | 155 | 60 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) | 683 |  |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 683 | 339 | 276 | 1114 | 3202 | 1152 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.63 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.36 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |


c Critical Lane Group

|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 67 | 337 | 235 | 20 | 1951 | 58 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 0.02 | 0.68 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 25.6 | 84.4 | 126.2 | 1.0 | 6.3 | 0.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 25.6 | 84.4 | 126.2 | 1.0 | 6.3 | 0.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 34 | 199 | $\sim 200$ | 1 | 408 | 1 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 66 | \#395 | \#363 | m1 | 67 | m1 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 683 |  |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 683 | 339 | 221 | 1003 | 2881 | 932 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 0.02 | 0.68 | 0.06 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| Lane ${ }^{\text {Wandonfigurations }}$ | 个个中 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 996 | 20 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 996 | 20 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 5085 | 1583 |
| FIt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 1083 | 22 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 1083 | 22 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 60.0 | 120.0 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 64.0 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.53 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 9.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 2712 | 1583 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | 0.21 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm |  | 0.01 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.40 | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 16.6 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 0.06 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | A | A |
| Approach Delay（s） | 4.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |


|  | $y$EBL | $\checkmark$ |  | $4 \quad 4$ |  | 4 | \% | * |  | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group |  | EBR | WBL | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 10 | 35 | 41 | 7 | 84 | 2110 | 28 | 43 | 1083 | 22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.70 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 49.0 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 49.2 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 80.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 49.0 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 49.2 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 88.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 7 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 59 | 393 | 0 | 36 | 7 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 24 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 108 | 509 | 0 | 76 | 8 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 2370 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 800 |  | 250 | 450 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 295 | 1583 | 295 | 1583 | 255 | 3009 | 1583 | 210 | 2712 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 456 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.70 | 0.02 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.01 |

Intersection Summary
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| Lane ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ onfigurations | 个44 | 7 |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 1907 | 6 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1907 | 6 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2073 | 7 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 2073 | 7 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 64.3 | 120.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 68.3 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.57 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 9.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 2894 | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.41 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.00 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.72 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 18.8 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 0.05 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | A | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 4.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |


|  | 3 |  | 6 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ( | ¢ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | WBL | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 16 | 54 | 35 | 4 | 44 | 1370 | 67 | 82 | 2073 | 7 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.47 | 0.04 | 0.38 | 0.72 | 0.00 |
| Control Delay | 50.4 | 0.0 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 77.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 95.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.4 | 0.0 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 173.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 12 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 9 | 215 | 0 | 68 | 13 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 34 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 21 | 289 | 0 | m94 | 14 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 2370 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 800 |  | 250 | 450 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 295 | 1583 | 295 | 1583 | 270 | 2918 | 1583 | 230 | 2894 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 75 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.04 | 1.55 | 0.74 | 0.00 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | ＊ | 个种 | 「 | \％ | 4乐 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 24 | 7 | 42 | 168 | 10 | 247 | 16 | 21 | 1719 | 264 | 172 | 831 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 24 | 7 | 42 | 168 | 10 | 247 | 16 | 21 | 1719 | 264 | 172 | 831 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.88 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1551 |  | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.30 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1551 |  | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 |  | 551 | 5085 | 1583 | 117 | 5085 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 26 |  | 46 | 183 | 11 | 268 | 17 | 23 | 1868 | 287 | 187 | 903 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 42 |  | 0 | 0 | 234 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 23 | 15 | 0 | 97 | 97 | 34 | 0 | 40 | 1868 | 287 | 187 | 903 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 8.4 | 8.4 |  | 13.2 | 13.2 | 13.2 |  | 65.2 | 57.7 | 120.0 | 77.4 | 63.9 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 10.4 | 10.4 |  | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 |  | 69.2 | 61.7 | 120.0 | 79.4 | 67.9 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.09 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |  | 0.58 | 0.51 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.57 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 145 | 134 |  | 212 | 214 | 200 |  | 414 | 2614 | 1583 | 293 | 2877 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | c0．06 | 0.06 |  |  | 0.01 | c0．37 |  | c0．08 | 0.18 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  | 0.05 |  | c0．18 | 0.34 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.11 |  | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.17 |  | 0.10 | 0.71 | 0.18 | 0.64 | 0.31 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 50.7 | 50.5 |  | 48.6 | 48.6 | 46.8 |  | 11.0 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 29.3 | 13.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.07 | 0.63 | 1.00 | 2.23 | 0.50 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.7 | 0.5 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.6 |  | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 0.3 |
| Delay（s） | 51.4 | 51.0 |  | 50.7 | 50.6 | 47.3 |  | 12.0 | 15.7 | 0.2 | 70.2 | 7.1 |
| Level of Service | D | D |  | D | D | D |  | B | B | A | E | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 51.2 |  |  | 48.7 |  |  |  | 13.6 |  |  | 17.7 |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 19.8 |  | CM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 120.0 |  | Sum of los | time（s） |  |  | 19.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 65．2\％ |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| Lat\|e'configurations | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 16 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 16 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 120.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 120.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 23 | 57 | 97 | 97 | 268 | 40 | 1868 | 287 | 187 | 903 | 16 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.18 | 0.63 | 0.31 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 52.3 | 23.8 | 54.9 | 54.7 | 12.0 | 9.4 | 17.0 | 0.2 | 62.7 | 7.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 52.3 | 23.8 | 54.9 | 54.7 | 12.0 | 9.4 | 17.0 | 0.2 | 62.7 | 7.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 17 | 8 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 8 | 146 | 0 | 108 | 47 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 45 | 51 | 128 | 128 | 77 | 26 | 243 | 0 | 188 | 56 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 598 |  | 727 |  |  | 1055 |  |  | 587 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 150 |  | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  | 300 | 250 |  | 255 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 196 | 221 | 266 | 268 | 476 | 445 | 2614 | 1583 | 356 | 2880 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.56 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.18 | 0.53 | 0.31 | 0.01 |

[^5]|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 71 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\frac{1}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \＃ | 4中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4个4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 18 | 18 | 43 | 163 | 9 | 200 | 26 | 40 | 1009 | 230 | 310 | 1726 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 18 | 18 | 43 | 163 | 9 | 200 | 26 | 40 | 1009 | 230 | 310 | 1726 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.90 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1587 |  | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.17 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1587 |  | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 |  | 141 | 5085 | 1583 | 309 | 5085 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 20 | 20 | 47 | 177 | 10 | 217 | 28 | 43 | 1097 | 250 | 337 | 1876 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 18 | 26 | 0 | 94 | 93 | 27 | 0 | 71 | 1097 | 250 | 337 | 1876 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 8.8 | 8.8 |  | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 |  | 58.8 | 50.8 | 120.0 | 77.1 | 63.1 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 10.8 | 10.8 |  | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.1 |  | 62.8 | 54.8 | 120.0 | 79.1 | 67.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.09 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |  | 0.52 | 0.46 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.56 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 151 | 142 |  | 211 | 213 | 199 |  | 209 | 2322 | 1583 | 475 | 2843 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | c0．06 | 0.05 |  |  | 0.03 | 0.22 |  | c0．13 | 0.37 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  | 0.15 |  | c0．16 | c0．34 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.18 |  | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.14 |  | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.16 | 0.71 | 0.66 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 50.2 | 50.5 |  | 48.6 | 48.5 | 46.7 |  | 15.9 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 18.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.59 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.93 | 0.75 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.5 | 0.9 |  | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.4 |  | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 5.1 | 1.2 |
| Delay（s） | 50.7 | 51.4 |  | 50.6 | 50.5 | 47.1 |  | 26.5 | 13.0 | 0.2 | 35.5 | 15.1 |
| Level of Service | D | D |  | D | D | D |  | C | B | A | D | B |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 51.2 |  |  | 48.7 |  |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 18.0 |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 19.4 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of S | Service |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 120.0 |  | Sum of los | ime（s） |  |  | 19.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 59．8\％ |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| Laplekonfigurations | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 26 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 26 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 28 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 28 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 120.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 120.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 18 | 69 | 94 | 93 | 217 | 71 | 1097 | 250 | 337 | 1876 | 28 |
| V/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.56 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.16 | 0.70 | 0.66 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 50.6 | 27.0 | 54.3 | 54.0 | 11.7 | 28.1 | 14.0 | 0.2 | 36.1 | 16.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.6 | 27.0 | 54.3 | 54.0 | 11.7 | 28.1 | 14.0 | 0.2 | 36.1 | 16.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 13 | 16 | 72 | 71 | 0 | 15 | 96 | 0 | 140 | 214 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 37 | 64 | 123 | 122 | 68 | 71 | 111 | 0 | 259 | 494 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 598 |  | 727 |  |  | 1055 |  |  | 587 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 150 |  | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  | 300 | 250 |  | 255 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 197 | 227 | 294 | 296 | 456 | 227 | 2323 | 1583 | 527 | 2844 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.48 | 0.31 | 0.47 | 0.16 | 0.64 | 0.70 | 0.02 |

[^6]2016 PROPOSED SIMTRAFFIC QUEUE WORKSHEETS (WITH MEDIAN OPENINGS CLOSED)

## Intersection: 1: US 13 \& Rogers Rd

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 3: US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 4: Rogers Rd \& Heald St

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 6: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 196 | 218 | 117 | 117 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 87 | 114 | 68 | 64 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 55 | 161 | 201 | 119 | 112 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 302 | 389 | 389 | 287 | 287 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: US 13 \& New Castle Airport/School Lane

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LT | R | L | T | T | T | T | UL | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 133 | 39 | 31 | 52 | 134 | 332 | 417 | 3297 | 3315 | 198 | 152 | 107 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 4 | 11 | 16 | 65 | 218 | 264 | 427 | 335 | 93 | 44 | 39 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 71 | 22 | 33 | 46 | 118 | 357 | 425 | 1348 | 1293 | 152 | 102 | 99 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 690 |  | 746 |  |  | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 |  |  | 2589 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 100 |  | 230 | 550 |  |  |  |  | 475 | 475 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: US 13 \& New Castle Airport/School Lane

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 152 | 166 | 199 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 27 | 39 | 45 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 87 | 112 | 138 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2589 | 2589 | 2589 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |

## Intersection: 9: State Hospital

| Movement | EB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 52 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 44 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 276 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 12: US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 15: US 13
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 16: US 13 \& I-295 Ramp

| Movement | SE |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 255 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 89 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 172 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 309 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 20: US 13 \& Police Exit

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 48 | 42 | 48 | 116 | 143 | 173 | 24 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 1 | 3 | 50 | 79 | 100 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 29 | 14 | 21 | 92 | 131 | 156 | 8 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 276 | 78 | 78 | 1073 | 1073 | 1073 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 27: US 13 \& US 202 Ramp

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 2601 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 93 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 889 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2589 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 36: US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 39: US 202 Ramp \& US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 42: US 13 \& 3rd Ave.

| Movement | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 24 | 270 | 138 | 197 | 195 | 184 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 98 | 68 | 86 | 75 | 83 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 8 | 183 | 155 | 193 | 174 | 188 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 316 |  | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 325 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 46: US 13 \& Firehouse

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 207 | 244 | 207 | 207 | 117 | 140 | 77 | 112 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 205 | 197 | 168 | 149 | 37 | 57 | 30 | 52 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 219 | 248 | 246 | 255 | 93 | 108 | 66 | 98 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 58: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement | SB | SB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 22 | 52 | 69 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 4 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 7 | 23 | 48 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 389 | 389 | 160 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 66: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 178: US 13 \& Heald St

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 262 | 239 | 243 | 207 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 104 | 145 | 163 | 128 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 186 | 213 | 228 | 192 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 884 | 884 | 385 | 385 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 179: US 13 \& Stanton Ave/Memorial Dr

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | LT | L | LT | R | UL | T | T | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 72 | 133 | 286 | 200 | 145 | 325 | 294 | 294 | 56 | 212 | 100 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 27 | 46 | 127 | 110 | 29 | 160 | 168 | 166 | 13 | 101 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 61 | 120 | 205 | 183 | 89 | 243 | 238 | 240 | 46 | 176 | 66 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 608 |  | 737 |  |  | 1073 | 1073 | 1073 |  | 87 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 587 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  |  |  | 300 | 250 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 29 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 11 |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 179: US 13 \& Stanton Ave/Memorial Dr

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 108 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 51 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 89 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 587 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
AM - Proposed U-Turn Closures 2016
Intersection: 183: US 13 \& RT 273

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | R | UL | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 291 | 316 | 248 | 250 | 56 | 195 | 214 | 256 | 239 | 84 | 365 | 594 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 212 | 238 | 147 | 135 | 5 | 109 | 145 | 140 | 113 | 12 | 245 | 294 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 290 | 317 | 216 | 205 | 28 | 193 | 215 | 227 | 218 | 51 | 344 | 505 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1597 | 1597 |  |  |  | 1504 | 1504 |  |  |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 520 | 520 |  |  | 515 | 470 | 470 |  |  | 470 | 570 | 570 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

Intersection: 183: US 13 \& RT 273

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 929 | 898 | 701 | 744 | 445 | 175 | 186 | 244 | 230 | 246 | 274 | 205 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 453 | 476 | 488 | 521 | 324 | 68 | 83 | 157 | 161 | 174 | 164 | 70 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 721 | 739 | 739 | 787 | 596 | 140 | 150 | 243 | 232 | 252 | 254 | 159 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 |  |  |  | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 420 | 425 | 425 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |  | 23 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 7 |  |  | 75 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 184: US 40 \& US 13

## Movement

Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue ( ft )
95th Queue ( ft )
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage BIk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | UL | T | T | T | R | UL |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 274 | 384 | 275 | 301 | 675 | 325 | 553 | 1096 | 1145 | 1177 | 375 | 240 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 152 | 237 | 41 | 140 | 483 | 256 | 112 | 463 | 497 | 507 | 192 | 139 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 276 | 331 | 196 | 255 | 849 | 422 | 321 | 863 | 916 | 986 | 492 | 227 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 654 |  |  | 641 |  |  | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 13 |  | 23 | 0 | 513 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 10 |  | 49 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 238 | 334 | 336 | 381 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 144 | 200 | 213 | 226 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 223 | 306 | 324 | 351 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1625 | 1625 | 1625 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 513 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 218: US 13 \& Roosevelt Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 112 | 75 | 124 | 137 | 24 | 41 | 42 | 65 | 46 | 64 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 44 | 31 | 60 | 51 | 1 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 85 | 73 | 112 | 108 | 8 | 32 | 40 | 41 | 34 | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 600 |  | 529 | 472 | 472 | 472 | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 219: US 13 \& Harrison Ave/Stahl Ave

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | T | TR | UL | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 75 | 110 | 135 | 130 | 183 | 97 | 121 | 116 | 138 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 30 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 45 | 40 | 34 | 48 | 61 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 73 | 71 | 100 | 108 | 119 | 84 | 86 | 102 | 129 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 799 |  | 650 | 650 | 650 |  | 472 | 472 | 472 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft)Storage Blk Time (\%)Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 220: US 13 \& Lincoln Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | L | T | T | T | TR | L | T | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 94 | 94 | 26 | 220 | 258 | 312 | 53 | 104 | 219 | 230 | 260 | 126 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 22 | 5 | 62 | 96 | 132 | 8 | 35 | 56 | 64 | 79 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 59 | 60 | 21 | 156 | 209 | 255 | 31 | 73 | 164 | 195 | 214 | 44 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 693 | 667 |  | 604 | 604 | 604 | 604 |  | 282 | 282 | 282 | 282 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 445 |  |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 237: US 13 \& Llangollen Blvd

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LT | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 94 | 47 | 235 | 185 | 350 | 304 | 16 | 85 | 146 | 174 | 19 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 13 | 115 | 10 | 213 | 200 | 2 | 29 | 64 | 58 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 61 | 38 | 213 | 67 | 370 | 331 | 10 | 67 | 132 | 132 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 656 | 496 | 496 |  | 3004 | 3004 |  |  | 1666 | 1666 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 160 |  |  | 475 | 300 |  |  | 300 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 269: US 13 \& State Hospital

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 136 | 244 | 41 | 199 | 198 | 184 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 63 | 119 | 8 | 108 | 118 | 115 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 37 | 118 | 220 | 25 | 194 | 191 | 180 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 705 |  | 276 |  | 950 | 950 | 950 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 409: US 13 \& RD 381

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 201 | 140 | 56 | 141 | 303 | 112 | 824 | 853 | 525 | 93 | 134 | 140 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 97 | 71 | 2 | 63 | 145 | 52 | 603 | 609 | 174 | 37 | 51 | 71 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 183 | 116 | 19 | 119 | 225 | 97 | 798 | 818 | 572 | 84 | 113 | 119 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 503 | 503 | 419 | 419 |  | 1302 | 1302 |  |  | 8307 | 8307 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 320 |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 500 | 495 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 409: US 13 \& RD 381

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 55 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 19 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 315 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |

Intersection: 490: US 13 \& Sienni Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | L | T | T | $R$ | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 88 | 46 | 53 | 178 | 175 | 214 | 31 | 1184 | 1180 | 325 | 225 | 139 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 19 | 19 | 107 | 56 | 63 | 9 | 750 | 764 | 173 | 105 | 72 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 47 | 41 | 165 | 135 | 132 | 29 | 1182 | 1202 | 422 | 184 | 126 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 632 | 632 | 632 | 502 | 502 | 502 |  | 8307 | 8307 |  |  | 3004 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 300 |  |  | 300 | 330 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 36 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 44 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 490: US 13 \& Sienni Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 177 | 29 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 89 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 154 | 17 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3004 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 330 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 530: US 13 \& Marsh Lane/Wildel Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | UL | T | T | T | UL | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 63 | 41 | 50 | 51 | 154 | 234 | 263 | 322 | 56 | 92 | 52 | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 4 | 24 | 5 | 56 | 140 | 150 | 166 | 33 | 24 | 5 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 37 | 20 | 49 | 25 | 112 | 217 | 239 | 268 | 60 | 71 | 25 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 540 |  | 402 |  | 2380 | 2380 | 2380 |  | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 2 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 75 |  | 75 |  | 800 |  |  |  | 450 |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 537: US 13 \& 2nd Ave

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 28 | 91 | 76 | 78 | 74 | 69 | 52 | 53 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 22 | 48 | 51 | 57 | 50 | 50 | 47 | 32 | 41 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 316 | 316 | 316 | 316 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 625: US 13 \& Hessler Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | L | LT | R | L | L | T | T | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 38 | 46 | 66 | 44 | 31 | 31 | 155 | 144 | 180 | 31 | 140 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 1 | 8 | 34 | 14 | 4 | 19 | 65 | 91 | 106 | 8 | 52 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 50 | 13 | 30 | 61 | 36 | 20 | 41 | 125 | 136 | 143 | 29 | 107 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 644 | 644 |  | 730 |  |  |  | 587 | 587 | 587 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 350 | 350 |  |  |  | 150 | 390 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 625: US 13 \& Hessler Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 140 | 140 | 163 | 39 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 72 | 89 | 109 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 131 | 140 | 160 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1327 | 1327 | 1327 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 480 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 712: US 13 \& DE 71

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 436 | 125 | 53 | 362 | 372 | 213 | 223 | 77 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 321 | 13 | 8 | 181 | 180 | 123 | 133 | 35 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 447 | 61 | 27 | 390 | 411 | 201 | 220 | 77 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 402 |  |  | 1687 | 1687 | 1302 | 1302 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 100 | 650 |  |  |  |  | 380 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 53 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 50 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 429

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | UL | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 275 | 379 | 275 | 260 | 704 | 325 | 89 | 66 | 682 | 687 | 694 | 375 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 136 | 226 | 27 | 113 | 608 | 305 | 40 | 30 | 363 | 383 | 396 | 121 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 284 | 318 | 144 | 215 | 835 | 425 | 82 | 63 | 602 | 638 | 644 | 407 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 654 |  |  | 640 |  |  |  | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 | 530 |  |  |  | 350 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 0 | 6 | 0 |  | 4 | 69 |  |  | 1 |  | 18 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 10 | 0 |  | 23 | 223 |  |  | 1 |  | 38 | 0 |

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 228 | 238 | 340 | 330 | 335 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 117 | 128 | 162 | 193 | 194 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 199 | 203 | 286 | 322 | 313 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 513 | 513 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 218: US 13 \& Roosevelt Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 112 | 136 | 125 | 159 | 45 | 81 | 80 | 45 | 68 | 41 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 49 | 40 | 55 | 41 | 6 | 11 | 15 | 5 | 12 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 89 | 92 | 105 | 88 | 27 | 42 | 47 | 21 | 40 | 37 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 594 |  | 523 | 472 | 472 | 472 | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 1: US 13 \& Rogers Rd

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 3: US 13
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 4: Rogers Rd \& Heald St

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 6: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 113 | 224 | 229 | 182 | 145 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 29 | 103 | 110 | 84 | 76 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 73 | 219 | 224 | 140 | 121 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 302 | 389 | 389 | 287 | 287 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: US 13 \& New Castle Airport/School Lane

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | L | LT | R | T | T | T | T | UL | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 133 | 125 | 125 | 182 | 51 | 280 | 288 | 307 | 322 | 221 | 290 | 168 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 64 | 45 | 17 | 78 | 8 | 128 | 163 | 199 | 147 | 144 | 164 | 97 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 118 | 98 | 71 | 160 | 30 | 207 | 251 | 283 | 229 | 214 | 231 | 166 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 690 |  |  | 746 |  | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 |  |  | 2589 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 100 | 230 |  | 230 |  |  |  |  | 475 | 475 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: US 13 \& New Castle Airport/School Lane

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 211 | 273 | 306 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 107 | 152 | 180 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 184 | 246 | 302 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2589 | 2589 | 2589 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 15 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 |

## Intersection: 9: State Hospital

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 140 | 141 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 80 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 276 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 900 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 15: US 13
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

## Intersection: 16: US 13 \& I-295 Ramp

| Movement | SE |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 215 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 98 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 167 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 309 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 20: US 13 \& Police Exit

| Movement | EB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 52 | 269 | 292 | 310 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 13 | 119 | 139 | 156 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 41 | 228 | 248 | 256 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 276 | 1073 | 1073 | 1073 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 300 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 27: US 13 \& US 202 Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 36: US 13

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 272 | 315 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 112 | 130 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 239 | 278 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 384 | 384 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 39: US 202 Ramp \& US 13

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 604 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 42: US 13 \& 3rd Ave.

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 55 | 53 | 27 | 72 | 238 | 74 | 51 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 17 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 91 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 49 | 40 | 21 | 27 | 167 | 30 | 23 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 316 | 316 | 316 | 316 |  | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 325 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 46: US 13 \& Firehouse

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 223 | 218 | 207 | 226 | 314 | 314 | 368 | 385 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 207 | 201 | 183 | 168 | 155 | 179 | 207 | 238 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 213 | 226 | 239 | 240 | 338 | 368 | 413 | 428 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 58: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement | SB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 52 | 164 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 38 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 20 | 109 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 389 | 160 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 66: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 178: US 13 \& Heald St

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 210 | 217 | 258 | 237 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 100 | 135 | 161 | 128 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 191 | 210 | 238 | 207 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 884 | 884 | 385 | 385 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 179: US 13 \& Stanton Ave/Memorial Dr

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | L | LT | R | UL | T | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 191 | 207 | 142 | 92 | 146 | 162 | 179 | 103 | 274 | 306 | 276 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 42 | 112 | 71 | 35 | 70 | 85 | 85 | 39 | 151 | 194 | 162 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 60 | 127 | 182 | 111 | 75 | 138 | 159 | 160 | 93 | 263 | 292 | 240 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 608 |  | 737 |  |  | 1073 | 1073 | 1073 |  |  | 587 | 587 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  |  |  | 300 | 250 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 10 |  |

Intersection: 179: US 13 \& Stanton Ave/Memorial Dr

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 238 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 137 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 202 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 587 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |

Intersection: 183: US 13 \& RT 273

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | R | UL | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 532 | 545 | 1636 | 1649 | 381 | 482 | 495 | 1519 | 1333 | 46 | 582 | 594 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 483 | 502 | 857 | 780 | 237 | 446 | 468 | 775 | 669 | 3 | 420 | 437 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 623 | 631 | 1835 | 1765 | 392 | 548 | 548 | 1518 | 1367 | 23 | 617 | 636 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1597 | 1597 |  |  |  | 1504 | 1504 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 13 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 520 | 520 |  |  | 515 | 470 | 470 |  |  | 470 | 570 | 570 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 16 | 52 | 0 |  |  | 4 | 46 |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 24 | 78 | 1 |  |  | 8 | 92 |  |  | 4 | 27 |  |

Intersection: 183: US 13 \& RT 273

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 820 | 630 | 206 | 224 | 125 | 99 | 112 | 460 | 438 | 502 | 483 | 395 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 176 | 146 | 163 | 166 | 11 | 25 | 46 | 335 | 340 | 355 | 353 | 191 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 496 | 311 | 207 | 217 | 58 | 68 | 86 | 467 | 478 | 492 | 500 | 370 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 |  |  |  | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 |  |

Intersection: 184: US 40 \& US 13

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 136 | 113 | 54 | 59 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 78 | 75 | 11 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 114 | 101 | 43 | 44 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 34 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 147 | 160 | 0 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | $R$ | UL | T | T | T | R | UL |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 275 | 669 | 275 | 325 | 680 | 325 | 555 | 1446 | 1437 | 1430 | 375 | 96 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 162 | 337 | 145 | 319 | 640 | 281 | 498 | 979 | 707 | 509 | 227 | 45 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 322 | 671 | 316 | 340 | 756 | 438 | 710 | 1720 | 1381 | 1057 | 525 | 94 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 654 |  |  | 641 |  |  | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 5 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 123 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  |  |  | 350 | 513 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 31 | 0 | 13 | 55 | 0 | 74 | 0 |  | 12 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 75 | 0 | 88 | 309 | 2 | 358 | 1 |  | 20 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 537 | 908 | 968 | 948 | 470 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 175 | 621 | 616 | 608 | 84 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 523 | 870 | 896 | 881 | 381 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1625 | 1625 | 1625 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 445 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 513 |  |  | 29 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 20 |  | 13 | 0 |

Intersection: 218: US 13 \& Roosevelt Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 71 | 94 | 121 | 152 | 481 | 487 | 462 | 225 | 1443 | 1516 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 32 | 61 | 37 | 118 | 111 | 61 | 138 | 201 | 351 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 73 | 109 | 91 | 430 | 382 | 229 | 202 | 599 | 1129 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 600 |  | 529 | 472 | 472 | 472 | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 9 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 53 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 219: US 13 \& Harrison Ave/Stahl Ave

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | T | TR | UL | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 75 | 177 | 240 | 238 | 261 | 158 | 380 | 392 | 223 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 53 | 52 | 46 | 46 | 78 | 130 | 123 | 113 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 55 | 123 | 161 | 142 | 139 | 135 | 228 | 229 | 193 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 799 |  | 650 | 650 | 650 |  | 472 | 472 | 472 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 585 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 585 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 220: US 13 \& Lincoln Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | L | T | T | T | TR | L | T | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 158 | 318 | 53 | 356 | 398 | 512 | 205 | 174 | 354 | 377 | 372 | 282 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 150 | 16 | 202 | 233 | 273 | 18 | 52 | 292 | 302 | 302 | 123 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 79 | 261 | 46 | 374 | 438 | 487 | 81 | 121 | 443 | 446 | 446 | 343 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 693 | 667 |  | 604 | 604 | 604 | 604 |  | 282 | 282 | 282 | 282 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 27 | 28 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 | 207 | 212 | 5 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 445 |  |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 220: US 13 \& Lincoln Ave

| Movement | B48 | B48 | B48 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 249 | 582 | 248 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 50 | 92 | 82 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 153 | 296 | 212 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 650 | 650 | 650 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 237: US 13 \& Llangollen Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LT | R | L | T | T | $R$ | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue ( ft$)$ | 110 | 65 | 47 | 65 | 184 | 428 | 412 | 40 | 130 | 859 | 860 | 20 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 24 | 20 | 17 | 23 | 11 | 205 | 211 | 4 | 44 | 163 | 145 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 70 | 49 | 43 | 49 | 36 | 333 | 349 | 19 | 101 | 429 | 412 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 656 | 656 | 496 | 496 |  | 3004 | 3004 |  |  | 1666 | 1666 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 160 |  |  | 475 | 300 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 269: US 13 \& State Hospital

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 751 | 200 | 289 | 16 | 84 | 107 | 93 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 656 | 198 | 178 | 2 | 32 | 42 | 45 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 909 | 205 | 278 | 10 | 72 | 88 | 84 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 705 |  | 276 |  | 950 | 950 | 950 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 75 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 409: US 13 \& RD 381

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 244 | 75 | 54 | 471 | 432 | 222 | 186 | 207 | 55 | 496 | 552 | 550 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 106 | 35 | 6 | 396 | 261 | 81 | 112 | 120 | 2 | 68 | 395 | 415 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 188 | 64 | 33 | 541 | 403 | 168 | 190 | 209 | 19 | 211 | 558 | 579 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 503 | 503 | 419 | 419 |  | 1302 | 1302 |  |  | 8307 | 8307 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 51 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 500 | 495 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 320 |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 24 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 48 |

Intersection: 409: US 13 \& RD 381

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | $R$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 340 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 187 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 455 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 315 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |

Intersection: 490: US 13 \& Sienni Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | L | T | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 66 | 23 | 64 | 172 | 145 | 66 | 92 | 174 | 203 | 52 | 354 | 482 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 5 | 11 | 93 | 45 | 32 | 25 | 57 | 68 | 18 | 184 | 146 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 20 | 37 | 161 | 116 | 59 | 71 | 131 | 134 | 47 | 286 | 290 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 632 | 632 | 632 | 502 | 502 | 502 |  | 8307 | 8307 |  |  | 3004 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 300 |  |  | 300 | 330 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 5 |

Intersection: 490: US 13 \& Sienni Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 451 | 355 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 162 | 24 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 308 | 133 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3004 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 330 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 |  |

Intersection: 530: US 13 \& Marsh Lane/Wildel Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | UL | T | T | T | UL | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 68 | 64 | 70 | 53 | 216 | 253 | 321 | 78 | 117 | 78 | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 17 | 21 | 27 | 22 | 108 | 132 | 143 | 52 | 57 | 28 | 33 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 43 | 52 | 61 | 48 | 208 | 239 | 273 | 73 | 120 | 64 | 69 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 540 |  |  | 2380 | 2380 | 2380 |  | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 75 |  | 75 | 800 |  |  |  | 450 |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 16 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 26 | 12 |  |  |

Intersection: 537: US 13 \& 2nd Ave

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 52 | 43 | 74 | 53 | 30 | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 25 | 34 | 15 | 27 | 18 | 10 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 598 |  | 1508 | 316 | 316 | 316 | 316 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 495 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 625: US 13 \& Hessler Blvd

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | L | LT | R | L | L | T | T | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 76 | 43 | 67 | 60 | 50 | 31 | 237 | 188 | 177 | 50 | 138 | 159 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 6 | 34 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 121 | 98 | 95 | 5 | 50 | 77 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 47 | 25 | 70 | 45 | 42 | 35 | 199 | 170 | 154 | 25 | 101 | 126 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 644 |  | 730 |  |  |  | 587 | 587 | 587 |  |  | 1327 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 100 | 350 | 350 |  |  |  | 150 | 390 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 625: US 13 \& Hessler Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 187 | 224 | 60 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 86 | 107 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 144 | 175 | 25 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1327 | 1327 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 480 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (ven) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 712: US 13 \& DE 71

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | $R$ | L | T | T | T | T | $R$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 273 | 22 | 51 | 138 | 138 | 141 | 160 | 76 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 124 | 5 | 14 | 64 | 49 | 70 | 88 | 32 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 221 | 19 | 36 | 134 | 116 | 143 | 167 | 64 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 402 |  |  | 1687 | 1687 | 1302 | 1302 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 100 | 650 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 2525

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | UL | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 275 | 669 | 275 | 325 | 680 | 325 | 216 | 217 | 503 | 538 | 506 | 375 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 218 | 428 | 182 | 313 | 585 | 316 | 137 | 137 | 384 | 407 | 386 | 127 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 328 | 727 | 342 | 344 | 724 | 387 | 226 | 219 | 523 | 573 | 539 | 409 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 654 |  |  | 640 |  |  |  | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 6 |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 | 530 |  | 11 | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 2 | 47 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 1 |  |  |  | 19 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 97 | 537 | 855 | 839 | 882 | 470 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 49 | 107 | 504 | 506 | 504 | 84 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 95 | 358 | 720 | 728 | 752 | 380 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 445 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 513 | 513 |  |  | 15 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 7 |  | 7 | 0 |

Intersection: 218: US 13 \& Roosevelt Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 52 | 92 | 110 | 53 | 23 | 43 | 86 | 219 | 232 | 233 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 17 | 26 | 44 | 18 | 1 | 6 | 22 | 129 | 149 | 156 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 48 | 65 | 86 | 51 | 9 | 23 | 56 | 189 | 207 | 224 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 594 |  | 523 | 472 | 472 | 472 | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# 2040 EXISTING CONFIGURATION SYNCHRO WORKSHEETS 

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个个 | \％ | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个4 | 「 | \％${ }^{*}$ | †ttt | F | \％${ }^{*}$ | tttt | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 439 | 348 | 81 | 218 | 252 | 51 | 397 | 3025 | 323 | 153 | 900 | 287 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 439 | 348 | 81 | 218 | 252 | 51 | 397 | 3025 | 323 | 153 | 900 | 287 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor（vph） | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 577 | 458 | 107 | 287 | 331 | 67 | 522 | 3979 | 425 | 201 | 1184 | 377 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 577 | 458 | 107 | 287 | 331 | 67 | 522 | 3979 | 425 | 201 | 1184 | 377 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 30.0 | 30.0 | 180.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 180.0 | 29.4 | 82.9 | 180.0 | 15.1 | 68.6 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 34.0 | 34.0 | 180.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 180.0 | 31.4 | 86.9 | 180.0 | 17.1 | 72.6 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.17 | 0.48 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 648 | 668 | 1583 | 362 | 373 | 1583 | 598 | 3093 | 1583 | 326 | 2584 | 1583 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | c0．17 | 0.13 |  | 0.08 | c0．09 |  | c0．15 | c0．62 |  | 0.06 | 0.18 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.27 |  |  | 0.24 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.89 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.04 | 0.87 | 1.29 | 0.27 | 0.62 | 0.46 | 0.24 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 71.2 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 78.6 | 79.4 | 0.0 | 72.4 | 46.5 | 0.0 | 78.3 | 39.3 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.15 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 1.21 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 14.7 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 11.9 | 22.0 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 129.7 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Delay（s） | 85.9 | 71.2 | 0.1 | 90.5 | 101.5 | 0.1 | 88.0 | 165.7 | 0.1 | 77.8 | 47.9 | 0.3 |
| Level of Service | F | E | A | F | F | A | F | F | A | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 72.0 |  |  | 87.0 |  |  | 143.2 |  |  | 41.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 108.0 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | Service |  | F |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 1.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 180.0 |  | Sum of los | time（s） |  |  | 23.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 101．1\％ |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | G |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A Analysis Period（min） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | t | $\dagger$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 577 | 458 | 107 | 287 | 331 | 67 | 522 | 3979 | 425 | 201 | 1184 | 377 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.89 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.04 | 0.87 | 1.29 | 0.27 | 0.61 | 0.46 | 0.24 |
| Control Delay | 87.7 | 74.0 | 0.1 | 94.7 | 104.0 | 0.0 | 88.5 | 162.1 | 0.1 | 82.1 | 48.2 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 87.7 | 74.0 | 0.1 | 94.7 | 104.0 | 0.0 | 88.5 | 162.1 | 0.1 | 82.1 | 48.2 | 0.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 347 | 269 | 0 | 173 | 206 | 0 | 305 | ~1739 | 0 | 122 | 213 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#446 | 335 | 0 | \#239 | \#298 | 0 | m325 | \#1754 | m0 | 176 | 355 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1593 |  |  | 1512 |  |  | 3260 |  |  | 3364 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 520 |  | 515 | 470 |  | 470 | 570 |  | 420 | 425 |  | 630 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 648 | 668 | 1583 | 362 | 373 | 1583 | 610 | 3092 | 1583 | 343 | 2584 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.89 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.04 | 0.86 | 1.29 | 0.27 | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.24 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 44 | 「 | \％ 1 | 个4 | 「 | 41 | †††t | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | $\dagger \dagger \dagger 1$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 475 | 300 | 424 | 525 | 400 | 95 | 291 | 1275 | 266 | 52 | 2725 | 604 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 475 | 300 | 424 | 525 | 400 | 95 | 291 | 1275 | 266 | 52 | 2725 | 604 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor（vph） | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 625 | 395 | 558 | 690 | 526 | 125 | 383 | 1677 | 350 | 68 | 3584 | 794 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 625 | 395 | 558 | 690 | 526 | 125 | 383 | 1677 | 350 | 68 | 3584 | 794 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 24.0 | 24.0 | 180.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 180.0 | 16.0 | 81.1 | 180.0 | 9.9 | 75.0 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 28.0 | 28.0 | 180.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 180.0 | 18.0 | 85.1 | 180.0 | 11.9 | 79.0 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.16 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.47 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 534 | 550 | 1583 | 610 | 629 | 1583 | 343 | 3029 | 1583 | 226 | 2812 | 1583 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．18 | 0.11 |  | c0．20 | 0.15 |  | c0．11 | 0.26 |  | 0.02 | c0．56 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.35 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.22 |  |  | 0.50 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.17 | 0.72 | 0.35 | 1.13 | 0.84 | 0.08 | 1.12 | 0.55 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 1.27 | 0.50 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 76.0 | 72.2 | 0.0 | 74.0 | 71.5 | 0.0 | 81.0 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 80.1 | 50.5 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.73 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 1.20 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 95.3 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 78.2 | 9.8 | 0.1 | 81.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 125.0 | 0.6 |
| Delay（s） | 171.3 | 77.0 | 0.6 | 152.2 | 81.3 | 0.1 | 140.7 | 31.9 | 0.3 | 96.6 | 165.8 | 0.6 |
| Level of Service | F | E | A | F | F | A | F | C | A | F | F | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 87.4 |  |  | 110.2 |  |  | 44.6 |  |  | 135.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 101.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.21 |  | 23.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 180.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | G |

Analysis Period（min） 15
C Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 |  | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | P |  | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 625 | 395 | 558 | 690 | 526 | 125 | 383 | 1677 | 350 | 68 | 3584 | 794 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.17 | 0.72 | 0.35 | 1.13 | 0.84 | 0.08 | 1.12 | 0.55 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 1.27 | 0.50 |
| Control Delay | 157.8 | 80.5 | 0.6 | 141.7 | 84.0 | 0.1 | 134.4 | 32.2 | 0.3 | 97.2 | 160.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 157.8 | 80.5 | 0.6 | 141.7 | 84.0 | 0.1 | 134.4 | 32.2 | 0.3 | 97.2 | 160.2 | 0.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | $\sim 451$ | 237 | 0 | $\sim 485$ | 321 | 0 | ~259 | 546 | 0 | 43 | ~1541 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#580 | 301 | 0 | \#617 | 394 | 0 | \#375 | 595 | 0 | m0 | \#1567 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1593 |  |  | 1512 |  |  | 3260 |  |  | 3364 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 520 |  | 515 | 470 |  | 470 | 570 |  | 420 | 425 |  | 630 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 534 | 550 | 1583 | 610 | 629 | 1583 | 343 | 3028 | 1583 | 343 | 2812 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.17 | 0.72 | 0.35 | 1.13 | 0.84 | 0.08 | 1.12 | 0.55 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 1.27 | 0.50 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 29 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 46 | 87 | 4340 | 14 | 74 | 1611 | 29 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 0.86 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 85.0 | 0.0 | 82.9 | 81.0 | 0.0 | 79.6 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 84.5 | 12.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 85.0 | 0.0 | 82.9 | 81.0 | 0.0 | 79.6 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 84.5 | 12.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 33 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 108 | 393 | 0 | 41 | 101 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 71 | 0 | 31 | 16 | 0 | m90 | m137 | m0 | 74 | 351 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) | 698 |  |  | 742 |  |  | 3364 |  |  | 2571 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 100 | 230 |  | 230 | 550 |  | 375 | 475 |  | 185 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 280 | 1583 | 224 | 236 | 1583 | 193 | 5023 | 1583 | 362 | 4851 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.45 | 0.86 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.02 |

## Intersection Summary

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  | $\rightarrow$ | \% | $\%$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% | ( | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 79 | 80 | 58 | 56 | 28 | 2367 | 21 | 296 | 4077 | 13 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.05 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.61 | 0.01 | 0.66 | 0.92 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 87.5 | 0.1 | 87.6 | 86.9 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 101.8 | 7.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 87.5 | 0.1 | 87.6 | 86.9 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 101.8 | 7.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 91 | 0 | 70 | 67 | 0 | 383 | 0 | 181 | 66 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 149 | 0 | 124 | 120 | 0 | m467 | m0 | m158 | m1442 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 698 |  |  | 742 |  | 3364 |  |  | 2571 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 100 | 230 |  | 230 |  | 375 | 475 |  | 185 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 277 | 1583 | 224 | 224 | 1583 | 3901 | 1583 | 472 | 4439 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.61 | 0.01 | 0.63 | 0.92 | 0.01 |

## Intersection Summary

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 38 | 105 | 3392 | 42 | 2016 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.47 | 0.80 | 0.31 | 0.48 |
| Control Delay | 1.2 | 20.4 | 5.0 | 26.6 | 2.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 1.2 | 20.4 | 5.2 | 26.6 | 2.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 4 | 52 | 11 | 120 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | m61 | 563 | 49 | 119 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  | 626 |  | 454 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 585 |  | 325 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 397 | 374 | 4214 | 293 | 4225 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 367 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.84 | 0.14 | 0.52 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 22 | 79 | 2401 | 49 | 4028 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 0.20 | 1.12 |
| Control Delay | 0.3 | 58.7 | 8.4 | 15.0 | 70.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay | 0.3 | 58.7 | 8.4 | 15.0 | 70.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 65 | 190 | 5 | -1999 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | m110 | 127 | m7 | \#2004 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  | 626 |  | 454 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 585 |  | 325 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 402 | 297 | 3596 | 306 | 3612 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.67 | 0.16 | 1.19 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }_{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }_{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | 个个4 | ${ }^{7}$ | ＊＊ | 个中4 | F＇ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 197 | 80 | 68 | 260 | 64 | 448 | 29 | 2450 | 208 | 215 | 1250 | 39 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 197 | 80 | 68 | 260 | 64 | 448 | 29 | 2450 | 208 | 215 | 1250 | 39 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1732 | 1583 | 1681 | 1717 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1732 | 1583 | 1681 | 1717 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 3433 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor（vph） | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 259 | 105 | 89 | 342 | 84 | 589 | 38 | 3222 | 274 | 283 | 1644 | 51 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 179 | 185 | 89 | 209 | 217 | 589 | 38 | 3222 | 274 | 283 | 1644 | 51 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 20.5 | 20.5 | 180.0 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 180.0 | 8.9 | 92.3 | 180.0 | 17.6 | 101.0 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 22.5 | 22.5 | 180.0 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 180.0 | 10.9 | 96.3 | 180.0 | 19.6 | 105.0 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.53 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.58 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 210 | 216 | 1583 | 239 | 244 | 1583 | 107 | 2720 | 1583 | 373 | 2966 | 1583 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | 0.11 | c0．11 |  | 0.12 | c0．13 |  | 0.02 | c0．63 |  | c0．08 | 0.32 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.06 |  |  | 0.37 |  |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.03 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.06 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 1.18 | 0.17 | 0.76 | 0.55 | 0.03 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 77.1 | 77.2 | 0.0 | 75.6 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 81.2 | 41.9 | 0.0 | 77.9 | 23.1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.28 | 0.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 27.6 | 27.5 | 0.1 | 28.5 | 30.6 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 85.8 | 0.2 | 9.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 104.7 | 104.7 | 0.1 | 104.1 | 106.4 | 0.7 | 105.4 | 113.6 | 0.2 | 87.0 | 23.8 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | F | F | A | F | F | A | F | F | A | F | C | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 84.2 |  |  | 44.6 |  |  | 104.7 |  |  | 32.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 74.1 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | E |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 180.0 |  | Sum of lost | time（s） |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 92．1\％ |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | F |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 179 | 185 | 89 | 209 | 217 | 589 | 38 | 3222 | 274 | 283 | 1644 | 51 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 1.19 | 0.17 | 0.76 | 0.55 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 109.4 | 108.8 | 0.1 | 108.0 | 109.5 | 0.7 | 105.6 | 113.9 | 0.2 | 91.6 | 24.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 109.4 | 108.8 | 0.1 | 108.0 | 109.5 | 0.7 | 105.6 | 113.9 | 0.2 | 91.6 | 24.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) | 221 | 228 | 0 | 257 | 268 | 0 | 46 | $\sim 1683$ | 0 | 170 | 440 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#364 | \#376 | 0 | \#418 | \#434 | 0 | m60 | \#1729 | 0 | 225 | 506 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 214 | 221 | 1583 | 242 | 248 | 1583 | 196 | 2718 | 1583 | 381 | 2999 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 1.19 | 0.17 | 0.74 | 0.55 | 0.03 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  | 4 |  | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 169 | 178 | 216 | 421 | 438 | 376 | 247 | 1907 | 224 | 87 | 3025 | 58 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.14 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 0.24 | 1.26 | 0.70 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 1.20 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 122.8 | 123.2 | 0.2 | 205.9 | 215.9 | 0.4 | 188.7 | 64.3 | 0.2 | 83.1 | 135.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 122.8 | 123.2 | 0.2 | 205.9 | 215.9 | 0.4 | 188.7 | 64.3 | 0.2 | 83.1 | 135.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 211 | 223 | 0 | $\sim 663$ | $\sim 700$ | 0 | ~371 | 756 | 0 | 51 | ~1583 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#374 | \#387 | 0 | \#905 | \#944 | 0 | \#572 | 809 | 0 | 82 | \#1638 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 186 | 194 | 1583 | 326 | 332 | 1583 | 196 | 2714 | 1583 | 381 | 2514 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.16 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 0.24 | 1.26 | 0.70 | 0.14 | 0.23 | 1.32 | 0.04 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | 4 | p |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ | * |  |  |  |  |  | * | 个4ヶ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 23 | 66 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 921 | 386 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 23 | 66 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 921 | 386 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 |  |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1374 |  |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% | 121\% | 121\% | 121\% | 121\% | 121\% | 121\% | 121\% | 121\% | 121\% | 121\% | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 30 | 87 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1211 | 508 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 30 | 7 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1211 | 302 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | custom | Perm |  |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 38.7 | 7.8 | 24.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 68.3 | 68.3 | 68.3 |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 40.7 | 9.8 | 26.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 71.3 | 71.3 | 71.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 631 | 129 | 308 |  |  |  |  |  | 1051 | 3021 | 940 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.02 | c0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c0.24 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | c0.15 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.19 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.69 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.32 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 26.6 | 50.8 | 42.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.9 | 13.0 | 12.2 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.34 | 1.01 | 2.57 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Delay (s) |  | 26.7 | 51.1 | 49.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 13.3 | 13.5 | 32.3 |
| Level of Service |  | C | D | D |  |  |  |  |  | B | B | C |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 44.8 |  |  | 49.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 19.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 23.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.45 |  | 14.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 120.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $58.3 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 1 | / |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 30 | 87 | 212 | 3 | 1211 | 508 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.44 |
| Control Delay | 25.4 | 15.4 | 55.1 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 4.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 25.4 | 15.4 | 55.1 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 4.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 15 | 0 | 148 | 1 | 140 | 1 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 36 | 46 | \#243 | m3 | 196 | 80 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 683 |  |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 683 | 339 | 307 | 1052 | 3023 | 1147 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.44 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 82 | 408 | 284 | 3 | 2361 | 70 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 1.20 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 25.9 | 150.1 | 205.7 | 0.7 | 9.0 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 25.9 | 150.1 | 205.7 | 0.7 | 9.0 | 0.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 42 | ~323 | ~282 | 0 | 490 | 2 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 78 | \#524 | \#456 | m0 | 162 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 683 |  |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 683 | 339 | 218 | 1003 | 2881 | 932 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 1.20 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.08 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\dagger$ |  | 7 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | P | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | WBL | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 12 | 42 | 50 | 8 | 62 | 2553 | 34 | 21 | 1310 | 26 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.84 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.46 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 48.5 | 0.0 | 53.7 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 73.5 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 48.5 | 0.0 | 53.7 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 74.7 | 4.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 9 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 13 | 547 | 0 | 17 | 46 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (f) | 27 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 28 | 697 | 0 | 45 | 57 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 2370 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 800 |  | 250 | 450 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 295 | 1583 | 295 | 1583 | 374 | 3042 | 1583 | 206 | 2840 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 108 | 601 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.84 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.59 | 0.02 |

[^7]

|  | 4 | \% | 7 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | WBL | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 20 | 66 | 42 | 5 | 25 | 1657 | 82 | 5 | 2508 | 8 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.87 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 50.3 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 14.6 | 0.1 | 68.2 | 8.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.3 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 14.6 | 0.1 | 68.2 | 8.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 14 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 5 | 253 | 0 | 4 | 65 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 39 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 14 | 321 | 0 | m8 | 87 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 2370 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 800 |  | 250 | 450 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 295 | 1583 | 295 | 1583 | 268 | 3104 | 1583 | 206 | 2890 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.87 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $t$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 29 | 67 | 117 | 117 | 325 | 28 | 2261 | 347 | 196 | 1093 | 20 |
| V/c Ratio | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.08 | 0.89 | 0.22 | 0.65 | 0.39 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 52.8 | 22.5 | 55.9 | 55.6 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 26.5 | 0.3 | 64.4 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 52.8 | 22.5 | 55.9 | 55.6 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 26.5 | 0.3 | 64.4 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 22 | 9 | 88 | 88 | 4 | 7 | 217 | 0 | 115 | 56 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 53 | 55 | 151 | 151 | 90 | m20 | \#790 | 0 | 197 | 64 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 598 |  | 727 |  |  | 1055 |  |  | 587 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 150 |  | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  | 300 | 250 |  | 255 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 196 | 229 | 266 | 268 | 519 | 377 | 2539 | 1583 | 355 | 2831 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.89 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 0.39 | 0.01 |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 4种 | F | ${ }^{1}$ | 4中4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 18 | 18 | 43 | 163 | 9 | 200 | 40 | 1009 | 331 | 209 | 1827 | 26 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 18 | 18 | 43 | 163 | 9 | 200 | 40 | 1009 | 331 | 209 | 1827 | 26 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.90 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1585 |  | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1585 |  | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 | 140 | 5085 | 1583 | 210 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor（vph） | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 24 | 24 | 57 | 214 | 12 | 263 | 53 | 1327 | 435 | 275 | 2403 | 34 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 22 | 31 | 0 | 113 | 113 | 36 | 53 | 1327 | 435 | 275 | 2403 | 34 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | Free |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 8.9 | 8.9 |  | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 58.8 | 51.1 | 120.0 | 75.7 | 62.0 | 120.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 10.9 | 10.9 |  | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 62.8 | 55.1 | 120.0 | 77.7 | 66.0 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.09 |  | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 1.00 | 0.65 | 0.55 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 152 | 143 |  | 229 | 231 | 216 | 205 | 2334 | 1583 | 403 | 2796 | 1583 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | c0．07 | 0.07 |  | 0.02 | 0.26 |  | c0．12 | c0．47 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 | 0.11 |  | c0．27 | 0.32 |  | 0.02 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.22 |  | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.57 | 0.27 | 0.68 | 0.86 | 0.02 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 50.3 | 50.6 |  | 48.0 | 47.9 | 45.8 | 20.4 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 23.0 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.37 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 1.71 | 0.76 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.6 | 1.0 |  | 2.3 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） | 50.9 | 51.6 |  | 50.2 | 50.1 | 46.3 | 28.9 | 15.1 | 0.4 | 43.0 | 21.2 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | D | D |  | D | D | D | C | B | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 51.5 |  |  | 48.1 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 23.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 22.2 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.74 |  | 17.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | C |

Analysis Period（min）
c Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  | 4 | , | 4 | \% |  | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 22 | 83 | 113 | 113 | 263 | 53 | 1327 | 435 | 275 | 2403 | 34 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.25 | 0.57 | 0.27 | 0.68 | 0.86 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 51.2 | 26.9 | 54.6 | 54.4 | 11.2 | 20.2 | 16.3 | 0.4 | 40.6 | 22.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 51.2 | 26.9 | 54.6 | 54.4 | 11.2 | 20.2 | 16.3 | 0.4 | 40.6 | 22.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 16 | 20 | 86 | 86 | 0 | 11 | 109 | 0 | 130 | 549 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 44 | 71 | 144 | 144 | 74 | 48 | 217 | 0 | 232 | \#800 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 598 |  | 727 |  |  | 1055 |  |  | 587 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 150 |  | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  | 300 | 250 |  | 255 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 196 | 235 | 294 | 296 | 494 | 226 | 2337 | 1583 | 477 | 2797 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.54 | 0.23 | 0.59 | 0.27 | 0.58 | 0.86 | 0.02 |

Intersection Summary
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

2040 PROPOSED SYNCHRO WORKSHEETS (WITH MEDIAN OPENINGS CLOSED)

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{4} 1$ | 44 | 「 |  | H＊ | $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ | 「 | ${ }_{1} 1$ | $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 439 | 348 | 81 | 218 | 252 | 51 | 61 | 397 | 3025 | 323 | 153 | 900 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 439 | 348 | 81 | 218 | 252 | 51 | 61 | 397 | 3025 | 323 | 153 | 900 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |  | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |  | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor（vph） | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 577 | 458 | 107 | 287 | 331 | 67 | 80 | 522 | 3979 | 425 | 201 | 1184 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 577 | 458 | 107 | 287 | 331 | 67 | 0 | 602 | 3979 | 425 | 201 | 1184 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 30.0 | 30.0 | 180.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 180.0 |  | 30.0 | 82.9 | 180.0 | 15.1 | 68.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 34.0 | 34.0 | 180.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 180.0 |  | 32.0 | 86.9 | 180.0 | 17.1 | 72.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 1.00 |  | 0.18 | 0.48 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.40 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 648 | 668 | 1583 | 362 | 373 | 1583 |  | 610 | 3093 | 1583 | 326 | 2563 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．17 | 0.13 |  | 0.08 | c0．09 |  |  | c0．18 | c0．62 |  | 0.06 | 0.18 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  |  | 0.27 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.89 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.04 |  | 0.99 | 1.29 | 0.27 | 0.62 | 0.46 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 71.2 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 78.6 | 79.4 | 0.0 |  | 73.8 | 46.5 | 0.0 | 78.3 | 39.7 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.14 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 1.20 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 14.7 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 11.9 | 22.0 | 0.1 |  | 17.4 | 129.7 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 0.6 |
| Delay（s） | 85.9 | 71.2 | 0.1 | 90.5 | 101.5 | 0.1 |  | 101.9 | 165.7 | 0.1 | 77.6 | 48.1 |
| Level of Service | F | E | A | F | F | A |  | F | F | A | E | D |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 72.0 |  |  | 87.0 |  |  |  | 144.0 |  |  | 41.3 |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | F |  |  |  | F |  |  | D |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 108.8 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.14 |  | 25.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 180.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | G |

Analysis Period（min）
15
c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| Latathtonfigurations | 「 |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 287 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 287 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |
| Fit | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 377 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 377 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.24 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.24 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | 0.3 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 |  | \% | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | - | $\frac{1}{\square}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 577 | 458 | 107 | 287 | 331 | 67 | 602 | 3979 | 425 | 201 | 1184 | 377 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.89 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.04 | 0.99 | 1.29 | 0.27 | 0.61 | 0.46 | 0.24 |
| Control Delay | 87.7 | 74.0 | 0.1 | 94.7 | 104.0 | 0.0 | 99.9 | 162.1 | 0.1 | 82.0 | 48.2 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 87.7 | 74.0 | 0.1 | 94.7 | 104.0 | 0.0 | 99.9 | 162.1 | 0.1 | 82.0 | 48.2 | 0.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 347 | 269 | 0 | 173 | 206 | 0 | 365 | ~1739 | 0 | 121 | 215 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#446 | 335 | 0 | \#239 | \#298 | 0 | m\#410 | \#1754 | m0 | 175 | 355 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1593 |  |  | 1512 |  |  | 3260 |  |  | 3364 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 520 |  | 515 | 470 |  | 470 | 570 |  | 420 | 425 |  | 630 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 648 | 668 | 1583 | 362 | 373 | 1583 | 610 | 3092 | 1583 | 343 | 2563 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.89 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.04 | 0.99 | 1.29 | 0.27 | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.24 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 中4 | 7 | 7 | 來 | F゙ |  | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | ††t $\dagger$ | 「＇ | ${ }^{17}$ | †t†t |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 475 | 300 | 424 | 525 | 400 | 95 | 79 | 291 | 1275 | 266 | 52 | 2725 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 475 | 300 | 424 | 525 | 400 | 95 | 79 | 291 | 1275 | 266 | 52 | 2725 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.86 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |  | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 |  | 3433 | 6408 | 1583 | 3433 | 6408 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor（vph） | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 625 | 395 | 558 | 690 | 526 | 125 | 104 | 383 | 1677 | 350 | 68 | 3584 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 625 | 395 | 558 | 690 | 526 | 125 | 0 | 487 | 1677 | 350 | 68 | 3584 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Free | Split | NA | Free | Prot | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 22.0 | 22.0 | 180.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 180.0 |  | 19.0 | 91.0 | 180.0 | 5.0 | 77.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 26.0 | 26.0 | 180.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 180.0 |  | 21.0 | 95.0 | 180.0 | 7.0 | 81.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 1.00 |  | 0.12 | 0.53 | 1.00 | 0.04 | 0.45 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 495 | 511 | 1583 | 553 | 570 | 1583 |  | 400 | 3382 | 1583 | 133 | 2883 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．18 | 0.11 |  | c0．20 | 0.15 |  |  | c0．14 | 0.26 |  | 0.02 | c0．56 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.35 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  |  | 0.22 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.26 | 0.77 | 0.35 | 1.25 | 0.92 | 0.08 |  | 1.22 | 0.50 | 0.22 | 0.51 | 1.24 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 77.0 | 74.2 | 0.0 | 75.5 | 74.4 | 0.0 |  | 79.5 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 84.8 | 49.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.72 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 1.10 | 0.79 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 133.6 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 126.0 | 20.9 | 0.1 |  | 116.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 111.0 |
| Delay（s） | 210.6 | 81.7 | 0.6 | 201.5 | 95.3 | 0.1 |  | 174.3 | 24.6 | 0.3 | 95.4 | 149.9 |
| Level of Service | F | F | A | F | F | A |  | F | C | A | F | F |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 104.1 |  |  | 141.1 |  |  |  | 50.2 |  |  | 122.4 |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | F |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |

Approach LOS F F D F

| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 103.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.26 |  | 25.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 180.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | H |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $109.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |

Analysis Period（min） 15
c Critical Lane Group


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ( | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 625 | 395 | 558 | 690 | 526 | 125 | 487 | 1677 | 350 | 68 | 3584 | 794 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.26 | 0.77 | 0.35 | 1.25 | 0.92 | 0.08 | 1.22 | 0.50 | 0.22 | 0.51 | 1.24 | 0.50 |
| Control Delay | 191.7 | 85.4 | 0.6 | 183.9 | 96.4 | 0.1 | 164.3 | 24.7 | 0.3 | 100.5 | 145.6 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 191.7 | 85.4 | 0.6 | 183.9 | 96.4 | 0.1 | 164.3 | 24.7 | 0.3 | 100.5 | 145.6 | 0.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | $\sim 475$ | 240 | 0 | $\sim 521$ | 327 | 0 | ~352 | 534 | 0 | 43 | ~1516 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#605 | 305 | 0 | \#653 | \#438 | 0 | \#476 | 577 | 0 | m48 | \#1541 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1593 |  |  | 1512 |  |  | 3260 |  |  | 3364 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 520 |  | 515 | 470 |  | 470 | 570 |  | 420 | 425 |  | 630 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 495 | 511 | 1583 | 553 | 570 | 1583 | 400 | 3382 | 1583 | 133 | 2883 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.26 | 0.77 | 0.35 | 1.25 | 0.92 | 0.08 | 1.22 | 0.50 | 0.22 | 0.51 | 1.24 | 0.50 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| Lat既Configurations | 「' |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 22 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 22 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 29 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 29 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | $4$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 29 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 46 | 87 | 4340 | 14 | 202 | 1611 | 29 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 0.91 | 0.01 | 0.56 | 0.33 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 85.0 | 0.0 | 82.9 | 81.0 | 0.0 | 79.6 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 13.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 85.0 | 0.0 | 82.9 | 81.0 | 0.0 | 79.6 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 13.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 33 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 108 | 393 | 0 | 125 | 101 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 71 | 0 | 31 | 16 | 0 | m90 | m138 | m0 | 160 | 380 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 698 |  |  | 742 |  |  | 3364 |  |  | 2571 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 100 | 230 |  | 230 | 550 |  | 375 | 475 |  | 185 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 280 | 1583 | 224 | 236 | 1583 | 193 | 4790 | 1583 | 382 | 4851 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.45 | 0.91 | 0.01 | 0.53 | 0.33 | 0.02 |

## Intersection Summary

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| Latatitonfigurations | 「 |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |
| Fit | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 13 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 13 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | \% | ( | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 79 | 80 | 58 | 56 | 28 | 2367 | 21 | 381 | 4077 | 13 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.05 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.64 | 0.01 | 0.70 | 0.92 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 87.5 | 0.1 | 87.6 | 86.9 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 97.4 | 7.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 87.5 | 0.1 | 87.6 | 86.9 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 97.4 | 7.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 91 | 0 | 70 | 67 | 0 | 321 | 0 | 222 | 73 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 149 | 0 | 124 | 120 | 0 | m346 | m0 | m199 | m1441 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 698 |  |  | 742 |  | 3364 |  |  | 2571 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 100 | 230 |  | 230 |  | 375 | 475 |  | 185 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 277 | 1583 | 224 | 224 | 1583 | 3727 | 1583 | 543 | 4439 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.64 | 0.01 | 0.70 | 0.92 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| L圱他¢Configurations |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 33 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 33 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  |
| Fit |  |
| Flt Protected |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 43 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 |
| Turn Type |  |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  |
| Progression Factor |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  |
| Delay (s) |  |
| Level of Service |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 38 | 105 | 3392 | 67 | 2016 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.48 | 0.81 | 0.44 | 0.48 |
| Control Delay | 1.6 | 19.6 | 4.8 | 38.3 | 2.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 1.6 | 19.6 | 4.9 | 38.3 | 2.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 4 | 58 | 34 | 117 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | m61 | 47 | 91 | 115 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  | 626 |  | 454 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 585 |  | 325 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 368 | 374 | 4164 | 293 | 4225 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 367 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.85 | 0.23 | 0.52 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| L圱他¢Configurations |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 13 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 13 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  |
| Fit |  |
| Flt Protected |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 17 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 |
| Turn Type |  |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  |
| Progression Factor |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  |
| Delay (s) |  |
| Level of Service |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 22 | 79 | 2401 | 136 | 4028 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.08 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 0.53 | 1.12 |
| Control Delay | 0.6 | 58.7 | 8.6 | 57.0 | 70.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay | 0.6 | 58.7 | 8.6 | 57.0 | 70.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 0 | 65 | 190 | 106 | ~1996 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | m110 | 127 | m115 | \#2003 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  | 626 |  | 454 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 585 |  | 325 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 323 | 297 | 3569 | 305 | 3612 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.67 | 0.45 | 1.19 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| Lans ${ }^{\text {² }}$ \%onfigurations | 444 | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1250 | 39 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1250 | 39 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1644 | 51 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1644 | 51 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 94.5 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 98.5 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.55 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 2782 | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.32 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.03 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.03 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 27.3 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 28.2 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | C | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 39.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | - | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 179 | 185 | 89 | 209 | 217 | 589 | 100 | 3222 | 274 | 347 | 1644 | 51 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 1.20 | 0.17 | 0.89 | 0.59 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 109.4 | 108.8 | 0.1 | 108.0 | 109.5 | 0.7 | 107.5 | 119.1 | 0.2 | 102.7 | 28.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 109.4 | 108.8 | 0.1 | 108.0 | 109.5 | 0.7 | 107.5 | 119.1 | 0.2 | 102.7 | 28.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 221 | 228 | 0 | 257 | 268 | 0 | 115 | ~1679 | 0 | 212 | 478 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#364 | \#376 | 0 | \#418 | \#434 | 0 | m164 | \#1730 | 0 | \#309 | 535 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 214 | 221 | 1583 | 242 | 248 | 1583 | 196 | 2694 | 1583 | 389 | 2779 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.37 | 0.51 | 1.20 | 0.17 | 0.89 | 0.59 | 0.03 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| Lans ${ }^{\text {² }}$ \%onfigurations | 444 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2300 | 44 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2300 | 44 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 3025 | 58 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 3025 | 58 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 85.0 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 89.0 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.49 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 2514 | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.59 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.04 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.20 | 0.04 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 45.5 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 95.5 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 141.0 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | F | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 136.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 169 | 178 | 216 | 421 | 438 | 376 | 334 | 1907 | 224 | 117 | 3025 | 58 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.14 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 0.24 | 1.70 | 0.71 | 0.14 | 0.43 | 1.20 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 122.8 | 123.2 | 0.2 | 205.9 | 215.9 | 0.4 | 367.9 | 63.2 | 0.2 | 83.2 | 135.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 122.8 | 123.2 | 0.2 | 205.9 | 215.9 | 0.4 | 367.9 | 63.2 | 0.2 | 83.2 | 135.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 211 | 223 | 0 | $\sim 663$ | $\sim 700$ | 0 | $\sim 588$ | 755 | 0 | 69 | ~1583 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#374 | \#387 | 0 | \#905 | \#944 | 0 | \#806 | 810 | 0 | 104 | \#1638 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 186 | 194 | 1583 | 326 | 332 | 1583 | 196 | 2670 | 1583 | 381 | 2514 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.16 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 0.24 | 1.70 | 0.71 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 1.33 | 0.04 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


|  | $\dagger$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| Larien ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ (onfigurations | 个个¢ | F |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 1250 | 39 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1250 | 39 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1644 | 51 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1644 | 51 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 112.0 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 116.0 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 3277 | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.32 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.03 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.50 | 0.03 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 16.8 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 17.4 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | B | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 39.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 179 | 185 | 89 | 209 | 217 | 589 | 100 | 3222 | 274 | 347 | 1644 | 51 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.07 | 1.07 | 0.06 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 1.06 | 0.17 | 1.07 | 0.50 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 160.3 | 160.2 | 0.1 | 154.2 | 158.0 | 0.7 | 112.6 | 52.9 | 0.2 | 143.3 | 17.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 160.3 | 160.2 | 0.1 | 154.2 | 158.0 | 0.7 | 112.6 | 52.9 | 0.2 | 143.3 | 17.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) | -244 | $\sim 253$ | 0 | -285 | ~301 | 0 | 62 | $\sim 1523$ | 0 | ~232 | 358 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#424 | \#438 | 0 | \#478 | \#496 | 0 | m82 | \#1561 | 0 | \#345 | 393 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 168 | 173 | 1583 | 196 | 200 | 1583 | 171 | 3051 | 1583 | 324 | 3277 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.07 | 1.07 | 0.06 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 1.06 | 0.17 | 1.07 | 0.50 | 0.03 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


|  |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| Lans ${ }^{\text {² }}$ \%onfigurations | 444 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2300 | 44 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2300 | 44 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 3025 | 58 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 3025 | 58 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 88.0 | 180.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 92.0 | 180.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.51 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 8.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 2599 | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.59 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.04 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.16 | 0.04 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 44.0 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 78.4 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 122.4 | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | F | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 118.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 169 | 178 | 216 | 421 | 438 | 376 | 334 | 1907 | 224 | 117 | 3025 | 58 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 1.01 | 1.02 | 0.14 | 1.13 | 1.16 | 0.24 | 1.25 | 0.71 | 0.14 | 0.56 | 1.16 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 148.1 | 148.9 | 0.2 | 146.5 | 154.6 | 0.4 | 182.4 | 60.4 | 0.2 | 92.9 | 118.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 148.1 | 148.9 | 0.2 | 146.5 | 154.6 | 0.4 | 182.4 | 60.4 | 0.2 | 92.9 | 118.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) | ~215 | ~232 | 0 | $\sim 603$ | $\sim 638$ | 0 | ~257 | 727 | 0 | 70 | $\sim 1545$ | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#398 | \#412 | 0 | \#845 | \#883 | 0 | \#370 | 781 | 0 | 108 | \#1601 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 638 |  |  | 637 |  |  | 1430 |  |  | 1595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  | 350 | 513 |  | 445 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 168 | 175 | 1583 | 373 | 379 | 1583 | 267 | 2683 | 1583 | 209 | 2599 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.01 | 1.02 | 0.16 | 1.13 | 1.16 | 0.24 | 1.25 | 0.71 | 0.14 | 0.56 | 1.31 | 0.04 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4种 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 23 | 66 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 921 | 386 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 23 | 66 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 921 | 386 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 |  |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1374 |  |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor（vph） | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 30 | 87 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1211 | 508 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 30 | 7 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1211 | 302 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | custom | Perm |  |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  | 38.7 | 7.8 | 24.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 68.3 | 68.3 | 68.3 |
| Effective Green，g（s） |  | 40.7 | 9.8 | 26.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 71.3 | 71.3 | 71.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
| Clearance Time（s） |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  | 631 | 129 | 308 |  |  |  |  |  | 1051 | 3021 | 940 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | 0.02 | c0．00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c0．24 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | c0．15 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  | 0.19 |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.69 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.40 | 0.32 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  | 26.6 | 50.8 | 42.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 10.1 | 13.0 | 12.2 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.30 | 1.06 | 3.52 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Delay（s） |  | 26.7 | 51.1 | 49.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 13.1 | 14.2 | 43.8 |
| Level of Service |  | C | D | D |  |  |  |  |  | B | B | D |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 44.8 |  |  | 49.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 22.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 26.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.45 |  | 14.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $58.3 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 30 | 87 | 212 | 33 | 1211 | 508 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.69 | 0.03 | 0.40 | 0.44 |
| Control Delay | 25.4 | 15.4 | 55.1 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 5.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 25.4 | 15.4 | 55.1 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 5.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 15 | 0 | 148 | 9 | 138 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 36 | 46 | \#243 | m27 | 207 | 99 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 683 |  |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 683 | 339 | 307 | 1052 | 3023 | 1147 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.69 | 0.03 | 0.40 | 0.44 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 个乐个 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 62 | 310 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1795 | 53 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 62 | 310 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1795 | 53 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 |  |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1311 |  |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor（vph） | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 82 | 408 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2361 | 70 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 82 | 332 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2361 | 40 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | custom | Perm |  |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  | 42.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 |
| Effective Green， g （s） |  | 44.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 68.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.37 | 0.17 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| Clearance Time（s） |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  | 683 | 263 | 218 |  |  |  |  |  | 1003 | 2881 | 897 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | 0.04 | c0．21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c0．46 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | c0．22 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |  | 0.03 |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.12 | 1.26 | 1.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 | 0.82 | 0.04 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  | 25.2 | 50.0 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 11.4 | 21.0 | 11.6 |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.32 | 0.10 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  | 0.1 | 145.1 | 165.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Delay（s） |  | 25.3 | 195.1 | 215.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 8.1 | 1.2 |
| Level of Service |  | C | F | F |  |  |  |  |  | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 166.7 |  |  | 215.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 7.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 50.2 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.01 |  | 14.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | E |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $84.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 82 | 408 | 284 | 24 | 2361 | 70 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 1.20 | 1.30 | 0.02 | 0.82 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 25.9 | 150.1 | 205.7 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 0.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 25.9 | 150.1 | 205.7 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 0.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 42 | ~323 | ~282 | 1 | 406 | 1 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 78 | \#524 | \#456 | m0 | 115 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 683 |  |  |  | 920 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  | 275 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 683 | 339 | 218 | 1003 | 2881 | 932 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 1.20 | 1.30 | 0.02 | 0.82 | 0.08 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | 4 |  | 7 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ | * | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | WBL | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 12 | 42 | 50 | 8 | 101 | 2553 | 34 | 53 | 1310 | 26 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0.86 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.49 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 48.5 | 0.0 | 53.7 | 0.0 | 50.3 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 80.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 48.5 | 0.0 | 53.7 | 0.0 | 50.3 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 102.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 9 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 71 | 575 | 0 | 44 | 9 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 27 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 127 | \#762 | 0 | m86 | 10 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 2370 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 800 |  | 250 | 450 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 295 | 1583 | 295 | 1583 | 262 | 2961 | 1583 | 213 | 2668 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 111 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0.86 | 0.02 | 0.78 | 0.51 | 0.02 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th perc | queue | metered | by upstr | m sign |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | \% | ( | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | WBL | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 20 | 66 | 42 | 5 | 53 | 1657 | 82 | 100 | 2508 | 8 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.43 | 0.87 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 50.3 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 18.7 | 0.1 | 75.4 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.3 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 18.7 | 0.1 | 173.5 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 14 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 11 | 291 | 0 | 83 | 16 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 39 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 27 | 383 | 0 | m94 | 30 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 2370 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 800 |  | 250 | 450 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 295 | 1583 | 295 | 1583 | 271 | 2861 | 1583 | 239 | 2868 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 67 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 2.04 | 0.90 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \％ | 个种 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个乐 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 24 | 7 | 42 | 168 | 10 | 247 | 16 | 21 | 1719 | 244 | 169 | 811 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 24 | 7 | 42 | 168 | 10 | 247 | 16 | 21 | 1719 | 244 | 169 | 811 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1720 | 1583 | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.24 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1720 | 1583 | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 |  | 453 | 5085 | 1583 | 122 | 5085 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor（vph） | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 32 | 9 | 55 | 221 | 13 | 325 | 21 | 28 | 2261 | 321 | 222 | 1067 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 20 | 21 | 4 | 117 | 117 | 49 | 0 | 49 | 2261 | 321 | 222 | 1067 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.2 |  | 62.9 | 55.2 | 120.0 | 76.8 | 63.1 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.2 |  | 66.9 | 59.2 | 120.0 | 78.8 | 67.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |  | 0.56 | 0.49 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.56 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 140 | 143 | 105 | 226 | 228 | 213 |  | 359 | 2508 | 1583 | 321 | 2843 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | c0．07 | 0.07 |  |  | 0.01 | c0．44 |  | c0．10 | 0.21 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.03 |  | 0.06 |  | c0．20 | 0.35 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.23 |  | 0.14 | 0.90 | 0.20 | 0.69 | 0.38 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 52.4 | 48.3 | 48.2 | 46.3 |  | 12.1 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 33.7 | 14.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.32 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 2.18 | 0.47 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 0.8 |  | 0.2 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 0.4 |
| Delay（s） | 51.7 | 51.7 | 52.6 | 50.9 | 50.8 | 47.1 |  | 16.2 | 26.5 | 0.2 | 79.9 | 7.3 |
| Level of Service | D | D | D | D | D | D |  | B | C | A | E | A |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 52.2 |  |  | 48.7 |  |  |  | 23.1 |  |  | 19.5 |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  |  | C |  |  | B |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 25.8 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.76 | Sum of lost time（s） | 19.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | D |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $75.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |

Analysis Period（min） 15
c Critical Lane Group

|  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| Lâde'Configurations | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 20 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 20 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 120.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 120.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.01 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 |  | \% | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | - | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 20 | 21 | 55 | 117 | 117 | 325 | 49 | 2261 | 321 | 222 | 1067 | 20 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.13 | 0.90 | 0.20 | 0.69 | 0.38 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 52.7 | 52.7 | 1.3 | 55.9 | 55.6 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 28.6 | 0.2 | 67.5 | 7.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 52.7 | 52.7 | 1.3 | 55.9 | 55.6 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 28.6 | 0.2 | 67.5 | 7.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 15 | 15 | 0 | 88 | 88 | 4 | 13 | 240 | 0 | 136 | 54 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 42 | 43 | 0 | 151 | 151 | 90 | m38 | \#790 | 0 | 221 | 63 | m0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 598 |  |  | 727 |  |  | 1055 |  |  | 587 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 150 |  | 250 | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  | 300 | 250 |  | 255 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 196 | 200 | 354 | 266 | 268 | 519 | 385 | 2504 | 1583 | 364 | 2841 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.63 | 0.13 | 0.90 | 0.20 | 0.61 | 0.38 | 0.01 |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「＇ |  | ＊ | 444 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 革乐 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 18 | 18 | 43 | 163 | 9 | 200 | 26 | 40 | 1009 | 230 | 310 | 1726 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 18 | 18 | 43 | 163 | 9 | 200 | 26 | 40 | 1009 | 230 | 310 | 1726 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 1763 | 1583 | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.09 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1681 | 1763 | 1583 | 1681 | 1694 | 1583 |  | 163 | 5085 | 1583 | 168 | 5085 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor（vph） | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ | 121\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 24 | 24 | 57 | 214 | 12 | 263 | 34 | 53 | 1327 | 302 | 408 | 2270 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 22 | 26 | 4 | 113 | 113 | 36 | 0 | 87 | 1327 | 303 | 408 | 2270 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.4 |  | 52.4 | 43.8 | 120.0 | 76.3 | 61.7 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 10.3 | 10.3 | 8.3 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 |  | 56.4 | 47.8 | 120.0 | 78.3 | 65.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 |  | 0.47 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 0.65 | 0.55 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |  | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 144 | 151 | 109 | 229 | 231 | 216 |  | 218 | 2025 | 1583 | 490 | 2784 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | c0．07 | 0.07 |  |  | 0.04 | 0.26 |  | c0．20 | c0．45 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.02 |  | 0.15 |  | c0．19 | 0.35 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.17 |  | 0.40 | 0.66 | 0.19 | 0.83 | 0.82 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 50.8 | 50.9 | 52.1 | 48.0 | 47.9 | 45.8 |  | 21.1 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 32.1 | 22.2 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.37 | 0.54 | 1.00 | 1.49 | 0.76 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 0.5 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 11.9 | 2.7 |
| Delay（s） | 51.5 | 51.6 | 52.3 | 50.2 | 50.1 | 46.3 |  | 30.6 | 17.6 | 0.3 | 59.6 | 19.5 |
| Level of Service | D | D | D | D | D | D |  | C | B | A | E | B |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 52.0 |  |  | 48.1 |  |  |  | 15.2 |  |  | 25.3 |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  |  | B |  |  | C |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 24.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.75 |  | 19.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 120.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | C |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $70.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

Period（min）
C Critical Lane Group

|  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBR |
| LathéEonfigurations | 「 |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 26 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 26 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 |
| Fit | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor (vph) | 121\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 34 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 34 |
| Turn Type | Free |
| Protected Phases |  |
| Permitted Phases | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 120.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 120.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1583 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.02 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 |
| Delay (s) | 0.0 |
| Level of Service | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | - | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 22 | 26 | 57 | 113 | 113 | 263 | 87 | 1327 | 303 | 408 | 2270 | 34 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.39 | 0.65 | 0.19 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 52.5 | 52.8 | 1.3 | 54.6 | 54.4 | 11.2 | 28.8 | 18.3 | 0.3 | 58.2 | 20.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 52.5 | 52.8 | 1.3 | 54.6 | 54.4 | 11.2 | 28.8 | 18.4 | 0.3 | 58.2 | 21.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 16 | 20 | 0 | 86 | 86 | 0 | 25 | 118 | 0 | 249 | 501 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 44 | 50 | 0 | 144 | 144 | 74 | 84 | 154 | 0 | \#433 | \#682 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 598 |  |  | 727 |  |  | 1055 |  |  | 587 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 150 |  | 150 | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  | 300 | 250 |  | 255 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 196 | 205 | 354 | 294 | 296 | 494 | 232 | 2027 | 1583 | 499 | 2783 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.54 | 0.38 | 0.69 | 0.19 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.02 |

Intersection Summary
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

2040 PROPOSED SIMTRAFFIC QUEUE WORKSHEETS (WITH MEDIAN OPENINGS CLOSED)

## Intersection: 1: US 13 \& Rogers Rd

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 3: US 13
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 4: Rogers Rd \& Heald St
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Queuing and Blocking Report
AM 2040 with U-Turn Closures
Intersection: 6: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 116 | 366 | 340 | 183 | 213 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 40 | 137 | 145 | 101 | 99 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 89 | 287 | 295 | 161 | 170 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 302 | 389 | 389 | 287 | 287 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8: US 13 \& New Castle Airport/School Lane

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LT | R | L | T | T | T | T | UL | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 89 | 20 | 56 | 53 | 221 | 3324 | 3360 | 3343 | 3401 | 221 | 129 | 152 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 2 | 10 | 19 | 62 | 355 | 377 | 413 | 364 | 95 | 48 | 48 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 56 | 11 | 35 | 46 | 142 | 1315 | 1345 | 1367 | 1351 | 178 | 98 | 129 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 690 |  | 746 |  |  | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 |  |  | 2589 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 100 |  | 230 | 550 |  |  |  |  | 475 | 475 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: US 13 \& New Castle Airport/School Lane

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 214 | 196 | 245 | 19 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 43 | 51 | 83 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 134 | 141 | 211 | 6 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2589 | 2589 | 2589 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 185 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 2 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 9: State Hospital

| Movement | EB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 276 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 12: US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 15: US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 16: US 13 \& I-295 Ramp

| Movement | SE |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 324 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 128 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 259 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 309 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 20: US 13 \& Police Exit

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 59 | 56 | 117 | 154 | 187 | 29 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 4 | 2 | 61 | 80 | 112 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 36 | 26 | 19 | 109 | 133 | 168 | 9 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 276 | 78 | 78 | 1073 | 1073 | 1073 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 300 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 27: US 13 \& US 202 Ramp

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 2588 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 92 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 885 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2589 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 36: US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Bik Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 39: US 202 Ramp \& US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 42: US 13 \& 3rd Ave.

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 332 | 354 | 358 | 333 | 328 | 155 | 176 | 159 | 184 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 125 | 130 | 139 | 137 | 122 | 65 | 86 | 75 | 88 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 346 | 359 | 378 | 368 | 259 | 156 | 189 | 169 | 196 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 316 | 316 | 316 | 316 |  | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 325 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 46: US 13 \& Firehouse

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 226 | 244 | 226 | 231 | 92 | 117 | 116 | 118 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 210 | 208 | 208 | 208 | 39 | 62 | 46 | 67 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 220 | 220 | 218 | 228 | 84 | 110 | 93 | 114 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 58: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement | SB | SB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 22 | 70 | 175 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 8 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 7 | 35 | 95 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 389 | 389 | 160 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 66: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Queuing and Blocking Report
AM 2040 with U-Turn Closures
Intersection: 178: US 13 \& Heald St

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 341 | 335 | 272 | 282 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 141 | 174 | 166 | 153 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 267 | 289 | 252 | 244 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 884 | 884 | 385 | 385 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 179: US 13 \& Stanton Ave/Memorial Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | L | LT | R | UL | T | T | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 91 | 265 | 325 | 200 | 145 | 372 | 391 | 428 | 324 | 233 | 68 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 31 | 77 | 146 | 132 | 46 | 237 | 242 | 230 | 34 | 145 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 17 | 74 | 204 | 249 | 213 | 114 | 348 | 354 | 354 | 83 | 217 | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 608 |  | 737 |  |  | 1073 | 1073 | 1073 |  |  | 587 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  |  |  | 300 | 250 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 3 | 5 |  | 42 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 12 | 12 |  | 18 |  | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 179: US 13 \& Stanton Ave/Memorial Dr

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 173 | 188 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 38 | 58 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 85 | 112 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 587 | 587 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
AM 2040 with U-Turn Closures
7/25/2016
Intersection: 183: US 13 \& RT 273

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | R | UL | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 359 | 430 | 263 | 300 | 38 | 327 | 419 | 401 | 390 | 56 | 450 | 595 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 262 | 294 | 192 | 196 | 11 | 154 | 195 | 199 | 198 | 14 | 271 | 546 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 363 | 409 | 255 | 281 | 38 | 258 | 314 | 325 | 320 | 49 | 399 | 739 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1597 | 1597 |  |  |  | 1504 | 1504 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 515 | 470 | 470 |  |  | 470 | 570 | 570 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 520 | 520 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Intersection: 183: US 13 \& RT 273

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 3289 | 3297 | 3311 | 3288 | 445 | 202 | 206 | 332 | 330 | 323 | 336 | 258 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2418 | 2427 | 2448 | 2468 | 350 | 93 | 98 | 160 | 164 | 180 | 171 | 104 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4113 | 4111 | 4116 | 4120 | 598 | 157 | 164 | 281 | 266 | 282 | 291 | 225 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 |  |  |  | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 12 | 8 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 420 | 425 | 425 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 37 |  |  | 45 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 205 |  |  | 177 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 184: US 40 \& US 13

## Movement

Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue ( ft )
95th Queue ( ft )
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage BIk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | UL | T | T | T | R | UL |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 275 | 669 | 275 | 221 | 680 | 325 | 555 | 1458 | 1490 | 1469 | 375 | 235 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 250 | 430 | 134 | 114 | 609 | 303 | 181 | 1092 | 1106 | 1094 | 201 | 153 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 316 | 639 | 351 | 209 | 838 | 410 | 515 | 1945 | 1938 | 1944 | 508 | 229 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 654 |  |  | 641 |  |  | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 7 | 10 | 9 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 77 | 108 | 94 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  |  |  | 350 | 513 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 2 | 47 | 0 |  | 1 | 73 | 0 | 33 |  | 36 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 6 | 94 | 1 |  | 8 | 285 | 0 | 30 |  | 90 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 538 | 927 | 981 | 963 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 169 | 254 | 286 | 311 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 317 | 533 | 558 | 651 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1625 | 1625 | 1625 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 513 |  |  | 5 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 4 |  | 2 |

Intersection: 218: US 13 \& Roosevelt Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 122 | 163 | 125 | 182 | 486 | 491 | 492 | 130 | 130 | 1504 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 53 | 54 | 63 | 76 | 212 | 232 | 241 | 17 | 22 | 130 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 97 | 119 | 131 | 148 | 530 | 544 | 546 | 64 | 73 | 758 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 600 |  | 529 | 472 | 472 | 472 | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 21 | 26 | 21 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 6 | 4 | 9 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 6 | 2 | 9 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 219: US 13 \& Harrison Ave/Stahl Ave

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | T | TR | UL | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 96 | 152 | 430 | 485 | 486 | 131 | 180 | 202 | 241 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 43 | 31 | 101 | 122 | 136 | 51 | 45 | 62 | 86 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 84 | 86 | 315 | 356 | 376 | 106 | 126 | 146 | 185 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 799 |  | 650 | 650 | 650 |  | 472 | 472 | 472 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 585 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 585 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 220: US 13 \& Lincoln Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | T | T | TR | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 94 | 31 | 26 | 281 | 360 | 345 | 31 | 116 | 169 | 220 | 240 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 84 | 130 | 157 | 8 | 45 | 73 | 93 | 94 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 82 | 10 | 12 | 180 | 242 | 263 | 26 | 97 | 171 | 213 | 226 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 693 | 667 |  |  | 604 | 604 | 604 | 604 |  | 282 | 282 | 282 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 300 | 445 |  |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 220: US 13 \& Lincoln Ave

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 17 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 8 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 282 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 237: US 13 \& Llangollen Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | $R$ | LT | R | L | T | T | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 89 | 19 | 47 | 437 | 184 | 478 | 511 | 108 | 190 | 182 | 20 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 27 | 1 | 16 | 148 | 13 | 302 | 304 | 33 | 89 | 82 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 67 | 6 | 37 | 274 | 70 | 464 | 485 | 72 | 179 | 177 | 16 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 656 | 656 | 496 | 496 |  | 3004 | 3004 |  | 1666 | 1666 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 160 |  |  | 300 |  |  | 300 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 269: US 13 \& State Hospital

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 91 | 118 | 247 | 65 | 200 | 203 | 205 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 73 | 105 | 12 | 137 | 142 | 142 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 59 | 114 | 196 | 35 | 187 | 204 | 203 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 705 |  | 276 |  | 950 | 950 | 950 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 409: US 13 \& RD 381

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 299 | 158 | 56 | 142 | 432 | 1025 | 1314 | 1322 | 525 | 96 | 181 | 184 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 129 | 73 | 2 | 70 | 214 | 288 | 1240 | 1248 | 306 | 45 | 80 | 98 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 240 | 138 | 19 | 124 | 372 | 992 | 1465 | 1466 | 722 | 95 | 149 | 169 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 503 | 503 | 419 | 419 |  | 1302 | 1302 |  |  | 8307 | 8307 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 94 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 320 |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |  | 500 | 495 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 40 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 409: US 13 \& RD 381

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 100 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 40 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 315 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 490: US 13 \& Sienni Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | L | T | T | $R$ | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 109 | 66 | 53 | 200 | 198 | 199 | 324 | 3138 | 3114 | 325 | 232 | 205 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 49 | 19 | 15 | 126 | 76 | 97 | 53 | 1840 | 1867 | 186 | 114 | 89 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 97 | 51 | 37 | 185 | 167 | 171 | 212 | 3336 | 3388 | 430 | 198 | 159 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 632 | 632 | 632 | 502 | 502 | 502 |  | 8307 | 8307 |  |  | 3004 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 300 |  |  | 300 | 330 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | 38 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 57 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 490: US 13 \& Sienni Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 200 | 29 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 107 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 182 | 14 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3004 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 530: US 13 \& Marsh Lane/Wildel Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | UL | T | T | T | R | UL | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 45 | 43 | 97 | 31 | 116 | 297 | 301 | 360 | 275 | 56 | 107 | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 13 | 7 | 40 | 3 | 56 | 186 | 202 | 215 | 0 | 34 | 25 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 37 | 27 | 88 | 19 | 97 | 282 | 293 | 329 | 0 | 60 | 79 | 41 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 540 |  | 402 |  | 2380 | 2380 | 2380 |  |  | 78 | 78 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 75 |  | 75 |  | 800 |  |  |  | 250 | 450 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |

Intersection: 530: US 13 \& Marsh Lane/Wildel Ave

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 61 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 78 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 537: US 13 \& 2nd Ave

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 26 | 1531 | 1538 | 1544 | 1515 | 30 | 53 | 52 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 697 | 701 | 702 | 682 | 5 | 16 | 13 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 9 | 1732 | 1737 | 1735 | 1712 | 23 | 47 | 43 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 316 | 316 | 316 | 316 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 15 | 15 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 625: US 13 \& Hessler Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | L | L | T | T | T | $R$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 29 | 96 | 18 | 26 | 86 | 81 | 28 | 53 | 213 | 237 | 268 | 175 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 30 | 1 | 7 | 29 | 28 | 1 | 26 | 114 | 140 | 139 | 18 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 11 | 68 | 6 | 23 | 63 | 66 | 9 | 58 | 199 | 222 | 232 | 74 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 644 | 644 |  | 730 |  |  |  | 587 | 587 | 587 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 350 | 350 |  |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 230 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |

Intersection: 625: US 13 \& Hessler Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 94 | 176 | 229 | 269 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 56 | 119 | 125 | 142 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 96 | 178 | 191 | 218 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1327 | 1327 | 1327 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 390 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 712: US 13 \& DE 71

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 441 | 125 | 674 | 1750 | 1739 | 243 | 275 | 94 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 396 | 18 | 56 | 1018 | 1016 | 155 | 165 | 42 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 460 | 90 | 339 | 2012 | 2014 | 246 | 250 | 87 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 402 |  |  | 1687 | 1687 | 1302 | 1302 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 79 |  |  | 19 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 380 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 100 | 650 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 89 | 0 |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 1940

## With Dual US 13 NB-Left Turn Lanes at Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

Queuing and Blocking Report
2 NB LTL at Boulden Blvd AM 2040 with U-Turn Closures

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | UL | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 275 | 669 | 275 | 324 | 692 | 325 | 153 | 555 | 1416 | 1436 | 1461 | 375 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 237 | 438 | 165 | 135 | 613 | 304 | 53 | 156 | 874 | 902 | 918 | 230 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 330 | 652 | 373 | 271 | 822 | 402 | 110 | 535 | 1568 | 1595 | 1638 | 525 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 654 |  |  | 640 |  |  |  | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 |  |  | 68 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 10 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 | 530 |  |  |  | 350 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 2 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 69 |  | 0 | 32 |  | 36 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 6 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 271 |  | 0 | 29 |  | 91 | 1 |

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 275 | 261 | 290 | 319 | 335 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 158 | 150 | 191 | 224 | 215 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 235 | 226 | 277 | 298 | 300 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 513 | 513 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 218: US 13 \& Roosevelt Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 121 | 116 | 124 | 178 | 272 | 234 | 218 | 48 | 62 | 83 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 55 | 51 | 76 | 81 | 21 | 33 | 31 | 6 | 12 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 104 | 92 | 127 | 169 | 116 | 124 | 116 | 29 | 40 | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 594 |  | 523 | 472 | 472 | 472 | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 6 | 1 | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 6 | 0 | 10 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 1: US 13 \& Rogers Rd

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 3: US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 4: Rogers Rd \& Heald St

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 6: US 13 \& l-495 Ramp

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 121 | 138 | 248 | 279 | 181 | 179 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 | 52 | 122 | 137 | 93 | 90 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 41 | 105 | 244 | 264 | 153 | 149 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 302 | 389 | 389 | 287 | 287 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: US 13 \& New Castle Airport/School Lane

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | L | LT | R | T | T | T | T | UL | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 241 | 125 | 146 | 176 | 53 | 373 | 411 | 453 | 443 | 248 | 260 | 238 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 93 | 41 | 35 | 91 | 9 | 199 | 221 | 263 | 215 | 151 | 168 | 117 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 185 | 108 | 98 | 164 | 33 | 347 | 372 | 426 | 376 | 256 | 266 | 187 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 690 |  |  | 746 |  | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 |  | 2589 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 475 | 475 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 100 | 230 |  | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: US 13 \& New Castle Airport/School Lane

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 255 | 272 | 297 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 125 | 154 | 193 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 197 | 236 | 277 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2589 | 2589 | 2589 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 18 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 2 |

## Intersection: 9: State Hospital

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 93 | 96 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 32 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 69 | 60 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 276 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 900 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 15: US 13

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 16: US 13 \& I-295 Ramp

| Movement | SB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 33 | 324 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 148 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 11 | 250 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1203 | 309 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 20: US 13 \& Police Exit

| Movement | EB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 141 | 52 | 454 | 481 | 485 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 32 | 2 | 236 | 260 | 266 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 95 | 18 | 406 | 436 | 439 | 14 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 276 | 78 | 1073 | 1073 | 1073 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 27: US 13 \& US 202 Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 36: US 13

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 395 | 398 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 178 | 184 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 378 | 393 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 384 | 384 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 10 | 19 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 39: US 202 Ramp \& US 13

| Movement | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 510 | 596 | 636 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 18 | 38 | 23 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 0 | 174 | 267 | 218 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1095 | 604 | 604 | 604 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 42: US 13 \& 3rd Ave.

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 71 | 52 | 56 | 53 | 205 | 82 | 3098 | 50 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 87 | 12 | 131 | 8 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 56 | 43 | 28 | 31 | 164 | 49 | 1075 | 32 | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 316 | 316 | 316 | 316 |  | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 325 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 46: US 13 \& Firehouse

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 244 | 226 | 226 | 207 | 362 | 353 | 395 | 400 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 209 | 201 | 194 | 177 | 184 | 208 | 230 | 262 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 225 | 230 | 235 | 241 | 379 | 391 | 451 | 440 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 | 1508 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 58: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement | SB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 72 | 136 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 37 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 29 | 89 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 389 | 160 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 66: US 13 \& I-495 Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 178: US 13 \& Heald St

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 267 | 266 | 264 | 296 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 133 | 166 | 186 | 164 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 229 | 250 | 252 | 236 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 884 | 884 | 385 | 385 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 179: US 13 \& Stanton Ave/Memorial Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | L | LT | R | UL | T | T | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 74 | 164 | 178 | 200 | 95 | 243 | 272 | 242 | 76 | 274 | 366 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 25 | 47 | 105 | 88 | 37 | 101 | 114 | 113 | 19 | 216 | 256 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 15 | 61 | 120 | 158 | 158 | 71 | 179 | 197 | 193 | 58 | 325 | 361 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 608 |  | 737 |  |  | 1073 | 1073 | 1073 |  |  | 587 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  | 350 |  | 175 | 120 |  |  |  | 300 | 250 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 12 |  |  |  | 7 | 10 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  | 10 |  |  |  | 48 | 36 |

Intersection: 179: US 13 \& Stanton Ave/Memorial Dr

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 309 | 309 | 280 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 215 | 182 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 319 | 283 | 96 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 587 | 587 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 183: US 13 \& RT 273

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | $R$ | L | L | T | T | $R$ | UL | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 532 | 545 | 1649 | 1612 | 540 | 482 | 494 | 1538 | 1543 | 106 | 582 | 595 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 483 | 499 | 1020 | 946 | 445 | 459 | 476 | 1304 | 1244 | 7 | 445 | 454 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 631 | 633 | 2061 | 1990 | 653 | 541 | 562 | 2015 | 1966 | 44 | 721 | 744 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1597 | 1597 |  |  |  | 1504 | 1504 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 17 | 4 |  |  |  | 61 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 520 | 520 |  |  | 515 | 470 | 470 |  |  | 470 | 570 | 570 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 9 | 50 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 3 | 63 | 3 |  |  | 13 | 48 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 17 | 91 | 1 | 6 | 77 | 7 | 154 | 21 |  |  | 52 | 185 |

Intersection: 183: US 13 \& RT 273

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 2240 | 2064 | 220 | 265 | 52 | 156 | 449 | 486 | 464 | 505 | 477 | 439 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 912 | 502 | 149 | 152 | 14 | 39 | 71 | 343 | 354 | 365 | 364 | 160 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 2197 | 1583 | 216 | 233 | 40 | 86 | 202 | 486 | 492 | 507 | 521 | 303 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 | 3272 |  |  |  | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 | 3346 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 420 | 425 | 425 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 184: US 40 \& US 13

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 135 | 158 | 47 | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 88 | 82 | 11 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 121 | 114 | 40 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 40 | 42 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 214 | 221 | 0 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | UL | T | T | T | R | UL |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 275 | 698 | 275 | 325 | 675 | 325 | 555 | 1448 | 1429 | 1446 | 375 | 118 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 209 | 613 | 254 | 320 | 644 | 269 | 495 | 1079 | 629 | 487 | 124 | 55 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 338 | 814 | 339 | 336 | 741 | 419 | 731 | 1815 | 1297 | 985 | 406 | 116 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 654 |  |  | 641 |  |  | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 34 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 37 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 276 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 |  |  |  | 350 | 513 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 64 | 12 | 5 | 55 | 0 | 80 | 0 |  | 9 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 185 | 37 | 37 | 372 | 3 | 466 | 0 |  | 19 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 538 | 1194 | 1199 | 1250 | 470 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 247 | 988 | 1017 | 1023 | 50 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 632 | 1267 | 1323 | 1332 | 288 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1625 | 1625 | 1625 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 445 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 513 |  |  | 51 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 48 |  | 27 | 0 |

Intersection: 218: US 13 \& Roosevelt Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 68 | 74 | 93 | 118 | 489 | 510 | 541 | 738 | 1234 | 1491 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 13 | 24 | 58 | 38 | 208 | 200 | 193 | 249 | 288 | 322 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 43 | 56 | 94 | 82 | 577 | 534 | 533 | 557 | 709 | 800 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 600 |  | 529 | 472 | 472 | 472 | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 27 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 200 | 14 | 13 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 219: US 13 \& Harrison Ave/Stahl Ave

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B48 | B48 | B48 | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | T | TR | T | T | T | UL | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 96 | 610 | 740 | 740 | 740 | 366 | 365 | 365 | 350 | 490 | 492 | 494 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 30 | 136 | 208 | 234 | 228 | 45 | 40 | 46 | 120 | 283 | 279 | 294 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 73 | 460 | 638 | 659 | 641 | 218 | 208 | 224 | 287 | 557 | 558 | 575 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 799 |  | 650 | 650 | 650 | 282 | 282 | 282 |  | 472 | 472 | 472 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 15 | 13 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 107 | 99 | 94 | 10 | 12 | 9 |  | 47 | 38 | 40 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 585 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 325 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |

Intersection: 220: US 13 \& Lincoln Ave

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | T | T | TR | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 96 | 288 | 31 | 469 | 581 | 560 | 565 | 590 | 175 | 372 | 354 | 377 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 41 | 162 | 1 | 31 | 206 | 248 | 271 | 13 | 75 | 314 | 314 | 324 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 84 | 264 | 8 | 71 | 414 | 473 | 503 | 43 | 159 | 436 | 443 | 449 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 693 | 667 |  |  | 604 | 604 | 604 | 604 |  | 282 | 282 | 282 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 31 | 32 | 36 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 285 | 294 | 331 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 | 300 | 445 |  |  |  |  | 150 |  | 1 | 36 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 6 | 31 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 220: US 13 \& Lincoln Ave

| Movement | SB | B48 | B48 | B48 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 282 | 650 | 669 | 663 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 149 | 255 | 278 | 296 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 360 | 650 | 695 | 718 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 282 | 650 | 650 | 650 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 5 | 1 | 6 | 10 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 237: US 13 \& Llangollen Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | LT | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 86 | 101 | 68 | 85 | 184 | 431 | 408 | 68 | 325 | 880 | 855 | 18 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 28 | 20 | 30 | 14 | 267 | 269 | 9 | 111 | 317 | 286 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 62 | 72 | 58 | 63 | 72 | 400 | 392 | 35 | 300 | 630 | 576 | 6 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 656 | 656 | 496 | 496 |  | 3004 | 3004 |  |  | 1666 | 1666 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 160 |  |  | 475 | 300 |  |  | 300 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  | 10 | 8 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 18 | 2 |  |

Intersection: 269: US 13 \& State Hospital

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 756 | 200 | 295 | 17 | 90 | 114 | 128 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 715 | 200 | 198 | 2 | 48 | 66 | 62 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 807 | 200 | 324 | 11 | 93 | 125 | 114 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 705 |  | 276 |  | 950 | 950 | 950 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 92 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 175 |  | 700 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 5 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 20 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 409: US 13 \& RD 381

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue ( ft$)$ | 274 | 95 | 101 | 458 | 434 | 224 | 227 | 247 | 56 | 520 | 1516 | 1489 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 149 | 46 | 19 | 349 | 308 | 79 | 136 | 146 | 4 | 124 | 851 | 880 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 244 | 95 | 68 | 521 | 464 | 144 | 224 | 242 | 28 | 431 | 1405 | 1421 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 503 | 503 | 419 | 419 |  | 1302 | 1302 |  |  | 8307 | 8307 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 48 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 500 | 495 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 320 |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 40 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 99 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 409: US 13 \& RD 381

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 340 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 208 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 478 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 315 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |

Intersection: 490: US 13 \& Sienni BIvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | L | T | T | $R$ | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 68 | 42 | 88 | 237 | 223 | 90 | 71 | 185 | 177 | 54 | 355 | 2973 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 6 | 15 | 121 | 80 | 27 | 25 | 82 | 85 | 21 | 232 | 461 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 56 | 24 | 48 | 205 | 173 | 54 | 56 | 160 | 164 | 51 | 364 | 1269 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 632 | 632 | 632 | 502 | 502 | 502 |  | 8307 | 8307 |  |  | 3004 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 300 |  |  | 300 | 330 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 17 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 39 |

Intersection: 490: US 13 \& Sienni Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 3029 | 355 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 461 | 57 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 1283 | 229 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3004 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 330 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 20 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 19 | 0 |

Intersection: 530: US 13 \& Marsh Lane/Wildel Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | UL | T | T | T | UL | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 46 | 62 | 97 | 29 | 70 | 231 | 224 | 266 | 62 | 118 | 79 | 92 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 21 | 41 | 2 | 29 | 129 | 144 | 170 | 52 | 72 | 48 | 57 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 37 | 49 | 77 | 12 | 63 | 221 | 250 | 272 | 64 | 133 | 87 | 95 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 540 |  | 402 |  | 2380 | 2380 | 2380 |  | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 26 | 7 | 19 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 155 | 40 | 115 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 75 |  | 75 |  | 800 |  |  |  | 450 |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 26 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 24 |  |  |

Intersection: 537: US 13 \& 2nd Ave

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 55 | 74 | 74 | 25 | 22 | 52 | 52 | 50 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 | 57 | 27 | 9 | 10 | 32 | 38 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 598 |  | 1508 | 1508 | 316 | 316 | 316 | 316 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 625: US 13 \& Hessler Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R | L | LT | R | L | L | T | T | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 73 | 41 | 26 | 107 | 60 | 53 | 74 | 261 | 306 | 270 | 31 | 118 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 0 | 7 | 27 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 167 | 137 | 143 | 7 | 58 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 52 | 0 | 23 | 57 | 47 | 49 | 52 | 234 | 215 | 223 | 29 | 99 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 644 | 644 |  | 730 |  |  |  | 587 | 587 | 587 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 | 390 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 350 | 350 |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |

Intersection: 625: US 13 \& Hessler Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 184 | 190 | 185 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 91 | 100 | 125 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 143 | 157 | 167 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1327 | 1327 | 1327 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (ven) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 712: US 13 \& DE 71

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 374 | 125 | 49 | 179 | 166 | 242 | 1338 | 125 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 157 | 12 | 9 | 80 | 65 | 144 | 201 | 63 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 274 | 54 | 32 | 169 | 149 | 244 | 576 | 117 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 402 |  |  | 1687 | 1687 | 1302 | 1302 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 260 | 650 |  |  |  | 380 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 5003

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | LT | R | UL | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 275 | 688 | 275 | 325 | 692 | 325 | 206 | 554 | 619 | 648 | 620 | 375 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 203 | 548 | 230 | 310 | 660 | 294 | 126 | 165 | 439 | 465 | 451 | 167 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 348 | 842 | 374 | 349 | 675 | 420 | 202 | 362 | 606 | 645 | 676 | 464 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 654 |  |  | 640 |  |  |  | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 51 |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 250 |  | 250 | 300 |  | 300 | 530 | 530 |  |  |  | 350 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 0 | 65 | 1 | 5 | 55 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 14 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 189 | 5 | 42 | 375 | 10 |  |  | 6 |  | 29 | 0 |

Intersection: 217: US 13 \& Bacon Ave/Boulden Blvd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 126 | 538 | 1106 | 1159 | 1174 | 470 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 37 | 202 | 850 | 870 | 878 | 101 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 86 | 584 | 1126 | 1162 | 1196 | 418 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1613 | 1613 | 1613 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 445 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 513 | 513 |  |  | 47 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 41 |  | 0 | 0 |

Intersection: 218: US 13 \& Roosevelt Ave

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 91 | 74 | 110 | 75 | 90 | 69 | 76 | 1288 | 1323 | 1486 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 30 | 46 | 29 | 12 | 25 | 25 | 224 | 286 | 233 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 70 | 70 | 94 | 71 | 45 | 56 | 60 | 664 | 788 | 645 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 594 |  | 523 | 472 | 472 | 472 | 1430 | 1430 | 1430 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX G

FENCING AND GATE RESEARCH

## Evaluation of Pedestrian Fencing Options

The US 13 Pedestrian Improvements Project, US 40 to Memorial Drive has been implemented due to the high rate of pedestrian crashes that have resulted in injuries and fatalities along the US 13 (DuPont Parkway) corridor. The main cause of these pedestrian crashes is illegal mid-block crossings. Installation of fencing within the median of US 13 is one of the major improvement recommendations for this project, as it should deter illegal mid-block crossings and force pedestrians to safely cross at legal crosswalks. The following report provides a brief overview of various fencing options with advantages and disadvantages for each option.

## Types of Fencing

## 1. Chain Link:

Sizes and heights for chain link fences vary depending on the application. It is an affordable, durable, long lasting fence that would provide the basic function of deterring pedestrians from crossing. Chain link is easy to install and adaptable to uneven terrain. The drawbacks of chain link fencing are its susceptibility to rust and lack of aesthetic charm.


## 2. Vinyl:

Heights for vinyl fencing are not as flexible as chain link fences. Vinyl fencing is very easy to install and there are many different styles to choose from. They are durable and don't warp, rot or blister.


## 3. Wood:

Wood fences are one of the most inexpensive options, but require the most maintenance. It is very versatile and can be used on sharp curves. Wood fences are susceptible to rot and issues with pests.


## 4. Natural Barrier:

There are many different options for vegetation in the median to deter pedestrians from crossing. The vegetation would act as a natural barrier in the median that would grow dense enough to stop pedestrians from crossing. Traditional shrubs or a vine-like plant that could grow on a wooden frame are potential options. Consideration should be given to plant species that continue to thrive in the winter. Depending on the vegetation chosen, this option could involve a high up-front cost, along with the need to water the vegetation for the first year or two after planting. Long-term maintenance including trimming and weed/overgrowth control will likely be required.


## 5. Wrought Iron:

Wrought iron fence is the most labor-intensive and expensive fencing material to install. Although it can rust quickly if scratched, wrought iron fences can last a lifetime with little maintenance required.


## 6. Post and Chain:

Post and chain barriers are easy to install and require very little maintenance. They are simple to repair and their life span is relatively long. The downsides of post and chain fences are its susceptibility to rust and the fact that pedestrians could potentially duck below or squeeze through the chains.


## 7. Aluminum (Ornamental):

Aluminum fences are simple to install and repair and require little maintenance throughout their lifetime. They are extremely durable and are resistant to rust and corrosion. Aluminum fences are relatively inexpensive, however, they can bend and dent easily.


## Comparison of Fencing Options:

|  | Chain <br> Link | Aluminum <br> (Ornamental) | Wrought <br> Iron | Wood | Vinyl | Natural <br> barrier | Post and <br> Chain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low <br> maintenance | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Stops <br> pedestrian <br> crossing | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Life span | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Repair <br> simplicity | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Conclusion

Pennoni recommends that aluminum (ornamental) fence be implemented for this project. Aluminum fencing is relatively inexpensive and simple to install. Although it has a slightly higher initial cost than chain-link or wood fences, it requires very little maintenance or repair throughout its lifetime and is extremely durable and resistant to rust and corrosion. There are a variety of styles to choose from and the ornamental look is aesthetically pleasing, especially in comparison to other options. The only disadvantage with aluminum fencing is that it can be easily bent or dented if struck by an errant vehicle. This is essentially a moot point because regardless of the fencing style that is chosen, it must be able to break away when struck by an errant vehicle.

## Gating System Options

## Summary

The area of US 13 and E. Hazeldell Avenue has experienced a high number of pedestrian crashes due to illegal mid-block crossings. Median fencing is proposed along US 13 to prohibit jaywalking and funnel pedestrians to signalized crosswalks where they can safely cross US 13. It has been determined that closing the median opening at E. Hazeldell Avenue will have a negligible effect on traffic capacity and queuing at the adjacent intersections of US 13/Memorial Drive and US 13/Wildel Avenue. However, the Minquadale Fire Company, located on E. Hazeldell Avenue east of US 13, uses this median opening for access to US 13 southbound and previous pedestrian safety studies have confirmed that this access is critical for emergency response. In order to maintain full access for emergency vehicles while blocking pedestrians from illegally crossing at this location, it is recommended that an automated gate system be installed that can only be accessed by emergency vehicles. The gate system will require automation via an opticom, siren sensor, or other wireless system, with a backup hardwired control panel at the fire station to ensure that the gate will be fully open before any emergency vehicles arrive. A summary of several different gating systems is provided below:

## 1. Swinging Gating System:

Swinging gate systems are widely popular and one of the simplest designs available. However, this design is problematic given the large footprint required for the swinging gate(s), especially with its location within the US 13 median. The median opening must be wide enough for emergency vehicle maneuvering, which requires substantially wide gates that would likely encroach upon the traveled way of US 13 when opened. This creates an obvious safety issue, especially if automation of the gate were to malfunction, or if a motorist were to disregard the traffic signal prior to encountering the median opening/gate. The swinging gate system was ruled out simply for this reason.


## 2. "Guillotine" Gating System:

The guillotine gate system is a more unconventional mechanism, however, it has been effectively used in many areas along highways to allow access for emergency or permitted vehicles. Instead of the gate swinging outward, it rises up in the air, maintaining a minimal footprint. The biggest concern with this gating system is the opening height, as certain emergency vehicles require higher than normal overhead clearance. An additional concern is the size of the gate posts and foundations required for this system, which would introduce a non-breakaway roadside hazard within the median of US 13. For these two reasons, the guillotine gating system has been ruled out as well.


## 3. Sliding Gate System:

The sliding gate system is the most practical system for the function of this project. Similar to the guillotine system, the sliding gate system requires a minimal footprint since the gates remain parallel with the fence line. Two gates that meet in the middle and slide outward to open would be required for the large median opening at Hazeldell Avenue to effectively open in time for the emergency vehicles to get through.


## Conclusion

It is recommended that a sliding gate system be installed at the Hazeldell Avenue median opening to provide access for emergency vehicles while deterring pedestrians from illegally crossing at this location. The sliding gate requires a minimal footprint and can be opened relatively quickly with the implementation of two gates that meet in the middle. Opticoms, siren sensors and/or other remote devices can be utilized to open the gate in advance of reaching the crossover without wasting vital time for emergency responders.

## Pedestrian Fencing Applications

A major component of the recommended improvements for DelDOT's US 13 Pedestrian Improvements project is median fencing. Pennoni has identified seventeen pedestrian fencing applications throughout the Mid-Atlantic region. In each application, the fencing is intended to deter pedestrians from attempting midblock crossings. Numerous fence types and sizes were used, some in combination with lowlevel/maintenance landscaping, some stand alone. We will continue to gather information on the below locations in support of DeIDOT's US 13 Pedestrian Improvement's project.

| Contract Description | State | Agency | Posted <br> Speed <br> Limit | AADT | Type | Status | Location / <br> Application |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 13 from N Dover Mall <br> Entrance to College Rd | DE | DeIDOT | 45 | 61,000 | Post and Chain | Construction <br> Complete | SB Shoulder |
| SR 141 from Morton Ave <br> to SR 34 | DE | DeIDOT | 35 | 32,000 | Metal | Construction <br> Complete | SB Shoulder <br> Frontage |
| S College Ave from Kent <br> Way to Amstel Ave | DE | DeIDOT | 25 | 10,500 | Post and Chain | Construction <br> Complete | Shoulders |
| US 40 from Old Frederick <br> Rd to N Athol Ave | MD | Balt. City <br> DOT | 30 | TBD | Metal | Construction <br> Complete | Median |
| US 1 from Hartwick Rd to <br> Knox Rd | MD | SHA | 25 | 24,000 | Metal | Construction <br> Complete | Median |
| SR 214 from Addison Rd to <br> Cabin Branch Rd | MD | SHA | 30 | 53,000 | Metal | Construction <br> Complete | Median |
| US 29 at Briggs Chaney Rd <br> interchange | MD | SHA | 55 | 61,000 | Spiked Metal | Construction <br> Complete | NB Shoulder |
| US 40 from McCain Dr to <br> Waverly Dr | MD | SHA | 45 | 48,000 | Powder-Coated | Construction <br> Complete | Mluminum |

* SHA - Maryland State Highway Administration, HRT - Hampton Roads Transit


## APPENDIX H

## SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS - US 13 @ GRACELAWN MEMORIAL PARK

## Introduction

The purpose of this workbook is to aid in the evaluation of each of the 9 traffic signal warrants in the 2009 MUTCD and the 2 additional warrants in PennDOT Publication 46/PennDOT Publication 212. All users shall reference these documents while completing this workbook to verify the accuracy of any findings and to account for conditions not inherently modeled or accounted for in this workbook. This workbook is a tool to make a traffic engineering decision. This workbook is not a substitute for engineering judgement.

Workbook procedures are consistent with Sections 4C.01-4C. 10 of the 2009 MUTCD and Section 4.3 of PennDOT Publication 46, Traffic Engineering Manual.

## Assumptions

1 All users are familiar with the applications of each traffic signal warrant.
2 All users are familiar with Section 4C. 01 of the MUTCD which details the engineering judgment decisions required to implement competent and accurate inputs within this workbook.
3 Imbedded calculations and graphical comparisons are provided throughout the workbook to automate analyses but the user shall verify the accuracy of these calculations/comparisons.
4 The workbook will only yield accurate results if the data entry is by 15-minute intervals for the vehicular volumes.

5 The term "Unique Hour" used throughout this workbook refers to any four sequential 15-minute periods that meet the appropriate warrant/condition/figure/criteria and do not overlap with another warranted hour.

## Instructions

1 Enable macros within this workbook. Macros must be enabled for the workbook's imbedded print buttons to function properly.
2 This workbook represents one day of analysis. Duplicate this workbook for each day of analysis.
3 All pale yellow cells are available for the user to either input required information or to provide a decision. These input cells are provided throughout the workbook to account for the varying inputs unique to each warrant. Some of these input cells, when selected, provide additional information/drop-down lists for the user to input the correct information. Green cells are self calculating based on the user's inputs and the constraints of the applicable warrant.

4 Begin by inputting the study and analysis information within the "Inputs\&Findings" tab. Make sure to indicate which warrant(s) are applicable for analysis at the bottom of the "Inputs\&Findings" tab.
5 Next, input all available traffic volume data into the "Traffic Volume Input" tab. THIS WORKBOOK ONLY ACCEPTS DATA ENTRY IN 15-MINUTE INTERVALS. DO NOT ENTER HOURLY DATA. For ease of input, it is preferred to export 15-minute interval volume data from a counter's software to an Excel file and then copy the data into this workbook. When pasting volume data into this workbook, use the "Paste Special" option and select "Values" or "Text" so as to retain the workbook's formatting. 15-minute interval volume data is desirable because warranting hours may not fall within a "standard" hour (i.e. 1-2PM, 2-3PM, etc.). If only partial day volumes are available, enter these volumes as such.

6 Provide additional inputs as needed for each applicable warrant tab. Upon completion, provide all supplementary calculations and/or documentation where indicated here within the workbook or within the above indicated references.

7 Printing can be accomplished by utilizing the blue print buttons throughout the workbook (ensure that macros are enabled). "Print Page" buttons print all content that is in the respective tab. "Print w/..." buttons print both the content in the respective tab and the indicated figure/exhibit. Once selected, the print buttons send a print command to the user's default printer.

## STUDY AND ANALYSIS INFORMATION



ENTER VOLUME DATA PER 15 MINUTE INTERVAL, PER APPROACH

| Time Interval |  | Major Street Approach \#1 (N-Bound) | Major Street Approach \#2 (S-Bound) | Major Street Combined | Minor Street Approach \#1 (N-Bound) | Minor Street Approach \#2 (S-Bound) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin At | End Of | Volume | Volume | Total Volume | Volume | Volume |
| 12:00 AM | 12:14 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 12:15 AM | 12:29 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 12:30 AM | 12:44 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 12:45 AM | 12:59 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 1:00 AM | 1:14 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 1:15 AM | 1:29 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 1:30 AM | 1:44 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 1:45 AM | 1:59 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 2:00 AM | 2:14 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 2:15 AM | 2:29 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 2:30 AM | 2:44 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 2:45 AM | 2:59 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 3:00 AM | 3:14 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 3:15 AM | 3:29 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 3:30 AM | 3:44 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 3:45 AM | 3:59 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 4:00 AM | 4:14 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 4:15 AM | 4:29 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 4:30 AM | 4:44 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 4:45 AM | 4:59 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 5:00 AM | 5:14 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 5:15 AM | 5:29 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 5:30 AM | 5:44 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 5:45 AM | 5:59 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 6:00 AM | 6:14 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 6:15 AM | 6:29 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 6:30 AM | 6:44 AM | 436 | 228 | 664 | 70 | 0 |
| 6:45 AM | 6:59 AM | 458 | 218 | 676 | 66 | 1 |
| 7:00 AM | 7:14 AM | 458 | 216 | 674 | 48 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 7:29 AM | 584 | 270 | 854 | 75 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 7:44 AM | 596 | 287 | 883 | 91 | 1 |
| 7:45 AM | 7:59 AM | 593 | 312 | 905 | 121 | 5 |
| 8:00 AM | 8:14 AM | 519 | 246 | 765 | 92 | 3 |
| 8:15 AM | 8:29 AM | 496 | 265 | 761 | 76 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 8:44 AM | 456 | 264 | 720 | 62 | 2 |
| 8:45 AM | 8:59 AM | 442 | 303 | 745 | 46 | 5 |
| 9:00 AM | 9:14 AM | 361 | 263 | 624 | 38 | 5 |
| 9:15 AM | 9:29 AM | 345 | 246 | 591 | 39 | 3 |
| 9:30 AM | 9:44 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 9:45 AM | 9:59 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 10:00 AM | 10:14 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 10:15 AM | 10:29 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 10:30 AM | 10:44 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 10:45 AM | 10:59 AM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 11:00 AM | 11:14 AM | 284 | 281 | 565 | 30 | 4 |
| 11:15 AM | 11:29 AM | 328 | 248 | 576 | 35 | 6 |
| 11:30 AM | 11:44 AM | 318 | 324 | 642 | 35 | 2 |
| 11:45 AM | 11:59 AM | 323 | 297 | 620 | 36 | 6 |

ENTER VOLUME DATA PER 15 MINUTE INTERVAL, PER APPROACH

| Time Interval |  | Major Street Approach \#1 (N-Bound) | Major Street Approach \#2 (S-Bound) | Major Street Combined | Minor Street Approach \#1 (N-Bound) | Minor Street Approach \#2 (S-Bound) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin At | End Of | Volume | Volume | Total Volume | Volume | Volume |
| 12:00 PM | 12:14 PM | 340 | 345 | 685 | 62 | 4 |
| 12:15 PM | 12:29 PM | 359 | 359 | 718 | 51 | 4 |
| 12:30 PM | 12:44 PM | 365 | 331 | 696 | 75 | 4 |
| 12:45 PM | 12:59 PM | 347 | 337 | 684 | 58 | 6 |
| 1:00 PM | 1:14 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 1:15 PM | 1:29 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 1:30 PM | 1:44 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 1:45 PM | 1:59 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 2:00 PM | 2:14 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 2:15 PM | 2:29 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 2:30 PM | 2:44 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 2:45 PM | 2:59 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 3:00 PM | 3:14 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 3:15 PM | 3:29 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 3:44 PM | 351 | 397 | 748 | 24 | 6 |
| 3:45 PM | 3:59 PM | 352 | 477 | 829 | 27 | 5 |
| 4:00 PM | 4:14 PM | 359 | 492 | 851 | 23 | 3 |
| 4:15 PM | 4:29 PM | 363 | 451 | 814 | 16 | 4 |
| 4:30 PM | 4:44 PM | 351 | 504 | 855 | 26 | 2 |
| 4:45 PM | 4:59 PM | 360 | 514 | 874 | 25 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM | 5:14 PM | 339 | 487 | 826 | 19 | 4 |
| 5:15 PM | 5:29 PM | 372 | 509 | 881 | 31 | 4 |
| 5:30 PM | 5:44 PM | 316 | 443 | 759 | 12 | 5 |
| 5:45 PM | 5:59 PM | 350 | 435 | 785 | 12 | 3 |
| 6:00 PM | 6:14 PM | 282 | 349 | 631 | 10 | 4 |
| 6:15 PM | 6:29 PM | 254 | 318 | 572 | 14 | 3 |
| 6:30 PM | 6:44 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 6:45 PM | 6:59 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 7:00 PM | 7:14 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 7:15 PM | 7:29 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 7:30 PM | 7:44 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 7:45 PM | 7:59 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 8:00 PM | 8:14 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 8:15 PM | 8:29 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 8:30 PM | 8:44 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 8:45 PM | 8:59 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 9:00 PM | 9:14 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 9:15 PM | 9:29 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 9:30 PM | 9:44 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 9:45 PM | 9:59 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 10:00 PM | 10:14 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 10:15 PM | 10:29 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 10:30 PM | 10:44 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 10:45 PM | 10:59 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 11:00 PM | 11:14 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 11:15 PM | 11:29 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 11:30 PM | 11:44 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 11:45 PM | 11:59 PM |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Appr | ach Totals: | 12457 | 11016 | 23473 | 1445 | 106 |

## MUTCD WARRANT 1, EIGHT-HOUR VEHICULAR VOLUME

| Number of Lanes for Moving Traffic <br> on Each Approach |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Major Street: | 2 or More Lanes |
| Minor Street: | 1 Lane |

Built-up Isolated Community With Less Than 10,000 Population or Above 40 MPH on Major Street?

Combination of Conditions A and B Necessary?*: $\square$
*Only applicable for Warrant 1 if after an adequate trial of other alternatives that could cause less delay and inconvenience to traffic has failed to solve the traffic problems. See Section 4C. 02 of the 2009 MUTCD for application.

| Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach |  | Vehicles per hour on major street (total of both approaches) |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on higher-volume minor street approach (one direction only) |  |  |  |
| Major Street | Minor Street | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% |
| 1 | 1 | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or More | 1 | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| 2 or More | 2 or More | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |
| 1 | 2 or More | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |


| Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach |  | Vehicles per hour on major street (total of both approaches) |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on higher-volume minor street approach (one direction only) |  |  |  |
| Major Street | Minor Street | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or More | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| 2 or More | 2 or More | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |
| 1 | 2 or More | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |

## Condition A Evaluation

$$
\text { Number of Unique Hours Met: } 5 \text { Condition A Satis }
$$

Condition A Satisfied? No
Number of Unique Hours Met: 10 Condition B Satisfied? Yes

## Combination of Condition A and Condition B Evaluation

> Number of Unique Hours Met for Condition A: N/A
> Number of Unique Hours Met for Condition B: N/A
> Combination of Condition A and Condition B Satisfied?

| Number of Lanes for Moving Traffic on Each |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |$|$| Major Street: | 2 or More Lanes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minor Street: | 1 Lane |


| Total Number of Unique Hours Met <br> On Figure 4C-2 |
| :---: |
| 10 |

Built-up Isolated Community With Less Than 10,000 Population or Above 40 MPH on Major Street?

| Hourly Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour Interval | Major Street Combined | Highest Minor Street Approach | Hour Met? |
| Beginning At | Vehicles Per Hour (VPH) | Vehicles Per Hour (VPH) |  |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:45 AM | 664 | 70 |  |
| 6:00 AM | 1340 | 136 | Met |
| 6:15 AM | 2014 | 184 | Met |
| 6:30 AM | 2868 | 259 | Met |
| 6:45 AM | 3087 | 280 | Met |
| 7:00 AM | 3316 | 335 | Met |
| 7:15 AM | 3407 | 379 | Met |
| 7:30 AM | 3314 | 380 | Met |
| 7:45 AM | 3151 | 351 | Met |
| 8:00 AM | 2991 | 276 | Met |
| 8:15 AM | 2850 | 222 | Met |
| 8:30 AM | 2680 | 185 | Met |
| 8:45 AM | 1960 | 123 | Met |
| 9:00 AM | 1215 | 77 | Met |
| 9:15 AM | 591 | 39 |  |
| 9:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:15 AM | 565 | 30 |  |
| 10:30 AM | 1141 | 65 | Met |
| 10:45 AM | 1783 | 100 | Met |
| 11:00 AM | 2403 | 136 | Met |
| 11:15 AM | 2523 | 168 | Met |
| 11:30 AM | 2665 | 184 | Met |
| 11:45 AM | 2719 | 224 | Met |


| Hourly Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour Interval | Major Street Combined | Highest Minor Street Approach | Hour Met? |
| Beginning At | Vehicles Per Hour (VPH) | Vehicles Per Hour (VPH) |  |
| 12:00 PM | 2783 | 246 | Met |
| 12:15 PM | 2098 | 184 | Met |
| 12:30 PM | 1380 | 133 | Met |
| 12:45 PM | 684 | 58 |  |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:45 PM | 748 | 24 |  |
| 3:00 PM | 1577 | 51 |  |
| 3:15 PM | 2428 | 74 | Met |
| 3:30 PM | 3242 | 90 | Met |
| 3:45 PM | 3349 | 92 | Met |
| 4:00 PM | 3394 | 90 | Met |
| 4:15 PM | 3369 | 86 | Met |
| 4:30 PM | 3436 | 101 | Met |
| 4:45 PM | 3340 | 87 | Met |
| 5:00 PM | 3251 | 74 | Met |
| 5:15 PM | 3056 | 65 | Met |
| 5:30 PM | 2747 | 48 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 1988 | 36 |  |
| 6:00 PM | 1203 | 24 |  |
| 6:15 PM | 572 | 14 |  |
| 6:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 6:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |

## MUTCD WARRANT 3, PEAK HOUR

| Number of Lanes for Moving Traffic on Each |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Approach |  |$|$

Built-up Isolated Community With Less Than 10,000 Population or Above 40 MPH on

Is this signal warrant being applied for an unusual case, such as office complexes, manufacturing plants, industrial complexes, or high-occupancy vehicle facilities that $\square$

| Indicate whether all three of the following conditions for the same 1 hour (any four consecutive 15- <br> minute periods) of an average day are present* |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Does the total stopped time delay experienced by the traffic on one minor-street <br> approach (one direction only) controlled by a STOP sign equal or exceed 4 vehicle-hours <br> for a one-lane approach or 5 vehicle-hours for a two-lane approach? | Yes |
| Does the volume on the same minor-street approach (one direction only) equal or exceed <br> 100 vehicles per hour for one moving lane of traffic or 150 vehicles per hour for two <br> moving lanes? | Yes |
| Does the total entering volume serviced during the hour equal or exceed 650 vehicles per <br> hour for intersection with three approaches or 800 vehicles per hour for intersections <br> with four or more approaches? | Yes |
| *If applicable, attach all supporting calculations and documentation. |  |


| Total Number of Unique Hours Met <br> On Figure 4C-4 |
| :---: |
| 8 |


| Hourly Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour Interval | Major Street Combined | Highest Minor Street Approach | Hour Met? |
| Beginning At | Vehicles Per Hour (VPH) | Vehicles Per Hour (VPH) | Our Met? |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:15 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:45 AM | 664 | 70 |  |
| 6:00 AM | 1340 | 136 | Met |
| 6:15 AM | 2014 | 184 | Met |
| 6:30 AM | 2868 | 259 | Met |
| 6:45 AM | 3087 | 280 | Met |
| 7:00 AM | 3316 | 335 | Met |
| 7:15 AM | 3407 | 379 | Met |
| 7:30 AM | 3314 | 380 | Met |
| 7:45 AM | 3151 | 351 | Met |
| 8:00 AM | 2991 | 276 | Met |


| Hourly Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour Interval | Major Street Combined | Highest Minor Street Approach | Hour Met? |
| Beginning At | Vehicles Per Hour (VPH) | Vehicles Per Hour (VPH) |  |
| 8:30 AM | 2680 | 185 | Met |
| 8:45 AM | 1960 | 123 | Met |
| 9:00 AM | 1215 | 77 | Met |
| 9:15 AM | 591 | 39 |  |
| 9:30 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9:45 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:15 AM | 565 | 30 |  |
| 10:30 AM | 1141 | 65 |  |
| 10:45 AM | 1783 | 100 | Met |
| 11:00 AM | 2403 | 136 | Met |
| 11:15 AM | 2523 | 168 | Met |
| 11:30 AM | 2665 | 184 | Met |
| 11:45 AM | 2719 | 224 | Met |
| 12:00 PM | 2783 | 246 | Met |
| 12:15 PM | 2098 | 184 | Met |
| 12:30 PM | 1380 | 133 | Met |
| 12:45 PM | 684 | 58 |  |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2:45 PM | 748 | 24 |  |
| 3:00 PM | 1577 | 51 |  |
| 3:15 PM | 2428 | 74 |  |
| 3:30 PM | 3242 | 90 | Met |
| 3:45 PM | 3349 | 92 | Met |
| 4:00 PM | 3394 | 90 | Met |
| 4:15 PM | 3369 | 86 | Met |
| 4:30 PM | 3436 | 101 | Met |
| 4:45 PM | 3340 | 87 | Met |
| 5:00 PM | 3251 | 74 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 3056 | 65 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 2747 | 48 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 1988 | 36 |  |
| 6:00 PM | 1203 | 24 |  |
| 6:15 PM | 572 | 14 |  |
| 6:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 6:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:15 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:30 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10:45 PM | 0 | 0 |  |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 0 |  |

## MUTCD WARRANT 4, PEDESTRIAN VOLUME

| Built-up Isolated Community With Less Than 10,000 Population or Above 35 MPH on Major | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |

15th Percentile Pedestrian Crossing Speed Less than $3.5 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{s}$ ? ${ }^{*} \square$ No *If applicable, attach all supporting calculations, documentation, and findings.

Is the distance to the nearest traffic control signal or STOP sign controlling the major street that pedestrians desire to cross less than $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ feet? $\square$ No

If the distance to the nearest traffic control signal or STOP sign controlling the major street that pedestrians desire to cross is less than 300 feet, will the proposed traffic control signal restrict the progressive movement of traffic?* N/A *If applicable, attach supporting justification.

| Total Number of Unique Hours Met for Criterion A: | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Number of Unique Hours Met for Criterion B: | $\mathbf{0}$ |


| Hourly Vehicular \& Pedestrian Volume |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour Interval | Major Street Combined | Total of All Pedestrians Crossing Major Street | Criterion A: 4-Hour | Criterion B: 1-Hour |
| Beginning At | Vehicles Per Hour (VPH) | Pedestrians Per Hour (PPH) | Hour Met on Figure 4C-6? | Hour Met on Figure 4C-8? |
| 12:00 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 12:15 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 12:30 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 12:45 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 1:00 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 1:15 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 1:30 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 1:45 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2:00 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2:15 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2:30 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2:45 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 3:00 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 3:15 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 3:30 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 3:45 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 4:00 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 4:15 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 4:30 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 4:45 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 5:00 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 5:15 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 5:30 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 5:45 AM | 664 |  |  |  |
| 6:00 AM | 1340 |  |  |  |
| 6:15 AM | 2014 |  |  |  |
| 6:30 AM | 2868 |  |  |  |
| 6:45 AM | 3087 |  |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 3316 |  |  |  |
| 7:15 AM | 3407 |  |  |  |
| 7:30 AM | 3314 |  |  |  |
| 7:45 AM | 3151 |  |  |  |
| 8:00 AM | 2991 |  |  |  |
| 8:15 AM | 2850 |  |  |  |
| 8:30 AM | 2680 |  |  |  |
| 8:45 AM | 1960 |  |  |  |
| 9:00 AM | 1215 |  |  |  |
| 9:15 AM | 591 |  |  |  |
| 9:30 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 9:45 AM | 0 |  |  |  |


| Hour Interval | Major Street Combined | Total of All Pedestrians Crossing Major Street | Criterion A: 4-Hour | Criterion B: 1-Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning At | Vehicles Per Hour (VPH) | Pedestrians Per Hour (PPH) | Hour Met on Figure 4C-6? | Hour Met on Figure 4C-8? |
| 10:00 AM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 10:15 AM | 565 |  |  |  |
| 10:30 AM | 1141 |  |  |  |
| 10:45 AM | 1783 |  |  |  |
| 11:00 AM | 2403 |  |  |  |
| 11:15 AM | 2523 |  |  |  |
| 11:30 AM | 2665 |  |  |  |
| 11:45 AM | 2719 |  |  |  |
| 12:00 PM | 2783 |  |  |  |
| 12:15 PM | 2098 |  |  |  |
| 12:30 PM | 1380 |  |  |  |
| 12:45 PM | 684 |  |  |  |
| 1:00 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 1:15 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 1:30 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 1:45 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2:00 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2:15 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2:30 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2:45 PM | 748 |  |  |  |
| 3:00 PM | 1577 |  |  |  |
| 3:15 PM | 2428 |  |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 3242 |  |  |  |
| 3:45 PM | 3349 |  |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 3394 |  |  |  |
| 4:15 PM | 3369 |  |  |  |
| 4:30 PM | 3436 |  |  |  |
| 4:45 PM | 3340 |  |  |  |
| 5:00 PM | 3251 |  |  |  |
| 5:15 PM | 3056 |  |  |  |
| 5:30 PM | 2747 |  |  |  |
| 5:45 PM | 1988 |  |  |  |
| 6:00 PM | 1203 |  |  |  |
| 6:15 PM | 572 |  |  |  |
| 6:30 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 6:45 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 7:00 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 7:15 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 7:30 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 7:45 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 8:00 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 8:15 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 8:30 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 8:45 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 9:00 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 9:15 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 9:30 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 9:45 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 10:00 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 10:15 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 10:30 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 10:45 PM | 0 |  |  |  |
| 11:00 PM | 0 |  |  |  |

## MUTCD WARRANT 5, SCHOOL CROSSING

Do schoolchildren (elementary through high school students) cross the major street? $\square$
Has consideration been given to implement other remedial measures, such as warning signs and flashers, school speed zones, school crossing guards, or a grade-separated crossing? $\qquad$
Is the distance to the nearest traffic control signal along the major street less than 300 feet? $\qquad$
If the distance to the nearest traffic control signal along the major street is less than $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ feet, will the proposed traffic control signal restrict the progressive movement of traffic? $\qquad$
Minimum of $\mathbf{2 0}$ schoolchildren during the highest crossing hour? $\qquad$

Has a traffic engineering study been conducted to determine the adequacy and frequency of gaps in the vehicular traffic stream as related to the number and size of groups of schoolchildren at an established school crossing across the major street? $\quad$ No

Pedestrian Gap Acceptance Engineering and Traffic Study Evaluation*

| Data Collection Date: | $7 / 25 / 2012$ |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Day of the Week: | Monday | Sufficient median for major street Crossing 1? | No |


| Study Period |  | Study Duration (mins) | Crossing 1 (Stage 1) |  | Crossing 1 (Stage 2) |  | Crossing 2 (Stage 1) |  | Crossing 2 (Stage 2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Adequate Gaps | Met? | Total Adequate Gaps | Met? | Total Adequate Gaps | Met? | Total Adequate Gaps | Met? |
| 1 | Morning |  |  |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| 2 | Afternoon |  |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| 3 |  |  |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| 4 |  |  |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |
|  |  |  | Summary: Not Met |  |  | Not Met |  | Not Met |  | Not Met |

*Refer to Section 4.3 of PennDOT Publication 46 (Traffic Engineering Manual) for specific study requirements and additional Department documentation requirements to justify the installation of a signal under Warrant 5. Refer to ITE's Manual of Transportation Engineering Studies for specific details related to conducting a pedestrian gap acceptance engineering and traffic study. Attach all supplementary documentation and calculations.

## MUTCD WARRANT 6, COORDINATED SIGNAL SYSTEM*

On a one-way street or a street that has traffic predominantly in one direction, the adjacent traffic contro signals are so far apart that they do not provide the necessary degree of vehicular platooning $\qquad$
On a two-way street, adjacent traffic control signals do not provide the necessary degree of platooning and the proposed and adjacent traffic control signals will collectively provide a progressive operation. N/A
*Warrant 6 should not be applied where the resultant spacing of traffic control signals would be less than 1,000 feet.

## MUTCD WARRANT 7, CRASH EXPERIENCE

| Built-up Isolated Community With Less Than 10,000 <br> Population or Above $\mathbf{4 0}$ MPH on Major Street? | Yes |
| ---: | :---: |


| Number of Lanes for Moving Traffic on Each |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Approach |  |

Has adequate trial of alternatives with satisfactory observance and enforcement failed to reduce the crash frequency? $\qquad$
Five or more reportable and/or non-reportable crashes, of types susceptible to correction by a traffic control signal, have occurred within a 12 -month period during the most recent 3 years of available crash data.* $\qquad$
*If applicable, attach a summary of the crash data analysis used for this criterion.
For each of any 8 hours of an average day, the vehicles per hour given in both the $80 \%$ columns of Condition A in Table 4C-1 exists on the major-street and the higher-volume minor-street approach, respectively, to the intersection. $\square$
For each of any 8 hours of an average day, the vehicles per hour given in both the $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ columns of Condition B in Table 4C-1 exists on the major-street and the higher-volume minor-street approach, respectively, to the intersection. Yes

The volume of pedestrian traffic is not less than $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ of the requirements specified in Warrant 4, the Pedestrian Volume warrant.* N/A
*If applicable, attach all supporting calculations and documentation.

## MUTCD WARRANT 8, ROADWAY NETWORK*

Is the major street classified as an Urban Extension, Principal Arterial, or Minor Arterial that is a reasonable connection between two Principal Arterials and/or Urban Extensions as shown on the official Functional Classification Map?

Does the intersection have a total existing, or immediately projected, entering volume of at least 1,000 vehicles per hour during the peak hour of a typical weekday and has 5 -year projected traffic volumes, based on an engineering study, that meet one or more of Warrants 1,2 , and 3 during an average weekday? $\qquad$

Does the intersection have a total existing or immediately projected entering volume of at least 1,000 vehicles per hour for each of any 5 hours of a non-normal business day (Saturday or Sunday)? Yes

Is the major street part of the street or highway system that serves as the principal roadway network for through traffic flow? Yes
Does the major street include rural or suburban highways outside, entering, or traversing a city? No

Does the major street appear as a major route on an official plan, such as a major street plan in an urban area traffic and transportation study? $\qquad$
*Refer to Section 4.3 of PennDOT Publication 46 (Traffic Engineering Manual) for additional Department documentation requirements to justify the installation of a signal under Warrant 8. Attach all supplementary documentation and calculations, especially those relating to traffic volume projections and subsequent Warrant analyses.

## MUTCD WARRANT 9, INTERSECTION NEAR A GRADE CROSSING

Does the grade crossing exist on an approach controlled by a STOP or Yield sign and the center of the track nearest to the intersection is within 140 feet of the stop line or yield line on the approach? N/A

During the highest traffic volume hour during which rail traffic uses the crossing, the plotted point representing the vehicles per hour on the major street (total of both approaches) and the corresponding vehicles per hour on the minor-street approach that crosses the track (one direction only, approaching the intersection) falls above the applicable curve in Figure 4C-9 or 4C-10 for the existing combination of approach lanes over the track and the distance $D$.

Number of approach lanes on the minor street approach that crosses the track: $\qquad$
Clear Storage Distance (D): $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { fee }\end{aligned}$
Highest Traffic Volume Hour During Which Rail Traffic Uses the Crossing*
*If the rail traffic arrival times are unknown, the highest traffic volume hour of the day should be used.


Apply Adjustment Factors to the Minor-Street Volume?: $\quad$ No

Minor-Street Approach Volume Adjustments*
*Refer to Section 4C. 10 of the MUTCD for details on the application of these adjustment factors.

|  | Inputs | Adjustment Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occurrences of Rail Traffic per Day: | 3 to 5 | 1.00 |
| \% of High-Occupancy Buses (buses with at least 20 people) on Minor-Street Approach:\% of Tractor-Trailer Trucks on Minor-Street Approach: | 0\% | 1.00 |
|  | 7.6\% to 12.5\% | 1.00 |

Adjusted Minor-Street Volume (One Direction Only, Approaching the Intersection): $\quad \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$
Traffic Volumes for Figure Comparison

Major Street Volume (Total of Both Approaches): $\square$vph

Minor-Street Volume (One Direction Only, Approaching the Intersection): $\quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{vph}$

## APPENDIX I <br> PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE CRASH DATA SUMMARY

## US 13 / US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements

## PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE CRASH DATA SUMMARY

| Crash ID | Location | Severity of Crash | Type of Crash | Lighting Condition | Crash Summary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | US 13 in front of New Castle Airport | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 was under the influence of alcohol and was attempting to cross US13 when struck by D1 |
| 2 | US $13 \&$ McMullen Ave (in front of Loanmax) | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 crossed dangerously honked at by multiple vehicles |
| 3 | US $40 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{n}$ Wilton Blvd \& $13 / 40$ Split | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P 1 and P2 were running across an unlit area of Rt. 40 |
| 4 | US 13 \& 273 | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 was under the influence of "some kind of drug" and did not use a crosswalk |
| 5 | US 13 \& 273 | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Daylight | P1 was in the crosswalk assumed D1 would stop due to prescence of yield sign |
| 6 | US 13 \& Lincoln Ave | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 smelled of alcohol and was not using a crosswalk in an unlit portion of 13 |
| 7 | US 40 \& Wilton Blvd | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 failed to vield to the right of way of D1 |
| 8 | US13 \& Wildel Ave | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Lit | P1 crossed Wildel when they did not have a walk sign |
| 9 | US13 \& Quigley Blvd | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Daylight | D1 was paying attention to oncoming traffic and not P1 whom was struck while D1 attempted to merge |
| 10 | US $13 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{n}$ Wilson Dr. and 2nd Ave | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 was crossing in a dark unlit section of US 13 not in a marked crosswalk |
| 11 | US $13 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{n} 5$ th Ave and Hollywood Motel | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 was crossing at night in a lit section of US13 but not in a crosswalk D1 did not have time to get out of the way of P1 |
| 12 | US 13 \& Bacon Ave | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 had been drinking earlier and attempted to run across US 13 when struck by D1 |
| 13 | US $13 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{n} \mathrm{E}$ Roosevelt Ave and E Van Buren Ave | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 was not using a crosswalk and because the area was unlit D1 did not see P1 in time to get out of the way |
| 14 | US $13 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{n}$ Memorial Dr and W Hazeldell Ave | Personal Injury | Intersection w/o crossing | Daylight | P1 did not use a crosswalk and darted into the side of D1 |
| 15 | US 13 and Llangollen Blvd | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Not Lit | Hit and Run by D1 but Ped was wearing dark clothing in unlit area and intoxicated |
| 16 | US 13 and School Lane (in front of IHOP) | Fatality | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Not Lit | P1 darted from median directly into D1's path no time to avoid the collision |
| 17 | US 13 and Lisa Dr | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 was crossing in a non marked crosswalk D1 was under the influence of drugs |
| 18 | US $13 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{n}$ Lisa Dr and Quigley Blvd | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 walked in front of D1 in an unlit section of US13 |
| 19 | US 13 and Hazeldell Ave | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 ran directly into the path of D1 in an unlit portion of US 13 and not in a crosswalk |
| 20 | US 13 and Lisa Dr (in front of Budget Motor Lodge) | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 walked directly into the path of D1 and then was struck by D2, D3 \& D4 afterwards |
| 21 | Intersection of S. Walnut St \& S. Heald St | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Daylight | D1 was driving disoriented and struck a DelDOT employee who was closing the road due to icy conditions |
| 22 | Approx 400 ft South of US $13 /$ US 40 Split | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 was under the influence of alcohol attempting to cross US 13 not in a marked crosswalk |
| 23 | US 13 and School Lane (in front of IHOP) | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 did not have the right of way at the time the accident occurred D1 had a greenlight |
| 24 | US 13 and E Fernwood Ave | Fatality | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Not Lit | D1 had a Green light and struck P1 whom was crossing in an unlit area |
| 25 | US 13 (In front of iMotors Delaware) | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 crossed US 13 into the path of a tractor trailer who could not stop in time to avoid impact |
| 26 | US 13 (in front of Dutch Inn) | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Dark - Lit | V 1 veered off the rdwy and struck P1 who was walking in the shoulder |
| 27 | S. Market St \& James Ct | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Daylight | D1 was inattentively driving and struck P1 whom was walking on the sidewalk at the time of the crash |
| 28 | S. Market St \& A St | Personal Injury | Other | Dark - Lit | P1 was crossing at marked crosswalk and D1 was not paying attention as a result struck P1 in the crosswalk |
| 29 | US 13 and 141 | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 failed to yield to the right of way of D1 |
| 30 | US 40 and Wilton Blvd | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 was crossing at an unmarked crosswalk when struck by D1 |
| 31 | US $13 \&$ Hessler Blvd (in front of Dunkin' Donuts) | Fatality | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 darted in front of D1's vehicle |
| 32 | US 13 (in front of Audio Jam Inc) | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 was under the influence of drugs and walked into the path of D1 |
| 33 | S. Market St and Millside Dr | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 darted in front of D1's vehicle |
| 34 | US 13 \& 1295 | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Daylight | B1 attempted to made an improper lane change in front of V1 |
| 35 | US 13 and McMullen Ave | Personal Injury | Other | Daylight | P1 failed to yield to the right of way of D1 |
| 36 | Hazeldell Ave and US 13 and Memorial Dr | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 darted across roadway when struck by D1, entering US 13. D1 hit P1 and fled scene. |
| 37 | S. Walnut St b/n James Ct and Millside Dr | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 failed to yield to the right of way of D1 |
| 38 | Hazeldell Ave and US 13 and Memorial Dr | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 \& P2 wearing dark clothing, walking WB on W Hazeldell Ave, when struck by D1. P2 was walking backward talking to P1 when struck by D1. |
| 39 | US 13 \& 5th Ave | Fatality | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 was under the influence of alcohol and did not use a crosswalk |
| 40 | US 13 and 273 | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Not Lit | P1 was wearing dark clothing in an unlit area of US 13 when Struck by D1 |
| 41 | US 13 \& 2nd Ave | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Daylight | P1 was walking / cycling against trafic at the time of the crash |
| 42 | US 13 and Bacon Ave | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 was wearing dark clothing in an unlit area of US 13 when Struck by D1 |
| 43 | A St \& S. Walnut Street | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Daylight | D1 was not paying attention to the marked crosswalk on his right and struck P1 in the marked crosswalk on S. Walnut St |
| 44 | US $13 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{n} \mathrm{E}$ Franklin Ave and Stahl Ave | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 darted across US 13 and D1 did not have enough time to stop before strinking P1 |
| 45 | US 13 and Lisa Dr | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 \& P2 were under the influence of alcohol while trying to run across US 13 |
| 46 | US 13 in front of Pockets Bar | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Lit | P1 was under the influence while trying to cross US 13 |
| 47 | US 13 \& Memorial Dr | Fatality | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Not Lit | P1 and P2 were struck while crossing the road 40 ft south of a fully marked crosswalk on US 13 |
| 48 | US 13 \& 2nd Ave | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 was under the influence of alcohol and was walking longitudinally in the right lane of US 13 when Struck |
| 49 | US $13 \&$ School Ln | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Lit | P1 was under the influence of alcohol and was not using a crosswalk in an unlit section of US 13 |

US 13 / US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements
PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE CRASH DATA SUMMARY

| Crash ID | Location | Severity of Crash | Type of Crash | Lighting Condition | Crash Summary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | US 13 \& 141 (in front of Delaware Korean Baptist Church) | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Dark - Not Lit | P1 was walking in the shoulder of US 13 when struck from behind by D1; both left the scene |
| 51 | US 13 South of School Ln (in front of Price Toyota Scion) | Fatality | Unknown | Dark - Lit | P1 was in a lighted area of US 13 but not in a crosswalk and wearing dark clothing |
| 52 | US 13 North of 141 (in front of Dunkin' Donuts) | Fatality | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 was not using a crosswalk and D1 could not get out of the way in time to avoid impact with P1 |
| 53 | US $13 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{n}$ Wilson Dr \& 2nd Ave (in front of Hooters) | Fatality | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 failed to yield to the right of way of D1 |
| 54 | US 13 \& 1495 | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 was riding a bicycle the wrong way at the time of the crash |
| 55 | US 13 \& (in front of Capt Zeak's Crab House) | Fatality | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 was not using a crosswalk; D1 could not get out of the way in time to avoid impact with P1 |
| 56 | US $13 \&$ Memorial Dr | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 was under the influence of alcohol and darted across the roadway when struck by D1 |
| 57 | US 13 \& Millside Dr | Personal Injury | Intersection w/o crossing | Dark - Lit | P1 was under the influence of alcohol at the time of the crash; 1 1 was traveling at a high rate of speed and fled the scene |
| 58 | US 40 \& Wilton Blvd | Fatality | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Lit | P1 was under the influence of alcohol and improperly crossing the roadway at the time of the crash |
| 59 | US 13 \& Boulden Blvd | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark-Lit | P1 ran into the roadway to pick up an object and D1 did not have time to stop before impact |
| 60 | US $13 \&$ Memorial Dr | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 did not use a crosswalk in an unlit section of US 13 |
| 61 | US 13 \& Llangollen Blvd | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Lit | P1 did not use a crosswalk and failed to yield to the right of way of D1 |
| 62 | US 13 \& Boulden Blvd | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Daylight | P1 struck with collision between D1 and D2 |
| 63 | US $13 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{n} 141$ \& Lincoln Ave | Fatality | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 was not using a crosswalk and walked into the path of D1 who could not stop in time to avoid impact |
| 64 | US 40 \& Wilton Blvd | Property Damage | Mid-Block | Daylight | P1 darted across the roadway without warning and not at a marked crosswalk |
| 65 | US 13 \& Hazeldell Ave | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | P1 was under the influence of alcohol at the time of the crash and not using a crosswalk |
| 66 | US $13 \&$ E Fernwood Ave | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Dusk | P1 was cited failure to yield to vehicle and D1 was cited for driving at an unreasonable speed |
| 67 | US 13 \& Boulden Blvd | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Daylight | P1 failed to obey traffic signals and crossed a crosswalk when not permitted at the time of the crash |
| 68 | US 13 \& Llangollen Blvd | Fatality | Intersection w/ crossing | Dark - Lit | P1 was under the influence of alcohol in a marked crosswalk but not when P1 has the R.O.W D1 had a green light |
| 69 | US 13 \& Quigley Blvd | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 darted in front of D1's vehicle, whom was unable to stop in time to avoid collision |
| 70 | US $13 \&$ Franklin Avenue | Personal Injury | Intersection w/o crossing | Daylight | D1 was crossing US 13 when struck by D2, as a result D2 hit P1 who was on the sidewalk |
| 71 | US 13 \& Wildel Ave (100 feet SW of intersection) | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Dark - Not Lit | D1 entered the shoulder in anticipation of approaching RT Turn and hit P1 who was walking in the shoulder |
| 72 | US 13 (north of School Lane) | Fatality | Longitudinal | Dark - Not Lit | P1 was involved in a motorcycle crash prior to being struck by D1 who also hit P2 who was aiding P1 after the unrelated motorcycle crash |
| 73 | US 13 (11.82 feet SW from 273) | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Daylight | P1 was crossing in a marked crosswalk when struck by D1 who was driving inattentively |
| 74 | US 13 (25.9 feet NE from Llangollen Blvd) | Personal Injury | Intersection w/o crossing | Daylight | P1 darted from the shoulder into the path of D1 |
| 75 | US 13 (167.2 feet NE from Fifth Ave) | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Daylight | P1 was struck by D1 while attempting to cross Benz Hydraulics' driveway determination could not be concluded due to conflicting stories |
| 76 | US 13 (42.39 feet SW from Boulden Blvd) | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | P1 was running across US 13 not in a marked crosswalk when struck by D1 |
| 77 | US 13 ( 528.76 feet SW from 273) | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Daylight | P1 was not using a marked crosswalk and was struck by D1 attempting to cross US 13 |
| 78 | US 13 (just south of Bacon Ave) | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Daylight | B1 was crossing outside of a crosswalk when struck by D1 who was passing vehicles via the right shoulder |
| 79 | US 40 (near Walmart tight-in only entrance) | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Not Lit | B1 was attempting to cross Pulaski Highway from the grass median when struck by D1 |
| 80 | US 40 (311.60 feet NE from Wilton Blvd) | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Daylight | B1 was crossing US 40 during heavy traffic and not in a crosswalk |
| 81 | US 13 (470.09 feet NE from Quigley Blvd) | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Daylight | B1 was crossing US 13 improperly when struck by D1 |
| 82 | US 13 Business \& 5 Walnut St | Personal Injury | Mid-Block | Dark - Lit | B1 crossed suddenly trying to "beat traffic" when struck by D1 |
| 83 | US 13 Business (78.86 feet NE from Market St) | Personal Injury | Longitudinal | Daylight | B1 was crossing a driveway when struck by D1 who then fled the scene |
| 84 | A Street \& S Walnut St | Personal Injury | Intersection w/ crossing | Daylight | B1 was traveling improperly on the sidewalk and then disregarded a do not cross traffic signal causing the collision with D1 |

## APPENDIX J

## PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND DELDOT PRIORITIZATION MEETING MINUTES

## US 13 Pedestrian Improvements - Project Implementation Strategy

Based on the December 6, 2016 DelDOT-Pennoni meeting, the 7-mile long US 13 Pedestrian Improvements Project corridor was divided into eight sections. The sections were developed based on knowledge of the study area, documented pedestrian safety concerns, and the following factors:

1. Pedestrian activity scores based on land use and transit ridership
2. Pedestrian crash rates
3. Conceptual construction costs
4. Ease of implementation in regards to right-of-way, utility and M.O.T. impacts, and stakeholder involvement

On February 7, 2017 Pennoni presented the implementation strategy to DeIDOT where prioritization of the proposed pedestrian improvements was discussed in a round-table conversation.
The Pedestrian Activity Score is based on three weighted components: pedestrian generators, bus ridership and housing units within each section. The percentage of each component located within a specific section compared to the entire study corridor was determined and the three component percentages were added together to determine the Pedestrian Activity Score for each section (the total of all Pedestrian Activity Scores equals 300).
A major component of the recommended improvements for the corridor is the installation of median fencing to prevent mid-block crossings, which has not been implemented in Delaware to date. A separate cost for the median fencing and landscaping associated with each section, including the removal of median crossovers, is provided in the table below.
Pennoni recommends DelDOT prioritize four sections for project implementation of pedestrian improvements:

- Section $A$ is a prime candidate for a median fencing pilot program to evaluate its effectiveness before installing throughout the entire corridor.
- Section B should be addressed to mitigate dangerous mid-block crossings and illegal crossing of the adjacent railroad. Coordination with
 Norfolk Southern is ongoing to determine which improvement option is most feasible.
- Sections E and G also contain heavy pedestrian activity and a higher pedestrian crash rate than average.

| Section | Pedestrian Activity Score | Pedestrian Crash Rate (crash/yr/mi) | Ease of Implementation | Estimated Constr. Cost | Median Fencing/ Landscaping Cost | Total Constr. Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) Llangollen Blvd to SR 273 | 46 | 1.72 | MODERATE | \$4.0 M | \$780 K | \$4.8 M |
| (B) US 40 at Wilton Blvd | 55 | 2.26 | CHALLENGING | \$1.7-5.0 M | - | \$1.7-5.0 M |
| (C) SR 273 to SR 141 | 39 | 0.82 | FAIR | \$3.0 M | \$675 K | \$3.7 M |
| (D) SR 141 to Roosevelt Ave | 24 | 0.62 | MODERATE | \$2.0 M | \$375 K | \$2.4 M |
| (E) Roosevelt Ave to I-295 | 53 | 1.88 | MODERATE | \$2.5 M* | \$950 K* | \$2.5 M |
| (F) I-295 to Wildel Ave | 17 | 0.21 | FAIR | \$1.5 M | \$400 K | \$1.9 M |
| (G) Wildel Ave to Hessler Blvd | 35 | 2.79 | CHALLENGING | \$900 K* | \$525 K* | \$900 K |
| (1) Hessler Blvd to A St | 31 | 0.64 | FAIR | \$2.8 M | \$200 K | \$3.0 M |
| Corridor Average/(Total) | 38 (300) | 1.14 | - | (\$21.7 M) | (\$3.9 M) | (\$24.2 M) |

* Project includes median fencing/landscaping \& median removal Highlighted projects A, B, E and G are recommended as high priority


## Section A - US 40 to SR 273

## Location Overview

Section A focuses on the 1.5-mile segment of US 13/US 40 from Llangollen Blvd./Wilton Ave. to SR 273, which was previously studied by Urban Engineers in 2009. Many of Urban Engineers improvement recommendations were constructed between 2010 to 2013 including corridor lighting and the installation of signalized crosswalks at the following US 13 intersections: Llangollen Blvd., Wilmington Manor Fire House, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave., and $3^{\text {rd }}$ Ave. Land uses in the project area include residential on the east side of US 13/US 40 near Llangollen Blvd. and on the west side of US 13 between $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave. and $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave. with commercial use along the US 13/US 40 frontage. 26 pedestrian/bicyclist crashes (9 fatalities) have occurred within the Section A limits during the 10-year study period from 2006-2016.

This section of US 13 is a prime candidate for a median fencing pilot program since intersections have been recently upgraded to provide crossings of US 13 and no median crossover removals are required.

## Construction Cost

 $\$ 4.0 \mathrm{M}$ Fencing +\$780 K
## Ped Crash Rate

$$
1.72(\text { Avg = 1.14) }
$$

Ped Activity Score 46 (Avg = 38)

## Key Section Elements

- Approximately 13,000 linear feet of Pedestrian Access Route Improvements (new sidewalk, new curb ramps, and reconstruction of 14 existing curb ramps); Includes new connection along US 40 EB from Wilton Blvd. to the US $13 /$ US 40 split and security fencing along one side of the railroad tracks to prevent pedestrians from crossing the railroad
- Repurposing/narrowing of US 13 NB shoulder from Old State Rd. to $5^{\text {th }}$ Ave. (including the Norfolk Southern underpass) and the US 13 SB shoulder from Wilson Ave. to Wilmington Manor Fire House and from the US 40 split to the Norfolk Southern Railroad underpass to provide curb and sidewalk
- Extension of the existing culvert between Lisa Drive and Quigley Boulevard on both sides of US 13 to eliminate gaps in pedestrian connectivity (see $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ below)
- Existing median opening at Wawa to remain open due to operational effects of additional U-turn traffic at SR 273, leaving 3,300 feet between crosswalks at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Ave. and SR 273 (see (2) below)


## Ease of Implementation: MODERATE

- Repurposing/narrowing of US 13 NB shoulder reduces need for permanent right-of-way impacts.
- Drainage reconstruction/modifications anticipated



## Section B - US 40 at Wilton Boulevard

## Location Overview

Section B focuses on the intersection of US 40 and Wilton Blvd., which was previously studied by Urban Engineers in 2009. The Urban study noted that many pedestrians destined for the Walmart along Wilton Blvd. were observed originating from the US 13/Llangollen Boulevard area, illegally crossing the railroad tracks via a goat path that leads pedestrians to a mid-block location on US 40 east of the Wilton Boulevard intersection. Pennoni also observed pedestrians taking this route, most of whom cross US 40 on the east side of Wilton Boulevard rather than using the marked crosswalk on the west leg. Pennoni has developed three alternatives to provide a safe crossing of the railroad tracks and US 40; Option 1 consists of a pedestrian overpass over the railroad tracks and US 40, Option 2 consists of an at-grade crossing of the railroad tracks, while Option 3 consists of an underground tunnel beneath the railroad. Each option includes a sidewalk connection from the proposed railroad crossing to US 13 via Kellys Trailer Park Court (see © below).

Estimated Cost
Option 1
\$2.5 M

## Key Section Elements

Option 1: Pedestrian Overpass

- 3-span rolled steel dual-girder system - approx. 208’ structure length (see 2 below).
- Minimum vertical clearance of $23^{\prime}$ over railroad (Norfolk Southern requirement) and 17'-3" over US 40 (DelDOT requirement)
- Approximately $300^{\prime}$ of ramp on either side of bridge with 12:1 max slope and landing every $30^{\prime}$ per ADA requirements; staircases included for quick access
- New signal heads for US 40 WB traffic will be mounted to pedestrian overpass


## Option 2: At-Grade RR Crossing

- At-grade crossing of two Norfolk Southern railroad tracks - requires ballast, sub-ballast and fill for full width and length of the crossing and clipped, rubber filler around the railway flanges (see $\mathbf{3}$ below).
- Install automatic pedestrian gates, warning signs and signaling with early train detection to notify Norfolk Southern operators of the crossing and pedestrians of oncoming trains
- Requires a pedestrian ramp with positive protection along US 40 EB to get pedestrians up to railroad crossing grade


## Ease of IImplementation: CHALLENGING

Option 1: Pedestrian Overpass

- Burial of overhead electric utilities along US 40 EB will be required
- Concerns with pedestrians not using the pedestrian overpass due to ramp length/stairway height


## Option 2: At-Grade RR Crossing

- Norfolk Southern does not allow at-grade pedestrian crossings of their railroads. Extensive coordination and an agreement between DelDOT and Norfolk Southern will be required



## Section B - US 40 at Wilton Boulevard - Tunnel Options

## Location Overview

Two tunnel options were evaluated. Option 3 A includes a pedestrian tunnel extending beneath the railroad and US 40; Option 3B includes a pedestrian tunnel beneath the railroad only, with a ramp parallel to US 40. Tunneling and open-cut construction methods were examined, and it is recommended to proceed with the tunneling option to minimize traffic impacts to US 40. For each tunnel option, a 10 -foot depth of cover beneath the railroad tracks was assumed, which requires 250 feet of ramps on each tunnel approach (ramp lengths may vary dependent on the minimum cover required by Norfolk Southern). Each tunnel option includes a multi-use path along Old State Road that connects to existing sidewalk on the west leg of Llangollen Boulevard, pedestrian lighting for the multi-use path and tunnel, and heavy security fencing along one side of the railroad tracks to deter pedestrians from crossing the tracks at grade. The location of either tunnel option is subject to change once existing utility information is obtained.

## Estimated Cost

## Key Section Elements

Option 3A: Pedestrian Tunnel Beneath Railroad \& US 40

- Tunnel beneath Norfolk Southern Railroad, US 40 EB, and US 40 WB. Tunnel length of approximately 200 '.
- Includes pedestrian connection to Llangollen Boulevard, trail and tunnel lighting, and heavy security fencing one side of the railroad tracks.


## Ease of IImplementation: CHALLENGING

Option 3A: Pedestrian Tunnel Beneath Railroad \& US 40

- Potential underground utility conflicts beneath US 40 and railroad.
- Concerns with pedestrians not using the pedestrian tunnel due to length of tunnel and visibility.
- Significant railroad coordination.

Option 3B: Pedestrian Tunnel Only Beneath Railroad

- Tunnel beneath Norfolk Southern Railroad running parallel to US 40 EB. Tunnel length of approximately 40' significantly less than Option 3A.
- Includes pedestrian connection to Llangollen Boulevard, trail and tunnel lighting, and heavy security fencing on one side of the railroad tracks.
- Significant rairoad coordination.
$\qquad$


Option 3B: Pedestrian Tunnel Only Beneath Railroad

- Potential underground utility conflicts beneath railroad.
- Significant retaining wall/structure size due to proximity of railroad.
- Significant railroad coordination.
- Safety concerns with close proximity of tunnel to railroad and US 40.

Option 3B


## Section C - SR 273 to SR 141

## Location Overview

Section C focuses on the 1.1-mile segment of US 13 from the northern leg of the SR 273 intersection to the southern end of the SR 141 interchange. Improvements include PAR connections along each side of US 13 and intersection improvements at the intersection of School Lane / New Castle County (NCC) Airport. Land uses in the project area include strip commercial/retail activity along the northbound US 13 frontage, and residential neighborhoods behind the strip commercial areas. The New Castle County Airport is located along southbound US 13 throughout the project limits. The proposed New Castle Town Center is a 116,000 square-foot retail development planned on 56 acres of land at the northwest corner of US 13 and SR 273, which could become a significant pedestrian generator if the developers decide to construct (see (1) below). 9 pedestrian/bicyclist crashes (6 fatalities) have occurred within the Section C limits during the 10-year study period from 2006-2016.

## Construction Cost

## \$3.0 M

Fencing
+\$675k

## Ped Crash Rate

0.82 (Avg = 1.14)

Ped Activity Score
39 (Avg = 38)

## Key Section Elements

- Intersection improvements at US 13 and School Lane/NCC Airport including crosswalks on the south and east legs of the intersection and lighting improvements (see 2 below)
- Approximately 9,500 linear feet of Pedestrian Access Route improvements (new sidewalk, new curb ramps and reconstruction of 13 existing curb ramps)
- Installation of corridor lighting pending coordination with NCC Airport and Federal Aviation Administration
- If median fencing is included for this section, it is recommended that existing median crossovers within the project limits remain open, except for the US 13 SB U-turn immediately north of School Lane (see $\mathbf{3}$ below)


## Ease of Implementation: FAIR

- Commercial signage and frontage may be impacted. Property owner coordination will be required.
- Significant drainage reconstruction/modifications to improve existing drainage concerns.
- Extensive coordination required between DeIDOT, Delaware River and Bay Authority (DRBA) and Federal Aviation Administration for lighting improvements near the airport and right-of-way/utility/drainage impacts for proposed sidewalk along US 13 southbound.



## Section (D) SR 141 to Roosevelt Avenue

## Location Overview

Section D focuses on the 0.65 -mile segment of US 13 from the southern end of the SR 141 interchange to Roosevelt Ave. This project will provide pedestrian access routes along US 13 through the SR 141 interchange, tying in to proposed pedestrian facilities on the north side of SR 141 as part of the Bridge 1-680 rehabilitation project. Land use in the project area is a busy mix of residential, commercial and institutional uses including an adjacent elementary school, middle school, and high school, Wilmington University, several churches, a shopping center, residential neighborhoods and strip commercial activity. 4 pedestrian/bicyclist crashes (2 fatalities) have occurred within the Section D limits during the 10-year study period from 2006-2016.

With the installation of proposed crosswalks at the Lincoln Ave. and Stahl Ave./Harrison Ave. intersections and connection to proposed pedestrian facilities along SR 141, this section of US 13 is a prime candidate for a median fencing pilot program once the proposed improvements are in place as no crossover removals are required.

## Construction Cost

## Key Section Elements

- Installation of crosswalks and minor geometric improvements at Lincoln Ave. and Stahl Ave./Harrison Ave. to supplement non-ADA-accessible pedestrian overpasses at each intersection (see © below)
- Corridor/intersection lighting from Lincoln Ave. to Roosevelt Ave.
- Approximately 12,800 linear feet of new sidewalk (including new curb ramps)
- Reconstruction of 7 existing curb ramps.
- Pedestrian connection to planned pedestrian facilities on SR 141 as part of BR 1-680 rehabilitation project (see 2 below)


## Ease of Implementation: MODERATE

- Major right-of-way and parking lot impacts to businesses adjacent to the SR 141 interchange are anticipated to accommodate proposed sidewalk
- Property owner coordination and stake-holder involvement may lengthen duration of this project.
- Proposed PAR impacts existing utilities which may extend project duration.



## Section (E) -Roosevelt Avenue to I-295

## Location Overview

Section E focuses on the 0.66-mile segment of US 13 from Roosevelt Ave. to the southern end of the I-295 interchange. The main improvements associated with this segment are triggered by the closure of the unsignalized median crossovers north and south of Bacon Ave./Boulden Blvd. to provide median fencing. As such, the construction cost estimate for this project includes the associated fencing and median crossover removal work. Land uses in the project area include strip commercial/retail activity along northbound and southbound US 13, residential neighborhoods behind the strip commercial areas, industrial activity on the east side of US 13 north of Stahl Ave., Our Lady of Fatima Church, and nearby Wilmington Manor Elementary School. 13 pedestrian/bicyclist crashes (3 fatalities) have occurred within the Section E limits during the 10-year study period from 2006-2016.

## Construction Cost

$\$ 2.5 \mathrm{M}$
Fencing (included) $\$ 950$ k
Ped Crash Rate
1.88 (Avg = 1.14)

Ped Activity Score
53 (Avg = 38)

## Key Section Elements

- Removal of median crossovers north and south of Bacon Ave./Boulden Blvd. and installation of median fencing from Roosevelt Ave. to Bacon Ave./Boulden Blvd. and from Bacon Ave./Boulden Blvd. to I-295 ramps. (see (1) below)
- Installation of a second left-turn lane from US 13 NB to Bacon Ave. to accommodate additional U-turn traffic.
- Geometric improvements to the intersection of US 13 and Bacon Ave./Boulden Blvd. to provide a more direct crossing on the south leg of US 13 and a new crosswalk on the east leg of Boulden Blvd. (see $\mathbf{2}$ below)
- Approximately 2,700 linear feet of Pedestrian Access Route improvements (new sidewalk, new curb ramps and reconstruction of 18 existing curb ramps)
- Installation of corridor/intersection lighting utilizing a mix of leased lighting and DeIDOT standard light poles
- Sidewalk along Boulden Blvd. to connect to New Castle Industrial Track Trail omitted from this project due to geometrical constraints. This work should be considered for a future project


## Ease of Implementation: MODERATE

- Significant M.O.T. impacts anticipated for intersection work at Bacon Ave./Boulden Blvd.
- Property owner coordination and stake-holder involvement may lengthen duration of project.
- Retaining wall and drainage reconstruction required along US 13 SB between Franklin Ave. and Van Buren Ave. to accommodate proposed PAR.



## Section (F - I-295 to Marsh Lane/Wildel Avenue

## Location Overview

Section F focuses on the 1.0 -mile segment of US 13 from the southern end of the I-295 interchange to Wildel Avenue/Marsh Lane. There is existing sidewalk along the southbound side of US 13 through most of the Section F limits, including a PAR through the l-295 interchange. Currently there are no pedestrian crosswalks provided along the 1.4 mile stretch between Bacon Ave./Boulden Blvd. and Marsh Ln./Wildel Ave. Proposed improvements include full signalization of the crossover at the main entrance to the Gracelawn Memorial Park to provide an additional signalized pedestrian crossing of US 13, and construction of sidewalk and curb ramps along the northbound side of US 13 from the Clarion Hotel entrance to Wildel Avenue. A pavement and rehabilitation project (T201606121) is scheduled for 2017 throughout the project limits. Land uses in the project area are dominated by the I-295 interchange, Gracelawn Memorial Park along the northbound side of US 13 and the sprawling Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) campus along the southbound side of US 13, which is a significant pedestrian and transit generator. 2 pedestrian/bicyclist crash ( 0 fatalities) have occurred within the Section F limits during the 10-year study period from 2006-2016.

## Construction Cost

## Key Section Elements

- Signalization of the median crossover at Gracelawn Memorial Park to provide a pedestrian crossing of US 13; The crossover meets several signal warrants assuming left turns as the side street volume (see $\mathbf{1}$ below)
- Corridor and intersection lighting improvements utilizing a mix of leased lighting and DeIDOT light standards.
- Approximately 2,100 linear feet of Pedestrian Access Route improvements (new sidewalk, new curb ramps and reconstruction of 27 existing curb ramps)
- If median fencing is considered for this section, the existing median crossovers north and south of the Gracelawn Memorial Park entrance should be removed (see © below)


## Ease of Implementation: FAIR

- Significant drainage reconstruction/modifications and earthwork along northbound US 13.
- Minimal right-of-way and utility impacts anticipated.
- Existing curb ramps through section will be reconstructed via upcoming pave and rehab project.



## Section (G - Marsh Lane/Wildel Avenue to Hessler Boulevard

## Location Overview

Section G focuses on the 0.40-mile segment of US 13 from Marsh Ln./Wildel Ave. to Hessler Blvd. This area includes the Hazeldell Ave. and Memorial Dr. intersections, which were studied by Whitman, Requardt \& Associates (WRA) in October, 2015. Per the WRA report, the median crossover at Hazeldell Ave. represented the highest volume mid-block crossing cluster along the entire study area from SR 273 to Memorial Dr. WRA did not recommend a pedestrian overpass or closing of the Hazeldell Ave. median opening due to emergency vehicle access requirements for the Minquadale Fire Company. Pennoni proposes the implementation of an automatic sliding gate in conjunction with median fencing to prevent mid-block crossings while maintaining emergency vehicle access. The DeIDOT Pavement \& Rehabilitation Project (T201606121) includes intersection and ADA upgrades at the following intersections within Section G: Wildel Ave., Memorial Dr., and Hessler Blvd. As a result, those improvements and curb ramps have been excluded from the construction cost (see (2) below). Land uses in the project area include a mix of commercial, retail, and residential with pedestrian generators on the east side of US 13 including a Wawa convenience store, liquor store, bar, and several restaurants and small businesses. 12 pedestrian/bicyclist crashes (4 fatalities) have occurred within the Section G limits during the 10-year study period from 2006-2016.

## Construction Cost

 $\$ 900$ KFencing (included) \$525k
Ped Crash Rate
$2.79($ Avg $=1.14)$

## Ped Activity Score

35 (Avg = 38)

## Key Section Elements

- Installation of an automatic sliding gate with preemption at the Hazeldell Ave. crossover in conjunction with median fencing to prevent mid-block crossings while accommodating emergency vehicle access (see (1) below)
- Approximately 600 linear feet of Pedestrian Access Route improvements (new sidewalk, new curb ramps and reconstruction of 20 existing curb ramps)
- Installation of corridor/intersection lighting utilizing a mix of leased lighting and DeIDOT standard light poles


## Ease of Implementation: CHALLENGING

- Extensive coordination and concurrence required between DeIDOT and the Minquadale Fire Company regarding installation of the automatic gate. Coordination with Bayshore Ford Dealership is also required for frontage improvements.
- Maintenance concerns with proposed automatic gate and median fencing
- Extensive public relations effort required for proposed closing of Hazeldell Avenue median opening.



## Section (H) - Hessler Boulevard to A Street

## Location Overview

Section H focuses on the 1.71-mile segment of US 13 from Hessler Blvd. to A Street. This area includes the I-495 interchange and US 13 separation to Business US 13. The existing project area currently contains no pedestrian accommodations south of the Market Street/Walnut Street split, except for sidewalk along the US 13 bridge over the Norfolk Southern railroad. Within the Section H project limits is the proposed Christina River Bridge project (DeIDOT Contract T200512102), which will provide a new multi-modal crossing of the river to improve access to/from the Wilmington Riverfront and US 13, I495 and I-95 and pedestrian connectivity along US 13 near the Market Street/Walnut Street split. Once the Christiana River Bridge is open to the public and additional redevelopment occurs on the east bank of the Christina River, pedestrian activity in the project limits is likely to increase. Land uses in the project area include a mix of commercial, retail, residential, and heavy industrial. Significant pedestrian generators are Haks Sports Bar near the I-495 interchange and the Christina Crossing shopping center located south of A Street. 11 pedestrian/bicyclist crashes (2 fatalities) have occurred within the Section H limits during the 10-year study period from 2006-2016.

## Construction Cost

## Key Section Elements

- Installation of signalized pedestrian crosswalks at the following intersections: US 13 and I-495 ramps, US 13 and Christina Crossing Shopping Center, and S. Heald Street (US 13) and Rogers Rd (see (1) below)
- Install bus stop shelters at high-ridership stops along Business US 13 NB and SB at Millside Dr (see 2 below)
- Installation of corridor/intersection lighting utilizing a mix of leased lighting and DeIDOT standard light poles
- Approximately 11,000 linear feet of Pedestrian Access Route improvements (new sidewalk, new curb ramps and reconstruction of 9 existing curb ramps), including proposed sidewalk on the west side of US 13 through the I-495 interchange


## Ease of Implementation: FAIR

- Proposed PAR along US 13 SB through the I-495 interchange will require retaining wall near the overpass abutments and repurposing of the US 13 SB shoulder at the existing culvert south of I-495 (see $\mathbf{3}$ below)
- Extensive right-of-way and parking impacts are anticipated along US 13 NB from Rogers Rd to the bridge over Norfolk Southern railroad to avoid existing utilities.
- Existing drainage concerns along US 13 north of the I-495 interchange



## MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Date: $\quad$ February 7, 2017<br>Meeting Time: $\quad$ 9:00 AM - 12:00 PM<br>Meeting Location: Pennoni - Newark, DE<br>Project:<br>T201601102 - US 13, US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements Project<br>Subject: DelDOT Prioritization Meeting

A meeting was held at 9:00 AM on February 7, 2017 at Pennoni's Newark, Delaware office to discuss the implementation of the US 13 Pedestrian Improvements Project. The following persons were in attendance:

| Name | Representing | Phone No. | Email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tom Nickel | Deldot, PAR | (302) 760-2401 | Thomas.Nickel@state.de.us |
| Adam Weiser | DelDOT, Traffic | (302) 659-4073 | Adam.Weiser@state.de.us |
| Kevin Canning | DelDOT, Maintenance | (302) 326-4471 | Kevin.Canning@state.de.us |
| Rob Greybill | DelDOT, Public Works | (302) 326-4694 | Robert. Greybill@state.de.us |
| Bryan Behrens | DelDOT, PD | (302) 760-2756 | Bryan.Behrens@state.de.us |
| Ann Heidrick | DelDOT, Right-of-Way | (302) 326-4488 | Ann.Heidrick@state.de.us |
| Maria Andaya | DelDOT, Planning | (302) 760-2458 | Maria.Andaya@state.de.us |
| Sarah Coakley | DelDOT, Planning | (302) 760-2236 | Sarah.Coakley@state.de.us |
| Mark Tudor | Deldot, PD | (302) 760-2275 | Mark.Tudor@state.de.us |
| Tom Brooks | DelDOT, PD | (302) 760-2353 | Tom.Brooks@state.de.us |
| Tom Lawless | DelDOT, PD | (302) 760-2354 | Tom.Lawless@state.de.us |
| Paul Moser | DelDOT, Planning | (302) 760-2114 | Paul.Moser@state.de.us |
| Linda Osiecki | Deldot, ADA | (302) 760-2342 | Linda.Osiecki@state.de.us |
| Joe Ellis | DelDOT, ADA | (302) 760-2184 | Joseph.Ellis@state.de.us |
| Denny Hehman | DelDOT, Traffic | (302) 659-4070 | Denny.Hehman@state.de.us |
| Bill Williamson | DART | (302) 576-6132 | William.Williamson@state.de.us |
| Philip Horsey | Pennoni | (302) 218-4876 | PHorsey@pennoni.com |
| Kyle Clevenger | Pennoni | (302) 351-5263 | KClevenger@pennoni.com |
| Mike Steimer | Pennoni | (302) 351-5254 | MSteimer@pennoni.com |
| Doug Holley | Pennoni | (302) 351-5274 | DHolley@pennoni.com |

## General Discussion

- Mr. Behrens began the meeting with an overview of the project development. Mr. Horsey discussed the project history, project limits, and reaffirmed that the goal of the meeting was for attendees to agree on a path forward (project implantation strategy) for the project.
- Mr. Clevenger discussed the pedestrian crash data throughout the corridor and explained that additional data was requested in January 2017 and analyzed to provide a consistent 11-year study period along the entire corridor. The original crash data included in the Conceptual Roll Plan and Findings Report submitted to DeIDOT in July 2016 was a combination of data from previous studies and additional crash data requested by Pennoni in the spring of 2016. Mr. Clevenger also discussed crash cluster locations and the

2011 lighting improvements along US 13 from US 40 to SR 273 and the crash trends before and after installation of the lighting. Some key crash statistics are below:

- 88 total pedestrian/bicycle crashes from 2005 to 2015
- 12 of 88 crashes involved bicyclists, 6 of which occurred in Section H north of the I-495 interchange
- $33 \%$ of pedestrian crashes resulted in a fatality
- 48\% of pedestrian crashes occurred at mid-block locations, 30\% at intersections and 13\% longitudinally
- 60\% of pedestrian crashes occurred at night
- Although the overall pedestrian crash rate increased slightly along the segment of US 13 from US 40 to SR 273 after lighting was installed in 2011, the nighttime crash rate decreased significantly from 1.13 crashes/mile/year to 0.43 crashes/mile/year
- Mr. Steimer explained the evaluation criteria for each section, which includes the pedestrian crash rate, a Pedestrian Activity Score and Ease of Implementation with regards to right-of-way, utility, MOT, environmental and stakeholder impacts. Mr. Steimer explained how Pennoni developed the Pedestrian Activity Score, which consists of three weighted factors that include pedestrian generators, bus ridership, and housing units within each section as compared to the corridor as a whole. Ms. Osiecki complimented Pennoni and recommended Pennoni present the Pedestrian Activity Score at the Transportation Research Board (TRB) and implement into other DeIDOT pedestrian improvement projects.
- Ms. Coakley asked if the Pedestrian Activity Score considered the frequency of having to cross US 13 to reach certain pedestrian generators. Mr. Steimer noted that the score did not account for this, but rather took a more general, holistic approach to each section. Recommendations on how to refine the Pedestrian Activity Score were welcomed.
- Ms. Coakley asked if the Project Implementation Strategy figures contain the latest crash data that was requested by Pennoni in January 2017 to complete the time period between 2005 to 2015. Mr. Clevenger explained that the report figures do not have the most recent data received in January 2017, however, the roll plan was updated just prior to the meeting to reflect the latest crash data.
- Mr. Behrens and Mr. Weiser discussed narrowing travel lane widths from 12' to 11' to reduce vehicular speeds throughout corridor. This has been a standard practice being recommended by DelDOT Traffic for non-interstate roads. Mr. Weiser noted that reducing the speed limit along US 13 will not be considered until the lane widths are reduced to 11', at which point a traffic study can be initiated to determine the feasibility of lowering of the speed limit.
- Ms. Coakley recommended that Pennoni present the roll plan at an upcoming Delaware Pedestrian Council Monthly Meeting.
- Attendees noted that bicycle facilities should be considered with future improvements.
- Lessons learned from previous pedestrian improvement projects:
- Mr. Hehman stressed the importance of grading behind sidewalks and adjacent to commercial facilities. DeIDOT traffic signal equipment should be considered a utility so adjustments and relocations of pole base and cabinet foundations, junction wells, etc. are accounted for in the design process.
- Mr. Weiser and Mr. Ellis stressed the importance of providing logical connections to pedestrian facilities along adjacent side streets and commercial/retail properties.
- Mr. Ellis recommended having a contingency to account for driveways throughout corridor.
- Mr. Ellis noted that manhole adjustments are a common problem.
- Ms. Coakley mentioned that a meeting will be scheduled between DelDOT and the designers of SR 1, Rehoboth Canal to North of Five Points Pedestrian Improvements Project to discuss lessons learned.
- Attendees generally agreed with Pennoni's recommendations for prioritization which includes a pilot fencing program for Section A and Section D, and a focus on Section B (US 40/Wilton Boulevard), Section E (Bacon Avenue/Boulden Bouleveard) and Section G (Memorial Drive/Hazeldell Avenue).

Pennoni gave a brief overview of the key improvement recommendations for each section of the US 13 corridor, along with the significant right-of-way, utility, environmental, MOT and stakeholder impacts anticipated for each section. Please refer to the Project Implementation Strategy package provided in Appendix A for additional information. Below are highlights of the discussion for each section:

## Section A - US 40 to SR 273

- Mr. Clevenger noted that Section A would be a prime candidate for a median fencing pilot program since no median crossover removals are required. A pilot program would allow DelDOT to assess the fencing's effectiveness before installing along the entire corridor.
- Heavy security fencing is included on both sides of the railroad tracks to deter pedestrians from crossing the railroad tracks. Mr. Canning noted serious maintenance concerns with fencing along the railroad and potential coordination issues with Norfolk Southern Railroad. Gates would be required along the proposed runs of fencing for railroad maintenance access.
- Mr. Ellis mentioned another recent pedestrian fatality near the Llangollen Boulevard intersection that occurred in 2016.
- Mr. Clevenger noted that the crossover in front of Wawa/ Lone Star Steakhouse is proposed to remain open. This location should be monitored closely in the future as it is located near several motels and a Wawa, and is also a pedestrian crash cluster location.
- Mr. Tudor expressed financial concerns for this Section. He pointed out this is one of the more expensive sections throughout the corridor.
- Mr. Weiser and Mr. Hehman expressed concerns for proposed pedestrian crossing on northern leg of SR 273 intersection. They both stated, coordination with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and Delaware River and Bay Authority (DRBA) is required for modification or removal of the existing tower located on the channelizing island separating US 13 SB through and left turn movements.


## Section B - US 40 at Wilton Blvd.

- Mr. Horsey put emphasis on this Section. He stated action needed to be taken for the safety of pedestrians in this area, particularly because of the high number of pedestrian crashes at this intersection and the observed pedestrian behavior of mid-block crossing on the opposite side of the intersection from the crosswalk.
- Mr. Weiser recommended Option 2 (at-grade crossing) estimated construction cost be increased to $\$ 1.0 \mathrm{M}+$ to account for pedestrian gates and advance warning systems.
- Mr. Weiser asked if Pennoni considered a pedestrian tunnel at this location. Mr. Clevenger replied that Pennoni initially ruled this out due to security, vandalism and maintenance concerns. Attendees agreed that a tunnel option should be explored as an additional alternative.
- Mr. Ellis noted that a box culvert/tunnel was installed beneath the railroad further west between the Fairwinds Mobile Home community off US 40 EB and the Buena Vista Park single family home community off US 13 SB.
- Mr. Weiser recommended that once all alternatives have been examined, begin coordination with Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NS) to determine a path forward.
- Mr. Hehman mentioned a high homeless population that was located in the rear of Pockets Restaurant \& Tavern a few years ago. DeIDOT Maintenance \& Operations has since cleared vegetation from this area.
- Mr. Ellis explained that the railroad is an active transport route and train cars 'sit' there for days. This could conflict with the at-grade pedestrian crossing option.


## Section C - SR 273 to SR 141

- Corridor lighting improvements proposed along this section will require coordination with DRBA and FAA.
- Mr. Ellis stressed the importance of pedestrian routes tying in to commercial areas. From experience, retailers are agreeable to pedestrian routes to their businesses.
- Mr. Weiser confirmed DRBA agreed to install a pedestrian crossing on the eastern leg of School Lane at US 13 with the airport improvements project.
- Mr. Ellis also discussed the frontage of the airport property. He presented concerns with grading and impact to historical trees and root systems with proposed sidewalk.


## Section D - SR 141 to Roosevelt Ave.

- Mr. Clevenger presented this Section as another opportunity for a pilot fencing program as median fencing can be installed without the removal of any unsignalized median openings.
- Proposed sidewalk improvements along US 13 will tie into pedestrian improvements planned under Contract T201407105 - Bridge 1-680 Rehabilitation. Significant right-of-way impacts are anticipated as a result of providing sidewalk through the SR 141 interchange, particularly with parking at Arner's Restaurant and the Korean Baptist Church.
- Mr. Clevenger expressed grading and right-of-way concerns along the US 13 NB to SR 141 SB ramp. A retaining wall or an agreement with the PennMart shopping center may be required to provide a PAR from US 13 NB to SR 141 SB.
- Attendees discussed the pedestrian fatality that occurred between SR 141 and Lincoln Avenue involving a teenage student from nearby George Read Middle School. This crash occurred in 2016 and was not included on the roll plan.


## Section E - Roosevelt Ave. to I-295

- Section E was ranked as one of the top-priority sections due to the heavy transit ridership in the area and the abundance of pedestrian generators including a Wawa, liquor store, several drug stores, fast food restaurants and a post office. There is dense residential land use in the area and a pedestrian crash cluster near the Bacon Avenue/Boulden Boulevard intersection.
- Mr. Lawless expressed concerns with additional left-turn lane at Bacon Ave. intersection; the receiving lanes may need to be adjusted or extended.
- Mr. Clevenger recommended pedestrian routes along US 13 tie-into the Industrial Track Trail beneath US 13 via Boulden Boulevard. Due to extreme grades along Boulden Boulevard, this connection was not considered for the US 13 project, but a separate project to provide a pedestrian connection from the Industrial Track Trail to US 13 should be considered.


## Section F - I-295 to Marsh Ln. / Wildel Ave.

- Section F is the lowest ranked section along US 13 since the lane use in the area is dominated by the I-295 interchange and Gracelawn Memorial Park. The State Hospital along US 13 SB is a significant generator of transit ridership.
- Mr. Behrens and Mr. Lawless noted that the upcoming Pavement and Rehabilitation, North XXI, 2016 (T201606121) project will upgrade all existing curb ramps from Bacon Avenue/Boulden Boulevard to Rogers Road north of I-495. Pennoni confirmed that the affected curb ramps were conservatively included in the construction cost estimates for each section.
- Mr. Ellis expressed importance of connectivity to State Health \& Social Services. He stated concerns for the nearest crossing location for pedestrians to access bus stops along US 13 NB. He also mentioned an old pedestrian tunnel that crossed underneath US 13 SB from the hospital that has been closed off.
- Mr. Williamson expressed importance of bus facilities at the State Hospital due to the high ridership.


## Section G - Marsh Ln / Wildel Ave. to Hessler Blvd.

- Mr. Weiser stated that there are intersection improvement projects already planned at Memorial Drive and Wildel Avenue. The Memorial Drive project will lengthen the US 13 SB left-turn lane and will add sidewalk to the north side of the large triangular grass island at the eastbound Memorial Drive approach to direct pedestrians to the existing crosswalk. A road diet is also proposed for Memorial Drive east of US 13. The Wildel Avenue project will realign the existing diagonal crosswalk as Pennoni also proposed and the Public Safety driveway will be realigned to connect to Marsh Lane, eliminating the existing access to US 13 SB.
- Mr. Weiser stated that similar automatic gate systems with opticoms for emergency preemption have been used for emergency access points along SR 1. Mr. Hehman also noted that a similar automatic gate is proposed along SR 141.
- Mr. Canning expressed serious concern with maintenance issues associated with the automatic sliding gate and concern that in the event it fails, public safety would be put at risk. Mr. Clevenger noted that the gate would include hard-wired control to the fire department and a backup generator.
- Mr. Behrens and Mr. Weiser agreed that this section is a top priority and that Pennoni's proposed improvements should be coordinated closely with the planned intersection improvement projects and the Pavement and Rehabilitation project.
- Mr. Weiser recommends initiating coordination with the Minquadale Fire Company to determine a path forward for the Hazeldell Avenue median opening.
- Mr. Ellis provided the following Minquadale Fire Company (MFC) contact information to Pennoni:
- David McBride, Delaware Senator
- Janie Williams, Assistant to Senator McBride
- Joe Day, MFC Deputy Chief and New Castle County Land Use Administrator
- Matt Martin, MFC President
- Overall, this Section remains a challenging area with several stakeholders and concerns.


## Section H - Hessler Blvd. to A St.

- Mr. Weiser noted that a future project at the I-495 interchange will include an additional lane along the I-495 NB off-ramp to US 13 to provide a left-turn lane to US 13 SB.
- Mr. Tudor discussed the Christina River Bridge project and noted that there are pedestrian improvements proposed along S. Market Street.
- Mr. Weiser stressed the importance of lighting and pedestrian warning signs between I-495 and Bridge 686.


## Median Fencing

- Mr. Steimer distributed Appendix B, summarizing locations throughout the Mid-Atlantic region that have utilized pedestrian fencing.
- Mr. Canning expressed maintenance concerns for the fencing and proposed landscaping. He stated, for this amount of fencing he will have continuous calls and requests for maintenance throughout the corridor and trash build-up along the fence and landscaping will be a concern.
- The use of concrete median barrier with decorative fencing mounted on top was discussed, which would presumably require less maintenance from a crash standpoint. It was noted that concrete barriers still require significant maintenance and the installation of concrete barrier in the US 13 median may be difficult with the gas pipeline that runs down the median.
- Mr. Weiser expressed safety concerns for the fencing in the median, specifically regarding crashworthiness. Mr. Weiser requested additional research regarding the crash rating of the fencing and would like to see an analysis of pedestrian crash data before and after installation of median fencing, as well as vehicular crash history with median fencing and any maintenance concerns.
- Mr. Steimer and Mr. Clevenger noted that research is still being conducted at the project locations and information has been requested from local agencies.
- Similar pedestrian fencing applications in Delaware were discussed including fencing/barrier along the shoulder of SR 141 SB north of SR 2, US 13 SB near Delaware State University and along South College Avenue near the University of Delaware. DelDOT will provide Pennoni with maintenance history and crash data at each location.
- Mr. Weiser recommended implementing median fencing pilot programs for Section A and Section D, which will include monitoring of pedestrian activity and crash data analysis.


## Action Items

- DeIDOT Traffic - Send Pennoni 2016 crash data along US 13 corridor to include in the updated Roll Plan and Project Implementation Strategy package.
- Pennoni - Submit updated Roll Plan with adjacent and future projects throughout corridor (includes DeIDOT projects and developer projects) and 2016 crash data.
- DeIDOT - Provide construction plans to Pennoni for the upcoming pave and rehab project and intersection improvement project(s) within Section G. Pennoni will refine the Section G improvements and cost estimates accordingly.
- Pennoni - Investigate tunnel alternative in Section B and provide conceptual geometrics and construction cost estimate.
- Pennoni - Schedule a follow-up meeting with DeIDOT PD North to discuss the tunnel option for Section B and determine a preferred alternative prior to engaging Norfolk Southern. Pennoni will also present the refined improvements for Section $G$ that account for the planned DeIDOT projects in this area.
- DelDOT/Pennoni - Initiate coordination with Norfolk Southern and Minquadale Fire Company (MFC) to determine the feasibility of the preferred improvement alternatives.
- Pennoni - Update the Project Implementation Strategy package with final recommendations for a path forward following the meeting with DeIDOT PD North and subsequent external coordination.
- Pennoni - A meeting has been scheduled for February 22, 2017 with Pennoni and DelDOT ADA and PD North to discuss "Lessons Learned" from SR 1, Rehoboth Canal to North of Five Points Pedestrian Improvements project.
- Pennoni - coordinate with Sarah Coakley regarding presentation of the US 13 Pedestrian Improvements Roll Plan at an upcoming Pedestrian Council Monthly Meeting.

The above statements represent a true and accurate account of the discussion during this meeting to the best of our knowledge. If there are any conflicts, misrepresentations or omissions with the above statements, please contact Mr. Clevenger at (302) 351-5263 or kclevenger@pennoni.com within five (5) days of receipt of these minutes.

| Kyle Clevenger |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kyle Clevenger, PE, PTOE |  |  |  |
| Attachments: |  |  |  |
| Appendix A - Project Implementation Strategy <br> Appendix B - Pedestrian Fencing Applications |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX K

## DART COORDINATION MEETING MINUTES

## MEETING MINUTES

## To: All in attendance

From: Kyle Clevenger, PE, PTOE
Subject: DelDOT Pedestrian Improvement Projects - DART Coordination Meeting Minutes Contract T201601102, US 13, US 40 to Memorial Drive Pedestrian Improvements

Date: February 23, 2017

A meeting was held at 2:00 PM on February 10, 2017 at Pennoni's Newark, DE office to discuss the above referenced projects with respect to transit related improvements. The following persons were in attendance:

| Name | Representing | Phone No. | Email |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Tom Nickel | DelDOT PAR | $(302) 760-2401$ | Thomas.nickel@state.de.us |
| Bill Thatcher | DART | $(302) 576-6138$ | Bill.thatcher@state.de.us |
| Bill Williamson | DART | $(302) 576-6132$ | William.williamson@state.de.us |
| Kyle Clevenger | Pennoni | $(302) 351-5263$ | KClevenger@pennoni.com |
| Zach Brander | Pennoni | $(302) 351-5237$ | ZBrander@pennoni.com |

Pennoni presented the proposed transit-related improvements for the above referenced projects and DART provided comments and additional recommendations as outlined in the summary below:

## Corridor-Wide Improvements:

- Pennoni proposes the installation roadway lighting in the vicinity of each signalized pedestrian crossing and transit stop along the corridor, which DART agreed with.
- DART is not opposed to the proposed reduction in shoulder width along US 13 northbound from US 40 to $5^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to provide buffered sidewalk and delineate commercial access points ( $20^{\prime}$-30' existing shoulder reduced to $12^{\prime}$ ).


## Intersection-Specific Improvements:

1. US 13 and School Lane

- DART agrees with the proposed consolidation of the southbound bus stops at School Lane (Stop ID 2125) and New Castle County Airport (Stop ID 2123) into one stop closer to the signalized crosswalk at the north leg of the US 13/School Lane intersection, provided that the bus stop is not located in the US 13 SB right-turn lane.

2. US 13 and Harrison Avenue/Stahl Avenue

- DART agrees with the installation of benches at the northbound US 13 stop at Harrison Avenue/Stahl Avenue (Stop ID 2180). The ridership of this stop warrants benches.

3. US 13 and Central Avenue

- DART agrees with Pennoni's proposed removal of the southbound bus stop located north of the Central Avenue intersection (Stop ID 1891) and consolidation with the existing stop
located near the Department of Health and Social Services (Stop ID 1892). DART mentioned that the Clarion Hotel is requesting a shelter for the northbound stop located south of Gracelawn Memorial Park (Stop ID 1893) which they claim is utilized by their workers.

4. US 13 and Marsh Lane/Wildel Avenue

- DART, citing their field visit with Adam Weiser, agrees with the proposed removal of the northbound bus stop at south of Wildel Avenue (Stop ID 1895) and consolidation of that stop with the existing stop located north of Wildel Avenue (Stop ID 1896). The consolidated bus stop will be moved closer to the intersection to encourage riders to utilize the signalized pedestrian crossing. DART suggested the removal of the southbound bus stop located at the Delaware Public Auto Auction (Stop ID 1887), because there is no ridership.

5. Business US 13 and Millside Drive

- Pennoni proposed the installation of a bus shelter for the northbound stop at Millside Drive (Stop ID 1855). DART does NOT recommend the installation of a shelter at this stop because the ridership does not meet the 40 on/off minimum criteria for a shelter. Instead an $8^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ pad will be provided to coincide with the reconstruction of the existing curb and sidewalk. DART also recommended improving the waiting pad for the southbound stop opposite this location (Stop ID 229).
- DART recommended consideration of relocating the northbound bus stop at Howard Street (Stop ID 1856) to the intersection at the Christina Landing Shopping Center where a signalized crosswalk is proposed. This consideration depends on where most passengers are destined once getting off the bus. Field observations should be conducted to confirm pedestrian behavior related to this stop.

6. US 13 at Wilmington Manor Fire House

- Although Pennoni had no transit improvements proposed for this area of US 13 just north of the US 40 split, DART recommended the consolidation of the of the two northbound bus stops located at United Rentals (Stop ID 2288) and Nur Temple (Stop ID 2289) to a single stop at the existing signalized crosswalk at the Travel Inn and Wilmington Manor Fire House.


## Action Items

- Pennoni will update the US 13 Roll Plan to reflect the discussion provided above and DART requests during this meeting.
- DART will coordinate with the Clarion hotel to clarify their request for a shelter at the southbound stop at Gracelawn Memorial Park. This work will be separate from the US 13 Pedestrian Improvements Project.

The above statements represent a true and accurate account of the discussion during this meeting to the best of our knowledge. If there are any conflicts, misrepresentations or omissions with the above statements, please contact Mr. Clevenger at (302) 351-5263 or kclevenger@pennoni.com within five (5) days of receipt of these minutes.
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