## Feature 67

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 1, Silo Pit area | 1 tested cobble |
| Western edge of excavation | 2 debitage: |
| Shape | 1 chert |
| Plan: irregular semicircle | 1 quartz |
| Profile: deep, irregular basin | 1 quartzite |
| Dimensions |  |
| Surface: $\quad 235 \times 118 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  |
| Depth: | 68 cm |

Feature 67 was one of a group of semicircular or "D-shaped" features distributed along the western edge of the Silo Pit area. This group also included Features 70 to 73,85 , and 86 . These features were thought to be natural disturbances, most likely tree throws; however, because they composed a distinctive group, it was thought appropriate to sample some of them. In addition to Feature 67, Feature 85 was also sampled from this group.

Only the southern half of Feature 67 was excavated. It was not stratified; the fill consisted of dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6) sandy loam (Figure K-30). Three small pieces of debitage were recovered. The feature is still considered a natural disturbance.

Sample List for Feature 67

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | A | $1-5$ | 855 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 882 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 3 | 865 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 883 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 6 | 866 | curated | Phytolith | A | 3 | 865 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 883 | curated | Phytolith | A | 6 | 866 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 3 | 865 | curated | Phytolith | A | 2 | 883 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 6 | 866 | curated |  |  |  |  |  |



FIGURE K-30: Stratigraphic Profile of Feature 67, Facing North
K-77

## Feature 68

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 1, Silo Pit area | 1 small ceramic sherd, igneous rock temper, |
| 12 m north of Main Pit Cluster | check-stamped exterior |
| Shape | 1 small ceramic sherd, crushed quartz temper |
| Plan: oval | 1 small ceramic sherd, no visible temper, cord- |
| Profile: deep, irregular basin | marked exterior |
| Dimensions | 5 small ceramic sherds, indeterminate temper |
| Surface: | $120 \times 102 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 60 cm |

Feature 68 was a pit within Phase II Block 14. At the time it was excavated, a small group of ceramic sherds was visible on the surface, and excavation was begun with the hope that it would be a rich, cultural pit. However, rodent disturbance was also visible on the surface, and below the surface this disturbance expanded to take up most of the feature (Figure K-31). It appeared that the form of the feature at the time of the excavation had been created mostly by groundhog tunneling. A few more sherds were found, but because of the extraordinary degree of rodent disturbance, the second half of the feature was not excavated.

Sample List for Feature 68

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Soil Chem. | A | - | 563 | curated |



FIGURE K-31: Stratigraphic Profile of Feature 68, Facing North

## Feature 69

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Locus 1, Silo Pit area | 21 Selden Island ceramic sherds |
| Western edge of excavation | 47 Marcey Creek ceramic sherds |
| Shape | 35 unidentified ceramic |
| Plan: irregular semicircle | 52 possible FCR |
| Profile: deep, irregular basin | 57 debitage: |
| Dimensions | 30 jasper |
| Surface: | $320 \times 190 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 110 cm |
|  | 49 quartz |
|  | 4 chert |

Feature 69 was one of two extremely problematic features in Locus 1 that appeared from their shapes and stratigraphies to be natural but contained large numbers of prehistoric artifacts. (The other was Feature 60.) Feature 69 was located near the western edge of the excavation. It appeared to be roughly semicircular in plan view, but it had been disturbed by so many rodent tunnels that much of its outline was obscured (Figure K-32). Much of what was excavated as the feature fill also appeared to be rodent disturbance. The remainder of the feature consisted of a mixture of fills and displaced subsoils, without a clear boundary along the east side (Figure K-33). In many ways the feature resembled a tree disturbance, but it was up to 100 centimeters deep and, for the most part, no more than 125 centimeters wide-unusual dimensions for a tree throw. The feature was completely excavated and produced more than 200 prehistoric artifacts, including more than 100 sherds of steatite-tempered pottery. The pottery included both cordmarked Selden Island and smoothed Marcey Creek sherds. The debitage was similar to that recovered from most of the site, but it was distinctive in subtle ways; it was slightly smaller on average, with less cortex ( $37 \%$ vs. $48 \%$ ), and with a much lower percentage of chert ( $7 \%$ vs. $43 \%$ ). The most likely interpretation is that there was some sort of prehistoric pit in this location; however, that pit was severely disturbed, and its original outline was obliterated. Groundhogs were certainly responsible for much of that disturbance, but perhaps a tree fall also contributed.

Marcey Creek and Selden Island are two of the earliest pottery types in the Middle Atlantic region, dating to 1200 to 800 BC . Even 800 BC is 900 years earlier than the radiocarbon dates obtained from the Main Pit Cluster. However, a date within the period when Selden Island pottery was made was obtained from Feature 41 , in the northeast corner of the block, 30 meters away from Feature 69. Certainly this terrace location was used during more than one period of prehistory.

Sample List for Feature 69

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | A | - | 739 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | - | 739 | curated |
| Charcoal | B | - | 1336 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | - | 1335 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | - | 1335 | curated | Soil Chem. | B | - | 1336 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | B | - | 1336 | curated | Phytolith | A | - | 1335 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 3 | 565 | curated | Phytolith | A | - | 1336 | curated |



FIGURE K-32: Plan of Feature 69


LEGEND
A RODENT DISTURBANCE, PART OF FEATURE STRATUM A: OLIVE BROWN (2.5Y 4/4) SANDY LOAM WITH CHARCOAL FLECKING

B RODENT DISTURBANCE, PART OF FEATURE STRATUM A: DARK YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 4/6) LOAM, MIXED WITH STRONG BROWN (7.5YR 5/8) SANDY LOAM

C PART OF FEATURE STRATUM A: YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/6) LOAM, MIXED WITH YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/4) LOAM

D RODENT DISTURBANCE, PART OF FEATURE STRATUM A: DARK YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 4/4) SANDY LOAM WITH LENSES OF STRONG BROWN (7.5YR 5/8) SAND

E LEACHED AREA UNDER BURROW: BROWN (10YR 5/3) SANDY LOAM
F FEATURE STRATUM B: STRONG BROWN (7.5YR 5/6) SAND
G SUBSOIL: VERY PALE BROWN (10YR 7/4) SANDY LOAM
H SUBSOIL: STRONG BROWN (7.5YR 5/8) SAND, MIXED WITH BROWNISH YELLOW (10YR 6/6) SANDY LOAM, $10 \%$ GRAVELS

I SUBSOIL: YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/8) LOAMY SAND
J SUBSOIL: BROWNISH YELLOW (10YR 6/8) LOAM
K SUBSOIL: STRONG BROWN (7.5YR 5/8) SAND
L SUBSOIL: PALE BROWN (10YR 6/3) SILT LOAM
M RODENT DISTURBANCE: YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/4) LOOSE SAND
N SMALL BURROW OR ROOT CAST, HOLLOW
O FIRE-CRACKED ROCK


FIGURE K-33: Stratigraphic Profile of Feature 69, Facing South

## Feature 85

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 1, Silo Pit area | 2 small Marcey Creek ceramic sherds |
| 10 m west of Main Pit Cluster | 1 small untyped ceramic sherd |
| Shape | 14 possible FCR |
| Plan: irregular semicircle | 10 debitage: |
| Profile: deep, irregular basin | 1 jasper |
| Dimensions | 8 quartz |
| Surface: | $250 \times 120 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 74 cm |

Feature 85 was one of a group of semicircular or "D-shaped" features distributed along the western edge of the Silo Pit area (Figure K-34). This group also included Features 67, 70 to 73, and 86. These features were thought to be natural disturbances, most likely tree throws; however, because they composed a distinctive group, it was thought appropriate to sample some of them. In addition to Feature 85, Feature 67 was also sampled.

Only the eastern half of Feature 85 was excavated. The feature contained two distinct fills. Most of the feature consisted of Stratum A, a yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) sandy loam with small inclusions of strong brown (7.5YR 4/6) and light yellowish brown (10YR 6/6) soil (Figure K-35). Beneath Stratum A was Stratum B, which was siltier and generally darker than Stratum A, with larger inclusions of strong brown (7.5YR 4/6) subsoil. The boundary of the feature was somewhat irregular.

A number of artifacts were recovered from the feature, including three small ceramic sherds and 14 pieces of FCR. The ceramic sherds were all steatite tempered, like the approximately 100 sherds recovered from Feature 69 nearby. Two of them were identified as Marcey Creek (the third was too small to type). Because of its shape and irregular boundary, Feature 85 is still believed to have been a natural disturbance.

Sample List for Feature 85

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat.\# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 1057 | curated | Soil Chem. | B | 4 | 1059 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 6 | 1058 | curated | Phytolith | A | 2 | 1057 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | B | 4 | 1059 | curated | Phytolith | A | 6 | 1058 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 1057 | curated | Phytolith | B | 4 | 1059 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 6 | 1058 | curated |  |  |  |  |  |



FIGURE K-34: Plan of Feature 85


BASE OF EXCAVATION
LEGEND
A FEATURE STRATUM A: YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/4) SANDY LOAM GRADING TO BROWN (10YR 5/6) SANDY LOAM WITH DEPTH, SOME CHARCOAL FLECKING OF STRONG BROWN (7.5YR 4/6 AND 7.5YR 5/6) SANDY LOAM
C MIXTURE OF FEATURE STRATA A AND B, EXCAVATED WITH B
D B-HORIZON: STRONG BROWN (7.5YR 4/6) SANDY LOAM
E B-HORIZON: STRONG BROWN (7.5YR 5/6) SANDY LOAM
C-HORIZON: STRONG BROWN (7.5YR 4/4) SAND, 10 \% PEBBLES
$\sqsupset$
FIGURE K-35: Stratigraphic Profile of Figure 85, Facing Southwest

## Feature 98

| Location | Macrobotanical Remains |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\quad$ Locus 1, Silo Pit area | wood charcoal (not identified) |
| $\quad$ Main Pit Cluster | Artifacts |
| Shape | 3 possible FCR |
| Plan: round | 3 debitage: |
| Profile: steep sides, flat bottom | 2 jasper |
| Dimensions | 1 quartzite |
| Surface: | $162 \times 180 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Bottom Diameter: | 66 cm |
| Depth: | 118 cm |
| Volume: | $1,122 \mathrm{~L}$ |

Feature 98 was a silo pit in the main cluster of Locus 1. It appeared on the surface to be an irregular disturbance running out of Feature 7B. However, this disturbance proved to be a very shallow stain masking a nearly round pit. This pit intersected with Feature 7B, and subsoil between them was not visible until the bottom of Level 4 (Figure K-36). Feature 98 was sectioned by extending the line dividing Feature 7; the western half of the feature was excavated first. The west and south walls of Feature 98 sloped in steeply, and the east and north walls were nearly straight.

Feature 98 contained four distinct layers. Stratum A was a yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) sandy loam. Stratum B appeared at the bottom of Level 4 as a pale ring around the outside of the feature; beneath Stratum A, at a depth of 80 centimeters, it filled the feature. It consisted of mixed yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) and brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) loamy sand. Beneath Stratum B was Stratum C, which was very similar to Stratum A. Stratum D was a darker layer in the bottom of the feature, described as dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6) loamy sand. The few artifacts in the feature were all recovered from Stratum A. Analysis of the soil chemistry did not produce any strong evidence of human activity, although Stratum D did contain significantly more organic matter than was normal for either the features or the subsoil at that depth.

Stratum D of Feature 98 produced the only distinctive phytolith assemblage of the 15 samples analyzed from the features in the Silo Pit area of Locus 1. The sample from Stratum D, which was the very bottom of the pit, included many more panicoid grass phytoliths than the other samples, suggesting that the pit may have been lined with grass or baskets.

Sample List for Feature 98

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | A | 6 | 1329 | curated | Soil Chem. | B | 8 | 1341 | processed |
| Charcoal | A | 2 | 1338 | curated | Soil Chem. | D | 10 | 1342 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 1338 | curated | Phytolith | A | 2 | 1338 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | B | 8 | 1341 | curated | Phytolith | B | 8 | 1341 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | D | 10 | 1342 | curated | Phytolith | D | 10 | 1342 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 1338 | processed |  |  |  |  |  |



FIGURE K-36: Stratigraphic Profile of Feature 98, Facing East

## Feature 14

Location<br>Locus 1, Buried Plowzone Area<br>Units 277, 361, and 377<br>Shape<br>Plan: not fully exposed<br>Profile: irregular basin<br>Dimensions<br>Surface: $\quad 250$ by at least 100 cm<br>Depth:<br>$121+\mathrm{cm}$<br>\section*{Artifacts}<br>1 quartz early-stage biface<br>1 quartzite biface fragment<br>7 possible FCR<br>36 debitage:<br>13 chert<br>10 quartz<br>9 jasper<br>4 quartzite<br>Radiocarbon Dates<br>$2,920 \pm 40$ BP $\quad$ Stratum C, Level 12

Feature 14 was discovered in Unit 277, which was one of the units dug at 5-meter intervals to determine the extent of the buried plowzone. At the bottom of the plowzone the feature filled the entire unit, and its presence was not recognized; it consisted of yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) loamy sand very similar to the natural E-horizon in this area. At the bottom of Level C-5, an edge of the feature became visible in the northwestern and southwestern corners of the unit; the soil outside the feature, the natural B-horizon, was darker and more compact (Figure K-37). Level C-6 also contained seven prehistoric artifacts, whereas most of the nearby units were sterile by that depth. A feature was therefore defined.

The feature was followed down to a depth of 121 centimeters below the ground surface, at which point the water table was reached. At that depth the feature still occupied half of the unit. The feature was probed with a split spoon, and it seemed to extend a further 20 to 30 centimeters in depth. In order to further investigate the feature, two additional 1x1-meter units were dug, one north and one south of Unit 277. The feature was also present in these units. Overall, Feature 14 appeared to be basin-shaped, but with a shallower extension on the south side; the southern edge was not defined in the excavation. The feature also extended beyond the trench both to the east and west. One charcoal sample from the feature was processed, from Level 12 below the surface, and it returned a radiocarbon date of $2,920 \pm 40 \mathrm{BP}$, or about 1125 BC . Chemical analysis of the feature soils and an adjacent control column did not show any evidence of cultural activity; the levels of phosphorus, calcium, and strontium in the feature were all within the range of the control column.

The origin of the feature was not clear and was the subject of much debate. Pedologist Dr. Daniel Wagner believed that there was a deep, ancient, silty soil anomaly here, possibly related to the braided stream that occupied the Puncheon Run valley during parts of the Pleistocene epoch. However, he also identified a zone of younger soil that appeared to represent an excavation into the older silty anomaly. The boundaries he drew for this excavation, based on the degree of soil development, did not match the boundaries the archaeologists had established for Feature 14, nor did they match the artifact distribution. Artifacts were found below the excavation Dr. Wagner identified, in soils he believed were Pleistocene in age, and the date of $2,920 \pm 40$ radiocarbon years BP was also obtained from these ancient-looking soils. Dr. Wagner's view also required accepting that a feature of some kind, whether cultural or natural, happened to be formed right on top of the deep, silty anomaly - a striking coincidence that was later repeated at Feature 39 in the Silo Pit area.
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## LEGEND
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FIGURE K-37: Stratigraphic Profile of Feature 14, Facing East

It was clear that Feature 14 was older than the main occupation of this area. The basic vertical artifact distribution, with a peak in the buried plowzone and the top subplowzone level, was present across the top of Feature 14. Therefore, the radiocarbon date from deep in the feature helps to set a beginning date for that occupation. Artifacts were also present in the feature, down to 120 centimeters below the ground surface. These artifacts either date to an earlier occupation, or were somehow incorporated into the feature fill. Some of the artifacts in the feature were rather large, including a quartz biface, and these larger artifacts are less likely to have migrated substantial distances through the soil. Analysis of the soil chemistry of Feature 14 showed that the feature fills contained significantly less phosphorus and calcium than the surrounding subsoil, but slightly more organic matter.

Because the top of the feature did not have a clear edge, its shape could not be determined. For this reason, and because of the complexity of the feature's internal soil development, it is not possible to specify the origin of the feature. A cultural origin is possible, but far from certain.

## Sample List for Feature 14

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | A | 4 | 410 | curated | Soil Chem. | Ap2 | 1409 | processed |
| Charcoal | C | 12 | 416 | processed | Soil Chem. | E | 1410 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | $2-3$ | 1415 | processed | Soil Chem. | BE | 1411 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | $4-5$ | 1416 | processed | Soil Chem. | $2 B C 1$ | 1412 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | $2-3$ | 1417 | processed | Soil Chem. | $2 B C 2$ | 1413 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | $4-5$ | 1418 | processed | Soil Chem. | $2 C$ | 1414 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | Ap1 |  | 1408 | processed |  |  |  |  |

## VII. FEATURE DESCRIPTIONS FOR LOCUS 3

## Feature 1

| Location | Macrobotanical Remains |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 3, Block 4 | charred hickory nutshell |
| Shape | wood charcoal (oak) |
| Plan: irregular | Artifacts |
| Profile: sloping sides, irregular bottom | 1 possible FCR |
| Dimensions | 1 chert debitage |
| Surface: | $250 \times 350 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 15 cm |

Feature 1 was identified during the extended Phase II unit excavations in Block 4, and was more fully exposed during the mechanical removal of the plowzone. A machine-excavated extension to the northeast corner of Block 4 exposed the total extent of Feature 1, revealing an ovate stain approximately 3 meters across, flecked with carbonized floral remains and decayed bone. The bone fragments were too small to identify. Initially, Feature 1 was interpreted as a possible pit house feature. Closer examination during excavation, however, revealed two shallow basins (Features 1A and 1B), which contained the majority of the floral/faunal remains (Figure K-38). A shallow peripheral scatter associated with the shallow basins was also documented. It seems most likely that the feature was an animal den.

Sample List for Feature 1

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Bone | A | 1 | 61 | curated |



FIGURE K-38: Plan and Profile of Feature 1 (Locus 3)

## Feature 2

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | ---: |
| Locus 3, Block 4 | none |

Feature 2 was a small pit in Block 4 that has been interpreted as a tree disturbance. It was visible at the base of the plowzone, cutting through the E-horizon. It was somewhat irregular in plan view and quite irregular in profile (Figure K-39). It contained two fills. One was dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6) loamy sand with charcoal flecking, and the other was a mixture of dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6) and yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) loamy sand, also with charcoal. The boundary between the two fills was irregular. The feature was excavated by placing a $1 \times 1$-meter unit over its northern half. Both the feature fill and the surrounding subsoil were excavated, exposing a profile through the center of the feature. A column of soil samples was taken, the upper four from within the feature, and the lower two from the subsoil beneath it. Because the feature appeared to be a natural disturbance, the southern half was not excavated.

## Sample List for Feature 2

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | A | 1 | 270 | curated | Flotation 2L | A | 4 | 309 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 307 | curated | Flotation 2L | B | 5 | 310 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 3 | 308 | curated | Flotation 2L | B | 6 | 311 | curated |


NORTH PROFILE
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## LEGEND

A FEATURE FILL: DARK YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 3/4-4/4) LOAMY SAND WITH CHARCOAL
B FEATURE FILL: DARK YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 4/4) WITH YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/6) LOAMY SAND AND SOME CHARCOAL
C STRATUM C/D: LIGHT YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 6/4) MEDIUM-GRAINED LOAMY SAND
D B-HORIZON, STRATUM C: YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/6) LOAMY SAND
E E-HORIZON, STRATUM B: LIGHT YELLOWISH BROWN (2.5Y 6/4) LOAMY SAND
F E-HORIZON, STRATUM B: YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/4) SANDY LOAM
$\qquad$ BISECTION LINE


FIGURE K-39: Plan and Profile of Feature 2 (Locus 3)

## Feature 3

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ Locus 3, Block 6 | 1 jasper projectile point base (stemmed) |
| Shape | 1 quartzite tested cobble |
| Plan: irregular | 20 possible FCR |
| Profile: sloping sides, irregular bottom | 63 debitage: |
| Dimensions | 40 chert |
| Surface: | $350 \times 250 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 15 cm |
|  | 14 jasper |
|  | 4 quartz |
|  | 4 quartzite |
|  | 1 rhyolite |

Feature 3 was a large stain in Block 6 now considered to have been a rodent disturbance. It extended beyond Block 6 to the west, so its full extent is not known. The exposed portion measured $350 \times 250$ centimeters. This stain was sectioned east to west, and the southern half was excavated. The feature proved to consist of a small, well-defined pit designated Feature 3A, measuring $80 x 65$ centimeters and 15 centimeters deep, surrounded by a smear of organic staining (Figure K-40). A shotgun shell was recovered from the pit fill. Because the feature was obviously a recent disturbance, the second half was not excavated and no samples were taken. The large number of artifacts recovered from the feature simply indicates that this portion of Block 6 was a lithic workshop of some kind; nearby units also had high debitage counts, and an anvil stone was visible at the plowzone-subsoil interface nearby.


FIGURE K-40: Plan and Profile of Feature 3 (Locus 3)

## Feature 4

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Locus 3, Block 6 | 3 quartzite early-stage bifaces |
| Shape | 1 quartzite late-stage biface |
| Plan: round | 1 quartzite bipolar core |
| Profile: single layer of debitage | 2 chert freehand cores |
| Dimensions | 4 quartzite tested cobbles |
| Surface: | 110 x 100 cm |
| Depth: | 10 cm |
|  | 1 chert tested cobble |
|  | 1 quartz tested cobble |
|  | 1 possible FCR |
|  | 516 debitage: |
|  | 223 chert |
|  | 170 quartzite |
|  | 50 jasper |
|  | 40 quartz |
|  | 12 sandstone |
|  | 3 ironstone |
|  | 2 siltstone |
|  | 16 other sedimentary |

Feature 4 was a chipping cluster composed of cobbles, tested cobbles, and debitage. It was partially visible on the surface of Block 6 after the plowzone had been mechanically removed. The feature was initially interpreted as a small cluster of FCR; however, a 1x1-meter unit (Unit 118) was excavated over the cluster, revealing that it was actually composed primarily of tested cobbles and debitage (Figure K-41). Some cracked rock that might have been fire-cracked was also present. There was no soil staining or other evidence of a pit around the cluster. Because the cluster appeared to extend beyond Unit 118 to the southeast, three additional units were laid out and excavated; however, most of the cluster had been within the first unit. A majority of the artifacts were contained within a single 10 -centimeter level, Level 2 , but some were found in Levels 3 and 4 as well. Feature 4 is interpreted as the remains of a single knapping episode. A small amount of charcoal was found around the stones, and a flotation sample was taken from immediately beneath the feature; neither sample was processed.

Sample List for Feature 4

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Charcoal | B | 2 | 266 | curated | Flotation 2L | B | 2 | 266 | curated |

LEGEND

| © | QUARTZITE DEBITAGE |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0 | JASPER DEBITAGE |
| $\times$ | QUARTZITE FLAKE |
| 0 | JASPER COBBLE |
| 『 | JASPER FLAKE |
| $\otimes$ | FIRE-CRACKED ROCK |

$\begin{array}{cl}\text { © } & \text { QUARTZ FLAKE } \\ \text { QUARTZITE TESTED COBBLE } \\ \text { © } & \text { CHERT DEBITAGE } \\ \text { © } & \text { COT SHERD } \\ & \end{array}$


FIGURE K-41: Plan of Feature 4 (Locus 3)

## Feature 7

| Location | Macrofloral Remains |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 3, Block 1 | wood charcoal (oak, sweetgum, hickory) |
| Shape | Artifacts |
| Plan: round | 26 possible FCR |
| Profile: single layer of FCR |  |
| Dimensions |  |
| Surface: | $45 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 5 cm |

## Macrofloral Remains

wood charcoal (oak, sweetgum, hickory)
Artifacts
26 possible FCR
Dimensions

Depth: 5 cm
Feature 7 was a small cluster of FCR in Block 1, one of several such clusters in this area (Figure K-42). It was visible on the surface of the block after the plowzone had been mechanically removed. There was no soil staining or other evidence of a pit around the cluster. The artifacts were contained within a single $10-$ centimeter level. The feature was mapped and excavated, and a flotation sample was taken from immediately beneath the feature. A small amount of charcoal, consisting of oak, sweetgum, and hickory, was found in this sample.

Sample List for Feature 7

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Flotation 2L | B | 2 | 384 | processed |



FIGURE K-42: Plan of Feature 7 (Locus 3)

## Feature 8

## Location

Locus 3, Block 1
Shape
Plan: round
Profile: single layer of FCR
Dimensions
Surface: $\quad 65 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$
Depth: 5 cm

Feature 8 was a diffuse cluster of FCR in Block 1, one of several such clusters in this area (Figure K-43). It was visible on the surface of the block after the plowzone had been mechanically removed. There was no soil staining or other evidence of a pit around the cluster. The artifacts were contained within a single 10centimeter level. The feature was mapped and excavated, and a flotation sample was taken from immediately beneath the feature. A small amount of charcoal, consisting of oak, sweetgum, and hickory, was identified in this sample. A few fragments of decayed bone were also found, but they were too small to identify.

## Sample List for Feature 8

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flotation 2L | B | 2 | 382 | processed | Bone | B | 2 | 382 | curated |



FIGURE K-43: Plan of Feature 8 (Locus 3)

## Feature 9

| Location | Macrofloral Remains |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 3, Block 2 | wood charcoal (oak) |
| Shape | Artifacts |
| Plan: round | 5 possible FCR |
| Profile: single layer of FCR |  |
| Dimensions |  |
| Surface: | $80 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 5 cm |

Feature 9 was a small, very diffuse cluster of cobbles and FCR in Block 2, one of several such clusters in this part of the site (Figure K-44). It was visible on the surface of the block after the plowzone had been mechanically removed. There was no soil staining or other evidence of a pit around the cluster. The artifacts were contained within a single 10 -centimeter level. The feature was mapped and excavated as part of the sample of such features from the site, and a flotation sample was taken from immediately beneath the feature. A small amount of oak charcoal was found in this sample.

## Sample List for Feature 9

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Flotation 1L | B | 2 | 386 | processed |



FIGURE K-44: Plan of Feature 9 (Locus 3)

## Feature 13

## Location

Locus 3, Block 3
Shape
Plan: roughly triangular
Profile: irregular basin
Dimensions
Surface: $\quad 80 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$
Depth: 8 cm
Feature 13 was a small pit in Block 3 now believed to be a historical disturbance. In plan view it was roughly triangular (Figure K-45). The feature was sectioned east to west, and the southern half was excavated. The fill contained coal fragments, an oyster shell, and a single piece of debitage. Because the feature was historic in date, its second half was not excavated.

## Sample List for Feature 13

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 403 | curated |



FIGURE K-45: Plan and Profile of Feature 13 (Locus 3)

## Feature 16

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 3, Block 3 | 212 possible FCR |
| Shape | 39 unmodified cobbles |
| Plan: two oval clusters | 6 debitage: |
| Dimensions | 3 quartz |
| Surface: | $200 \times 130 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 5 cm |

Feature 16 was a large cluster of FCR subdivided into two smaller clusters, designated Features 16A and 16B (Figure K-46). Feature 16A, the larger of the two, measured 130x75 centimeters; Feature 16B measured $60 \times 50$ centimeters. Both of these features were visible at the bottom of the plowzone, which was removed by machine. No evidence of a pit or of burning was observed, and the cracked rock was only one layer deep. Only a few artifacts other than FCR were recovered. These artifacts were pedestaled, mapped, and photographed, and were then left for sometime as a display piece for visitors to the site. At the close of the extended Phase II excavations, the feature was excavated and soil samples were taken from beneath each concentration.

## Sample List for Feature 16

| Type | Feat | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Feat. Level | Cat. \# | Status |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flotation 2L | 16A | beneath | 516 | curated | Soil Chem. | 16A | beneath | 516 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | 16B | beneath | 518 | curated | Soil Chem. | 16B | beneath | 518 | curated |



FIGURE K-46: Plan of Feature 16

## Feature 24

| Location | Macrobotanical Remains |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 3, Block 4 | charred hickory nutshell |
| Shape | wood charcoal (oak, maple) |
| Plan: irregular | Artifacts |
| Profile: sloping sides, irregular bottom | 1 quartzite tested cobble |
| Dimensions | 1 possible FCR |
| Surface: | $100 \times 135 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 60 cm |
|  | 20 debitage: |
|  | 14 quartzite |
|  | 4 chert |
|  | 2 jasper |

Feature 24 was a pit, possibly cultural, located in Block 4, adjacent to Feature 26. The two features were initially thought to be a single large feature approximately 2.5 meters in length (Figure K-47). Because these features extended beyond Block 4 to the west, an extension was required to fully expose them. After the extension had been excavated, two distinct anomalies were recorded. A fairly clear pit in the southern part of the feature was defined as Feature 24, truncated by Feature 26 to the north. Feature 26 exhibited a ringshaped outline in plan, with an organic stained interior and a leached circular zone around the perimeter. A preliminary series of five 1-meter by 50-centimeter units (Units 128-132) was laid north to south across both features. The trench was positioned to create a west wall section profile through the central portion of the features.

After the completion of this initial trench, the west wall profile indicated that Feature 24 had a well-defined pit-shaped outline. Feature 26, however, exhibited highly irregular boundaries and organic root staining; therefore, this feature was interpreted as a large tree disturbance. Feature 24 was investigated further with the excavation of an east-west unit which removed the northwest quadrant of the feature. The completed south wall profile of this excavation also exhibited a pit-shaped outline. Artifacts were recovered from the upper levels of Features 24 and 26 in relatively low densities as compared to adjacent plowzone excavations. Recovered artifacts included small quantities of lithic debitage and FCR. The lower levels from both features contained no cultural materials. Chemical analysis of the soil from Feature 24 showed that it had elevated levels of phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and strontium, well above the Locus 3 norms; however, so did Feature 26, the adjacent, apparently natural feature. The trace elements may, therefore, derive from animal activity. A few very small pieces of charred hickory nut hull were recovered during the flotation of samples from this feature, along with a small amount of charcoal.


## LEGEND

A FEATURE 24 FILL: YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/4) LOAMY SAND
B FEATURE 26 OUTER FILL: YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/6) LOAMY SAND
C FEATURE 26 INNER FILL: DARK YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 4/4) LOAMY SAND
D SUBSOIL: YELLOWISH BROWN (10YR 5/6) SANDY CLAY LOAM


FIGURE K-47: Plan and Profile of Features 24 and 26

## Sample List for Feature 24

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 226 | processed | Soil Chem. | A | 3 | 233 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 227 | processed | Soil Chem. | A | 4 | 283 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 3 | 233 | processed | Soil Chem. | A | 5 | 295 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 4 | 283 | processed | Soil Chem. | A | 6 | 300 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 5 | 295 | processed | Soil Chem. | A | 7 | 305 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 6 | 300 | processed | Micromorph. |  |  | 523 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 7 | 305 | processed | Micromorph. |  | 524 | processed |  |
| Soil Chem | A | 1 | 226 | processed | Micromorph. |  | 525 | processed |  |
| Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 227 | processed | Micromorph. |  |  | 526 | processed |

## Feature 25

| Location | Macrobotanical Remains <br> wood charcoal (hickory, oak, maple, <br> Locus 3, Block 4 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Shape | sweetgum, persimmon) |
| Plan: kidney-shaped | charred hickory nuts |
| Profile: steep sides, rounded bottom | Artifacts |
| Dimensions | 1 quartzite middle-stage biface |
| Surface: | $275 \times 260 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 65 cm |
| Radiocarbon Dates |  |
| 3,440 $\pm 110 \mathrm{BP}$ | Stratum A, Lever tested cobble 3 |
|  |  |
|  | 30 possible FCR |
|  | 37 pieces burned clay |
|  | 58 debitage: |
|  | 28 chert |
|  | 20 quartzite |
|  | 6 quartz |
|  | 3 jasper |

Feature 25 was a pit in Block 4 that was investigated during the extended Phase II excavations as a possible cultural pit; however, it was eventually decided that the feature was probably an animal burrow (Figure K48). In plan, Feature 25 was recorded as an irregular, roughly oval or kidney-shaped soil anomaly consisting of E-horizon-type feature fill intruding into undisturbed B-horizon matrix. Three lobes attached to the outside of the feature were also mapped as peripheral components of the feature. Excavation was begun with a 50-centimeter-wide test trench, composed of Units 124-127 and dug east to west across the anomaly. Artifacts were recovered in lower densities in the feature than in the plowzone. The lower levels of the feature contained no cultural material. Recovered artifacts included debitage, FCR, and a nondiagnostic biface. During the excavation, it was revealed that the feature pitched downward and increased in depth to the south. In the north wall profile of the trench only a shallow trace of the feature was visible. The south wall profile showed a pit-shaped outline with a substantial disturbance to the east of the feature.

Feature 25 was subsequently tested with a cross-trench excavated north to south across the center of the pit, as seen in the south wall of the preliminary trench. The second trench consisted of Units 139 and 140, placed south of the original trench, and Unit 138, place north of the original trench. During the excavation of the second trench, the boundaries of the feature increased significantly to the south and southwest, with areas of feature matrix undercutting layers of intact stratigraphy. The intact stratigraphy overlying parts of the feature is difficult to explain as an excavation made vertically from ground surface. In this respect the feature is more easily explained as a large animal burrow, diving and curving to the south and west. Areas where the feature was visible at ground surface may be the top of the burrow truncated by plowing, or, alternatively, portions of the burrow that collapsed.

Flotation of soil from the feature resulted in the recovery of only a very small amount of wood charcoal. Chemical analysis of the feature fill was generally unremarkable, except that two samples taken near the bottom of the feature produced levels of strontium that were 8 and 10 times the Locus 3 average. Strontium is generally much more common in sea water than in soil, and it was thought that these anomalous values might represent the remains of anadromous fish caught in the St. Jones, which might still retain marine levels


FIGURE K-48: Plan and Profile of Feature 25
of strontium. However, since no other such high concentrations of strontium were identified during the Phase III excavations, this investigation was not pursued.

Sample List for Feature 25

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charcoal | A | 3 | 351 | processed | Bone | A | 3 | 348 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 197 | curated | Bone | A | 3 | 351 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 206 | processed | Bone | A | 4 | 352 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 207 | processed | Bone | A | 5 | 353 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | B | 3 | 208 | processed | Bone | A | 4 | 358 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | B | 4 | 209 | processed | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 242 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | B | 5 | 210 | processed | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 243 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | B | 6 | 211 | processed | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 244 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 197 | curated | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 245 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 206 | processed | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 246 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 207 | processed | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 247 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | B | 3 | 208 | processed | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 248 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | B | 4 | 209 | processed | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 249 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 210 | processed | Micromorph. |  |  | 521 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | B | 6 | 211 | processed | Micromorph. |  |  | 522 | processed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Feature 26

| Location | Macrobotanical Remains |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 3, Block 4 | charred hickory nutshell |
| Shape | wood charcoal (oak, maple) |
| Plan: circular stain with leach ring around | Artifacts |
| the exterior | 5 debitage: |
| Profile: sloping sides, irregular bottom | 3 jasper |
| Dimensions | 1 chert |
| Surface: | $200 \times 180 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 39 cm |

Feature 26 was a circular stain that was dark on the interior but had a pronounced leached ring around the exterior. This feature was in Block 4 and was apparently the result of a tree disturbance. Feature 26 was adjacent to Feature 24, and the two features were investigated together (see Figure K-47). A preliminary series of five 1 -meter by 50 -centimeter units (Units 128-132) was laid north to south across both features. The trench was positioned to create a west wall section profile through the central portion of the features. After the completion of this initial trench, the west wall profile showed that Feature 26 had highly irregular boundaries and consisted largely of organic root staining, indicating the feature was a large tree disturbance.

Sample List for Feature 26

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 222 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 222 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 223 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 223 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 224 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 224 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 225 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 225 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 3 | 231 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 3 | 231 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 3 | 232 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 3 | 232 | curated |

## Feature 30

| Location |  | Macrobotanical Remains |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Locus 3, Feature 30 Block |  | wood charcoal (oak) |
| Shape |  | charred hickory nut fragments |
| Plan: oval |  | Artifacts |
| Profile: stepped sides, rounded bottom |  | 1 rhyolite late-stage biface |
| Dimensions |  | 2 broken argillite projectile points, one |
| Surface: | 300x 190 cm | probably a triangle |
| Depth: | 165 cm | 1 chert endscraper |
| Volume: | 3,900 L | 1 jasper utilized flake |
| Radiocarbon Dates |  | 1 sandstone hammerstone |
| $310 \pm 50 \mathrm{BP}$ | Stratum A, Level 3 | 1 sandstone anvil stone |
| $4,610 \pm 40 \mathrm{BP}$ | Stratum A, Level 8 | 1 broken chert biface |
| $4,480 \pm 60 \mathrm{BP}$ | Stratum A, Level 8 | 1 jasper tested cobble |
|  |  | 1 quartz freehand core |
|  |  | 1 jasper bipolar core fragment |
|  |  | 1 chert freehand core |
|  |  | 5 possible FCR |
|  |  | 2 pieces burned clay or daub |
|  |  | 118 debitage: |
|  |  | 66 chert |
|  |  | 13 jasper |
|  |  | 15 quartz |
|  |  | 12 quartzite |
|  |  | 11 rhyolite |
|  |  | 1 argillite |

Feature 30 was first identified in extended Phase II Unit 156. In Unit 156, the feature took the shape of a flatbottomed, steep-walled pit reaching about 130 centimeters below ground surface. Despite the limited aperture of the 1x1-meter unit, Feature 30 was interpreted as cultural based on the well-defined pit outline in profile, as well as the recovery of 15 pieces of debitage and an argillite biface base in the feature fill. In addition to the recovered lithics, the fill contained small fragments of calcined bone and charcoal flecking.

During the Phase III excavations, the feature was entirely excavated, along with a block of units around it (Figure K-49). Phase III excavation of the feature began with two 1x1-meter units, Units 320 and 336, which together with the original Phase II unit (Unit 156) formed a 3x1-meter trench oriented north-south, encompassing the entire western half of the feature. It proved difficult to discern the boundaries of the feature at the base of the plowzone. The feature fill was very similar in color to the natural E-horizon, and there was a high degree of root disturbance and other bioturbation in this area. The feature boundaries were clearly visible below the second or third level, as well as in the profile, but the mapped western boundary should be considered approximate. The first three units were excavated to a maximum depth of 175 centimeters below ground surface. The depth of the feature reached 165 centimeters.

After Units 156, 320, and 336 had been excavated, the feature profile was exposed (Figure K-50). The profile was notable for two reasons: 1) the uniformity of the organic-colored main feature fill; and 2) the


FIGURE K-50: Stratigraphic Profile of Feature 30, Facing East
K-118
symmetry of redeposited B-horizon soils along the exposed northern and southern edges of the feature. The main feature fill was a large mass of highly homogeneous brown (7.5YR 4/4) sandy loam that extended to a depth of 130 centimeters. Its boundaries were particularly well-defined against the backdrop of the displaced/redeposited B-horizon soils. Judging from the degree of organic leaching observed in other cultural pits on the Coastal Plain of central Delaware, the highly organic nature of Feature 30 suggests that this pit may date to no earlier than the Middle to Late Woodland periods.

The displaced soil masses outside of the main feature fill appeared to be too homogeneous, unmixed with organic soil, to likely represent natural slumping of soil into open or abandoned pits. This suggested that the rather loamy and compressible B-horizon soils may have been intentionally placed in the pit to form steps or ledges for storage shelves. The large size and depth of Feature 30 suggest that access into the pit would indeed have been facilitated by a series of steps, and since the unstable sand forming the lower portion of the profile is not itself capable of supporting steps (or ledges), it is reasonable to view the displaced B-horizon soils as a possible load-bearing feature. Indeed, log-supported shelving would have been an efficient and practical method of large-scale underground food storage. During the excavation of the second half of the feature, the possible lining was found to extend around the entire boundary of the feature; however, no further evidence of steps was found.

In total, more than 130 artifacts were recovered from the feature, including a rhyolite late-stage biface, two argillite projectile point bases (one of the two apparently a large triangle), another biface, an endscraper, a utilized flake, three cores, five pieces of FCR, and 118 pieces of debitage. The rather small amount of FCR found throughout the Feature 30 block distinguishes this area from the Metate block. Nearly 100 very small fragments of calcined bone were recovered from the feature fill, along with two small pieces of burned clay or daub. Analysis of some of the larger bone fragments showed that they came from small mammals.

Soil chemistry and particle size analysis of the feature fill suggested that it represents a blending of all the soil layers through which it was dug. Although the surrounding intact subsoil varied substantially from the surface to the depth of the feature bottom, the feature itself was highly consistent. Therefore, the pit seems to have been filled with the same soil that had been dug out of it, or out of a similar pit nearby; this soil became mixed in the process of being dug out and placed back in the pit, possibly on multiple occasions.

Three radiocarbon dates were obtained from Feature 30, but all seem improbable as dates for the feature's period of use. The first date, obtained from Level 3, was $310 \pm 40 \mathrm{BP}$, and probably represents the historical clearing of the area. Another date, $4,480 \pm 60 \mathrm{BP}$, was obtained from Level 8 ; this date is too early for the ceramics found in the feature. All of the dates were AMS dates obtained from very small samples. The discrepancies between these dates show the high degree of bioturbation around the feature and the amount that small objects can be moved by such forces in sandy soil.

Feature 30 seems to have been a large prehistoric storage pit, possible lined with loamy soil, possibly with earthen shelves around the north and south sides. An alternate interpretation of the feature is that it functioned as a burial or chiacosan pit. This function would also have made use of the displaced subsoil masses as ledge supports, in this case to store the dead. Thurman (1985) has referred to charnel pits found somewhere within the St. Jones River valley. Chiacosan was the practice, documented for Lenape and Nanticoke groups in the 1600 s, of burying corpses for a period and then removing the bones from the grave. It would be difficult to identify direct evidence of this practice archaeologically. It should be noted, however, that no grave goods or other evidence reflecting mortuary behavior was recovered during the excavation of Feature 30.

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | A | 3 | 193 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 263 | curated |
| Charcoal | A | 6 | 198 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 6 | 265 | curated |
| Charcoal | A | 8 | 200 | processed | Phytolith | A | 1 | 955 | curated |
| Charcoal | A | 3 | 652 | processed | Phytolith | A | 5 | 957 | curated |
| Charcoal | A | 8 | 680 | curated | Phytolith | A | 7 | 971 | processed |
| Charcoal | A | 10 | 682 | curated | Phytolith | A | 2 | 270 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 5 | 418 | curated | Phytolith | A | 6 | 271 | curated |
| Flotation 1L | A | - | 424 | curated | Phytolith | A | 10 | 272 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 4 | 630 | curated | Bone | A | 4 | 417 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 5 | 663 | curated | Bone | A | 5 | 418 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 955 | curated | Bone | A | 6 | 420 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 5 | 957 | curated | Bone | A | 7 | 421 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 7 | 971 | curated | Bone | A | 8 | 422 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 262 | processed | Bone | A | 1 | 628 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 6 | 264 | processed | Bone | A | 1 | 650 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 10 | 268 | processed | Bone | A | 2 | 651 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 415 | curated | Bone | A | 3 | 652 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 7 | 421 | curated | Bone | A | 4 | 653 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 8 | 422 | curated | Bone | A | 3 | 757 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | D | 10 | 428 | curated | Bone | A | 4 | 769 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | C | 10 | 624 | curated | Bone | A | 7 | 772 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 955 | curated | Bone | A | 8 | 773 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 5 | 957 | curated | Bone | A | 9 | 784 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 7 | 971 | curated | Bone | A | 1 | 167 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | Ap |  | 1448 | processed | Bone | C | 3 | 168 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | BE |  | 1449 | processed | Bone | C | 4 | 173 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | Bt1 |  | 1450 | processed | Bone | A | 2 | 174 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | Bt2 |  | 1451 | processed | Bone | A | 3 | 193 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | BC1 |  | 1452 | processed | Bone | A | 5 | 197 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | BC2 |  | 1453 | processed | Bone | A | 6 | 198 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | C1 |  | 1454 | processed | Bone | A | 7 | 199 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | C2 |  | 1455 | processed | Bone | A | 8 | 200 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | 2 C |  | 1456 | processed | Bone | A | 9 | 201 | curated |
| Soil Chem. |  | 1-2 | 1457 | processed | Micromorph. | - | - | 529 | curated |
| Soil Chem. |  | 3-4 | 1458 | processed | Micromorph. | - | - | 534 | curated |
| Soil Chem. |  | 5-6 | 1459 | processed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil Chem. |  | 7-8 | 1460 | processed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil Chem. |  | 9-10 | 1461 | processed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil Chem. |  | 11-12 | 1462 | processed |  |  |  |  |  |

## Feature 31

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 3, Unit 328 | 1 jasper endscraper |
| Shape | 1 possible FCR |
| Plan: not known | 15 debitage: |
| Profile: sloping sides, irregular bottom | 9 quartzite |
| Dimensions | 3 jasper |
| Surface: | $100 \times 180 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 40 cm |

Feature 31 was a possible prehistoric feature encountered in Unit 328, one of the initial Phase III excavation units in Locus 3. (Unit 328 was excavated to search for a hearth or other feature around which to place a block excavation). Feature 31 resembled a thickened E-horizon, and the only possible edge encountered was a shelf about 28 centimeters below the top of this horizon (Figure K-51). In this way the feature resembled Feature 32, the possible pit house identified by HRI. In the plowzone above Feature 31, and in the top level of the subsoil, substantial amounts of debitage were encountered ( 88 pieces in the plowzone, and 38 pieces in Level 2). Feature 31 was not defined until the bottom of Level 2, and in the feature artifact counts dropped off to about three per level. This feature was mostly likely a tree throw or some other natural disturbance. No samples were taken from Feature 31.


## Feature 32

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjacent to Block 3 | 3 small untyped ceramic sherds |
| Shape | 1 argillite point, possible Fox Creek |
| Plan: roughly oval | 1 jasper biface, 8.2 cm long |
| Profile: steep to gradual sides, | 1 quartz biface |
| uneven bottom | 1 jasper biface fragment |
| Dimensions | 196 possible FCR |
| Surface: | $300 \times 230 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 50 cm |
|  | 179 debitage: |
|  | 57 quartz |
|  | 44 chert |
|  | 40 jasper |
|  | 25 quartzite |
|  | 13 other |

## Artifacts

3 small untyped ceramic sherds
1 argillite point, possible Fox Creek
1 jasper biface, 8.2 cm long
1 quartz biface
1 jasper biface fragment
196 possible FCR
179 debitage:
57 quartz
44 chert
40 jasper
25 quartzite
13 other

Feature 32 was discovered by HRI and was identified as a possible pit house. HRI excavated four test units in this location (Units 14, 19, 20, and 21), and they recovered 503 artifacts. Of these, 284 came from the plowzone, and the remaining 219 came from subsoil contexts, primarily within Feature 32. A fair amount of historical material was found in the plowzone of these units, but none was recovered from subsoil contexts. The edges of Feature 32 proved difficult to define because the feature fill closely resembled the natural Ehorizon and because there was heavy root disturbance in the upper subsoil levels. However, the base of the feature was clear at 20 to 40 centimeters below the bottom of the plowzone.

A concentration of FCR was noted at the surface of the feature in HRI Units 14 and 21, adjacent to where Berger later defined Feature 15, a large FCR cluster. Of the 219 artifacts recovered from below the subsoil in these units, 141 were fragments of FCR from the top subsoil level. An additional 178 pieces of FCR were recovered from the plowzone in these two units. HRI's report (Leibknechtet al. 1997) identified this "hearth" as one piece of evidence to suggest that Feature 32 was a pit house; however, since the FCR concentration actually spreads across the top of the feature, it must postdate the abandonment and filling of the feature. Even excluding this FCR concentration, the artifacts were concentrated at the top of the feature ( 41 in Level 1, 17 in Level 2, 11 in Level 3, one in Level 4), and very few were found on the feature floor. There is therefore little reason to associate the artifacts recovered from inside the feature with its creation or use. The artifacts that were found include a jasper biface, 8.2 centimeters long, three small ceramic sherds, an argillite point base possibly of the Fox Creek variety, and two other biface fragments.

Berger excavated five additional 1x1-meter units in and around Feature 32. Three of those units were placed in line with HRI's Units 14 and 19, creating a 5-meter-long trench through the feature (Figure K-52). The profile of this trench shows one possible edge to the feature. The description of the soil immediately below the plowzone was the same for all of these units: yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) loamy sand grading to brownish yellow (10YR 6/4). At the eastern end of the trench, in Unit 335 and most of Unit 332, this soil was about 25 centimeters thick. At about the boundary between Units 335 and 324, the bottom of this level dropped about 25 centimeters within a distance of 15 centimeters, making the overall thickness of the stratum roughly 48 centimeters. However, this was the only edge of the feature that was ever clearly defined, and this leads to difficulties and discrepancies when attempting to tally the artifacts associated with the feature. The northern edge, explored by Berger, was more gradual, and HRI's work showed that along its eastern edge

## NORTH PROFILE


the feature seemed to merge imperceptibly into a thickened E-horizon. There was no evidence of a living surface on the feature floor; the floor was also not particularly flat.

Feature 32 was clearly some sort of soil disturbance or anomaly, but it was not obviously of cultural origin. Artifacts were found within the feature at depths of up to 40 centimeters below the base of the plowzone, whereas the units in nearby Block 3 were all sterile below the first subplowzone level. One clear, steep edge to the feature was defined. However, because the feature fill could not be distinguished from the natural Ehorizon, no other edges could be found. There is, of course, no requirement that a cultural feature have steep sides. However, given the vague shape of the feature and the absence of a living surface, an interpretation of the feature as a pit house seems untenable, and an interpretation as a tree throw seems more plausible. Feature 32 clearly antedates the main occupation of the surrounding areas, which produced the large FCR concentrations in Block 3, since one of these FCR clusters extends across the top of Feature 32.

Sample List for Feature 32

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | A | 2 | 283 | curated | Soil Chem. | BC | - | 1467 | curated |
| Charcoal | A | 2 | 325 | curated | Soil Chem. | 2 C | - | 1468 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 1188 | curated | Soil Chem. | Ap | - | 1469 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 1188 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | $1-2$ | 1470 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | Ap | - | 1463 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | $3-4$ | 1471 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | E | - | 1464 | curated | Soil Chem. | Bt | - | 1472 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | Bt1 | - | 1465 | curated | Soil Chem. | 2C | - | 1473 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | Bt2 | - | 1466 | curated | Phytolith | A | 2 | 1188 | curated |

## Feature 33

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\quad$ Unit 337, between | 1 jasper stemmed projectile point |
| $\quad$ Blocks 1 and 2 | 4 possible FCR |
| Shape | 8 debitage: |
| Plan: round | 5 quartz |
| Profile: basin-shaped | 2 chert |
| Dimensions | 1 jasper |
| Surface: | $50 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 30 cm |
| Radiocarbon Dates |  |
| $2,480 \pm 40 \mathrm{BP}$ | Stratum A, Level 2 |

Feature 33 was a small, basin-shaped pit encountered in Unit 337, between Blocks 1 and 2, during the initial stages of the Phase III excavations (Figure K-53). The fill in the feature was an even yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) sandy loam, only slightly darker than the surrounding subsoil, but the edges of the feature were distinct and clearly visible. Although only a few flakes were recovered from the feature fill, a Puncheon pebble point was found at the base of the pit in association with a small charcoal sample, which returned a date of $2,480 \pm 40$ radiocarbon years BP. The point yielded positive protein residue results for American eel, Bay anchovy, and deer (see Volume II, Appendix J). A concentration of debitage ( $\mathrm{N}=182$ ) was recovered from the two units surrounding the pit feature, apparently representing a single-use knapping station or a debitage dump.

Because of its shape and close association with the debitage concentration, Feature 33 is considered a cultural feature, possibly a small storage or processing pit.

Sample List for Feature 33

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | A | 2 | 241 | processed | Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 329 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 241 | curated | Phytolith | A | 1 | 329 | curated |



FIGURE K-53: Stratigraphic Profile of Feature 33, Facing South
K-127

## Feature 34

```
Location
    Locus 3, Unit 341
    Artifacts
    none
Shape
    Plan: not known
    Profile: sloping sides, irregular bottom
Dimensions
    Surface: }\quad64\times100+\textrm{cm
    Depth: 41 cm
```

Feature 34 was a possible prehistoric feature encountered in Unit 341, one of the initial Phase III excavation units in Locus 3 (Figure K-54). The feature was visible as soil discoloration at the base of the plowzone. It was 41 centimeters deep, with steeply sloping sides and an irregular floor. The feature extended beyond the excavation unit. No artifacts were found in the feature, and only two (both debitage) were found in the unit as a whole. Because the pit was clearly visible so high in the profile, the excavators suspected that it was rather recent. The most likely interpretation is that the feature was some kind of natural disturbance, probably dating to historic times.

FIGURE K-54: Stratigraphic Profile of Feature 34

## Feature 35

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :---: |
| Locus 3, Unit 345 | 11 possible FCR |
| Shape | 6 debitage: |
| Plan: | 2 jasper |
| Profile: sloping sides, irregular bottom | 2 chert |
| Dimensions | 2 quartzite |
| Surface: | $90 \times 40 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 10 cm |

Feature 35 was a diffuse scatter of FCR encountered in Unit 345, one of the initial Phase III excavation units in Locus 3 (Figure K-55). Unit 345 was located 10 meters southwest of Block 1, which contained two similar scatters. Feature 35 was visible at the base of the plowzone, and it was entirely contained within the top 10centimeter level of the subsoil. There was no soil staining or any other sign of a pit, and very little charcoal was noted. It appeared that the feature extended beyond Unit 345 to the south; Unit 355 was excavated in that direction. However, no additional FCR was noted.


FIGURE K-55: Plan of Feature 35

## Feature 36

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unit 354, Metate Block | 1 large grinding stone |
| Shape |  |
| Plan: round |  |
| Profile: basin-shaped |  |
| Dimensions |  |
| Surface: | $45 \times 31 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 11 cm |

Feature 36 contained the metate around which the Metate block was excavated. The metate itself was a small boulder measuring about $45 \times 31 \times 11$ centimeters, with evidence of abrasion on both faces. The stone weighed 31.8 kilograms. It appears to fall into the "flat/concave metate" category established by Adams (1999). Its base was resting in Stratum B, Level 3, the same depth as most of the nearby FCR clusters. This level was also rich in debitage. Neither flotation nor phytolith analysis of samples from the surrounding soil produced any evidence of plant processing, so the exact use of the metate remains unknown.

Sample List for Feature 36

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status Unit | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Unit |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charcoal | B | 3 | 849 | cur. | 356 | Soil Chem. | C | 6 | 910 | cur. | 356 |
| Charcoal | B | 3 | 918 | cur. | 371 | Soil Chem. | B | 3 | 918 | cur. | 371 |
| Charcoal | B | 5 | 927 | cur. | 371 | Soil Chem. | B | 3 | 940 | cur. | 370 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 3 | 849 | cur. | 356 | Soil Chem. | B | 4 | 946 | cur. | 370 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 4 | 907 | cur. | 356 | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 949 | cur. | 370 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 5 | 909 | cur. | 356 | Soil Chem. | B | 6 | 951 | cur. | 370 |
| Flotation 2L | C | 6 | 910 | cur. | 356 | Phytolith | B | 3 | 849 | proc. | 356 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 3 | 918 | cur. | 371 | Phytolith | B | 4 | 907 | proc. | 356 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 3 | 940 | cur. | 370 | Phytolith | B | 5 | 909 | proc. | 356 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 4 | 946 | cur. | 370 | Phytolith | C | 6 | 910 | proc. | 356 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 5 | 949 | cur. | 370 | Phytolith | B | 3 | 918 | cur. | 371 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 6 | 951 | cur. | 370 | Phytolith | B | 4 | 946 | cur. | 370 |
| Soil Chem. | B | 3 | 849 | cur. | 356 | Phytolith | B | 5 | 949 | cur. | 370 |
| Soil Chem. | B | 4 | 907 | cur. | 356 | Phytolith | B | 6 | 951 | cur. | 370 |
| Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 909 | cur. | 356 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Feature 37

| Location | Macrobotanical Remains <br> charred hickory nutshell <br> Locus 3, Feature 30 Block <br> wood charcoal (oak) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Shape | Artifacts |
| Plan: roughly oval | 1 small ceramic sherd with clay/grog temper |
| Profile: steep sides, flat bottom | 1 quartz early-stage biface |
| Dimensions | 2 chert endscrapers |
| Surface: | $400 \times 300 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 45 cm |
|  | 2 jasper endscrapers |
|  | 1 quartz endscraper |
|  | 2 chert utilized flakes |
|  | 2 hammerstones |
|  | 1 quartzite freehand core |
|  | 3 jasper bipolar cores |
|  | 14 possible FCR |
|  | 424 debitage: |
| 133 chert |  |
|  | 119 jasper |
|  | 110 quartz |
|  | 26 quartzite |
|  | 7 rhyolite |
|  | 12 argillite |
|  | 4 siltstone |
|  | 5 sandstone |
|  | 8 other |

Feature 37 was a large, somewhat amorphous pit discovered in Unit 380, one of the units excavated during Phase III to test the area around Feature 30. The fill in the feature resembled that in Features 30 and 38, including the presence of charred nut hulls and calcined bone; however, the feature was quite different in shape. In plan view it was pear-shaped, with the narrow end to the north, about 3 meters wide at its widest point and 4 meters long (see Figure K-49). It was rather shallow, mostly about 30 centimeters deep, with a flat bottom (Figure K-56). The southern and western sides were steep and well defined; however, to the east the feature sloped up gently, and a true edge could not be defined. Feature 37 was excavated in a series of 1 x1-meter units. It contained more than 400 prehistoric artifacts, none of them diagnostic. Concentrations of debitage within parts of the feature were much higher than anywhere else in the Feature 30 block; one unit yielded 99 pieces of debitage. However, since the feature lacked a distinctive shape, its nature is not known, and it may have been either a natural disturbance or an anthropogenic pit. No postholes or other clear architectural remains were found, and unlike most of the pit houses that have been identified in Delaware, the feature had no deeper section that could have been an internal storage pit. The artifacts were distributed randomly through the fill rather than being concentrated on a clear floor. There was also no chemical evidence of human activity; the soil column within the feature had essentially the same chemical composition as a sample column taken from outside the feature.
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The artifacts recovered from Feature 37 include seven unifaces-five formal endscrapers and two utilized flakes-an interesting concentration of this tool type. Microscopic edgeware analysis showed that all of the scrapers had been used to scrape hide or another soft material. The five endscrapers make up nearly half of the total number found on the site, and they suggest a specialized activity area.

Sample List for Feature 37

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | A | 1 | 1192 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 1290 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 4 | 843 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 1291 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 1259 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 3 | 1292 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | B | 2 | 1261 | curated | Soil Chem. | Ap | 1 | 1481 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 3 | 1265 | processed | Soil Chem. | E | 2 | 1482 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 1267 | curated | Soil Chem. | BE | 3 | 1483 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | B | 4 | 1269 | curated | Soil Chem. | Bt | 4-6 | 1484 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 1273 | curated | Soil Chem. | BC | 7-8 | 1485 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 1275 | curated | Soil Chem. | C1 | 9 | 1486 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 1277 | curated | Soil Chem. | C2 | 10 | 1487 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 3 | 1278 | curated | Soil Chem. | A | 4-6 | 1488 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 1280 | curated | Soil Chem. | Bt | 7 | 1489 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 1284 | curated | Soil Chem. | BC | 8 | 1490 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 3 | 1286 | curated | Soil Chem. | C | 9 | 1491 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 1290 | curated | Phytolith | A | 4 | 843 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 2 | 1291 | curated | Phytolith | A | 1 | 1259 | curated |
| Flotation 2L | A | 3 | 1292 | curated | Phytolith | B | 2 | 1261 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 4 | 843 | curated | Phytolith | A | 3 | 1265 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 1259 | curated | Phytolith | A | 1 | 1267 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | B | 2 | 1261 | curated | Phytolith | B | 4 | 1269 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 3 | 1265 | curated | Phytolith | A | 1 | 1273 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 1267 | curated | Phytolith | A | 2 | 1275 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | B | 4 | 1269 | curated | Phytolith | A | 1 | 1277 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 1273 | curated | Phytolith | A | 3 | 1278 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 1275 | curated | Phytolith | A | 1 | 1280 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 1277 | curated | Phytolith | A | 2 | 1284 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 3 | 1278 | curated | Phytolith | A | 3 | 1286 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 1280 | curated | Phytolith | A | 1 | 1290 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 2 | 1284 | curated | Phytolith | A | 2 | 1291 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 3 | 1286 | curated | Phytolith | A | 3 | 1292 | curated |

## Feature 38

| Location <br> Locus 3, Feature 30 Block |  | Macrobotanical Remains charred hickory nutshell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shape |  | wood charcoal (oak, tulip poplar) |
| Plan: oval |  | Artifacts |
| Profile: steep sides, rounded bottom |  | 1 small ceramic sherd, clay/grog temper |
| Dimensions |  | 2 small ceramic sherd, quartz temper |
| Surface: | $300 \times 220 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 1 small ceramic sherd, indeterminate temper |
| Depth: | 135 cm | 1 jasper Jack's Reef projectile point |
| Volume: | 4,500 L | 1 jasper early-stage biface |
| Radiocarbon Dates$1,300 \pm 80 \mathrm{BP}$ |  | 1 hammerstone |
|  | Stratum A, Level 1 | 1 jasper bipolar core |
|  |  | 6 possible FCR |
|  |  | 80 debitage: |
|  |  | 43 jasper |
|  |  | 19 quartz |
|  |  | 11 chert |
|  |  | 4 quartzite |
|  |  | 1 rhyolite |
|  |  | 2 indeterminate |

Feature 38 was a large pit that intersected the northern edge of Feature 30 . Feature 38 was as large and nearly as deep as Feature 30 (see Figure K-49). It measured 3 meters long, 2.2 meters wide, and 1.4 meters deep. The fill was very similar to that in Feature 30, and Feature 38 also appeared to have been lined (Figures K-57 and K-58). A thin layer of silty, B-horizon soil from near the top of the soil column was found on the sides and in the bottom of the pit. (The subsoil around the deeper parts of the pit was pure sand.) There was no evidence of steps or shelves. Analysis of the chemistry of the pit fill showed that it contained a concentration of phosphorus, approximately twice that found in natural soils outside the pit. Phosphorus, which is common in organic matter, is often a signature of human activity, and in this case it may derive from waste disposal. Since calcium levels in the pit soils were not elevated, the waste presumably did not contain much bone or shell. Artifacts found in the feature included four small ceramic sherds, a Jack's Reef projectile point, an early-stage biface, a hammerstone, and more than 80 pieces of debitage.

One radiocarbon date was obtained from charcoal found near the top of the pit. This date, $1,300 \pm 80$ radiocarbon years BP, correlates fairly well with the Jack's Reef point and some of the artifacts found in the Feature 30 block, which included Fox Creek Lanceolate points and Hell Island ceramics.

Features 30 and 38 appear to have been large storage pits, although they may also have been burial or chiacosan pits (see discussion of Feature 30). If they were storage pits, they may have been used to store some material gathered in the nearby marshlands, such as roots.


FIGURE K-57: Stratigraphic Profile of Feature 38, Facing East
K-137


FIGURE K-58: Stratigraphic Profile of Feature 38, Facing North
K-138

Sample List for Feature 38

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. $\#$ | Status | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | A | 1 | 516 | processed | Soil Chem. | Bt | $6-7$ | 1495 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 1 | 789 | curated | Soil Chem. | BC | 8 | 1496 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 6 | 810 | processed | Soil Chem. | C1 | $9-10$ | 1497 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 3 | 937 | processed | Soil Chem. | C2 | $11-13$ | 1498 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 7 | 984 | processed | Soil Chem. | B | - | 1499 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 5 | 1030 | curated | Soil Chem. | C | - | 1500 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 8 | 1032 | curated | Soil Chem. | E | - | 1501 | processed |
| Flotation 2L | A | 10 | 836 | processed | Soil Chem. | F | - | 1502 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | 1 | 789 | curated | Soil Chem. | G | - | 1503 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | 6 | 810 | curated | Soil Chem. | H | - | 1504 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | 3 | 937 | curated | Soil Chem. | L | - | 1505 | processed |
| Soil Chem. | A | 7 | 984 | curated | Phytolith | A | 1 | 789 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 5 | 1030 | curated | Phytolith | A | 6 | 810 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 10 | 836 | curated | Phytolith | A | 3 | 937 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | A | 8 | 1032 | curated | Phytolith | A | 7 | 984 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | Ap | $1-2$ | 1492 | processed | Phytolith | A | 5 | 1030 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | E | 3 | 1493 | processed | Phytolith | A | 8 | 1032 | curated |
| Soil Chem. | BC | $4-5$ | 1494 | processed | Phytolith | A | 10 | 836 | curated |

## Feature 94

| Location | Macrobotanical Remains |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\quad$ Locus 3, Metate Block | wood charcoal (pine and oak) |
| Shape | Artifacts |
| Plan: oval | 1 jasper freehand core |
| Profile: steep sides, rounded bottom | 20 possible FCR |
| Dimensions | 94 debitage: |
| Surface: | $40 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 10 cm |
| Radiocarbon Dates |  |
| $2,960 \pm 50$ BP | Unit 410, Str. B, Lvl. 2 |

Feature 94 was a small scatter of FCR in the Metate block, about 2 meters northwest of the metate (Feature 36) (Figure K-59). The scatter measured about $40 \times 25$ centimeters and was mostly contained within Unit 410. The FCR was found in both Levels 2 and 3, and was concentrated in Level 3, about 10 to 15 centimeters below the bottom of the plowzone. Charcoal was scattered throughout the feature, although in very minute quantities. There was no soil staining or any other sign of a pit around the FCR. A charcoal sample from this feature returned a date of $2,960 \pm 50$ radiocarbon years BP.

Sample List for Feature 94

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status Unit | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Unit |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charcoal | B | 2 | 614 | proc. | 410 | Soil Chem. | B | 4 | 844 | cur. | 410 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 3 | 840 | proc. | 410 | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 903 | cur. | 410 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 4 | 844 | cur. | 410 | Phytolith | B | 3 | 840 | proc. | 410 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 5 | 903 | cur. | 410 | Phytolith | B | 4 | 844 | cur. | 410 |
| Soil Chem. | B | 2 | 602 | cur. | 405 | Phytolith | B | 5 | 903 | cur. | 410 |
| Soil Chem. | B | 3 | 840 | cur. | 410 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Feature 96

| Location <br> Locus 3, Metate Block |  | Macrobotanical Remains charred hickory nutshell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shape |  | wood charcoal (hickory, oak) |
| Plan: oval |  | Artifacts |
| Profile: steep sides, rounded bottom |  | 3 jasper stemmed points |
| Dimensions |  | 2 quartz early-stage bifaces |
| Surface: | $300 \times 200 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 1 quartz tested cobble |
| Depth: | 10 cm | 2 quartzite tested cobbles |
| Radiocarbon Dates |  | 1 pestle |
| $3,330 \pm 60 \mathrm{BP}$ | Unit 164, Str. B, Lvl. 3 | 148 possible FCR |
|  |  | 22 pieces burned clay or daub |
|  |  | 341 debitage: |
|  |  | 104 quartz |
|  |  | 101 jasper |
|  |  | 81 chert |
|  |  | 20 argillite |
|  |  | 13 quartzite |
|  |  | 7 rhyolite |
|  |  | 2 sandstone |
|  |  | 13 other sedimentary |

Feature 96 was a large scatter of FCR in the Metate block, about 2 meters southeast of the metate (Feature 36 ) (Figure K-60). The scatter measured about $2 \times 3$ meters. The FCR was found in both Levels 2 and 3, and was concentrated in Level 3 about 10 to 15 centimeters below the bottom of the plowzone. A few pieces were also found in Level 4. Extended Phase II Unit 164 had been excavated over part of the feature, and the plowzone of that unit yielded 17 pieces of FCR, suggesting that some of the feature had been plowed away. A small amount of charcoal was scattered throughout the feature. There was no soil staining or any other sign of a pit around the FCR. A charcoal sample from this feature in Unit 164 returned a date of $3,330 \pm 60$ radiocarbon years BP.

The feature did not have very clear boundaries, so in some cases the artifacts from entire units were included in the feature total, even though only part of the unit was really within the scatter of FCR.

Sample List for Feature 96

| Type | Strat. Level | Cat. \# | Status Unit | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Unit |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charcoal | B | 3 | 812 | cur. | 397 | Soil Chem. | B | 3 | 825 | cur. | 390 |
| Charcoal | B | 3 | 464 | proc. | 164 | Soil Chem. | B | 4 | 827 | cur. | 390 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 3 | 812 | cur. | 397 | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 838 | cur. | 386 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 4 | 816 | proc. | 397 | Soil Chem. | B | 3 | 841 | cur. | 386 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 5 | 817 | proc. | 397 | Soil Chem. | C | 6 | 847 | cur. | 386 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 3 | 825 | cur. | 390 | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 902 | cur. | 397 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 4 | 827 | cur. | 390 | Phytolith | B | 3 | 812 | proc. | 397 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 5 | 838 | cur. | 390 | Phytolith | B | 4 | 816 | proc. | 397 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 3 | 841 | cur. | 386 | Phytolith | B | 5 | 817 | proc. | 390 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 4 | 845 | cur. | 386 | Phytolith | B | 3 | 825 | cur. | 390 |
| Flotation 2L | C | 6 | 847 | cur. | 386 | Phytolith | B | 4 | 827 | cur. | 390 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 5 | 902 | cur. | 386 | Phytolith | B | 5 | 838 | cur. | 386 |
| Soil Chem. | B | 3 | 812 | proc. | 397 | Phytolith | B | 3 | 841 | cur. | 386 |
| Soil Chem. | B | 4 | 816 | proc. | 397 | Phytolith | C | 6 | 847 | cur. | 386 |
| Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 817 | proc. | 397 | Phytolith | B | 5 | 902 | cur. | 386 |

## Feature 97

| Location | Artifacts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Locus 3, Metate Block | 1 jasper middle-stage biface |
| Shape | 1 quartzite freehand core |
| Plan: oval | 27 possible FCR |
| Profile: steep sides, rounded bottom | 41 debitage: |
| Dimensions | 22 chert |
| Surface: | $35 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Depth: | 10 cm |
| Radiocarbon Dates |  |
| 3,820 | 9 quartz |

Feature 97 was a small scatter of FCR near the eastern end of the Metate block, about 6 meters from the metate (Feature 36) (Figure K-61). The scatter measured about $35 \times 20$ centimeters and was mostly contained within Unit 436. The FCR was found in both Levels 2 and 3, and was concentrated in Level 3 about 10 to 15 centimeters below the bottom of the plowzone. Only a very small amount of charcoal was found in the feature. No pit was visible around the pit, nor any sign of scorching or other staining in the soil. A charcoal sample from this feature returned a date of $3,820 \pm 70$ radiocarbon years BP.

Sample List for Feature 97

| Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status Unit | Type | Strat. | Level | Cat. \# | Status | Unit |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charcoal | B | 3 | 979 | proc. | 436 | Soil Chem. | B | 4 | 986 | cur. | 436 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 3 | 979 | cur. | 436 | Soil Chem. | B | 5 | 989 | cur. | 436 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 4 | 986 | cur. | 436 | Phytolith | B | 3 | 979 | cur. | 436 |
| Flotation 2L | B | 5 | 989 | cur. | 436 | Phytolith | B | 4 | 986 | cur. | 436 |
| Soil Chem. | B | 3 | 979 | proc. 436 | Phytolith | B | 5 | 989 | cur. | 436 |  |
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## ATTACHMENT A

## FEATURE LIST

## FEATURE LIST

| Feature No. | Location | Interpretation | Description/Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCUS 1 <br> Phase II |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | ovoid in plan, basin-shaped cross section; one quarter excavated |
| 2 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | rodent burrow | oval, irregular basin; 180x220 cm; 140 cm deep; half excavated |
| 3 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | silo pit | flat-bottomed, steeply sloped prehistoric storage pit, largest in Locus 1 |
| 4 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | silo pit | flat-bottomed, steeply sloped prehistoric storage pit; outer ring of different fill |
| 5 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | irregular ovoid basin, intersected with Fea. 4 at top; probably natural but fill resembled nearby Feas. 3 and 4 |
| 6 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | silo pit | flat-bottomed, steeply sloped prehistoric storage pit; inner and outer fills |
| Phase III |  |  |  |
| 7 A | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | silo pit | flat-bottomed, steeply sloped prehistoric storage pit, intersects with Fea. 7B |
| 7B | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | silo pit | flat-bottomed, steeply sloped prehistoric storage pit, intersects with Feas. 7A and 98 |
| 9 | Locus 1, Buried PZ Area | gravel deposit | excavated as possible hearth but became larger and denser with depth |
| 10 | Locus 1, Buried PZ Area | gravel deposit | excavated as possible hearth but became larger and denser with depth |
| 11 | Locus 1, Buried PZ Area | rodent disturbance | rodent disturbance in Unit 257 |
| 12 | Locus 1, Buried PZ Area | historic post |  |
| 13 | Locus 1, Buried PZ Area | historic post |  |
| 14 | Locus 1, Buried PZ Area | natural anomaly | large, deep anomaly, three 1x1-meter units excavated |
| 39 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | possible silo pit | possible prehistoric storage pit, but with strange natural stratigraphy around it |
| 40 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | irregular oblong stain, 150x165 cm |
| 41 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | possible silo pit | possible silo pit, but with jumbled stratigraphy |
| 42 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | D-shaped stain, 200x150 cm, 80* cm deep |
| 43 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | pocket of sand and gravel, 280x230 cm |
| 44 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | hearth | shallow basin, 200x170 cm, with two concentrations of cracked rock |
| 45 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | pocket of sand, $200 \times 90 \mathrm{~cm}, 10^{*} \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |


| Feature No. | Location | Interpretation | Description/Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | silo pit | flat-bottomed, steeply sloped prehistoric storage pit, stratified |
| 47 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | silo pit | flat-bottomed, steeply sloped prehistoric storage pit in main cluster, stratified |
| 48 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | silo pit | flat-bottomed, steeply sloped prehistoric storage pit in main cluster, inner and outer fills |
| 49 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | rodent burrow | amorphous stain, 260x100 cm, 50* cm deep |
| 50 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | cultural pit | basin-shaped pit, $150 \times 150 \mathrm{~cm}, 55 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep, cultural, stratified, burned nut hulls recovered |
| 51 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | cultural pit | basin-shaped pit, $96 \times 98 \mathrm{~cm}, 42 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep, cultural, stratified, burned soil |
| 52 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | $100 \times 75 \mathrm{~cm}$, vague boundaries, not excavated |
| 53 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | pit with irregular shape and profile, $180 \times 220 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 82 cm deep, completely excavated |
| 54 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | vague boundaries, 140x140 cm, $35^{*} \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |
| 55 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | vague boundaries, 190x100 cm, $45^{*} \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |
| 56 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | historic post |  |
| 57 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | rodent burrow | dark, organic stain, $56 \times 46 \mathrm{~cm}, 32 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |
| 58 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | pit | "red-ringed" pit |
| 59 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | pit | "red-ringed" pit |
| 60 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | crescent-shaped, $380 \times 185 \mathrm{~cm}$, with jumbled fills, 87 cm deep, distinct oxidation ring, half excavated |
| 61 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | pit | "red-ringed" pit |
| 62 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | oblong stain, 160x190 cm, one quarter excavated, 40 cm deep |
| 63 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | rodent burrow | dark, organic stain with hourglass shape, $140 \times 95 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 64 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | pit | largest "red-ringed" pit, distinct oxidation ring, Kirk point at bottom |
| 65 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | amorphous sandy disturbance, $280 \times 130 \mathrm{~cm}, 60^{*}$ cm deep |
| 66 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | silo pit | silo pit in main cluster, slumped edges, organic fill, small sherds |
| 67 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | oval or D-shaped disturbance, $235 \times 118 \mathrm{~cm}, 68$ cm deep, half excavated |
| 68 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | pit | possible prehistoric pit, but riddled with rodent tunnels, small sherds on surface, half excavated |
| 69 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | ? | complex of rodent tunnels cutting through some kind of older disturbance, possibly a tree throw; excavated in section, yielded 100+ steatitetempered sherds, possibly Marcey Creek and Selden Island, all from the rodent tunnels |
| 70 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | D-shaped disturbance, 200x100 cm |


| Feature No. | Location | Interpretation | Description/Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | oval to D-shaped disturbance, $170 \times 80 \mathrm{~cm}, 50$ * cm deep |
| 72 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | oval disturbance, 280x $120 \mathrm{~cm}, 60 * \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |
| 73 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | irregular, ovoid disturbance, $140 \times 110 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 74 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural soil anomaly | amorphous deposit of yellower fill, $450 \times 350 \mathrm{~cm}$, probable remnant E-horizon |
| 75 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | historic post |  |
| 76 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | historic post |  |
| 77 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | irregular disturbance, $210 \times 120 \mathrm{~cm}$, with gradual boundaries |
| 78 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | rodent burrow | dark, organic stain, hourglass shape, 110x100 cm |
| 79 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | irregular disturbance, $200 \times 140 \mathrm{~cm}, 90^{*} \mathrm{~cm}$ deep, seems to be burrow intruding into a tree throw |
| 80 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | light-colored stain, round, shallow, half excavated, $90 x 90 \mathrm{~cm}, 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |
| 81 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | rodent burrow | irregular disturbance, 360x120 cm |
| 82 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | D-shaped disturbance, $250 \times 110 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 83 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | D-shaped disturbance, 280x80 cm |
| 84 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | historic post |  |
| 85 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | irregular disturbance, vaguely D-shaped, $250 \times 120 \mathrm{~cm}, 74 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep, half excavated |
| 86 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | crescent-shaped disturbance, $140 \times 85 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 87 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | irregular disturbance, roughly oval, $240 \times 130 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 88 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | rodent burrow | dark, organic fill, $80 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 89 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | rodent burrow | dark, organic fill, $45 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 90 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | oval pocket of gravelly fill, $250 \times 110 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 91 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | natural disturbance | amorphous stain with vague boundaries, $170 \times 150 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 92 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | rodent burrow | dark, organic fill, $90 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}, 45^{*} \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |
| 93 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | tree throw | D-shaped stain, 290x125 cm |
| 95 | Locus 1, Buried PZ Area | rodent disturbance |  |
| 98 | Locus 1, Silo Pit Area | silo pit | prehistoric storage pit, overlaps with Fea. 7B, stratified |

## LOCUS 3

Phase II
1 Locus 3, Block 4 natural disturbance
two shallow basins, designated $1 \mathrm{~A}(60 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm})$ and 1B (190x50 cm), 15 cm deep, with a smear of fill around them; half excavated

| Feature No. | Location | Interpretation | Description/Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Locus 3, Block 4 | tree throw | small pit ( $92 \times 81 \mathrm{~cm}, 68 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep) with irregular, asymmetrical profile and jumbled fills; half excavated |
| 3 | Locus 3, Block 6 | rodent disturbance | small pit (3A) surrounded by smear of mixed fill, $250 \times 350 \mathrm{~cm}$; shotgun shell in pit; half excavated |
| 4 | Locus 3, Block 6 | chipping cluster | rock cluster composed of cobbles, tested cobbles, and debitage |
| 5 | Locus 3, Block 5 | FCR cluster | $80 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 6 | Locus 3, Block 5 | tree throw | pit, $82 \times 116 \mathrm{~cm}, 78 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep, with irregular profile and mixed fills, half excavated |
| 7 | Locus 3, Block 1 | FCR cluster | $45 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 8 | Locus 3, Block 1 | FCR cluster | $65 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 9 | Locus 3, Block 2 | FCR cluster | $80 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$, very diffuse |
| 10 | Locus 3, Block 2 | FCR cluster | $120 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm}$, diffuse, not excavated |
| 11 | Locus 3, Block 2 | FCR cluster | $48 \times 24 \mathrm{~cm}$, not excavated |
| 12 | Locus 3, Block 2 | FCR cluster | $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$, not excavated, small |
| 13 | Locus 3, Block 3 | historic pit | roughly triangular pit, $80 \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}, 8 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep, contained coal fragments, half excavated |
| 14 | Locus 3, Block 3 | rodent disturbance | not excavated |
| 15 | Locus 3, Block 3 | FCR cluster | $95 \times 65 \mathrm{~cm}$, adjacent to Fea. 32, which was identified as a pit house by HRI |
| 16 | Locus 3, Block 3 | FCR cluster | 200x130 cm, divided into two parts, 16A and 16B |
| 17 | Locus 3, Block 7 | noncultural | circular stain, $90 \times 76 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 18 | Locus 3, Block 9 | noncultural | circular stain, $48 \times 38 \mathrm{~cm}$; not excavated |
| 19 | Locus 3, Block 9 | noncultural | circular stain, 29x26cm; not excavated |
| 20 | Locus 3, Block 10 | tree throw | semicircular anomaly with mixed soils, 230x120 cm; not excavated |
| 21 | Locus 3, Block 1 | natural soil anomaly | part of a zone of patchy natural soils |
| 22 | Locus 3, Block 2 | pit | soil anomaly in Unit 64 |
| 23 | Locus 3, Block 2 | pit | soil anomaly in Unit 65 |
| 24 | Locus 3, Block 4 | pit | oval pit, 100x135 cm, 60 cm deep, cut by Fea. 26 tree disturbance |
| 25 | Locus 3, Block 4 | animal den | kidney-shaped pit, $275 \times 260 \mathrm{~cm}, 65 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep, undercuts subsoil |
| 26 | Locus 3, Block 4 | tree disturbance | ring-shaped stain, 200x $180 \mathrm{~cm}, 39 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |
| 27 | Locus 3, Block 10 | tree disturbance | sandy pit with high organic content, 120x70 cm, not excavated |
| 28 | Locus 3, Block 3 | old test unit | square, recent pit, $2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| 29 | Locus 3, Block 3 | rodent disturbance | charcoal concentration in Unit 163, 40x40 cm, 33 cm deep |


| Feature No. | Location | Interpretation | Description/Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | Locus 3, Unit 156 (Fea. 30 Block) | storage or burial pit | large pit with possible internal lining and stepped sides $300 \times 190 \mathrm{~cm}, 165 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |
| Phase III |  |  |  |
| 31 | Locus 3, Unit 328 | pit | Possible prehistoric pit feature, more likely a natural disturbance |
| 32 | Locus 3, Block 3 | pit | identified as a pit house by HRI. Large, irregular pit, $300 \times 230 \mathrm{~cm}, 50 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep, probably natural. |
| 33 | Locus 3, Unit 337 | pit | small, round, basin-shaped pit with pebble point at the bottom, $50 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}, 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |
| 34 | Locus 3, Unit 341 | pit | irregular pit feature, no artifacts |
| 35 | Locus 3 | FCR cluster | very diffuse FCR cluster, 11 pieces in $90 \times 40-\mathrm{cm}$ area |
| 36 | Locus 3, Metate Block | metate | small boulder with wear on both sides, apparently from grinding |
| 37 | Locus 3, Fea. 30 Block | pit | large, flat-bottomed pit of uncertain origin, $400 \times 300 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep |
| 38 | Locus 3, Fea. 30 Block | storage or burial pit | large prehistoric storage pit, lined, $300 \times 220 \mathrm{~cm}$, 135 cm deep |
| 94 | Locus 3, Metate Block | FCR cluster | $40 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 96 | Locus 3, Metate Block | FCR cluster | $300 \times 200 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 97 | Locus 3, Metate Block | FCR cluster | $35 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ |

## LOCUS 2

## Phase II

1 Locus 2, Block 18 rodent disturbance

[^0]
[^0]:    *depth determined with split spoon

