



STATE OF GOOD REPAIR

A site is considered to be in a SOGR if the following are true:

- The site is 100% undisturbed
- The site's fence is 95% complete (as applicable)
- There was < 1 encroachment annually
- The historic property is determined to be in good condition

TARGETS AND MEASURES

Monitoring of sites will be completed annually in perpetuity. Measuring % disturbed, fencing completeness, number of encroachments, and condition of historic property and structure.

Targets:

- 100% undisturbed
- 100% in SOGR

ARCHAEOLOGICAL PRESERVATION SITES & HISTORIC STRUCTURES

Description: DeIDOT has federal (Section 106 of the NHPA) and state (HB 194) requirements to carry out cultural resource preservation in accordance through consultation with FHWA, SHPO, and ACHP for archaeological sites identified in areas impacted by DeIDOT projects. These impacts can be to any National Register listed or eligible properties. DeIDOT will acquire and use covenants in association with undertakings subject to federal and state requirements to ensure protection from future actions or ensure appropriate treatment.

Annual Budget:
Preferred budget = \$15,000

Asset Valuation: The resources are irreplaceable.

INVENTORY & CONDITION

If disturbed, sites are reverted to a natural condition (e.g. fallow field)

32 Covenant Sites ~ 432 Acres

4 Historic Properties

Tweed's Park/Tweed's Tavern - agreement in place for park and tavern;

Eden Hill - DOJ using for Judge residence (land maintained by DeIDOT);

McDonough Burial Ground - annually/bi-annually mowed;

Lafferty Lane/Graham Cemetery – mowed bi-annually.

AGE DISTRIBUTION

Archaeological properties acquired from 2006-2017.

Tweeds Tavern dates to 1790

Eden Hill dates to 1749

McDonough Burial Ground dates to 1764

Lafferty Lane/Graham Cemetery – 18th-19th Centuries



PERFORMANCE PROJECTIONS



If there are impacts to a protected site, we are required to mitigate for that impact. If the threat was serious enough, we could be required to complete a data recovery to fully excavate the site. The costs of these various mitigation efforts range from \$15K to \$150K if fieldwork is involved.

For example: A site, pictured above, was impacted by placement of a water utility line (thankfully, it only hit the edge of the site). Through coordination with SHPO, we agreed to develop a public exhibit related to the type of site that was impacted.

POTENTIAL RISKS

Potential risks to these sites include disturbances caused by trespassers, intense weather events, utilities construction, etc.