

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract
 List of Tables
 List of Figures

1.0 INTRODUCTION.....1
 1.1 Purpose and Goals of the Investigation.....1

2.0 ARCHEOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY.....4
 2.1 Prehistoric Sensitivity.....6
 2.1.1 Methods.....6
 2.1.2 Statistical Test Results19
 2.2 Early Historic Sensitivity21
 2.2.1 Methods.....22
 2.2.2 Results24
 2.3 Historic Sensitivity24
 2.3.1 Methods.....27
 2.3.2 Results34

3.0 NEW CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY PROPERTIES39
 3.1 Methods.....39
 3.1.1 Review of 1962 Aerials.....39
 3.1.2 Field Work.....39
 3.1.3 Post-Field Processing40
 3.2 Results41
 3.2.1 Milford Study Area41
 3.2.2 Georgetown South.....42

4.0 US 113 / DUPONT HIGHWAY HISTORIC CONTEXT46

5.0 REFERENCES CITED47

Appendix I. Scope of Work
 Appendix II. List of Road Papers
 Appendix III. CD of Archeological Sensitivity and Cultural Resource Survey Property GIS files
 (Submitted separately to The Department, RK&K, and the SHPO)
 Appendix IV. CD of CRS forms
 (Submitted separately to The Department, RK&K, and the SHPO)
 Appendix V. Personnel

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Current Function of New Cultural Resource Survey Properties within Milford Study Area.....42

Table 2. Primary Historic Periods of New Cultural Resource Survey Properties within Milford Study Area42

Table 3. Current Function of New Cultural Resource Survey Properties within Georgetown South Study Area43

Table 4. Primary Historic Periods of New Cultural Resource Survey Properties within Georgetown South Study Area43

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Initial Route 113 North/South Study Area and the smaller Milford Study Area and Georgetown South Study Area.....	2
Figure 2.	Example of how different environmental layers are combined to create a composite layer of predicted sensitivity.....	10
Figure 3.	Example of a t-Test.....	12
Figure 4.	Number of 30 m sensitivity grids that are coincident with the area of a prehistoric site.....	17
Figure 5.	Percent of prehistoric site area within 30 m sensitivity grid units.	17
Figure 6.	Relationship between known prehistoric site locations and random non-site locations as related to sensitivity.....	18
Figure 7.	Result of Kvamme Gain Statistics showing that the locations of the existing prehistoric sites conform to the model.....	19
Figure 8.	Example of the Expert System Model attributes being combined to produce areas of Early Historic sensitivity.	24
Figure 9.	Early Historic sensitivity based on the Deductive Model.....	25
Figure 10.	Results of the Early Historic sensitivity model based on the combination of the Expert System and Deductive Approach.	25
Figure 11.	Early Historic Sensitivity Model for potential archeological sites in the US 113 North/South Study Area.....	26
Figure 12.	Example of sources for the Historic Sensitivity mapping with one specific intersection being depicted through time.....	29
Figure 13.	Example of resulting map when existing resources, potential resources, and archeological sensitivity are combined.....	35
Figure 14.	Historic line segment sensitivity of roads within the Milford Study Area and Georgetown South Study Area.	36
Figure 15.	Location and sensitivity of potential historic archeological sites within the Milford Study Area.	37
Figure 16.	Location and sensitivity of potential historic archeological sites within the Georgetown South Study Area.	38

Figure 17. Map locating potential historic architectural cultural resource properties within
the Milford Study Area44

Figure 18. Map locating potential historic architectural cultural resource properties within
the Georgetown South Study Area45