

Monday, August 27, 2018
4:00 PM to 7:00 PM

Welcome to the second Five Points public workshop!

You will learn about all the ideas that the Working Group is recommending to DeIDOT.

We want to hear from you which of those recommendations are most important to you. The public's priorities will be shown to the Working Group before their next meeting.

There are four categories of recommendations.



Policies and Procedures, shown on **BLUE** boards. Includes legislative changes, policy changes, land use regulations. Also included are technologies for traffic control and traveler information.



Making the Most of Existing Infrastructure, shown on **GREEN** boards. Includes improvements to existing roads and intersections.



Bicycling, Walking and Transit, shown on **RED** boards. Includes sidewalks, pedestrian crossings, bike parking, new connections for biking and walking, transit service, bus shelters etc.



New Infrastructure, shown on **YELLOW** boards. Includes new roads, bridges etc. These projects will be expensive and will take many years to plan, design, and build.

How do I participate?

If you haven't already, please view the brief introductory video.

You have received strips of stickers matching the four category colors. In each category, use stickers in that color to show the recommendations that you would most like to see. You can put more than one sticker on a recommendation, and you don't need to use all of your stickers.

You also received two gold stars. You can use these on any board to show your top two priorities.

The following pages list all the Working Group recommendations. General estimates are provided for the cost, time frame, and environmental or property impacts of each recommendation. The color code of its board is also shown. You will see that seven of the recommendations (#25, 42, 53, 54, 55, 82, and 83) have no color code. These seven are not part of the prioritization process because DeIDOT has already committed to moving these recommendations forward as part of current capital projects and initiatives.

Please feel free to sit down at one of the tables, take time to read over the recommendations, and decide which ones are your priorities before placing your stickers. Staff will be happy to answer any questions.

Thank you for contributing your time to let DeIDOT and Sussex County hear your priorities!



Working Group Recommendations

August 2018

Key:

Cost (order of magnitude)

\$ < \$200K
 \$\$ \$200K - \$2M
 \$\$\$ \$2M - \$20M
 \$\$\$\$ \$20M - \$200M
 \$\$\$\$\$ > \$200M

N/A: Not applicable

TBD: To be determined after study is complete

Timeframe (once the project has been initiated)

* < 3 years
 * * 3-10 years
 * * * >10 years

Impact (subjective; composite based on property, natural resource, and cultural resource impacts)

◇ Low (minimal easements or property acquisitions; minimal resource impacts)
 ◇ ◇ Medium (some property acquisitions; relocations unlikely; moderate resource impacts)
 ◇ ◇ ◇ High (more property acquisitions; relocations; potential for significant resource impacts)



FIVE POINTS TRANSPORTATION STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS
August 2018

Cost	Timeframe	Impact
\$ < \$200K	* <3 years	◇ Low
\$\$ \$200K - \$2M	** 3-10 years	◇◇ Medium
\$\$\$ \$2M - \$20M	*** >10 years	◇◇◇ High
\$\$\$\$ \$20M - \$200M		
\$\$\$\$\$ > \$200M		

Idea no.	Working Group recommendation	Responsible party	Workshop board	Study		Implementation		
				Cost	Timeframe	Cost	Timeframe	Impacts
1	Identify locations in the study area where bike parking can be provided	DelDOT	Board 15	\$	*	\$	*	◇
2	Require bike parking as a condition of certain new developments	Sussex County	Board 1	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	Study the feasibility and anticipated effectiveness of modifying signage, starting in Milford, to encourage through drivers (to points outside the Route 1 corridor between Lewes and Dewey Beach) to use Route 113, Route 5, Route 23, etc.	DelDOT	Board 3	\$	*	\$\$	*	◇
5	Study the feasibility of potential connections for walking and bicycling between existing neighborhoods, along streets, and to trails	DelDOT, Sussex County (as part of comp plan)	Board 13	\$\$	*	\$\$\$	***	◇◇
6	Study the feasibility of a barrier in the median of Route 1 to deter pedestrian crossings at inappropriate locations	DelDOT	Board 14	\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◇
7	Study frequency and causes of emergency vehicle preemption and make recommendations to balance emergency vehicle access with traveler mobility	DelDOT, emergency service providers	Board 4	\$	*	\$\$	*	◇
8	Develop a plan for grid road patterns where land is available, working with property owners and developers, including a series of roads that connect Route 9, Route 23, and Route 24 between Plantation Road and Dairy Farm Road	DelDOT, Sussex County, property owners and developers	Board 17	\$\$	**	\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
9	Develop a better process for constituents to request transportation improvements	DelDOT, General Assembly, Sussex County, Council on Transportation	Board 6	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	Improve the Canary Creek bridge on New Road to reduce flooding	DelDOT	Board 10	N/A	N/A	\$\$\$	**	◇◇◇
14	Incorporate more walkable, bikeable, mixed-use town centers into the comprehensive plan	Sussex County	Board 1	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A

FIVE POINTS TRANSPORTATION STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS
August 2018

Cost	Timeframe	Impact
\$ < \$200K	* <3 years	◇ Low
\$\$ \$200K - \$2M	** 3-10 years	◇◇ Medium
\$\$\$ \$2M - \$20M	*** >10 years	◇◇◇ High
\$\$\$\$ \$20M - \$200M		
\$\$\$\$\$ > \$200M		

Idea no.	Working Group recommendation	Responsible party	Workshop board	Study		Implementation		
				Cost	Timeframe	Cost	Timeframe	Impacts
15	Study relaxed height limits as part of the comprehensive plan to increase density	Sussex County	Board 1	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	Increase the importance of considering noise and lighting impacts of major transportation project recommendations per regulations	DeIDOT	Board 6	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
17	Identify all locations in the study area with poor drainage and make recommendations for potential inclusion in the Capital Transportation Program or developer requirements	DeIDOT, Sussex County	Board 7	\$	*	\$\$\$	***	◇◇
20	Conduct a corridor study on Route 9 to determine the feasibility of widening to four lanes	DeIDOT	Board 11	\$\$	**	\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
21	Bring in nationally recognized planners and engineers to provide new, creative ideas that draw from examples in other parts of the country	DeIDOT, Sussex County	Board 7	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
22	Study the feasibility of eliminating unsignalized crossovers on Route 1	DeIDOT	Board 12	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇
23	Identify potential connections to and from the Lewes Transit Center	DeIDOT	Board 13	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇
25	Review the need for grade separating or restricting crossings between Frederica and Lewes before eliminating signals in this area	DeIDOT	Will be incorporated into current project/initiative	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
26	Study potential locations and designs for aesthetically pleasing gateways to coastal Sussex County	Sussex County, DeIDOT, City of Lewes, Byway Committee	Board 5	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇
27	Conduct capacity analyses at study area intersections to identify the need for turn lanes	DeIDOT	Board 12	\$\$	*	\$\$\$\$	**	◇◇
28	Identify the costs and benefits of dedicating Nassau Commons Boulevard to public use	DeIDOT, Sussex County, property owner	Board 2	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇◇

FIVE POINTS TRANSPORTATION STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS
August 2018

Cost	Timeframe	Impact
\$ < \$200K	* <3 years	◇ Low
\$\$ \$200K - \$2M	** 3-10 years	◇◇ Medium
\$\$\$ \$2M - \$20M	*** >10 years	◇◇◇ High
\$\$\$\$ \$20M - \$200M		
\$\$\$\$\$ > \$200M		

Idea no.	Working Group recommendation	Responsible party	Workshop board	Study		Implementation		
				Cost	Timeframe	Cost	Timeframe	Impacts
29	Evaluate the potential transportation benefits, costs, and impacts of a new road connecting Route 1 north of Five Points and the Vineyards	DelDOT	Board 17	\$\$	**	\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
30	Revisit and consider feasibility of recommendations from 2003 SR 1 Land Use and Transportation Study	DelDOT	Board 18	\$\$	*	\$\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
32	Continue to improve traffic signal phasing, timing and coordination using real time monitoring and control technologies	DelDOT	Board 9	\$	*	\$	*	◇
34	Require new developments to plan for interconnections to any future development areas and monitor to ensure implementation	Sussex County	Board 1	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
35	Use an app to warn people of congestion on Route 1 and recommend alternative routes	DelDOT	Board 3	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
36	Identify locations where trees can safely be planted within the right of way	DelDOT	Board 5	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇
38	Study the feasibility of lengthening the southbound acceleration lane on Route 1 at Minos Conaway Road	DelDOT	Board 8	\$	*	\$\$	*	◇
41	Identify publicly- and privately-owned land in the study area that may be used for trails	DelDOT	Board 13	\$	*	\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇
42	Evaluate Tulip Drive connection to Route 1 as part of the Minos Conaway Road grade separation project	DelDOT	Will be incorporated into current project/initiative	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇◇
43	Study the feasibility of signing and/or pavement markings that will improve bicyclist comfort turning left from Dartmouth Drive onto Route 1	DelDOT	Board 15	\$	*	\$	*	◇
44	Look at east/west traffic as a system: Minos Conaway (starting at Route 9), New, Old Orchard, and Clay Roads	DelDOT	Board 17	\$\$	*	TBD	TBD	TBD
47	Study opportunities for pedestrian crossings on Kings Highway and Freeman Highway	DelDOT	Board 14	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇

FIVE POINTS TRANSPORTATION STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS
August 2018

Cost	Timeframe	Impact
\$ < \$200K	* <3 years	◇ Low
\$\$ \$200K - \$2M	** 3-10 years	◇◇ Medium
\$\$\$ \$2M - \$20M	*** >10 years	◇◇◇ High
\$\$\$\$ \$20M - \$200M		
\$\$\$\$\$ > \$200M		

Idea no.	Working Group recommendation	Responsible party	Workshop board	Study		Implementation		
				Cost	Timeframe	Cost	Timeframe	Impacts
48	Study the feasibility of replacing the HAWK signal with a full signal at Holland Glade Road, potentially with a fourth leg at the outlets	DelDOT	Board 9	\$	*	\$\$	*	◇
49	Improve tourism-oriented destination signage along Route 1	Sussex County, DelDOT, Southern Delaware Tourism	Board 3	\$	*	\$	*	◇
50	Study the feasibility of converting the Arby's driveway between Route 1 and Savannah Road into a publicly-accessible road	DelDOT, property owner	Board 2	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇
51	Study the feasibility of installing a "YOUR SPEED" display on southbound Route 1 at Nassau Road	DelDOT, General Assembly	Board 8	\$	*	\$	*	◇
52	Study the feasibility of pedestrian bridges over Route 1 at specific locations	DelDOT	Board 14	\$	*	\$\$\$	***	◇◇
53	Study the feasibility of increasing the proposed Route 24 bypass of Millsboro from one lane in each direction to two lanes in each direction	DelDOT	Will be incorporated into current project/initiative	\$\$	**	\$\$\$\$	**	◇◇◇
54	Study options for signage to direct appropriate traffic, i.e. local, boat, U of D and walking/biking areas, under the Nassau Bridge	DelDOT	Will be incorporated into current project/initiative	\$	*	TBD	TBD	TBD
55	Evaluate one-way service roads as part of the Minos Conaway Road grade separation project	DelDOT	Will be incorporated into current project/initiative	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇◇
56	Evaluate the use of land made available by narrowing lanes for landscape and multi-modal trails or parks	DelDOT	Board 5	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇
57	Study the feasibility, benefits, costs, and impacts of potential service roads along Route 1, including whether narrowing the median would facilitate provision of service roads	DelDOT	Board 18	\$\$	**	\$\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
59	Study the feasibility of transit service to tie the Milton/Red Mill Pond/Minos Conaway Road area into the transit network	DTC	Board 16	\$	*	\$\$	*	◇

FIVE POINTS TRANSPORTATION STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS
August 2018

Cost	Timeframe	Impact
\$ < \$200K	* <3 years	◇ Low
\$\$ \$200K - \$2M	** 3-10 years	◇◇ Medium
\$\$\$ \$2M - \$20M	*** >10 years	◇◇◇ High
\$\$\$\$ \$20M - \$200M		
\$\$\$\$\$ > \$200M		

Idea no.	Working Group recommendation	Responsible party	Workshop board	Study		Implementation		
				Cost	Timeframe	Cost	Timeframe	Impacts
60	Improve lane markings and signs at identified intersections: Five Points, Dartmouth Drive/Kings Highway, Plantation Road/Beaver Dam Road	DelDOT	Board 8	\$	*	\$	*	◇
62	Study the feasibility of a parking management system to alert travelers when parking lots at major destinations are full	Property owners, businesses, DNREC, DelDOT	Board 7	\$\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◇
64	Initiate a capital project to improve the intersection of Old Landing and Warrington Road (developer funding and concept are available)	DelDOT	Board 10	N/A	N/A	\$\$\$	**	◇◇
66	Study the feasibility of a grade separation at Five Points	DelDOT	Board 18	\$\$	**	\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
67	Study the feasibility of providing shelters at bus stops - Context Sensitive i.e. cottage beach style shelters	DTC	Board 16	\$	*	\$\$	*	◇
68	Develop concepts and estimates for bringing roads in the study area to DelDOT standard, including shoulders	DelDOT	Board 12	\$\$	**	\$\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
69	Study enhancing New Road per Byway Master Plan	DelDOT	Board 5	N/A	N/A	\$\$\$	**	◇◇◇
70	Evaluate the potential transportation benefits, costs, and impacts of a new road parallel to Plantation Road connecting Mulberry Knoll Road to Route 9; require any new development in this area to build this road to state specifications one parcel at a time	DelDOT, Sussex County	Board 18	\$\$	**	\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
71	Develop concepts and estimates for filling all sidewalk gaps along Savannah Road between Lewes and Five Points	DelDOT	Board 14	\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◇◇◇
72	Conduct a study at Route 9 and Minos Conaway Road to determine if a traffic signal is warranted and install a signal if warranted	DelDOT	Board 10	\$	*	\$\$	*	◇
73	Evaluate potential short-term safety and operational improvements at Route 9, Plantation Road, and Beaver Dam Road while longer-term improvements are under development	DelDOT	Board 9	\$	*	\$	*	◇

FIVE POINTS TRANSPORTATION STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS
August 2018

Cost
 \$ < \$200K
 \$\$ \$200K - \$2M
 \$\$\$ \$2M - \$20M
 \$\$\$\$ \$20M - \$200M
 \$\$\$\$\$ > \$200M

Timeframe
 * <3 years
 ** 3-10 years
 *** >10 years

Impact
 ◊ Low
 ◊◊ Medium
 ◊◊◊ High

Idea no.	Working Group recommendation	Responsible party	Workshop board	Study		Implementation		
				Cost	Timeframe	Cost	Timeframe	Impacts
75	Study the feasibility of mounting clear, consistent, day/night address/block numbering signage along Route 1	DeIDOT, Sussex County, Tourism	Board 4	\$	*	\$\$	*	◊
77	Evaluate the potential transportation benefits, costs, and impacts of a new through road connecting Postal Lane with the intersection of Old Landing Road/Airport Road	DeIDOT	Board 17	\$\$	**	\$\$\$	***	◊◊◊
78	Study the feasibility of an all-way STOP at Beaver Dam Road and Kendale Road	DeIDOT	Board 8	\$	*	\$	*	◊
79	Study the feasibility of a hop-on, hop-off van or jitney service loop for Lewes similar to free service in Cape May (Five Points, hospital, Lloyds Grocery Store, downtown Lewes, Library, Lewes Beach, Ferry, State Park, etc.)	DTC, City of Lewes, DRBA	Board 16	\$	*	\$\$	*	◊
80	Consider whether CTP funding should be allocated based on population growth	DeIDOT, Council on Transportation	Board 6	\$	**	N/A	N/A	N/A
81	Study the feasibility of a park and ride lot on Route 24 at the edge of the study area	DTC, DeIDOT	Board 16	\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◊◊◊
82	Study the feasibility of extending the eastbound widening of Route 24 to Love Creek	DeIDOT	Will be incorporated into current project/initiative	\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◊◊◊
83	Study the feasibility of widening or adding through lanes on Plantation Road from Route 24 to Cedar Grove Road and Postal Lane	DeIDOT	Will be incorporated into current project/initiative	\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◊◊◊
84	Study the feasibility of providing driveway access from Beacon Middle School and Love Creek Elementary School onto Mulberry Knoll Road	DeIDOT, Sussex County, Cape Henlopen School District	Board 10	\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◊◊
85	Evaluate the potential transportation benefits, costs, and impacts of a new road to connect Route 24 near Beacon Middle School with Old Landing Road near Arnell Creek	DeIDOT	Board 17	\$\$	**	\$\$\$	***	◊◊◊
86	Consider modifications to land development requirements and/or the Development Coordination Manual that require additional buffers/setbacks for all new developments for future road expansion	Sussex County, DeIDOT	Board 1	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A

FIVE POINTS TRANSPORTATION STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS
August 2018

Cost	Timeframe	Impact
\$ < \$200K	* <3 years	◇ Low
\$\$ \$200K - \$2M	** 3-10 years	◇◇ Medium
\$\$\$ \$2M - \$20M	*** >10 years	◇◇◇ High
\$\$\$\$ \$20M - \$200M		
\$\$\$\$\$ > \$200M		

Idea no.	Working Group recommendation	Responsible party	Workshop board	Study		Implementation		
				Cost	Timeframe	Cost	Timeframe	Impacts
87	Ensure cost savings from transportation projects within the study area are re-invested in projects within the study area	General Assembly	Board 6	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
89	Continue TID studies both east and west of Route 1	DeIDOT, Sussex County, City of Lewes	Board 2	\$\$	*	TBD	TBD	TBD
90	Develop concepts and estimates for filling all sidewalk gaps along New Road and Old Orchard Road	DeIDOT	Board 14	\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◇◇◇
91	Improve advance acquisition process to allow DeIDOT to more quickly acquire land needed for transportation improvements and acquire available land within the Five Points Study Area (e.g., Creative Concepts)	General Assembly, DeIDOT	Board 2	\$	**	N/A	N/A	N/A
92	Improve the intersection of Cave Neck Road, Sweet Briar Road, and Hudson Road	DeIDOT	Board 10	\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◇◇
94	Endorse "don't block the box" legislation with camera enforcement	General Assembly, Delaware State Police, DeIDOT	Board 4	\$	**	\$\$	*	◇
95	Study alternatives to both meter and slow southbound traffic approaching Five Points	DeIDOT	Board 3	\$	*	TBD	TBD	TBD
96	Develop design guidance to separate pedestrians and bicyclists from highway traffic using aesthetic treatments	DeIDOT, Sussex County	Board 13	\$	*	TBD	TBD	TBD
98	Study access management opportunities along Route 1 in the study area, including potential connections between businesses	DeIDOT, Sussex County	Board 12	\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◇◇◇
102	Study the feasibility of lengthening left- and right-turn lanes throughout the study area	DeIDOT	Board 12	\$	*	\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
103	Study the feasibility of restriping two-lane sections of Savannah Road with a two-way left-turn lane	DeIDOT	Board 11	\$	*	\$\$	**	◇
104	Study the feasibility of improving Minos Conaway Road with appropriate lane widths, shoulder widths, turn lanes, curvature, etc.	DeIDOT	Board 11	\$	*	\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇

FIVE POINTS TRANSPORTATION STUDY
IDEAS NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP
August 2018

Cost	Timeframe	Impact
\$ < \$200K	* <3 years	◇ Low
\$\$ \$200K - \$2M	** 3-10 years	◇◇ Medium
\$\$\$ \$2M - \$20M	*** >10 years	◇◇◇ High
\$\$\$\$ \$20M - \$200M		
\$\$\$\$\$ > \$200M		

Idea no.	Idea <u>NOT</u> recommended by the working group		Study		Implementation		
			Cost	Timeframe	Cost	Timeframe	Impacts
3	Identify locations for public restroom access	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	Introduce legislation allowing speed cameras	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	**	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	Limit non-resident vehicles in some areas	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	TBD	TBD	TBD
13	Evaluate the benefits and costs of providing more smaller buses, ideally open-air during peak season	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	\$\$\$	**	◇
18	Consider modifications to the Development Coordination Manual that require or encourage roundabouts at new subdivision entrances	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
19	Study the feasibility of converting existing development entrance intersections to roundabouts	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$\$	*	\$\$\$	***	◇◇
24	Consider expanding town limits to Route 1 through annexation in order to allow towns to have more direct input on land connecting town and Route 1	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	**	N/A	N/A	N/A
31	Consider modifications to land development requirements and/or the Development Coordination Manual to require developments of a certain size to contribute to shuttle services	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	**	\$\$	**	◇
33	Consider using tax credits or similar incentives to encourage developers to plan for interconnections with other developments	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	**	N/A	N/A	N/A
37	Limit traffic flow over the Indian River Inlet Bridge	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$\$	**	TBD	TBD	TBD
39	Study the feasibility of a fare free bus zone subsidized by Route 1 merchants	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	\$	*	◇
40	Extend limited-access Route 1 from Dover through the Route 113 corridor into Maryland	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	N/A	N/A	\$\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
46	Study the feasibility of elevated express lanes above Route 1 from Nassau Bridge to Delaware Seashore State Park, with appropriate landscaping	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$\$	*	\$\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
58	Study the feasibility of closing the north end of Salt Marsh Boulevard	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	\$\$	*	◇◇

FIVE POINTS TRANSPORTATION STUDY
IDEAS NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP
August 2018

Cost	Timeframe	Impact
\$ < \$200K	* <3 years	◇ Low
\$\$ \$200K - \$2M	** 3-10 years	◇◇ Medium
\$\$\$ \$2M - \$20M	*** >10 years	◇◇◇ High
\$\$\$\$ \$20M - \$200M		
\$\$\$\$\$ > \$200M		

Idea no.	Idea <u>NOT</u> recommended by the working group		Study		Implementation		
			Cost	Timeframe	Cost	Timeframe	Impacts
61	Study the feasibility of providing a location on Route 1 prior to Rehoboth Beach for landlords to provide keys to tenants	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
63	Develop more detailed concepts and estimates for planned byway improvements Per Byway Master Plan Improvements Document	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	TBD	TBD	TBD
65	Introduce legislation to increase the gas tax	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	**	N/A	N/A	N/A
74	Evaluate the potential transportation benefits, costs, and impacts of converting Savannah Road and New Road to one-way travel	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$\$	**	\$\$\$	**	◇◇◇
76	Evaluate the potential transportation benefits, costs, and impacts of a road and trail along the decommissioned railroad right of way, possibly from Cool Spring to Savannah Road	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$\$	**	\$\$\$\$	**	◇◇◇
88	Evaluate the potential transportation benefits, costs, and impacts of a new road along the Junction & Breakwater Trail right of way	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$\$	**	\$\$\$\$	***	◇◇◇
93	Study the feasibility of staggering beach rentals so they don't all turn over on Saturdays	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
97	Encourage Uber-type transportation to congested areas like downtown Lewes/Rehoboth Beach; provide designated dropoff and pickup locations	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	N/A	N/A	N/A
99	Study feasibility of online fee access reserved parking at State Parks	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	\$\$	*	◇
100	Identify strategic locations for electric vehicle charging stations	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	*	\$\$	*	◇◇
101	Introduce legislation to raise revenue through a mileage-based user fee	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE WORKING GROUP	\$	**	N/A	N/A	N/A