



STATE OF DELAWARE
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
800 BAY ROAD
P.O. Box 778
DOVER, DELAWARE 19903

CAROLANN WICKS, P.E.
SECRETARY

(302) 760-2030
FAX (302) 739-2254

April 15, 2008

Interested Design Builders:

RE: Contract No. 26-073-03 Readvertised
Federal Aid Project No. BRN-S050(14)
Bridge 3-156 on SR-1 over Indian River Inlet
Sussex County

Attached is Addendum No. 3 to the RFP for the referenced contract consisting of the following:

1. Twelve (12) pages, Scope of Services Package Response Comment Form, Form RCF- Questions 41 through 78, pages 1 through 12, new, to be added to the Request For Proposal.
2. Three (3) pages, Scope of Services Package, ITP, pages 8 of 25, 11 of 25, and 17 of 25, revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Request For Proposal. (Please Note: The page numbers in the ITP incorrectly state "of 25." The correct statement should be "of 24." There are 24 pages exclusive of the cover and the Table of Contents.)
3. Four (4) pages, Scope of Services Package, ITP Appendix A, pages A-11 through A-14, revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Request For Proposal.
4. Four (4) pages, Scope of Services Package, ITP Appendix B, page B-i, 1 of 5, 4 of 5, and 5 of 5, revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Request For Proposal.
5. Three (3) pages, Scope of Services Package, ITP Appendix C – Proposal Forms – Proposal Certification, pages 1 of 3, through 3 of 3, revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Request For Proposal.
6. Three (3) pages, Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents - Part 2 - DB Section 102 – Appendix A, pages 1 of 3, through 3 of 3, revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Request For Proposal.

7. One (1) page, Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents - Part 3 - Appendix A – Warranty Requirements, page 1 of 2, revised, to be substituted for the same page2 in the Request For Proposal. (Part 3 – Appendix A sequence page91.)
8. Eighteen (18) pages, Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents - Part 4 - Special Provisions, 605500 – Cable Supported Bridge System Requirements, pages 1 of 18, through 18 of 18, revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Request For Proposal. (Part 4 – Special Provisions sequence pages 61 through 78.)
9. One (1) sheet, Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents - Part 6 - Plans, Appendix B – Directive and Indicative Plans, sheet B-03, Typical Sections, revised, to be substituted for the same page in the Request For Proposal.
10. One (1) sheet, Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents - Part 6 - Plans, Appendix B – Directive and Indicative Plans, sheet B-04A, Horizontal and Vertical Control, new, to be added to the Request For Proposal.

Please note the revisions listed above and submit your Proposal based upon this information.

Very truly yours,



John V. Eustis, Jr.

Contract Services Project Manager

:jve, jr.
attach.

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q – 41	ITP	1.5.1, 2.3.1	<p>In Section 2.3.1, DeIDOT reserves the right to issue addenda up to seven calendar days prior to the due date of the technical proposal which would be May 1. However, the proposal schedule in Section 1.5.1 states that the last day to issue addenda is April 28.</p> <p>Please clarify the last day to issue addenda.</p>	<p>Schedule was corrected in Addendum No. 2. The Department’s intent is to issue addenda early enough that the Proposer has time to incorporate any changes in the Final Technical Proposal.</p>
Q – 42	ITP	1.5.1, 2.4	<p>In Section 2.4, in the seventh full paragraph, DeIDOT indicates that additional Technical Concept questions may be submitted until one week prior to the due date of the technical proposal. However, the proposal schedule in Section 1.5.1 states that the last day to submit technical questions is April 24.</p> <p>Please clarify the last day to submit technical questions.</p>	<p>This issue was corrected in Addendum 2. The deadline noted in 1.5.1 is for technical requirement questions that could result in Addenda or in information that could affect all Proposers. Technical Concept questions submitted in that time frame would necessarily be specific to the concept of the Proposer.</p>
Q – 43	ITP	2.5, 2.9, 6.1.1.1, B2.1, Appendix C	<p>In Sections 2.5, 2.9, 6.1.1.1, and B2.1, DeIDOT refers to the "Form of Proposal." No form by that name appears in Appendix C.</p> <p>Please clarify that the "Form of Proposal" is the Proposal Certification</p>	<p>The “Form of Proposal” is the Proposal Certification. References to the “Form of Proposal” will be corrected as well as the reference to form NS on the Proposal Certification.</p>
Q – 44	ITP	2.8.1	<p>In Section 2.8.1, DeIDOT requires the technical proposal to be submitted in a sealed container. The technical proposal, including originals and copies, comprises 60 separately bound documents.</p> <p>The proposer request permission to submit the technical proposal in more than one sealed container.</p>	<p>Paragraph 2.8.1 A) is corrected by Addendum No. 3 to be consistent with Paragraphs B) through D).</p>

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q – 45	ITP	2.8.1,3.5	<p>In Section 2.8.1, DeIDOT states that copies may be certified by stamping the document or cover with the words "Certified True Copy" and having the proposer's designated point of contact oversign the stamp. In Section 3.5, DeIDOT indicates that signatures provided pursuant to a power of attorney must be accompanied by the original or a certified copy of the power of attorney.</p> <p>The original was submitted with the SOQ. Is it acceptable to DeIDOT for the individual granted power of attorney to oversign the word "Certified True Copy" on a copy of the power of attorney which grants that individual authority to sign?</p>	<p>The Department understands that the original power of attorney is already in its possession. The power of attorney submitted with the Proposal may be a "Certified True Copy."</p>
Q – 46	ITP	1.2.4, 6.1, A1.0	<p>In Sections 1.2.4 and A1.0, DeIDOT states that the Executive Summary and Summary Statement are for evaluation purposes. However Section 6.1 and Appendix A do not indicate the evaluation criteria for the Executive Summary and Summary Statement.</p> <p>Please provide the evaluation criteria Executive Summary and Summary Statement.</p>	<p>The Selection Committee members will be using the Executive Summary and the Summary Statement as a guide for the Proposer's Technical Proposal. They will not be evaluated separately.</p>
Q – 47	ITP	2.8.3, 3.3.4, 8.1(L), A3.2	<p>In Sections 2.8.3, 3.3.4, and 8.1(L), DeIDOT indicates that the proposal will remain valid for one hundred (120) calendar days following the proposal due date. However, Section A3.2(A) states that The Proposal Certification that the offer will be valid for 60 calendar days after the proposal due date.</p> <p>Please clarify the length of validity of the proposer's offer.</p>	<p>This issue was corrected in Addendum 2 – 60 Days.</p>

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q – 48	ITP	A1.0	<p>In Section A1.0, DeIDOT lists "Appended Information" in the list of volumes to be submitted for the technical proposal. Appended Information is not further defined in Appendix A.</p> <p>Please clarify the meaning of "Appended Information."</p>	<p>Section 6.2 Clarifications, permits the Department to request additional information during the Proposal evaluation and selection process. This would be appended information. The Department is serious about affording each Proposer the opportunity to submit a compliant and responsive Proposal.</p>
Q – 49	ITP	Appendix C	<p>In Form KP, DeIDOT lists Utilities Design/Construction Coordinator as key personnel. However, in Part 4, Section 108C, Key Personnel Qualifications and Requirements, Utilities Design/Construction Coordinator is not listed as key personnel.</p> <p>Please clarify the list of key personnel.</p>	<p>This issue was corrected in Addendum 2.</p>
Q – 50	ITP	A7.0, Appendix A - Table A	<p>In Section A7.0, DeIDOT states that Forms PC1 through PC5 - Project Components, PCD – Project Component Descriptions, and Form PP – Lump Sum Price Cover Sheet shall be in draft form. However, Table A does not list Forms PC1 through PC5 - Project Components and Form PP – Lump Sum Price Cover Sheet.</p> <p>Please clarify whether Forms PC1 through PC5 - Project Components and Form PP - Lump Sum Price Cover Sheet are required in the technical</p>	<p>Form PCD in draft form would be necessarily incomplete without Forms PC1 through PC5 attached. Form PP is not required with the Technical Proposal.</p>

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q – 51	ITP	1.3.2, Appendix A - Table A, Appendix C	<p>Table A refers to the Non-Collusion Form. According to section 1.3.2, the Proposal Certification Form includes a clause regarding non-collusion. In addition, Appendix C does not include Form NC.</p> <p>Please clarify whether Form NC is required in Appendix A the technical proposal.</p>	<p>The Proposal Certification contains the non-collusion requirements. There is no separate Form NC – the references to it in the ITP Appendix A, will be corrected in Addendum No. 3.</p>
Q – 52	ITP	A3.2, A3.3.2	<p>In section A3.2, DelDOT requires the Proposal Certification in Volume 1. In section A3.3.2, DelDOT requires the Proposal Certification in Appendix A.</p> <p>Please clarify whether the Proposal Certification must appear in Volume 1 and Appendix A.</p>	<p>It shall appear in Volume 1. Appendix A will be clarified in Addendum No. 3.</p>
Q – 53	ITP	Appendix A- Table A	<p>In Table A, DelDOT requires Form 107A in Volume 1, Section 3. However, Form 107A is not listed as a requirement of Volume 1 in the body of Appendix A.</p> <p>Please clarify whether Form 107A is required in the technical proposal.</p>	<p>Addressed in Addendum No. 2. - Form 107A is included in ITP Appendix C. This is the Right-Of-Way Acquisition Form. The form would either be submitted with “N/A” in the parcel cell, or detailed with Right-Of-Way acquisition anticipated by the Design-Build Team. The Department does not expect that additional ROW will be needed by the D-B Teams.</p>
Q – 54	ITP	Appendix C	<p>In page 2 of the Proposal Certification, DelDOT requires the DBE percentage.</p> <p>Please clarify whether the DBE percentage in the Proposal Certification should reflect the professional services goal or the construction goal.</p>	<p>The Proposal Certification Form will be corrected in Addendum No. 3.</p>

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q – 55	ITP	6.1.1.4	<p>In section 6.1.1.4, DelDOT indicates that a description of DBE commitments and the effort made as of the proposal due date is a pass/fail evaluation factor. In Appendix A, there is no mention of a DBE narrative.</p> <p>Please clarify where the DBE narrative should be appear in the format of the technical proposal.</p>	<p>A Good Faith Effort narrative as described in Part 2, Section 102-17 would only be required in the event the Proposer could not meet the DBE goals prescribed in Part 2, DB Section 102-15.</p>
Q – 56	ITP	3.4.1, B3.0	<p>In section 3.4.1, DelDOT requires the escrowed proposal documents to be delivered into escrow not later than five (5) business days following the price proposal due. However, in section B3.0, DelDOT indicates that the escrowed proposal documents should be delivered into escrow by the proposal due date.</p> <p>Please clarify when the escrowed proposal documents must be delivered into escrow.</p>	<p>This issue was corrected in Addendum 2.</p>
Q – 57	ITP	B2.0	<p>In section B2.0, DelDOT requires Form EPD with the price proposal. Form EPD cannot be executed by the escrow agent until the escrowed proposal documents are deposited with the escrow agent.</p> <p>Please clarify what information is required on the Form EPD to be submitted with the price proposal.</p>	<p>The signed original is deposited with the Escrow Agent. A draft copy is submitted with the Price Proposal.</p>

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q – 58	ITP	A4.2.5	<p>In section A4.2.5, DeIDOT states that specific minimum requirements for the Bridge Security Program will be furnished separately to the Proposers upon issuance of the final Scope of Services Package. In Part 2, Section 107-6, DeIDOT describes the site security plan.</p> <p>Please clarify the location of the requirements for the bridge security program in the Scope of Services Package.</p>	<p>This issue was corrected in Addendum 2.</p>
Q – 59	ITP	Appendix C	<p>Form KP requires the percent of time dedicated to the project.</p> <p>Please clarify the definition of percent of time dedicate to the project. For example, if Sue will be assigned to a 10-month project for 1 month and will dedicate 100% of her time to the project for that 1 month, would her percent of time dedicated to the project be 100% or 10%?</p>	<p>The Department’s intent is for the Proposer to define the percentage of time dedicated towards our project for the duration of their assignment to the project, i.e., to use the example cited; assigned for one month of the project and dedicated for 100% of the that month would be 100%.</p>
Q – 60	ITP	Appendix C	<p>DeIDOT requires the percentage of contract value for each named subcontractor in Form NS in the technical proposal.</p> <p>Price percentages cannot be calculated until the price proposal is prepared. Please clarify whether price-related information, such as percentage values, must be provided prior to the due date of the price proposal.</p>	<p>A draft Form NS with known subcontractor names shall be submitted with the Technical Proposal. If portions of the work are to be subcontracted and the actual subcontractor is not known at the Technical Proposal, the percentage only is required. The fully completed Form NS will be required for the Price Proposal only. This will be addressed in Addendum No. 3.</p>

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q – 61	ITP	A4.2.3(A) (4)	<p>DeIDOT requires a price loaded (percentage values only) proposed baseline schedule in the technical proposal.</p> <p>Price percentages cannot be calculated until the price proposal is prepared. Please clarify whether price-related information, such as percentage values, must be provided prior to the due date of the price proposal.</p>	<p>Price loading by value or percentage is not required for the Technical Proposal. The price loaded baseline schedule is required with the Price Proposal. This is further clarified in Addendum No. 3.</p>
Q – 62	ITP	Appendix C	<p>DeIDOT requires the percentage values of the lump sum price proposal in Form SV in the technical proposal.</p> <p>Price percentages cannot be calculated until the price proposal is prepared. Please clarify whether price-related information, such as percentage values, must be provided prior to the due date of the price proposal.</p>	<p>ITP Appendix Section A7.0 requires Form SV in draft form without specific prices or percentages with the Technical Proposal.</p>
Q – 63	ITP	Appendix C	<p>The footer of the Proposal Certification form states "Form NS."</p> <p>Please update the footer in the official versions (PDF and Word) of the Proposal Certification form.</p>	<p>Proposal Certification will be corrected in Addendum No. 3.</p>
Q – 64	ITP	A6.2.1, Appendix A- Table A	<p>In Section A6.2.1, DeIDOT requires that the proposer adequately describe the physical features and activities included in the project components. In Table A, Volume 4, Section 1, DeIDOT lists (1) Project Component Descriptions and (2) Form PCD, Project Component Description. It is unclear if a separate narrative is required.</p>	<p>The intent in Table A is to have the Proposer submit (1) Forms PC1-PC5 + any additional PC Forms developed by the D-B team; and (2) Form PCD.</p> <p>Form PCD satisfies the requirement for a description of the project components.</p>

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
			Please clarify that a description provided in Form PCD satisfies the requirement for a description of the project components.	
Q – 65	ITP	1.1, 6.1.1.6, ITP - Appendix A, ITP - Appendix D, Part 1 - Appendix A,	<p>In ITP Section 1.1, DelDOT indicates a goal of completion of a serviceable project by December 31,2011. In ITP Section 6.1.1.6, DelDOT provides minimum requirements of having the bridge available to traffic no later than 12/31/2011 and having substantial completion no later than 3/31/2012. In section A4.2.3(A)(7), DelDOT states that the proposed baseline schedule shall show the final acceptance date not later than three months from substantial completion. On page 3 of ITP Appendix D, DelDOT requires availability to traffic no later than December 31, 2011, and full completion no later than March 31,2012. And, on page 1 of Part 1 Appendix A, DelDOT states that the design-builder shall complete the contract on or before December 31, 2011.</p> <p>Please clarify the required dates of availability, substantial completion, and final completion for the project.</p>	This was addressed by Addendum No. 2.
Q – 66	Part 3	Warranty Requirements	In section 2.1, DelDOT requires a maintenance period of ten (10) years on the cable system. According to our surety, a la-year maintenance bond is not commercially available. The surety can offer a maximum of a 5-year maintenance bond on any item.	The warranty term will be reduced to 5 years in Addendum No. 3.

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q – 67	ITP	6.1.1.1	<p>In section 6.1.1.1 (C) and (D), DelDOT indicates that proof of compliance must be provided "at the time of submittal."</p> <p>Please clarify that the time of submittal is prior to execution of the contract, but after contract award.</p>	<p>Delaware Code requires “In the case of contracts in excess of \$50,000 which are competitively bid, such person shall have initiated the license application procedure required by this subsection with the Division of Revenue prior to, or in conjunction with, the submission of a bid on a contract.” Evidence of initiation of the process is required at the time of submittal.</p>
Q – 68	ITP	6.1.1.1	<p>In section 6.1.1.1 (C) and (D), DelDOT refers to Subchapter XIV of Title 8 of the Delaware Code. Subchapter XIV of Title 8 appears to cover close corporations.</p> <p>Please clarify that Subchapter XIV of Title 8 is the correct reference.</p>	<p>Subchapter XV of Title 8 requires compliance prior to submittal. The Code reference is corrected in Addendum No. 3</p>
Q – 69	ITP	Appendix C	<p>Please clarify whether Form NS applies to second tier subcontractors.</p>	<p>The requirements for Form NS are specified in ITP Appendix A, A3.2. All major subcontractors must be identified: “The Proposer shall show all Major Subcontractors (Subcontractors performing five percent or more of the value of the Work), Major Suppliers (Suppliers providing products and Material valued in excess of five percent of the value of the Work),...” There can be no distinction between first and second tier subcontractors if the value of their contribution is above five percent of the total value of the Work.</p>

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q – 70	ITP	Appendix C	Please clarify whether Form LSI applies to second tier subcontractors.	The Department’s intent is for Form LSI to be submitted for all Major subcontractors regardless of tier.
Q – 71	Part 3 Part 2	Appendix A Warranty Reqmts DB 103-2	This section identifies items to be warranted. 2.1 requires a 10-year warranty for the cable system. Other items are for a 5 year period. Section 2.10 calls out the requirement for a bond. These long term bonds have not been available. Please consider a shorter period. The bonding companies have also suggested instead of the individual items for different terms, that it may be an option to require a fixed dollar bond for a fixed term. Please consider this option.	It is the Department’s understanding that 5 year bonds are commercially available. A single maintenance bond for a fixed period and fixed amount is acceptable. However, the single maintenance bond will have to be for the maximum period of all items covered.
Q – 72	N/A	N/A	Please provide the geometric control information for the existing bridge and roadway tie-in points so as to coordinate these with the new bridge layout.	Geometric control information will be supplied in Addendum No. 3.
Q – 73	4	605500	There appear to be discrepancies in the number of tests required for the cable systems. For example, paragraph 5.0 mentions first and second axial fatigue tests on separate cable specimens, whereas paragraph 5.6.1 specifies three (3) cable specimens to be provided with only one being tested. Please clarify the number and type of testing requirements needed for the full scale testing program for the cable system.	Refer to PTI, 5 th Edition. Section 5.0 refers to notice and scheduling of tests, and requires notice to the Department at the times indicated. Section 5.6.1 refers to the testing itself. This is a standard PTI requirement, which is for three fatigue tests (see PTI, 4.2). The single test under PTI 4.1 is a test of the corrosion protection system, which is

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
				one of the fatigue specimens after fatigue testing.
Q – 74	4	605500	Paragraph 2.2 requires an independent testing laboratory shall test all materials including the cable strands. In previous cable-stay projects, the strand test specified in paragraph 5.5 and 5.5.3 have been accepted when they were performed and reported by the strand manufacturer. The strand manufacturer will perform these tests regardless of the provision in order to ensure the manufactured strand meets the special provisions before shipment. Independent testing of all tests adds significant costs. Is it the intent of the special provision to have all strand tested at an independent laboratory? Or will tests performed at the strand manufacturer for 5.5 and 5.5.3 be acceptable? The fatigue test 5.5.2 is tested at an independent lab.	Design Builder’s independent laboratory may certify testing at suppliers facilities, but independent certification is required.
Q – 75	4	605500	Paragraph 5.0.B refers to "single strand friction tests". This appears to be a requirement of the previous design specification that does not apply to the current design. Please clarify this apparent discrepancy.	The requirement for “single strand friction tests” will be deleted by Addendum No. 3.

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q – 76	4	605500	Please provide a definition of "more strict dimensional tolerances than standard values" as used in paragraph 3.3	The performance criteria stated for smoothness of the final pipe section at welds is not limited by the normal tolerances on pipes or weld sizes. The contractor will be held to a more stringent performance criteria standard for pipes and welds, which may require grinding and finishing at weld points.
Q – 77	4	605500	Paragraph 3.3.2 specifies stainless steel pipe to be polished in the longitudinal direction. However, this is significantly more costly without appreciable improvement in performance when compared with polishing in the transverse direction. Will the department waive the requirement for polishing the stainless steel pipe in the longitudinal direction?	The polishing requirement will apply as written for stainless steel pipe used as a stay pipe. Stainless steel pipe exclusive of stay pipe will not require polishing. This will be clarified by Addendum No. 3.
Q – 78	4	605500	Paragraph 4.1.D refers to "transparent cap". This appears to be a requirement of the previous design specification that does not apply to the current design. Please clarify this apparent discrepancy.	The requirements will be clarified by Addendum No. 3.