SI 8

AIR / NOISE ANALYSIS

301/ US 301 Project Development

AIR QUALITY

Macroscale (Region-wide) Air Quality Analysis

Performed by Wilmington Area Planning Council (WILMAPCO)

- State Implementation Plans (SIPs) are developed to define how a region will meet the primary and secondary National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).
- The WILMAPCO develops a Constrained Long Range Plan (CLRP) and a Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP). A computer model is developed to predict CLRP and TIP impacts on air quality in New Castle County and to check conformity to the SIP.
- Emissions determined in the air quality analysis are summertime Nitrous Oxides (NO₂) and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), and wintertime Carbon Monoxide (CO). The NO₄ and VOC emissions are pre-cursors for forming Ozone (O₄).
- The US 301 Project is included in the 2005-2010 CLRP
- The US 301 Project conforms to the SIP since it is included in a conforming CLRP.

Microscale (Project-level) Air Quality Analysis

Performed by DelDOT

- C0 hotspot analysis along the US 301 alignments and at 2 signalized intersections within the US 301 project area.
- 25 air quality receptor locations were selected to represent air quality sensitive locations. The sensitive receptor locations were defined as locations on either side of the proposed alignments that would be affected by changes in air quality.
- 2 signalized intersections along the proposed US 301 alignments were analyzed using 40 air quality receptors.
- There are no violations of the State/National Ambient Air Quality Standards (S/NAAQS) for CO. • The 1-hour S/NAAOS for CO is 35 ppm. The 8-hour S/NAAOS for CO is 9 ppm.
- The 1-hour CO concentrations include a 1.7 ppm background level and the 8-hour average CO concentrations include a 1.2 ppm background level.
- The highest CO concentrations are as follows:

HIGHEST CONCENTRATIONS 2010 2030 Sensitive Intersections Receptor Sites along US 301 Receptor Sites along US 301 1 hr 8 hr 1 hr 8 hr 1 hr 8 hr 1 hr 8 hr No Build Alternative 4.8 2.7 5.5 3.2 4.0 2.3 4.4 2.7 5.1 2.9 5.1 2.6 4.2 2.5 4.1 2.3 Yellow Alternative 6.1 3.3 4.0 2.6 5.0 2.8 3.5 2.2 Purple + Spur Alternative 6.3 3.4 5.1 2.6 5.2 2.9 4.1 2.3 Brown Alternative (North & South 6.3 3.5 4.0 2.6 5.2 2.9 3.5 2.2

NOISE ANALYSIS

Federal Noise Regulations

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has issued guidelines for noise evaluation as established in Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 772, Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise. Highway traffic noise studies, noise abatement procedures, coordination requirements and design noise levels in CFR Part 772 constitute the noise standards mandated by 23 U.S.C. 109(i). Design noise levels for various types of activity (land use) categories are summarized in the table below.

FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria/Activity Relationships

Activity Category	Design Noise Level Leq(h)	Description of Activity Category
A	57 dBA (Exterior)	Land on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need, and where the preservation of those qualities is essential if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose.
в	67 dBA (Exterior)	Residences, motels, hotels, schools, churches, libraries, hospitals, picnic areas, recreation areas, playgrounds, active sports areas, and parks.
С	72 dBA (Exterior)	Developed lands, properties or activities not included in categories A and B above.
D	-	Undeveloped lands.
E	52 dBA (Interior)	Residences, motels, hotels, public meeting rooms, schools, churches, libraries, hospitals and auditoriums.

DeIDOT's Noise Abatement Policy

DelDOT considers noise mitigation when either of the following conditions is satisfied:

- Predicted design-year noise levels approach or exceed the noise abatement criteria levels given above. DeIDot considers a noise impact to occur when the design noise level is approached or exceeded (i.e., predicted exterior noise level for a residence at ground level must approach or equal 67 dBA to quality as a traffic noise impact). 2. Predicted design-year noise levels substantially exceed existing noise levels (equal to, or greater than, a 10 dBA increase

Outline of Noise Analysis Procedures

- 1. Identify Noise-Sensitive Land Uses
- 2. Determine Existing Noise Levels
- 3. Compare Computed and Measured Noise Levels
- 4. Predict Design-Year Noise Levels
- 5. Project Noise Impacts 6. Assess Mitigation Meas
- ide Subway Train (NY) Gas Lawn Mower at 3 fee Diesel Truck at 50 fee Blender at 3 feet Garbage Disposal at 3 feet Shouting at 3 feet Noisy Urban Day Cleaner at 10 fee Speech at 3 feet ge Business Office Quiet Urban D 50 Small Theater, Large ((Background) Quiet Urban Nighttir 40 30 Bedroom at Night, Concert Hall (Background) Quiet Rural Nigt 20 oadcast & Recording Studio 10 shold of Hearing

Commo

Rock Band

AIR & NOISE MONITORING SITES



December 2005



Preliminary Results of the Noise Analysis

Analysis Procedures and Methodology This analysis was conducted in accordance with standard FHWA guidelines and current DeIDOT This analysis was conducted in accordance with standard FHWA guidelines and current DeIDOT procedures and policies. The analysis began with the determination of existing noise levels along the project corridor in order to assess the traffic noise contributions on the neighboring noise sensitive areas. Future proposed design year 2030 alternatives noise calculations and predictions were performed using FHWA-approved methods. The noise predictions were performed with the FHWA Traffic Noise Model (TNM) version 2.5 (FHWA-PD-96-009). The model incorporates vehicle noise emission levels, updated for modern vehicle classification, traffic speed and traffic volume, sound propagation factors from atmospheric absorption, divergence, intervening ground, intervening barriers, intervening rows of buildings and areas of heavy vegetation.

A comparison of predicted existing and future noise levels, including the No-Build Alternative and retained alternatives, has been conducted. Predicted noise levels were calculated to 0.1 dBA and then rounded to the mean-twick integra. The table below nearest whole integer. The table below summarizes the preliminary finds by alternatives as they relate to the communities located along the corridors of the retained alternatives The noise analysis is on-going and the results shown below are but one factor in evaluating the retained alternatives.

1	fellow Alternative Nois	e Impact Assessment			
Community Area	Number of Impacts	Type of Impacts			
summit Bridge Farms	14	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Summit Pond	4	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Crystal Run Farm	8	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Jamisons Corner	2	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Grandview Farms	33	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Cedar Lane / SR896	4	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Penfield Farms	5	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Middle Neck Road	1	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Total	71	Noise mitigation options are currently being assessed			
		and results will be available at the next public workshop			
Pumle + Snur Alternative Noise Imnact Assessment					
Community Area	Number of Imposto	Tune of Impacts			
community Area	rumber of impacts	Deviated Noise Levels of 66 dBA or erector			
Lea Eara Farris	14	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Summit Bruge Farms	10	I and then 66 dBA, but ±10 dBA over existing			
chesapeake meadow	10	Less trial to dbA, but + to dbA over existing			
Post and Rall Farms	45	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
middletown village	15	Less than oo dbA, but +10 dBA over existing			
Jamisons Corner	2	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
oranoviéw Farms	31	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Cedar Lane / SR896	3	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Penfield Farms	5	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Total	87	Noise mitigation options are currently being assessed			
		and results we be arranged at the next public workshop			
Bro	wn-North Alternative M	loise Impact Assessment			
Community Area	Number of Impacts	Type of Impacts			
Lea Eara Farms	6	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Summit Bridge Farms	25	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Chesapeake Meadow	20	Less than 66 dBA, but +10 dBA over existing			
Post and Rail Farms	2	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Middletown Village	15	Less than 66 dBA, but +10 dBA over existing			
Total	68	Noise mitipation options are currently being assessed			
		and results will be available at the next public workshop			
Bro	wn-South Alternative I	loies Impact Accesement			
Community Area	Number of Imposto	Time of Impacts			
Community Area	Rumber of impacts	Prodicted Name Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Lea Lala Fallis	10	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Summit Bridge Farms	10	Fredicied Noise Levels of 00 dbA or greater			
Unesapeake Meadow	20	Less than op dbA, but +10 dBA over existing			
Post and Kail Farms	2	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
middletown village	15	Less than op dbA, but +10 dBA over existing			
Iotal	60	nose mogation options are currently being assessed and results will be available at the next public workshop			
		and the set of the set of the set plane workings			
Gre	an-North + Sour Alter	native Noise Impact Assessment			
Community Area	Number of Imposto	Time of Impacts			
Community Area	reamber of impacts	Predicted Noise Levels of 65 dBA or greater			
Lea cara narms Rummit Reidao Compo	5	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Summit Druge Farms	14	I and then 66 dPA, but a10 dPA and			
unesapeake meadów	10	Less man ob dbA, but + to dbA over existing			
Post and Rail Farms	2	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Middletown Village	15	Less than 66 dBA, but +10 dBA over existing			
Total	46	Noise mitigation options are currently being assessed			
		and residus we be assessed at the next public workshop			
Gre	en-South + Spur Alter	native Noise Impact Assessment			
Community Area	Number of Impacts	Type of Impacts			
Lea Eara Farms	5	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Summit Bridge Farms	14	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Chesapeake Meadow	10	Less than 66 dBA, but +10 dBA over existing			
Post and Rail Farms	2	Predicted Noise Levels of 66 dBA or greater			
Middletown Village	15	Less than 66 dBA, but +10 dBA over existing			
Total	46	Noise mitigation options are currently being assessed			
		and results will be available at the next public workshop			