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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The US 301 Spur Road, the subject of this traffic monitoring report, is part of Delaware Department of Transportation's (DeIDOT's) US 301 Project (see Figure 1). In November 2007, after nearly four decades of study, a preferred alternative was selected, as described in the US 301 Final Environmental Impact Statement. The Federal Highway Administration subsequently approved the Record of Decision on April 30, 2008 which authorized DeIDOT to begin final design on the preferred alternative, known as the "Green North + Spur" alternative. In January 2010, the $145^{\text {th }}$ General Assembly of Delaware passed House Resolution No. 35 directing DeIDOT to "sit down over the next 6 weeks to develop and negotiate to final resolution a bill to amend the existing epilogue language, with such bill mandating certain trigger mechanisms for the Spur Road." As a result of that coordination, the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program was developed to monitor growth in traffic and land use development, and to evaluate the operational characteristics of key roads and intersections. This monitoring program will provide decision makers with data to make an informed decision on the appropriate timing for the construction of the US 301 Spur Road.

The monitoring program consists of the annual collection and analysis of daily traffic volumes on select roadways, peak period intersection volumes, vehicular delay at unsignalized intersections, crash data, and land use development data. Each year, the data will be analyzed and compared with data and results from prior years. This report represents a summary of the sixth year of the monitoring program based on data collected in 2015. This report compares the newly collected data with the data collected and summarized previously in 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014, representing the first five years of the monitoring program. The key findings and data in the report are summarized below:

## Land Development:

- As of December 2014, a total of seventy (70) ongoing commercial and residential developments were in various stages of the planning or building process within the study areas of southern New Castle and Cecil Counties. Fifty-eight (58) of these developments are located in southern New Castle County and twelve (12) developments are located in Cecil County, Maryland. At the time of the publication for this 2015 Spur Monitoring Report, the 2015 residential development data for New Castle County and Cecil County were not available. As a result, 2015 residential development data for New Castle County and Cecil County were left blank and will be updated in the future when the data becomes available. The 2015 residential development data within the Town of Middletown was available and the data was included in the report as Appendix B.
- Of the fifty-eight (58) developments located in southern New Castle County, seventeen (17) of the residential developments are located within the Town of Middletown. Of the 17 developments, fifteen (15) developments have been in various stages of development since the monitoring program began. It should be noted that the development originally listed as Westown (Levels) has been divided into smaller developments named Preserve at Deep Creek, Legary at Deep Creek, Habitat and Promenade / Middletown Condominiums in 2015. Seven (7) of these 17 developments were completed by the end of 2007, with an eighth (Middletown Village) completed by the end of 2010 and then a ninth (Willow Grove Mill) completed by the end of 2012. A tenth (townhouse portion of Spring Arbor at South Ridge) development was completed in 2015. More recently, there were 171 new housing units completed between 2014 and 2015. The 17 developments include a total of 6,707 housing units, including approximately 3,600 single-family detached homes, 240 duplexes, 1,600 townhouses, and 1,270 apartments / condos.
- A total of 2,179 of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown were constructed by the end of 2007, 2,951 were constructed by the end of 2010, 3,008 had been constructed by the end of 2011. 3,132 of the proposed 7,728 housing units were constructed by the end of 2012, 3,221 of the proposed 7,728 were constructed by the end of 2013, and 3,351 of the proposed 7,728 housing units were constructed by the end of 2014. Additionally, 3,522 housing units were constructed by the end of 2015. This represents an increase of 1,343 housing units over the seven (7) year period between 2007 and 2015 and includes 171 new units completed between 2014 and 2015.
- The ongoing commercial development within the study area consists of various uses, including office space, retail, and light industrial development (including warehouse space). The commercial developments were divided into Approved and Pending (Exploratory) categories. By the end of 2015, developers had submitted plans that are currently either approved or pending for over 12 million square feet (SF) of non-residential space in southern New Castle County, which included a new 228,000 SF Technology Center (Auto Park Parcel) and a new 160,000 SF Delaware Sport Complex. This represents an increase of 156,500 SF (+1\%) of approved or pending commercial development, compared to 2014. Physically, 12 million SF of non-residential space represents approximately 11.3 million SF of approved development (compared to 11 million SF in 2014) with another 0.7 million SF in pending approval (compared to 0.8 million SF in 2014). Of the 11.3 million SF of development approved as of 2015 , at least 4.3 million SF (38\%) had been constructed by the end of 2015. It should be noted that the 2013 non-residential development data for New Castle County was unavailable.


## Traffic:

- Roadway volumes at seven (7) locations are being monitored and recorded annually.
- Five (5) signalized intersections along the existing US 301 Corridor between the Summit Bridge and SR 299 are counted and analyzed annually to monitor the change (degradation or improvement) in operation of each intersection. The following trends were observed between 2010 and 2015:
o US 301 at Old Summit Bridge Road: The intersection operated at LOS A during both the AM and the PM peak hours each year between 2010 and 2015.
o US 301 at SR 896: The intersection operated at LOS C during both the AM and the PM peak hours each year between 2010 and 2015.
o US 301 at Armstrong Corner Road / Marl Pit Road: The intersection operated at LOS C during both the AM and the PM peak hours in 2010, 2012, and 2013; however, the intersection operated at LOS D during both the AM and the PM peak hours in 2011, 2014, and 2015. The increase in delay in 2014 and 2015 may be attributable to new housing developments east of the intersection on Marl Pit Road.
o US 301 at SR 71: The intersection operated at LOS C during the AM peak hour each year between 2010 and 2015. The intersection operated at LOS D during the PM peak hour in 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013; however, the intersection operated at LOS C during the PM peak hour in 2014 and 2015. The recent reduction (improvement) in delay may be attributable to modifications to the traffic signal timing.
o US 301 at SR 299: The intersection operated at LOS D during the AM peak hour in 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013; however, the intersection operated at LOS C during the AM peak hour in 2014 and 2015. The intersection operated at LOS D during the PM peak hour in 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014; however, the intersection operated at

LOS C during the PM peak hour in 2015. The recent reduction (improvement) in delay may be attributable to modification to the traffic signal timing.

- Three (3) unsignalized intersections have been counted and analyzed annually to monitor the change (degradation or improvement) in operation of each intersection. The following trends were observed between 2010 and 2015:
o In 2015, the average control delay was 22 seconds per vehicle (LOS C) at the intersection of US 301 and Old School House Road, 19 seconds per vehicle (LOS C) at the intersection of US 301 at Keenan Auto Body and 13 seconds per vehicle (LOS B) at the intersection of Choptank Road and Clayton Manor Drive.
o The delay at the Keenan Autobody access has fluctuated over the six years of monitoring from a high of 58 seconds in 2011, to a low of 16 seconds in 2013. The increased delay in 2011 may have been attributable to the Cedar Lane Road closure which was necessary to repair the bridge just north of the Marl Pit Road intersection. The delay in 2015 (19 seconds) was much lower than the delay in 2010 ( 37 seconds).
o The delay at the intersection of Choptank Road and Clayton Manor Drive was approximately the same in 2015 as it was in 2010.
o There was a decrease in delay (improvement) at the intersection of US 301 and Old School House Road in 2015 (by 17 seconds per vehicle) compared to 2010 data.


## Highway Safety:

- Average Crash Rates were calculated for eight (8) roadway segments in the vicinity of the US301 Corridor to provide a relative measure of comparison to the Statewide and New Castle County average crash rates. The comparison revealed that five (5) of the eight roadway segments being monitored had higher crash rates than the Statewide and New Castle County Average Crash Rate in 2015.
- Between 2010 and 2012, the number of crashes decreased at most of the locations being monitored. Only two locations experienced an increase of crashes between 2010 and 2012. However, the number of crashes increased at most (6 of 8) of the locations being monitored between 2012 and 2015. This included US 301 between Summit Bridge and SR 896 (Boyds Corner Road), where the number of crashes increased from 21 in 2012 to 27 in 2015, US 301 between SR 896 (Boyds Corner Road) and Peterson Road, where the number of crashes increased from 42 in 2012 to 77 in 2015, US 301 between Peterson Road and Levels Road, where the number of crashes increased from 22 in 2012 to 39 in 2015, Bethel Church Road between Choptank Road and US 301, where the number of crashes increased from 3 in 2012 to 5 in 2015, Choptank Road between Bethel Church Road and Bunker Hill Road, where the number of crashes increased from 10 in 2012 to 16 in 2015, and SR 1 between Roth Bridge and US 13/ SR 1 Split (Tybouts Corner), where the number of crashes increased from 47 in 2012 to 115 in 2015.
- Roadway segments in the project area that are reported within DeIDOT's Hazard Elimination Program (HEP) will be identified each year during the construction of US 301. DeIDOT's High Risk Rural Roads Program (HRRRP) locations between 2007 and 2012 also have been identified; however, it should be noted that HRRRP was discontinued at the end of 2012. These programs seek improvements focused on reducing the number of crashes at each location. A list of the HEP and HRRRP locations between 2007 and 2015 can be found in Tables 5 and 6 in the main body of the report.


## Incident Management:

- DelDOT has been tracking the number of significant incidents that occur each year on several key roads in the Middletown region south of the C\&D Canal, and on SR 1 between the Roth Bridge and I-95. Specifically, the monitoring program identifies any incidents that resulted in detours that could have been accommodated more safely and efficiently on the Spur Road rather than on the local road network.
- Since 2004, there have been 99 incidents that have resulted in 240 or more hours of detours that could have utilized the Spur Road as an alternate detour route.


## Construction Projects:

- DeIDOT and the Town of Middletown have had, and will likely continue to have several other active maintenance and construction projects occurring at various times during the duration of the US 301 Spur Monitoring Program that could affect the traffic data being collected. DeIDOT identified one (1) active construction project in the US 301 project area in 2015. Although the SR 1 northbound auxiliary lane project is not located in the vicinity of the US301 project area, it is being mentioned due to the significant traffic impacts it could have had on other roads throughout New Castle County. DeIDOT will continue to monitor all active roadway construction projects in the US 301 project area from south of Middletown to approximately the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal.
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## INTRODUCTION

The US301 Spur Road, the subject of this traffic monitoring report, is part of Delaware Department of Transportation's (DeIDOT's) US 301 Project (see Figure 1). US 301 is a 1,100 mile interstate route stretching between Sarasota, Florida and New Castle County, Delaware. The tolls and congestion on I95 combined with the comparatively low traffic volumes on US 301, have made US 301 an attractive alternative route for vehicles, including trucks, traveling between Washington D.C. and Wilmington, Delaware. DeIDOT has been studying the US 301 corridor since the 1960's. The need for improved capacity and safety has been heightened over the past two decades by the rapid pace of development throughout the Middletown-Odessa-Townsend (MOT) area and the resulting transformation of southern New Castle County from rural farmland to growing suburbia.

In November 2007, after nearly four decades of study, a preferred alternative was selected, as described in the US 301 Final Environmental Impact Statement. The Federal Highway Administration subsequently approved the Record of Decision on April 30, 2008 which authorized DeIDOT to begin final design on the preferred alternative, known as the "Green North + Spur" alternative. In January 2010, the $145^{\text {th }}$ General Assembly of Delaware passed House Resolution No. 35 directing DeIDOT to "sit down over the next 6 weeks to develop and negotiate to final resolution a bill to amend the existing epilogue language, with such bill mandating certain trigger mechanisms for the Spur Road." As a result of that coordination the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program was developed to monitor growth in traffic and land use development, and to evaluate the operational characteristics of key roads and intersections. This monitoring program will provide decision makers with data to make an informed decision on the appropriate timing for the construction of the US 301 Spur Road.

This report represents a summary of the sixth year of the monitoring program based on data collected in 2015. This report compares the newly collected data with the data collected and summarized previously in 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014, representing the first five years of the monitoring program. The reports from 2010 through 2015 serve as a basis for comparison with data collected in future years.

## US 301 Project History

In the mid-1960's, recognition of the regional significance of the US 301 corridor led DeIDOT to investigate opportunities to improve mobility in the corridor. An earlier study resulted in the location selection and subsequent construction of the existing Summit Bridge by the US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) in the 1950's. Since that time, southern New Castle County has been transformed from a rural and largely agricultural area to a suburban residential area for commuters employed in Newark, Wilmington, Philadelphia, and throughout the I-95 corridor in Delaware, northern Maryland, southern Pennsylvania, and Southern New Jersey. The Levels, southwest of Middletown, once known as Delaware's most productive agricultural area, is currently evolving into the Westown community of Middletown, and job growth is expanding with a full range of commercial and professional employers supporting the influx of new residents in southern New Castle County. As southern New Castle County continued to develop, the solution to improving mobility in the growing region remained elusive.

In 2004, a new phase of the US 301 project planning effort was initiated, which was focused on addressing the safety and mobility needs of the region with consideration of the findings of a prior study conducted in 2000, the Greater Route 301 Major Investment Study. A traffic survey conducted in October 2004 showed that approximately sixty-five percent (65\%) of all northbound traffic originating south of the C\&D Canal is destined for the northeast to Wilmington, Philadelphia, New Jersey, and points beyond. Thirty-Five percent (35\%) of the traffic has destinations to the north towards Newark and Pennsylvania. However, the traffic survey, which asked motorists to document their actual travel routes, showed that despite the majority of northbound destinations being to the northeast, approximately sixty percent (60\%) of motorists currently continue north on US 301/SR 896 and then east on I-95, rather than using a more direct east-west route south of the canal.

With careful consideration of the local and regional travel patterns, projected land use growth of the region, a wide range of other social and environmental resources, and significant public input (5 rounds of public workshops and more than 100 community meetings with concerned parties), DeIDOT performed a detailed evaluation of several alternatives, including a no-build option and a variety of capacity improvement options. Those efforts resulted in the publication of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and a recommended alternative in November 2006. One year later, in November 2007, after nearly four decades of study, a preferred alternative was selected, as described in the US 301 Project Development Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). The Federal Highway Administration subsequently approved the Record of Decision on April 30, 2008 which authorized DeIDOT to begin final design on the preferred alternative, known as the "Green North + Spur" alternative.

## Monitoring Program

In January 2010, the $145^{\text {th }}$ General Assembly of Delaware passed House Resolution No. 35 directing DeIDOT to "sit down over the next 6 weeks to develop and negotiate to final resolution a bill to amend the existing epilogue language, with such bill mandating certain trigger mechanisms for the Spur Road." As a result of that coordination the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program was developed to monitor growth in traffic and land use development, and to evaluate the operational characteristics of key roads and intersections. This monitoring program will provide decision makers with data to make an informed decision on the appropriate timing for the construction of the US 301 Spur Road.

The US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program consists of three (3) primary components: an Annual Monitoring Program, Public Involvement and the publication of an Annual Summary Report.

## Annual Monitoring Program

The US 301 Monitoring Program was created to monitor transportation and land use growth patterns before, during and after construction of the US 301 Mainline Project, as applicable. The monitoring program consists of the annual collection and analysis of daily traffic volumes on select roadways, peak period intersection volumes, vehicular delay at unsignalized intersections, crash data, and land use development data. Each year, the data will be analyzed and compared with data and results from prior years.

## Public Involvement

Public involvement has been and continues to be an important part of the US 301 Project. For the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program, the annual report will be made publicly available each year on the US 301 project website at www.us301.deldot.gov. Public Involvement will also be solicited at key decision making points, such as the Secretary of Transportation's decision to recommend that construction of the US 301 Spur Road should begin.

The US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program was presented at the FY2012 - FY2015 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Public Workshop on February 28, 2011 at WILMAPCO, attended by DeIDOT staff. The Spur Monitoring Program information was summarized on a large display board that provided an overview of the program including the goals and purpose, and details on the initial data collected on Land Development, Safety, and Traffic.

A subsequent WILMAPCO Public Workshop was held on February 23, 2015. It should be noted that there was very little change in the data and findings between 2010 and 2014.

The most recent US 301 Public Workshop, a Construction Information Meeting (CIM), was held in December 2015 to update the public about potential impacts as construction commences for the US 301 Project. Information on the workshop can be found on the project web site: www.us301.deldot.gov.

Determination of public involvement in the future years of the monitoring program will be made on a year to year basis, based upon the magnitude of changes found in each area of the monitoring program.

## Annual Report

This report contains a summary of the most recent data collected and analyzed as part of the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program. These reports will continue to be developed on an annual basis before, during and after the construction of the US 301 mainline. DeIDOT will present these reports to the General Assembly in April of each year. The reports will provide decision makers, including the Secretary of Transportation, data to make an informed decision on the appropriate timing for the construction of the Spur Road.


## MONITORING PROGRAM

## Land Development

The explosive growth in housing and retail in southern New Castle County over the past 10 to 15 years has led to increasing congestion on the local road network, including US 301, SR 299, and SR 896. A number of new residential and retail developments have been completed and many others are in varying stages of construction or planning. As these other planned developments come on line, additional demands will be placed on the transportation infrastructure in the Middletown area.

Development activity in New Castle County is monitored by the New Castle County Department of Land Use, the Wilmington Area Planning Council (WILMAPCO), and DeIDOT. Development activity in Middletown is monitored by the Town of Middletown, WILMAPCO, and DeIDOT. WILMAPCO is also tasked with developing short and long-term land-use projections for New Castle County. These projections are constrained on a statewide and countywide basis by the population and employment forecasts provided by the Delaware Population Consortium. WILMAPCO is responsible for projecting how much of that growth will occur in different parts of the county. The primary geographic unit for these projections is the Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ).

DeIDOT and WILMAPCO have committed to tracking the land development activities in a portion of southern New Castle County and an adjoining portion of Cecil County, Maryland as part of this Monitoring Report. The specific area where development will be tracked annually is depicted in Figure 2. This area represents a total of 34 TAZs in Southern New Castle County and two (2) TAZs in Cecil County, Maryland. Development activity will be monitored in these areas for the length of the project to determine when the surrounding roadway infrastructure may need to be improved based on past, present and near-term development trends.

## Summary of Development Activity in Southern New Castle (DE) and Cecil (MD) Counties

WILMAPCO took the lead in coordinating with the various jurisdictions and compiling the land use data for this report. The data in the following sections represents a cumulative total of development since the point when this Spur Monitoring Program commenced. As of December 2014, a total of seventy (70) ongoing commercial and residential developments were in various stages of the planning or building process within the study areas of southern New Castle and Cecil Counties. Fifty-eight (58) of these developments are located in southern New Castle County and twelve (12) developments are located in Cecil County, Maryland. At the time of the publication for this 2015 Spur Monitoring Report, the 2015 residential development data for New Castle County and Cecil County were not available. As a result, 2015 residential development data for New Castle County and Cecil County were left blank and will be updated in the future when the data becomes available. The 2015 residential development data within the Town of Middletown was available and the data was included in the report as Appendix B.

The proposed commercial developments range from smaller properties with 5,000 to 25,000 SF to the major commercial centers, such as the 1.7 million SF Scott Run Business Park and recently completed 1.25 million SF Amazon.com Fulfillment Center. A number of proposals call for mixed-use development, combining residential and commercial activities at one site.
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## Residential Development Summary

The ongoing residential development within the study area consists of a variety of housing types, including single-family detached dwellings, townhomes, and apartments. The various residential developments were classified in differing stages of completion: Built, Approved but Unbuilt, or Pending (includes Exploratory and Expired Proposals). The 2015 residential development data for New Castle County and Cecil County were not available; therefore, the 2015 data in Figure 3 was left blank. It will be updated in future when the data becomes availalble.


Figure 3: Residential Development in Study Area
Snapshot - Residential Construction in the Town of Middletown: Of the developments described above, seventeen (17) of the residential developments are located within the Town of Middletown. Of the 17 developments, fifteen (15) developments have been in various stages of development since the monitoring program began. It should be noted that the development originally listed as Westown (Levels) has been divided into smaller developments named Preserve at Deep Creek, Legary at Deep Creek, Habitat and Promenade / Middletown Condominiums in 2015. Seven (7) of these 17 developments were completed by the end of 2007, with an eighth (Middletown Village) completed by the end of 2010 and then a ninth (Willow Grove Mill) completed by the end of 2012. A tenth (townhouse portion of Spring Arbor at South Ridge) development was completed in 2015. More recently, there were 171 new housing units completed between 2014 and 2015. The 17 developments include a total of 6,707 housing units, including approximately 3,600 single-family detached homes, 240 duplexes, 1,600 townhouses, and 1,270 apartments / condos. WILMAPCO was able to provide data on the number of units built within each of these residential developments between 2007 and 2015:
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- By the end of 2007, a total of 2,179 (28\%) of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- By the end of 2009, a total of 2,735 ( $35 \%$ ) of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- By the end of 2010, a total of 2,951 ( $38 \%$ ) of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- By the end of 2011 , a total of $3,008(39 \%)$ of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- By the end of 2012 , a total of 3,132 ( $41 \%$ ) of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- By the end of 2013, a total of 3,221 ( $42 \%$ ) of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- By the end of 2014, a total of 3,351 (43\%) of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- By the end of 2015, a total of 3,522 (53\%) of the proposed 6,707 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed. It should be noted that the total number of proposed housing units decreased from 2014 due to changes to the Westown (Levels) development.
- This represents an increase of 1,343 housing units completed over the seven (7) year period between 2007 and 2015 and includes 171 new units completed between 2014 and 2015.

Appendix B respectively lists the number of apartments, duplexes, townhouses, and single family homes that have been built and remain to be built in the Town of Middletown.

## Commercial (Non-Residential) Development

The ongoing commercial development within the study area consists of various uses, including office space, retail, and light industrial development (including warehouse space). The commercial developments were divided into Approved and Pending (Exploratory) categories.

By the end of 2015, developers had submitted plans that are currently either approved or pending for over 12 million square feet (SF) of non-residential space in southern New Castle County, which included a new 228,000 SF Technology Center (Auto Park Parcel) and a new 160,000 SF Delaware Sport Complex. This represents an increase of 156,500 SF (+1\%) of approved or pending commercial development, compared to 2014. Physically, 12 million SF of non-residential space represents approximately 11.3 million SF of approved development (compared to 11 million SF in 2014) with another 0.7 million SF in pending approval (compared to 0.8 million SF in 2014). Of the 11.3 million SF of development approved as of 2015, at least 4.3 million SF (38\%) had been constructed by the end of 2015.

Currently, no non-residential developments are proposed in the two (2) TAZs in Cecil County that are included in the study area. Figure 4 depicts the cumulative approved and pending commercial development in the study area since the Spur Monitoring Program commenced.


Figure 4: Non-Residential Development in Study Area

## Traffic

Traffic is an important part of the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program. The US 301 project team has been gathering a variety of traffic data annually on key roads within the project corridor to determine the current level of traffic on these roads and to track growth trends throughout the region. Specifically, the following traffic data is being collected each year: mainline roadway volume counts, intersection turning movement counts, and vehicular delays at unsignalized intersections. The data collected in 2010 serves as the base year data for the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program. Intersection turning movement counts and mainline volume counts have been performed at each location shown in Figure 5 each year since 2010, and will continue to be collected every year during the construction of the new US 301 alignment from the MD/DE state line to SR 1. This annual traffic monitoring will show how traffic volumes change over time as new development continues to occur.

## Roadway Volumes

Mainline volume counts were collected along six (6) key roadways within the US 301 project area during each October between 2010 and 2015 (see Figure 5). Automatic traffic recording equipment, commonly called "tube counters", were used to record the volume and classification of vehicles that pass over the equipment in each direction. This data is used to determine the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) and percentage of trucks travelling on each roadway segment (see Tables 1 and 2). Daily traffic volumes have increased at all of the locations studied between 2010 and 2015. This included Choptank Road where the volume increased by $38 \%$ between 2010 and 2015, US 13 at St. Georges Bridge where volumes increased by 23\%, the Summit Bridge (US 301) where volumes increased by $14 \%$, and SR 1 at the Roth Bridge which experienced an increase of 12\% between 2010 and 2015.


Table 1:
Average Daily Traffic for Select Roadway Segments along US 301

| Roadway Link | 2010 <br> ADT* | 2011 <br> ADT | 2012 <br> ADT | 2013 <br> ADT | 2014 <br> ADT | 2015 <br> ADT | 2016 <br> ADT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summit Bridge (US 301) | 27,660 | 32,360 | 29,260 | 30,250 | 31,250 | 31,473 |  |
| Choptank Rd, <br> North of Churchtown Rd | 3,990 | 4,090 | 4,810 | 4,940 | 4,980 | 5,500 |  |
| SR 1 at Roth Bridge | 73,690 | 78,740 | 74,900 | 76,940 | 77,280 | 81,943 |  |
| US 13 at St. Georges Bridge | 10,600 | 9,070 | 12,190 | 12,270 | 13,520 | 12,999 |  |
| US 301/SR 896, <br> North of Mt. Pleasant | 23,450 | 23,810 | 24,760 | 24,980 | 24,490 | 25,176 |  |
| US 301, between Armstrong Corner Rd <br> and Mt. Pleasant | 21,830 | 22,460 | 22,710 | 22,360 | 22,860 | 23,846 |  |
| US 301 Bypass | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

*Data was collected for a seven (7) day period in October / November from 2010 through 2015. Seasonal Adjustments were not made to these volumes because: a) October/November volumes are typically representative of the annual average volumes, and b) because volumes will be collected during the same months in subsequent years.


Figure 6: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for Summit Bridge (US 301)


Figure 7: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for Choptank Rd, North of Churchtown Rd
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Figure 8: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for Roth Bridge (SR 1)

2030 EIS Forecast: 27,900
2030 "Without Spur" Forecast: 37,200


Figure 10: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for Existing US 301 North of Mt. Pleasant


Figure 9: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for St. George's Bridge (US 13)


Figure 11: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) For existing US 301 between Armstrong Corner Rd and Mt. Pleasant
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Table 2: Average Daily Truck Volume and Average Daily Truck Percentage* on Select Roadway Segments along US 301

| Roadway Link | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{B}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \text { o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ó } \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{1}{\prime} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{E} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \text { os } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{c} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \text { os } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{1}{\prime} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| US 301 at Summit Bridge | 2,210 | 8 | 3,100 | 10 | 2,370 | 8 | 2,480 | 8 | 2,650 | 8 | 2,360 | 7 |  |  |
| Choptank Rd, North of Churchtown Rd | 490 | 12 | 560 | 14 | 370 | 8 | 170 | 3 | 220 | 4 | 280 | 5 |  |  |
| SR 1 at Roth Bridge | 7,860 | 11 | 9,020 | 11 | 7,840 | 11 | 6,620 | 9 | 8,330 | 11 | 9,670 | 12 |  |  |
| US 13 at St. Georges Bridge | 570 | 5 | 440 | 5 | 1,165 | 10 | 585 | 5 | 680 | 5 | 730 | 6 |  |  |
| US 301 / SR 896, North of Mt. Pleasant | 1,970 | 8 | 1,840 | 8 | 2,300 | 9 | 1,840 | 7 | 1,670 | 7 | 2,250 | 9 |  |  |
| US 301, between Armstrong Corner Rd and Mt. Pleasant | 2,910 | 13 | 3,000 | 13 | 3,075 | 14 | 2,990 | 13 | 2,930 | 13 | 2,900 | 12 |  |  |
| US 301 Bypass | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Trucks include FHWA Class 5-13, representing all trucks larger than and including two-axle single unit trucks, such as UPS delivery trucks and DART Paratransit buses.

## Signalized Intersections

Peak period turning movement counts are being collected on an annual basis at five (5) key signalized intersections in the project area. These five (5) locations, which are all located along the existing US 301 Corridor between Middletown (SR 299) and the Summit Bridge, are being analyzed annually to monitor the change (degradation or improvement) in operation of each intersection. The five (5) locations, summarized in Figure 5, and Table 3, are the signalized intersections of existing US 301 / SR 896 at Old Summit Bridge Road, Boyds Corner Road, Armstrong Corner Road, North Broad Street, and Bunker Hill Road. Peak hour turning movement counts were performed at these intersections during October 2015. This data was used to create a model of the corridor using Synchro (Version 9), a macroscopic traffic analysis software application used to evaluate the operational performance characteristics of signalized and unsignalized intersections. The results of these analyses are summarized in Table 3 and Figures 12 and 13.

For this monitoring report, the operational performance of signalized intersections is presented in terms of average delay per vehicle and a corresponding letter grade, typically referred to as "Level of Service" (LOS). Level of Service "A" (delay $\leq 10 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{vehicle}$ ) represents the best possible operating conditions, whereas LOS "F" (delay > $80 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) represents congested conditions corresponding with traffic that has reached or exceeded available intersection capacity, resulting in relatively high average delay per vehicle and higher likelihood that vehicles will take more than one signal cycle to clear the intersection.

The intersection capacity analyses results from 2010 through 2015 are summarized in Table 3 and the following trends were observed:

- US 301 at Old Summit Bridge Road: The intersection operated at LOS A during both the AM and the PM peak hours each year between 2010 and 2015.
- US 301 at SR 896: The intersection operated at LOS C during both the AM and the PM peak hours each year between 2010 and 2015.
- US 301 at Armstrong Corner Road / Marl Pit Road: The intersection operated at LOS C during both the AM and the PM peak hours in 2010, 2012, and 2013; however, the intersection operated at LOS D during both the AM and the PM peak hours in 2011, 2014, and 2015. The increase in delay in 2014 and 2015 may be attributable to new housing developments east of the intersection on Marl Pit Road.
- US 301 at SR 71: The intersection operated at LOS C during the AM peak hour each year between 2010 and 2015. The intersection operated at LOS D during the PM peak hour in 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013; however, the intersection operated at LOS C during the PM peak hour in 2014 and 2015. The recent reduction (improvement) in delay may be attributable to modifications to the traffic signal timing.
- US 301 at SR 299: The intersection operated at LOS D during the AM peak hour in 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013; however, the intersection operated at LOS C during the AM peak hour in 2014 and 2015. The intersection operated at LOS D during the PM peak hour in 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014; however, the intersection operated at LOS C during the PM peak hour in 2015. The recent reduction (improvement) in delay may be attributable to modification to the traffic signal timing.

Table 3:
Peak Hour LOS at Selected Signalized Intersections along US 301

| Site | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| US 301 at Old Summit Bridge Rd | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |  |  |
| US 301 at SR 896 | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C |  |  |
| US 301 at Armstrong Corner Rd | C | C | D | D | C | C | C | C | D | D | D | D |  |  |
| Existing US 301 at SR 71 | C | D | C | D | C | D | C | D | C | C | C | C |  |  |
| Existing US 301 at SR 299 | D | D | D | D | D | D | D | D | C | D | C | C |  |  |
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Figure 12: Total Delay and Corresponding Level of Service (LOS) at Select Signalized Intersections along US 301 during the AM Peak Hour


Figure 13: Total Delay and Corresponding Level of Service (LOS) at Select Signalized Intersections along US 301 during the PM Peak Hour
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## Unsignalized Intersections

Delay studies were performed at the following three (3) unsignalized intersections along the existing US 301 and Choptank Road corridor:

- US 301 at Old School House Road
- US 301 at Keenan Auto Body
- Choptank Road at Clayton Manor Drive

The locations were selected to represent the typical operation of unsignalized access points along the US 301 and Choptank Road corridors, both of which are likely to be impacted by construction of the Spur Road. Similar to the signalized intersections, the operational performance of unsignalized intersections is presented in terms of average delay per vehicle and a corresponding Level of Service (LOS). For unsignalized intersections, the Level of Service thresholds are somewhat lower than the thresholds for signalized intersections, with LOS F representing conditions where vehicles experience 50 or more seconds of delay.

The number of vehicles stopping at the stop sign and the length of each stop was recorded at each of the three study intersections during the PM peak hour. The PM peak hour was selected since it represents the period that vehicles typically experience the highest level of delay making turns from minor street approaches onto US 301 and Choptank Road. The average delay per stopped vehicle was determined for each location (see Figure 14). In 2015, the average control delay was 22 seconds per vehicle (LOS C) at the intersection of US 301 and Old School House Road, 19 seconds per vehicle (LOS C) at the intersection of US 301 at Keenan Auto Body and 13 seconds per vehicle (LOS B) at the intersection of Choptank Road and Clayton Manor Drive. The results of the delay studies from 2010 through 2015 are shown in Figure 14.

The delay at the Keenan Autobody access has fluctuated over the six years of monitoring from a high of 58 seconds in 2011, to a low of 16 seconds in 2013. The increased delay in 2011 may have been attributable to the Cedar Lane Road closure which was necessary to repair the bridge just north of the Marl Pit Road intersection. The delay in 2015 (19 seconds) was much lower than the delay in 2010 ( 37 seconds).

The delay at the intersection of Choptank Road and Clayton Manor Drive in 2015 is approximately the same as it was in 2010. Lastly, the intersection of US 301 and Old School House Road now operates with 17 fewer seconds of delay per vehicle than it did in 2010.
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Figure 14: Total Delay and Corresponding Level of Service (LOS) at Select Unsignalized Intersections along US 301 during the PM Peak Hour

## Highway Safety

The goal of this annual monitoring report with respect to safety is to monitor the number of crashes occurring on local roads throughout the US 301 Project Area. The number of crashes is being documented each year to determine if any road segments experience a significant increase in crashes.

The number of reported crashes occurring within each key roadway segment in 2010 through 2015 is shown in Table 4 and on Figure 15. Crash data for prior years, while available, was not included in this summary for two reasons: First, there was a considerable amount of roadway construction activity ongoing during 2007 and 2008 throughout the project area that would likely skew the crash data for those years, including long-term lane reductions and temporary closures of US 301, construction along Choptank Road, etc. Second, data will be collected each year for several years into the future, providing a basis for comparison of several years' worth of crash data, including the identification of crash trends over time.

Average Crash Rates were calculated for eight (8) roadway segments in the vicinity of the US301 Corridor to provide a relative measure of comparison to the Statewide and New Castle County average crash rates (see Table 4). The calculated Average Crash Rates were compared to the Statewide and New Castle County crash rates for similar roadway segments of the same functional classifications. The DeIDOT Safety Section provided the Statewide and New Castle County Average Crash Rates each year between 2010 and 2015. According to the comparison, five (5) of the eight roadway segments being monitored had higher crash rates than the Statewide and New Castle County Average Crash Rate in 2015.

Table 4A:
Average Crash Rate for Roadway Type (ACRT) (Accidents/ Million Vehicle Miles Traveled)

| Site | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \overline{0} \\ & \overline{8} \\ & \frac{1}{Z} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{8}{6} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \overline{0} \\ & \overline{8} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\tilde{0}} \\ & \frac{9}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{8}{70} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \overline{8} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \hline 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{00} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{8}{8} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{\mathbb{4}}{\frac{1}{0}} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| US 301 between Summit Bridge and SR 896 (Boyds Corner Rd) | 32 | 1.44 | 0.75 | 0.55 | 21 | 0.93 | 0.74 | 0.53 | 21 | 0.95 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 23 | 0.98 | 0.73 | 0.51 |
| The "curve" between Summit Bridge and Bethel Church Rd | 2 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| The intersection of US 301 and Bethel Church Rd | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| US 301 between SR 896 and Peterson Rd | 50 | 1.78 | 1.27 | 1.35 | 27 | 0.94 | 1.40 | 1.42 | 42 | 1.40 | 1.30 | 1.42 | 50 | 1.72 | 1.38 | 1.39 |
| US 301 between Peterson Rd and Levels Rd | 22 | 3.06 | 3.43 | 3.78 | 16 | 2.18 | 3.41 | 3.81 | 22 | 2.86 | 3.04 | 3.79 | 19 | 2.12 | 3.40 | 3.81 |
| US 301 between Levels Rd and DE / MD State Line | 19 | 1.42 | 1.27 | 1.35 | 13 | 0.95 | 1.40 | 1.42 | 10 | 0.65 | 1.30 | 1.42 | 11 | 0.73 | 1.38 | 1.39 |
| Bethel Church Rd between US 301 and Choptank Rd | 6 | 6.05 | 2.10 | 2.91 | 2 | 1.30 | 2.08 | 2.80 | 3 | 2.02 | 0.65 | 2.85 | 1 | 0.65 | 2.06 | 2.78 |
| Choptank Rd between Bethel Church Rd and Bunker Hill Rd | 8 | 3.32 | 2.10 | 2.91 | 5 | 0.86 | 2.08 | 2.80 | 10 | 1.76 | 0.65 | 2.85 | 12 | 1.51 | 2.06 | 2.78 |
| Bunker Hill Rd between Choptank Rd and US 301 | 5 | 8.83 | 2.10 | 2.91 | 7 | 12.97 | 2.08 | 2.80 | 4 | 4.07 | 0.65 | 2.85 | 6 | 5.88 | 2.06 | 2.78 |
| SR 1 between the Roth Bridge and the US 13 / SR 1 Split (Tybouts Corner) | 53 | 0.41 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 69 | 0.52 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 47 | 0.34 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 71 | 0.51 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
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Table 4B:
Average Crash Rate for Roadway Type (ACRT) (Accidents/ Million Vehicle Miles Traveled)

| Site | 2014 |  |  |  | 2015 |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\ddot{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \ddot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{9}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 08 \\ & 8 \\ & \frac{8}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\#}{\pi} \\ & \frac{9}{5} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{8}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{\overleftarrow{0}} \\ & \frac{9}{6} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \ddot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| US 301 between Summit Bridge and SR 896 (Boyds Corner Rd) | 32 | 1.31 | 0.69 | 0.44 | 27 | 1.17 | 0.59 | 0.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The "curve" between Summit Bridge and Bethel Church Rd | 5 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The intersection of US 301 and Bethel Church Rd | 10 |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 between SR 896 and Peterson Rd | 56 | 1.81 | 1.43 | 1.50 | 77 | 2.36 | 1.53 | 1.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 between Peterson Rd and Levels Rd | 38 | 4.28 | 3.50 | 3.98 | 39 | 4.17 | 3.20 | 3.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 between Levels Rd and DE / MD State Line | 9 | 0.58 | 1.43 | 1.50 | 10 | 0.68 | 1.53 | 1.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bethel Church Rd between US 301 and Choptank Rd | 4 | 2.47 | 2.07 | 2.65 | 5 | 2.63 | 1.99 | 2.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Choptank Rd between Bethel Church Rd and Bunker Hill Rd | 16 | 1.91 | 2.07 | 2.65 | 16 | 1.85 | 1.99 | 2.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bunker Hill Rd between Choptank Rd and US 301 | 5 | 4.67 | 2.07 | 2.65 | 4 | 3.61 | 1.99 | 2.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 1 between the Roth Bridge and the US 13 / SR 1 Split (Tybouts Corner) | 77 | 0.52 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 115 | 0.74 | 1.09 | 1.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Between 2010 and 2012, the number of crashes decreased at most of the locations being monitored. Only two locations experienced an increase of crashes between 2010 and 2012. However, the number of crashes increased at most ( 6 of 8 ) of the locations being monitored between 2012 and 2015. This included US 301 between Summit Bridge and SR 896 (Boyds Corner Road), where the number of crashes increased from 21 in 2012 to 27 in 2015, US 301 between SR 896 (Boyds Corner Road) and Peterson Road, where the number of crashes increased from 42 in 2012 to 77 in 2015, US 301 between Peterson Road and Levels Road, where the number of crashes increased from 22 in 2012 to 39 in 2015, Bethel Church Road between Choptank Road and US 301, where the number of crashes increased from 3 in 2012 to 5 in 2015, Choptank Road between Bethel Church Road and Bunker Hill Road, where the number of crashes increased from 10 in 2012 to 16 in 2015, and SR 1 between Roth Bridge and US 13/ SR 1 Split (Tybouts Corner), where the number of crashes increased from 47 in 2012 to 115 in 2015.

The number of crashes remained the same from 2012 to 2015 for the section of US 301 between Levels Road and the DE / MD state line, where there were 10 crashes.


Figure 15: Comparison of Crashes for Select Roadways in the US 301 Corridor

## Hazard Elimination Program

Roadway segments in the project area that are reported within DeIDOT's Hazard Elimination Program (HEP) will be identified each year during the construction of US 301. DeIDOT's High Risk Rural Roads Program (HRRRP) locations between 2007 and 2012 also have been identified; however, it should be noted that HRRRP was discontinued at the end of 2012. These programs seek improvements focused on reducing the number of crashes at each location. A list of the HEP and HRRRP locations between 2007 and 2015 can be found in Tables 5 and 6.
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| US 301 Spur Road 2015 Monitoring Report |  | ble 5: <br> Locations - From | April 2016 <br> to 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Start Milepost | End Milepost | Year Studied |
| US 13 | 0.19 miles South of Greylag Road | 0.24 miles North of Boyds Corner Road | 2006 |
| US 301/SR 896 Summit Bridge Rd | 0.44 miles North of Beaston Rd | 0.56 miles South of Bethel Church Rd | 2007 |
| SR 299/Main Street | 0.25 miles West of Brick Mill Road | 0.24 miles East of Brick Mill Road | 2007 |
| SR 299/Main Street | 0.35 miles East of Brick Mill Road | 0.23 miles West of Brick Mill Road | 2009 |
| SR 1 | 1.36 miles South of SR 299 | 0.97 miles south of SR 299 | 2009 |
| SR 299/Main Street | US 301 | 0.11 miles East of Silver Lake Road | 2010 |
| US 301/SR 896 Summit Bridge Rd | 0.21 miles North of Springmill Drive | 0.25 miles North of Marl Pit Road | 2011 |
| SR 299 | 0.1 mile west of Park Alley | Northbound US 13 | 2012 |
| US 301 / SR 896 | Churchtown Road | 0.29 mile north of Churchtown Road | 2012 |
| US 301 / SR 896 | 0.44 miles north of Beaston Road | 0.46 miles south of Bethel Church Road | 2013 |
| US 13 | 0.33 miles south of SR 1 'Free Ramp" | 0.26 miles north of SR 1 "Free Ramp" | 2014 |
| Bunker Hill Road | 0.04 miles west of Sandhill Drive | US 301 | 2014 |
| US 301 | 0.07 miles west of Ash Boulevard | 0.04 miles east of US 301 | 2015 |



## Incident Management

One of the regional benefits identified with the Spur Road is that it will provide an alternative north-south route for traffic should there be an incident that occurs on the following road segments:

- Existing US 301 between SR 299 and Bethel Church Road
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- SR 896 (Boyds Corner Road) between US 301 and US 13
- Bethel Church Road between US 301 and Choptank Road
- SR 1 between Roth Bridge and I-95

For this monitoring program, DeIDOT is tracking the number of significant incidents that occur each year on these roads which result in detours that could have been accommodated more safely and efficiently on the Spur Road rather than on the local road network. Since 2004, there have been 99 incidents, including 15 in 2015, that have resulted in 240 or more hours of detour-related delay. These incidents occurred in locations that could have utilized the Spur Road as an alternate detour route if it existed, thereby reducing impacts to the local roadway network. Additional detail for each of these incidents that has occurred since 2004 are summarized in Appendix D.

## Construction Projects

DeIDOT and the Town of Middletown will likely have several other active maintenance and construction projects occurring at various times during the duration of the US 301 Spur Monitoring Program that could affect the traffic data being collected. DeIDOT identified one (1) active construction project in the US 301 project area in 2015, as shown in Table 7. Although the SR 1 northbound auxiliary lane project is not located in the vicinity of the US301 project area, it should be mentioned due to its significant traffic impacts to SR 1 in New Castle County. As part of the program, DeIDOT will continue to monitor all active roadway construction projects in the US 301 project area from south of Middletown to approximately the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal.

| US 301 Spur Road <br> 2015 Monitoring Report | April 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Construction Activity in the US 301 Project Area in 2015 |

## Appendix A

## Proposed Development for Southern New Castle County

Data to be added in the future as it becomes available.

## Appendix B

Residential Construction in the Town of Middletown

| US 301 Spur Road <br> Appendix B： <br> Apartment Complex Construction in the Town of Middletown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 12 |  | 13 |  |  |  | 15 |  | 16 |
| Site | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\otimes}{0} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\bar{\prime}} \\ & \bar{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \bar{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\bar{\prime}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{O}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \bar{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { 命 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { 仿 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \bar{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{U}{\bar{\prime}} \\ & \bar{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | \＃ \＃ 5 |
| Highlands | 336 | 0 | 336 | 0 | 336 | 0 | 336 | 0 | 336 | 0 | 336 | 0 | 336 |  |  |
| Middletown Village | 300 | 300 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 300 | 0 |  |  |
| Parkway at South Ridge＊ | 360 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 360 |  |  |
| Promenade／ <br> Middletown Condos | 273 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 273 |  |  |
| Westown（Levels）＾ | 108 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 108 | N／A | N／A |  |  |
| Total | 1，269 | 300 | 921 | 300 | 921 | 300 | 921 | 300 | 921 | 300 | 921 | 300 | 969 |  |  |

＊The total proposed units for Parkway at South Ridge increased from 204 in 2014 to 360 in 2015.
＾Westown（Levels）dropped off the in 2015.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{\omega}} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{\omega}} \\ & \bar{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{\omega}} \\ & \overline{\mathrm{m}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{O}} \\ & \frac{⿳ 亠 二 口 口 口 ~}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{y}{\bar{\prime}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{\bar{\omega}} \\ & \bar{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { 듣 } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 彦 } \\ & \bar{m} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { ᄃ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{\prime}}$ | 言 ¢ 5 |
| Highlands | 206 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 206 |  |  |
| Spring Arbor at South Ridge | 12 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 |  |  |
| Parkway at South Ridge | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 |  |  |
| Habitat＊ | 4 | 0 | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | 0 | 4 |  |  |
| Total | 494 | 8 | 486 | 8 | 486 | 8 | 486 | 8 | 486 | 12 | 482 | 12 | 226 |  |  |

＊New on the list for 2015 －may have replaced Westown（Levels）．

| US 301 Spur Roa 2015 Monitoring | port |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | house |  |  | ppen tion | dix $B$ in the | ow | n of | ddl | own |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 |  | 11 |  | 12 |  | 13 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Site | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\otimes}{0} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \frac{0}{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 言 | 늘 5 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\bar{\prime}} \\ & \bar{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | 言 듣 |  | 言 $\frac{0}{5}$ 5 |  | 늘 5 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{\bar{\omega}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{H}{\bar{\omega}}}{\bar{\omega}}$ |  |
| Highlands | 700 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 700 |  |  |
| Spring Arbor at South Ridge | 123 | 48 | 75 | 55 | 68 | 74 | 49 | 87 | 36 | 110 | 13 | 123 | 0 |  |  |
| Parkway at South Ridge＊ | 162 | 33 | 193 | 39 | 187 | 39 | 187 | 45 | 181 | 53 | 173 | 84 | 78 |  |  |
| Preserve at Deep Creek＾ | 172 | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | 0 | 172 |  |  |
| Willow Grove Mill | 248 | 202 | 46 | 202 | 46 | 248 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 248 | 0 |  |  |
| Willow Grove Mill II | 192 | 105 | 87 | 115 | 77 | 115 | 77 | 122 | 70 | 140 | 52 | 171 | 21 |  |  |
| Total | 1，892 | 388 | 1，504 | 411 | 1，481 | 476 | 1，416 | 502 | 1，390 | 551 | 1，341 | 626 | 971 |  |  |

＊Total number of proposed units for Parkway at South Ridge decreased from 226 in 2014 to 162 in 2015.
${ }^{\wedge}$ New on the list for 2015 －may have replaced Westown（Levels）

| US 301 Spur Road 2015 Monitoring | epor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | April | 016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | le F | amily | Hou | se Col | Appe nstru | ndix ction | B： in th | e Tov | of | Midd | town |  |  |  |
|  |  | 20 | 10 |  | 11 | 20 | 12 | 20 | 13 | 20 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Site | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \text { O } \\ \text { O} \\ \text { 2 } \end{array}$ | 言 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{訁} \\ & \text { 言 } \end{aligned}$ | 莀 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{\bar{\omega}} \end{aligned}$ |  | 䓂 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{\bar{\prime}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{H}{\bar{O}} \\ & \frac{0}{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\bar{\omega}}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{\omega}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \＃ 言 $\vdots$ |
| Estate at <br> St．Andrews | 465 | 157 | 309 | 177 | 289 | 217 | 249 | 261 | 205 | 303 | 163 | 337 | 128 |  |  |
| Lakeside | 185 | 184 | 1 | 184 | 1 | 184 | 1 | 184 | 1 | 184 | 1 | 184 | 1 |  |  |
| Legends | 378 | 377 | 1 | 377 | 1 | 377 | 1 | 377 | 1 | 377 | 1 | 377 | 1 |  |  |
| Longmeadow | 243 | 239 | 4 | 239 | 4 | 239 | 4 | 239 | 4 | 239 | 4 | 239 | 4 |  |  |
| Merrimac Commons | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 |  |  |
| Middletown Crossing | 134 | 125 | 9 | 125 | 9 | 125 | 9 | 125 | 9 | 125 | 9 | 125 | 9 |  |  |
| Middletown Village | 262 | 253 | 9 | 253 | 9 | 254 | 8 | 255 | 7 | 255 | 7 | 255 | 7 |  |  |
| Parkside | 492 | 166 | 326 | 174 | 318 | 179 | 313 | 184 | 308 | 188 | 304 | 219 | 272 |  |  |
| Springmill | 362 | 361 | 1 | 362 | 0 | 362 | 0 | 362 | 0 | 362 | 0 | 362 | 0 |  |  |
| Spring Arbor at South Ridge | 182 | 55 | 127 | 59 | 123 | 72 | 110 | 85 | 97 | 116 | 66 | 147 | 35 |  |  |
| Preserve＠Deep <br> Creek and Legacy＠ <br> Deep Creek＊ | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | N／A | 0 | 484 |  |  |
| Willow Grove Mill | 339 | 338 | 1 | 339 | 0 | 339 | 0 | 339 | 0 | 339 | 0 | 339 | 0 |  |  |
| Total | 4，121 | 2，255 | 1，866 | 2，289 | 1，832 | 2，347 | 1，774 | 2，411 | 1，710 | 2，488 | 1，633 | 2，584 | 1，019 |  |  |

＊New on the list for 2015 －may have replaced Westown（Levels）

## Appendix C

## US 301 Corridor Crash Reports

US 301 between

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $01 / 06 / 2015$ | $06: 04$ | 1.95 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Snow | Snow | SB |
| 2 | $01 / 16 / 2015$ | $18: 35$ | 1.97 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 3 | $04 / 16 / 2015$ | $16: 23$ | 0.01 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | N/A |
| 4 | $05 / 03 / 2015$ | $22: 46$ | 1.98 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 5 | $07 / 03 / 2015$ | $14: 56$ | 1.98 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 6 | $08 / 20 / 2015$ | $15: 53$ | 3.59 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB |
| 7 | $09 / 18 / 2015$ | $20: 28$ | 3.79 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 8 | $09 / 18 / 2015$ | $20: 29$ | 3.78 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB/SB |
| 9 | $09 / 26 / 2015$ | $19: 10$ | 2.16 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | EBLT |
| 10 | $09 / 30 / 2015$ | $20: 27$ | 0.28 | Angle | Injury | Other | Wet | EBLT/SB |
| 11 | $10 / 03 / 2015$ | $14: 39$ | 2.69 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB/SB |
| 12 | $10 / 10 / 2015$ | $20: 59$ | 0.31 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | NB/NB |
| 13 | $10 / 16 / 2015$ | $00: 00$ | 0.30 | Left-turn | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/NBLT |
| 14 | $10 / 21 / 2015$ | $23: 32$ | 1.40 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 15 | $10 / 30 / 2015$ | $13: 02$ | 0.00 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB/SB |
| 16 | $10 / 30 / 2015$ | $21: 48$ | 1.96 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB/SB |
| 17 | $11 / 03 / 2015$ | $16: 54$ | 2.04 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 18 | $11 / 07 / 2015$ | $21: 39$ | 0.00 | Rollover | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 19 | $11 / 08 / 2015$ | $13: 59$ | 2.12 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 20 | $11 / 15 / 2015$ | $19: 15$ | 0.07 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 21 | $11 / 22 / 2015$ | $03: 37$ | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 22 | $11 / 26 / 2015$ | $17: 02$ | 3.78 | Hit-deer | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 23 | $12 / 02 / 2015$ | $06: 09$ | 1.96 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Rain | Wet | SB |
| 24 | $12 / 02 / 2015$ | $15: 33$ | 0.15 | SB/NBLT | Injury | Rain | Wet | NBLT/SB |
| 25 | $12 / 05 / 2015$ | $03: 34$ | 3.21 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 26 | $12 / 08 / 2015$ | $17: 43$ | 0.53 | Head-on | PDO | Other | Dry | NB/SB |
| 27 | $12 / 10 / 2015$ | $19: 10$ | 3.83 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB |
|  | $1015 ~ T o t a l ~ N u m b e r ~ o f ~ C r a s h e s ~$ | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only
Note: * are crashes that occurred v

## US 301 between Summit Bridge and SR896

A total of twenty-seven (27) crashes were reported in 2015, and the following trends were identified:

- Five (19 percent) of the twenty-seven reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Twenty-two (81 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Eleven ( 41 percent) of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes.
- Nine ( 33 percent) of the reported crashes were Run-off-the-road / Hit-fixed-object crashes.
- Two (7 percent) of the reported crashes were left-turn crashes.
- There was one reported crash of each of the following type: angle, head-on, hit-deer, rollover, and sideswipe-same direction crash.

SR 896 and Peterson Road

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01/03/2015 | 02:22 | 3.74 | Sideswipe-opposite | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/SB |
| 2 | 01/06/2015 | 08:47 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Blowing Snow | Slush | NB/SB |
| 3 | 01/10/2015 | 12:41 | 4.06 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 4 | 01/12/2015 | 07:26 | 3.69 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Wet | SB |
| 5 | 01/14/2015 | 06:45 | 1.02 | Rear-end | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB |
| 6 | 01/24/2015 | 11:11 | 3.43 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB/SB |
| 7 | 02/11/2015 | 03:39 | 1.10 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 8 | 02/15/2015 | 05:00 | 4.01 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Blowing Snow | Ice | NB |
| 9 | 02/16/2015 | 08:15 | 2.14 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 10 | 03/01/2015 | 12:27 | 2.14 | Angle | PDO | Other | Ice | WB/NB |
| 11 | 03/04/2015 | 16:19 | 2.68 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB/SB |
| 12 | 03/06/2015 | 06:40 | 2.43 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Ice | NB |
| 13 | 03/13/2015 | 01:07 | 2.07 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 14 | 03/18/2015 | 14:20 | 2.76 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 15 | 03/18/2015 | 17:40 | 2.97 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 16 | 03/22/2015 | 15:18 | 4.07 | Hit-deer | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 17 | 04/11/2015 | 06:44 | 0.99 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 18 | 04/14/2015 | 14:58 | 3.78 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB/SB |
| 19 | 04/19/2015 | 20:00 | 0.00 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 20 | 04/22/2015 | 18:18 | 0.00 | Rear-end | PDO | Other | Wet | SB/SB |
| 21 | 04/25/2015 | 10:48 | 1.59 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB |
| 22 | 04/30/2015 | 17:47 | 3.68 | Head-on | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB/NB |
| 23 | 05/02/2015 | 13:07 | 1.60 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 24 | 05/11/2015 | 16:04 | 2.55 | Rear-end | PDO | Other | Dry | SB/SB |
| 25 | 05/13/2015 | 14:55 | 3.87 | Left-turn | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/NBLT |
| 26 | 05/14/2015 | 17:12 | 4.20 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 27 | 05/21/2015 | 18:34 | 1.61 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Wet | NB/NB |
| 28 | 05/22/2015 | 13:16 | 0.00 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 29 | 05/24/2015 | 18:34 | 1.92 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB/NB |
| 30 | 05/26/2015 | 12:39 | 1.57 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 31 | 05/26/2015 | 19:10 | 3.49 | Left-turn | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | NB/SBLT |
| 32 | 06/03/2015 | 14:55 | 0.98 | Rear-end | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB |
| 33 | 06/05/2015 | 14:06 | 2.27 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 34 | 06/12/2015 | 21:54 | 2.17 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 35 | 06/24/2015 | 13:44 | 1.59 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 36 | 06/27/2015 | 12:26 | 1.74 | Rear-end | PDO | Other | Wet | SB/SB |
| 37 | 07/04/2015 | 07:26 | 3.73 | Head-on | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 38 | 07/11/2015 | 14:54 | 2.16 | Head-on | Fatal Crash | Clear | Dry | SB/NB |
| 39 | 07/29/2015 | 15:41 | 3.72 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 40 | 08/06/2015 | 13:38 | 3.87 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 41 | 08/13/2015 | 06:14 | 4.28 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 42 | 08/19/2015 | 07:47 | 1.71 | Rear-end | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB |
| 43 | 08/24/2015 | 00:00 | 0.98 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Other | Other | NBRT |
| 44 | 08/29/2015 | 02:28 | 1.74 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |

SR 896 and Peterson Road

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 09/03/2015 | 20:42 | 4.07 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | NB/NB |
| 46 | 09/08/2015 | 13:06 | 2.01 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 47 | 09/15/2015 | 21:04 | 2.14 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 48 | 09/17/2015 | 08:31 | 2.14 | Angle | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/WB |
| 49 | 09/17/2015 | 17:37 | 1.58 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 50 | 09/18/2015 | 18:46 | 3.49 | Sideswipe-opposite | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/NB |
| 51 | 09/20/2015 | 15:44 | 2.10 | Motorcycle | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 52 | 09/21/2015 | 22:32 | 2.69 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 53 | 09/22/2015 | 16:25 | 2.26 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 54 | 09/26/2015 | 20:38 | 2.04 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 55 | 09/30/2015 | 08:22 | 0.00 | Rear-end | Injury | Rain | Wet | NB/NB |
| 56 | 10/01/2015 | 09:45 | 1.10 | Angle | Injury | Cloudy | Wet | SB/EBLT |
| 57 | 10/13/2015 | 09:50 | 1.00 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB |
| 58 | 10/17/2015 | 07:55 | 3.80 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 59 | 10/20/2015 | 06:49 | 2.11 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | NB/NB |
| 60 | 10/29/2015 | 07:44 | 1.98 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Wet | NB/NB |
| 61 | 11/03/2015 | 07:07 | 2.05 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 62 | 11/04/2015 | 13:21 | 3.74 | Left-turn | Fatal Crash | Clear | Dry | SB/NBLT |
| 63 | 11/14/2015 | 12:26 | 2.67 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 64 | 11/14/2015 | 20:05 | 2.03 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 65 | 11/18/2015 | 14:24 | 1.60 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | NB/NB |
| 66 | 11/19/2015 | 18:20 | 3.9 | Rear-end | Injury | Rain | Wet | NB/NB |
| 67 | 11/20/2015 | 18:18 | 1.50 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 68 | 11/21/2015 | 11:44 | 0.00 | Hit-deer | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/SB |
| 69 | 11/21/2015 | 13:41 | 1.60 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 70 | 12/03/2015 | 14:53 | 2.50 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB/NB |
| 71 | 12/05/2015 | 18:18 | 1.73 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 72 | 12/10/2015 | 17:46 | 1.98 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB |
| 73 | 12/16/2015 | 18:12 | 1.1 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 74 | 12/17/2015 | 12:46 | 1.95 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Wet | NB/NB |
| 75 | 12/20/2015 | 16:20 | 1.0 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 76 | 12/23/2015 | 19:53 | 3.68 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB |
| 77 | 12/28/2015 | 19:47 | 2.13 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Wet | NB/NB |
| 2015 Total Number of Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## US 301 between SR896 and Peterson Road

A total of seventy-seven (77) crashes were reported in 2015, and the following trends were identified:

- Two (3 percent) of the seventy-seven reported crashes resulted in a fatality.
- Eighteen ( 23 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Fifty-seven ( 74 percent) of the seventy-seven crashes resulted in property-damage-only.
- Fifty-one (66 percent) of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes.
- Twelve ( 16 percent) of the reported crashes were run-off-the-road/hit-fixed-object crashes.
- Three (4 percent) of the reported crashes were angle crashes.
- Three (4 percent) of the crashes were head-on crashes.
- Three (4 percent) of the reported crashes were left-turn crashes.
- Two ( 2.5 percent) of the reported crashes involved a motor vehicle and a deer.
- Two (2.5 percent) of the reported crashes were sideswipe-opposite direction crashes.
- One (1 percent) of the crashes involved a motorcycle.

Peterson Road and Levels Road

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01/08/2015 | 18:00 | 2.48 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 2 | 01/09/2015 | 08:44 | 2.48 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 3 | 02/10/2015 | 21:48 | 2.93 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 4 | 02/12/2015 | 20:35 | 3.15 | Right-turn | Injury | Blowing Snow | Dry | NB/WBRT |
| 5 | 03/22/2015 | 08:46 | 2.92 | Angle | PDO | Clear | Dry | WBLT/NB |
| 6 | 03/27/2015 | 15:50 | 3.33 | Left-turn | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | SB/NBLT |
| 7 | 04/15/2015 | 16:55 | 2.92 | Right-turn | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | SB/EBRT |
| 8 | 04/20/2015 | 07:00 | 2.91 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Wet | NB/NB |
| 9 | 05/11/2015 | 08:40 | 2.48 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | NBLT/NBLT |
| 10 | 05/15/2015 | 11:15 | 0.00 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 11 | 05/31/2015 | 12:35 | 2.48 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 12 | 06/04/2015 | 16:50 | 2.92 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | NB/NB |
| 13 | 07/01/2015 | 23:12 | 2.48 | Left-turn | Injury | Clear | Dry | NBLT/SB |
| 14 | 07/11/2015 | 18:16 | 3.18 | Left-turn | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/SBLT |
| 15 | 08/03/2015 | 19:00 | 2.48 | Angle | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/WB |
| 16 | 08/06/2015 | 10:23 | 2.48 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 17 | 08/06/2015 | 14:55 | 0.00 | Angle | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/WB |
| 18 | 08/11/2015 | 18:00 | 3.13 | Bicycle | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | SB/WB |
| 19 | 08/18/2015 | 13:10 | 2.48 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | NBUT/NB |
| 20 | 08/18/2015 | 19:01 | 3.33 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 21 | 08/19/2015 | 15:40 | 2.92 | Angle | Injury | Rain | Wet | WB/SB |
| 22 | 08/25/2015 | 16:43 | 2.48 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 23 | 08/26/2015 | 23:45 | 2.68 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 24 | 09/03/2015 | 18:34 | 2.49 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 25 | 09/09/2015 | 23:25 | 2.48 | Left-turn | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/SBLT |
| 26 | 09/11/2015 | 23:32 | 3.13 | Left-turn | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/NBLT |
| 27 | 09/12/2015 | 16:40 | 2.90 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Wet | NB/NB |
| 28 | 10/08/2015 | 13:03 | 2.92 | Angle | Injury | Clear | Dry | EB/SB |
| 29 | 10/11/2015 | 21:35 | 2.48 | Left-turn | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/NBLT |
| 30 | 10/28/2015 | 06:51 | 2.48 | Rear-end | Injury | Rain | Wet | SB/SB |
| 31 | 11/27/2015 | 18:26 | 2.46 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 32 | 11/29/2015 | 09:02 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB |
| 33 | 12/02/2015 | 17:45 | 2.48 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Wet | NBRT/NBRT |
| 34 | 12/03/2015 | 11:01 | 3.13 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 35 | 12/03/2015 | 18:41 | 2.88 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB/NB |
| 36 | 12/11/2015 | 08:42 | 2.92 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 37 | 12/11/2015 | 23:27 | 2.48 | Left-turn | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/SBLT |
| 38 | 12/19/2015 | 06:06 | 0.00 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 39 | 12/22/2015 | 10:15 | 2.48 | Right-turn | PDO | Rain | Wet | NB/WBRT |
| 2015 Total Number of Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## US 301 between Peterson Road and Levels Road

A total of thirty-nine (39) crashes were reported in 2015, and the following trends were identified:

- Sixteen (41 percent) of the thirty-nine reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Twenty-three (59 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in property-damage-only.
- Seventeen (44 percent) of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes.
- Seven (18 percent) of the reported crashes were left-turn crashes.
- Five (13 percent) of the reported crashes were angle crashes.
- Five (13 percent) of the reported crashes were sideswipe-same direction crashes.
- Three (8 percent) of the reported crashes were right-turn crashes.
- One ( 2 percent) of the reported crashes involved a motor vehicle and a bicycle.
- One (2 percent) of the reported crashes was a Run-off-the-road / Hit-fixed-object type crash.

Levels Road and MD-DE Line

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $02 / 20 / 2015$ | $20: 49$ | 1.35 | Sideswipe-opposite | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/SB |
| 2 | $02 / 25 / 2015$ | $16: 30$ | 1.33 | Sideswipe-opposite | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 3 | $05 / 28 / 2015$ | $13: 41$ | 0.13 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 4 | $06 / 18 / 2015$ | $10: 54$ | 1.26 | Angle | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB/EBLT |
| 5 | $06 / 25 / 2015$ | $15: 03$ | 1.44 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 6 | $07 / 28 / 2015$ | $17: 17$ | 1.05 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 7 | $09 / 20 / 2015$ | $11: 50$ | 1.86 | Angle | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/EBLT |
| 8 | $11 / 09 / 2015$ | $07: 25$ | 1.28 | Head-on | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/NB |
| 9 | $11 / 11 / 2015$ | $14: 30$ | 1.02 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB |
| 10 | $12 / 29 / 2015$ | $20: 34$ | 0.52 | Angle | Injury | Clear | Wet | SB/EBLT |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## US 301 between Levels Road and DE / MD State Line

A total of ten (10) crashes were reported in 2015, and the following trends were identified:

- Two (20 percent) of the ten reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Eight ( 80 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in property-damage-only.
- Three ( 30 percent) of the reported crashes were angle crashes.
- Three ( 30 percent) of the reported crashes were sideswipe-same direction crashes.
- Two ( 20 percent) of the reported crashes were sideswipe-opposite direction crashes.
- One (10 percent) of the reported crashes was a head-on crash.
- One (10 percent) of the reported crashes was a rear-end crash.

US 301 and Choptank Road

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1/24/2015 | 7:00 AM | 1.9 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Rain | Wet | WB |
| 2 | 7/31/2015 | 10:47 PM | 2.53 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | WB |
| 3 | 8/12/2015 | 8:15 AM | 2.12 | Sideswipe-oppostie | PDO | Clear | Dry | WB/EB |
| 4 | 10/3/2015 | 9:22 AM | 2.06 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Rain | Wet | WB |
| 5 | 11/20/2015 | 2:02 AM | 2.09 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Rain | Wet | WB |
| 2015 Total Number of Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## Bethel Church Road between US 301 and Choptank Road

Five (5) crashes were reported in 2015, and the following trends were identified:

- One (20 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Four (80 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in property-damage-only.
- Four (80 percent) of the reported crashes were Run-off-the-road / Hit-fixed-object crashes.
- One (20 percent) of the reported crashes was a sideswipe-opposite direction crash.

Bethel Church Road and Bunker Hill Road

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1/6/2015 | 07:41 | 3.47 | Rear-end | PDO | Other | Snow | NB/NB |
| 2 | 1/6/2015 | 12:02 | 3.58 | Angle | PDO | Snow | Snow | SB/EB |
| 3 | 1/6/2015 | 15:15 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Snow | Snow | NB |
| 4 | 1/6/2015 | 23:07 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Ice | NB/NB |
| 5 | 1/14/2015 | 20:41 | 4.02 | Sideswipe-opposite | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/SB |
| 6 | 1/24/2015 | 13:16 | 0.00 | Rear-end | Injury | Rain | Wet | SB/SB |
| 7 | 3/1/2015 | 15:43 | 2.19 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Sleet | Ice | NB |
| 8 | 3/1/2015 | 18:46 | 1.72 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Sleet | Slush | NB |
| 9 | 4/3/2015 | 00:00 | 2.83 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Rain | Wet | NB/NB |
| 10 | 5/7/2015 | 16:08 | 1.78 | Left-turn | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | NB/SBLT |
| 11 | 7/7/2015 | 07:16 | 0.69 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | SB |
| 12 | 10/19/2015 | 08:22 | 1.68 | Angle | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/EB |
| 13 | 10/21/2015 | 19:51 | 1.30 | Hit-deer | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 14 | 11/1/2015 | 18:06 | 1.78 | Rear-end | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB/SB |
| 15 | 11/21/2015 | 14:21 | 2.16 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 16 | 12/2/2015 | 07:14 | 4.83 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Dry | NB/NB |
| 2015 Total Number of Crashe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road

## Choptank Rd between Bethel Church Road and Bunker Hill Road

A total of sixteen (16) crashes were reported in 2015, and the following trends were identified:

- Five (31 percent) of the sixteen reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Eleven ( 69 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in property-damage-only.
- Five ( 31 percent) of the reported crashes were run-off-the-road type crashes.
- Five (31 percent) of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes.
- Two (13 percent) of the reported crashes were angle crashes.
- There was one reported crash of each of the following type: Hit-deer, left-turn, sideswipe-same direction, and sideswipe-opposite crash.


## Choptank Road and US 301

| $\#$ | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1 / 27 / 2015$ | $7: 50$ AM | 2.64 | Sideswipe-same | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | WB/WB |
| 2 | $2 / 5 / 2015$ | $5: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2.27 | Angle | PDO | Clear | Dry | EB/NB |
| 3 | $5 / 5 / 2015$ | $3: 20$ PM | 2.54 | Angle | Injury | Clear | Dry | EB/SB/NB |
| 4 | $11 / 4 / 2015$ | $5: 50$ PM | 2.54 | Left-turn | Injury | Clear | Dry | EB/WBLT |
| 2015 Total Number of Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road

## Bunker Hill Road between Choptank Road and US 301

A total of four (4) crashes were reported in 2015, and the following trends were identified:

- Three (75 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- One (25 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in property-damage-only.
- Two (50 percent) of the reported crashes were angle crashes.
- One (25 percent) of the reported crashes was a left-turn crash.
- One (25 percent) of the reported crashes was a sideswipe-same direction crash.
and Tybouts Corner

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91 | $10 / 26 / 2015$ | $11: 26$ | 4.29 | Hit-debris | PDO | Other | Dry | SB |
| 92 | $11 / 02 / 2015$ | $03: 41$ | 3.01 | Hit-deer | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 93 | $11 / 02 / 2015$ | $18: 22$ | 6.58 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 94 | $11 / 02 / 2015$ | $18: 48$ | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 95 | $11 / 06 / 2015$ | $16: 25$ | 3.60 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 96 | $11 / 12 / 2015$ | $06: 19$ | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 97 | $11 / 12 / 2015$ | $21: 29$ | 0.00 | Hit-deer | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 98 | $11 / 15 / 2015$ | $02: 43$ | 0.00 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 99 | $11 / 18 / 2015$ | $07: 02$ | 5.54 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 100 | $11 / 19 / 2015$ | $00: 30$ | 7.62 | Hit-debris | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 101 | $11 / 21 / 2015$ | $05: 20$ | 5.27 | Hit-deer | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 102 | $11 / 26 / 2015$ | $20: 29$ | 3.73 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB/NB |
| 103 | $11 / 26 / 2015$ | $20: 41$ | 4.18 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 104 | $12 / 03 / 2015$ | $06: 43$ | 5.45 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 105 | $12 / 08 / 2015$ | $15: 42$ | 4.40 | Hit-debris | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 106 | $12 / 08 / 2015$ | $20: 04$ | 7.91 | Hit-debris | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 107 | $12 / 08 / 2015$ | $20: 04$ | 1.08 | Hit-debris | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 108 | $12 / 09 / 2015$ | $09: 01$ | 1.76 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 109 | $12 / 10 / 2015$ | $07: 16$ | 5.48 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 110 | $12 / 11 / 2015$ | $04: 48$ | 7.91 | Rollover | Injury | Fog | Dry | SB |
| 111 | $12 / 12 / 2015$ | $01: 17$ | 2.29 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 112 | $12 / 13 / 2015$ | $05: 25$ | 0.00 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 113 | $12 / 14 / 2015$ | $06: 58$ | 8.58 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 114 | $12 / 15 / 2015$ | $06: 50$ | 5.48 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 115 | $12 / 18 / 2015$ | $08: 34$ | 5.48 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | NB/NB |
|  | $12015 ~$ | Total Number of Crashes |  |  | 115 |  |  |  |

and Tybouts Corner

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01/04/2015 | 13:27 | 0.25 | Rear-end | PDO | Rain | Wet | NB/NB/NB |
| 2 | 01/06/2015 | 08:43 | 7.48 | Head-on | PDO | Snow | Snow | SB/SB |
| 3 | 01/09/2015 | 18:27 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | NB |
| 4 | 01/30/2015 | 15:50 | 1.84 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB/NB |
| 5 | 02/05/2015 | 04:30 | 2.58 | Tire blowout | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 6 | 02/05/2015 | 04:39 | 2.57 | Hit-debris | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 7 | 02/10/2015 | 03:36 | 7.91 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Sleet | Ice | SB |
| 8 | 02/11/2015 | 08:13 | 0.00 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 9 | 02/14/2015 | 20:25 | 5.80 | Other | Injury | Snow | Ice | SB/SB |
| 10 | 02/14/2015 | 20:34 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Snow | Snow | SB |
| 11 | 02/15/2015 | 00:45 | 5.72 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Wet | SB |
| 12 | 02/15/2015 | 00:45 | 5.78 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Snow | Ice | SB |
| 13 | 02/17/2015 | 02:09 | 2.43 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Snow | Snow | NB |
| 14 | 02/19/2015 | 05:07 | 4.70 | Animal | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 15 | 02/26/2015 | 08:28 | 5.47 | Sideswipe-same | Injury | Snow | Snow | SB/SB |
| 16 | 03/01/2015 | 11:45 | 2.80 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Sleet | Ice | SB |
| 17 | 03/01/2015 | 12:35 | 3.02 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Sleet | Ice | NB |
| 18 | 03/01/2015 | 15:55 | 5.68 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Sleet | Ice | SB |
| 19 | 03/01/2015 | 17:00 | 4.56 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Sleet | Ice | NB |
| 20 | 03/01/2015 | 17:44 | 4.40 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Sleet | Ice | NB |
| 21 | 03/01/2015 | 18:24 | 6.21 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Sleet | Ice | SB |
| 22 | 03/01/2015 | 18:54 | 4.95 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Sleet | Ice | NB |
| 23 | 03/01/2015 | 19:00 | 3.92 | Rear-end | PDO | Sleet | Ice | NB/NB/NB |
| 24 | 03/01/2015 | 19:34 | 5.57 | Rear-end | PDO | Sleet | Ice | SB/SB |
| 25 | 03/01/2015 | 20:17 | 5.82 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Sleet | Slush | SB/SB |
| 26 | 03/01/2015 | 20:42 | 5.80 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Sleet | Ice | SB |
| 27 | 03/05/2015 | 11:36 | 5.53 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Snow | Slush | SB/SB |
| 28 | 03/10/2015 | 23:25 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB |
| 29 | 03/15/2015 | 15:56 | 1.18 | Hit-deer | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 30 | 03/17/2015 | 22:09 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 31 | 03/20/2015 | 01:57 | 5.06 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 32 | 03/27/2015 | 19:40 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 33 | 04/03/2015 | 17:56 | 7.91 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 34 | 04/16/2015 | 22:13 | 4.31 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 35 | 04/20/2015 | 10:50 | 5.84 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Wet | SB/SB |
| 36 | 05/02/2015 | 11:40 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 37 | 05/05/2015 | 09:22 | 4.39 | Hit-debris | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 38 | 05/10/2015 | 15:08 | 4.98 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB |
| 39 | 05/11/2015 | 00:00 | 3.70 | Hit-deer | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 40 | 05/13/2015 | 07:40 | 5.24 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 41 | 05/14/2015 | 13:34 | 5.78 | Vehicle fire | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 42 | 05/17/2015 | 15:37 | 5.56 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 43 | 05/17/2015 | 17:38 | 3.08 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 44 | 05/23/2015 | 21:43 | 4.63 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 45 | 05/25/2015 | 13:00 | 3.88 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |

and Tybouts Corner

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 05/26/2015 | 22:11 | 7.50 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 47 | 05/30/2015 | 15:09 | 2.44 | Sideswipe-same | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 48 | 06/08/2015 | 07:16 | 3.90 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 49 | 06/14/2015 | 21:59 | 3.81 | Sideswipe-same | Injury | Cloudy | Wet | NB/NB |
| 50 | 06/20/2015 | 07:00 | 0.00 | Rollover | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 51 | 06/21/2015 | 00:00 | 1.10 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Rain | Wet | NB |
| 52 | 06/21/2015 | 06:24 | 3.65 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Rain | Wet | SB |
| 53 | 06/24/2015 | 06:15 | 0.32 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 54 | 06/25/2015 | 12:01 | 5.67 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 55 | 06/26/2015 | 20:31 | 5.67 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 56 | 07/03/2015 | 13:12 | 4.00 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Other | Dry | SB/SB |
| 57 | 07/06/2015 | 07:52 | 7.36 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 58 | 07/06/2015 | 13:24 | 7.72 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB |
| 59 | 07/06/2015 | 14:31 | 6.12 | Rollover | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | SB |
| 60 | 07/06/2015 | 15:14 | 1.27 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 61 | 07/09/2015 | 19:55 | 4.87 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB/SB |
| 62 | 07/22/2015 | 17:15 | 8.56 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 63 | 07/30/2015 | 16:34 | 3.81 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Rain | Wet | SB |
| 64 | 08/04/2015 | 09:46 | 5.97 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 65 | 08/10/2015 | 17:58 | 7.93 | Rear-end | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB |
| 66 | 08/15/2015 | 22:06 | 1.07 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 67 | 08/20/2015 | 15:13 | 1.10 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Rain | Wet | NB/NB |
| 68 | 08/23/2015 | 03:19 | 0.00 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 69 | 08/24/2015 | 14:29 | 3.75 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 70 | 08/25/2015 | 10:09 | 6.90 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 71 | 08/28/2015 | 19:32 | 5.45 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 72 | 09/08/2015 | 06:47 | 5.03 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 73 | 09/08/2015 | 16:10 | 5.24 | Hit-debris | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 74 | 09/10/2015 | 14:44 | 6.01 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Cloudy | Dry | SB |
| 75 | 09/10/2015 | 15:09 | 2.91 | Hit-debris | PDO | Rain | Wet | SB |
| 76 | 09/13/2015 | 06:36 | 2.14 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB/NB |
| 77 | 09/15/2015 | 10:28 | 1.30 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 78 | 09/15/2015 | 17:41 | 7.93 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 79 | 09/16/2015 | 06:44 | 5.26 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 80 | 09/19/2015 | 20:34 | 6.54 | Multiple vehicle | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | SB/SB/SB |
| 81 | 09/25/2015 | 20:56 | 8.17 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 82 | 09/29/2015 | 02:16 | 3.56 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 83 | 09/29/2015 | 22:24 | 5.17 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Other | Wet | SB |
| 84 | 10/04/2015 | 12:18 | 2.51 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Cloudy | Dry | NB/NB |
| 85 | 10/06/2015 | 10:40 | 0.99 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 86 | 10/06/2015 | 17:49 | 8.23 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 87 | 10/09/2015 | 21:45 | 2.90 | Sideswipe-same | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 88 | 10/18/2015 | 06:50 | 5.39 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 89 | 10/19/2015 | 16:12 | 4.7 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 90 | 10/24/2015 | 10:24 | 6.3 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |

## SR1 between Roth Bridge and Tybouts Corner

A total of one hundred and fifteen (115) crashes were reported in 2015, and the following trends were identified:

- Twenty (17 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Ninety-five (83 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Thirty-four (29 percent) of the reported crashes were run-off-the-road / hit-fixed-object crashes.
- Thirty (26 percent) of the reported crashes were sideswipe-same direction crashes.
- Twenty-eight (24 percent) of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes.
- Nine (8 percent) of the reported crashes involved a motor vehicle and debris on the roadway.
- Five (4 percent) of the reported crashes involved a motor vehicle and a deer.
- Three (3 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in a vehicle rollover.
- There was one reported crash of each of the following type: hit-animal, head-on, multiple vehicle crash, tire blowout, vehicle fire and one unclassified crash.

|  | Date | Time | MP | Type | Severity | Weather | Surface | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01/06/2015 | 06:04 | 1.95 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Snow | Snow | SB |
| 2 | 01/16/2015 | 18:35 | 1.97 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 3 | 03/05/2015 | 8:53 AM | N/A | Angle | Injury | Snow | Slush | EB/SB |
| 4 | 05/03/2015 | 22:46 | 1.98 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB |
| 5 | 07/03/2015 | 14:56 | 1.98 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 6 | 09/26/2015 | 19:10 | 2.16 | ROR/HFO | PDO | Clear | Dry | EBLT |
| 7 | 10/20/2015 | 5:35 AM | 2.53 | Sideswipe-opp | PDO | Clear | Dry | EB/SBRT |
| 8 | 10/30/2015 | 21:48 | 1.96 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB/SB |
| 9 | 11/03/2015 | 16:54 | 2.04 | Rear-end | PDO | Clear | Dry | SB/SB |
| 10 | 11/07/2015 | 21:39 | 0.00 | Rollover | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB |
| 11 | 11/08/2015 | 13:59 | 2.12 | Rear-end | Injury | Clear | Dry | NB/NB |
| 12 | 12/02/2015 | 06:09 | 1.96 | ROR/HFO | Injury | Rain | Wet | SB |
| 2015 Total Number of Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## US 301 at Bethel Church Road

A total of twelve (12) crashes were reported in 2015, and the following trends were identified:

- Four (33 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Eight (67 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in property-damage-only.
- Five ( 42 percent) of the crashes were rear-end crashes.
- Four (33 percent) of the crashes were run-off-the-road / hit-fixed object crashes.
- There was one reported crash of each of the following type: angle crash, rollover, and one sideswipe-same direction crash.


## Appendix D

Significant Incidents on SR 1 and Other Roadways in the Middletown Region

| Significant Incidents on SR 1 that Could have Utilized the Spur Road to Accommodate Detoured Traffic - 2004 through present |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Location | Event | Duration | Roads used for Detour |
| 5/14/2004 | SR 1 at SR 273 | Property Damage Crash SB SR 1 Left Lane Closed | 1.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 9/24/2004 | SR 1 South of SR 273 | Personal Injury Crash SB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hours | Unknown |
| 4/3/2005 | SR 1 at SR 72 | Personal Injury Crash - Right and Center Lane Closed on SB SR 1 | 0.5 Hour | Unknown |
| 4/14/2005 | SR 1 South of US 40 | Dump Truck Rolled Over SB SR 1 Closed | 3 Hours | Unknown |
| 5/16/2005 | NB SR 1 at Christiana Mall Ramp | Vehicle Fire - NB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 7/1/2005 | SB SR 1 South of SR 273 | Possible Fatal Crash / Entrapment - SB SR 1 Closed | 2 Hours | Unknown |
| 8/7/2006 | SB SR 1 at Christiana Mall Ramp | Tractor Trailer Rolled Over SB SR 1 Closed | 7.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 11/30/2006 | NB SR 1 at Tybouts Corner | Personal Injury Crash - <br> NB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 1/31/2007 | SB SR 1 North of School House Road | Property Damage Crash SB Left and Center Lane and NB Left Lane on SR 1 Closed | 1.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 2/14/2007 | NB SR 1 South of SR 72 | Tractor Trailer Rolled Over NB SR 1 Closed at SR 896 | 6.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 3/7/2007 | NB SR 1 at Christiana Mall | Multiple (6) Vehicle Personal Injury Crash - NB SR 1 Closed | 1.5 Hours | $\begin{gathered} \text { US 13, SR 72, SR } 273 \\ \text { and I-95 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 5/14/2007 | SB SR 1 on Roth Bridge | Personal Injury Crash SB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 6/27/2007 | SB SR 1 North of Roth Bridge | Tractor Trailer Rolled Over SB SR 1 Closed | 3 Hours | US 13 and SR 72 |
| 9/2/2007 | NB SR 1 near Hyetts Corner Road | Personal Injury Crash NB SR 1 Closed | 2 Hours | Unknown |
| 9/7/2007 | SR 1 at SR 72 | Vehicle Fire \& Clean-up SR 1 Closed at SR 72 | 3 Hours | SR 72 |
| 11/29/2007 | SB SR 1 North of Roth Bridge | Fluid Spilled on Road - SB SR 1 Right Lane and Shoulder Closed | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 1/29/2008 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB SR 1, South of } \\ & \text { SR } 273 \end{aligned}$ | Property Damage Crash/ Rollover - SB SR 1 Left Lane Closed | 1.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 2/10/2008 | SB SR 1 at Christiana Mall Ramp | Personal Injury Crash - Left Lanes Closed on NB \& SB SR 1 s/o I-95 | 3 Hours | Unknown |
| 2/12/2008 | SR 1 near I-95 | DSP Fatal Accident Reconstruction - Partial Closure | 9.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 2/12/2008 | SR 1 between US 40 and SR 273 | DSP Fatal Accident <br> Reconstruction - Partial Closure | 12 Hours | Unknown |
| 4/2/2008 | SR 1 at SR 273 | Possible Fatal Crash involving 3 vehicles - NB SR 1 and SB SR 1 Ramp to SR 273 Closed | 3 Hours | US 13 |
| 6/17/2008 | NB SR 1 at SR 273 | Possible Fatal Crash / damaged bridge - NB SR 1 Closed | 3 Hours | Unknown |
| 3/30/2009 | NB SR 1 North of SR 72 | Personal Injury Crash involving 4 vehicles - Partial closure | 2 Hours | US 13 |
| 4/5/2009 | SB SR 1 Ramp at Lorewood Grove Road | Tractor Trailer Rolled Over SB SR 1 Closed | 9 Hours | SR 9, US13 and SR 72 |


| Significant Incidents on SR 1 that Could have Utilized the Spur Road to Accommodate Detoured Traffic - 2004 through present (Continued) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Location | Event | Duration | Roads used for Detour |
| 6/29/2009 | SR 1 at SR 273 | Truck Rolled Over SB SR 1 Closed | 2.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 8/2/2009 | SR 1 at SR 273 | Personal Injury Crash SB SR 1 Closed at SR 273 | 2.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 8/6/2009 | SR 1 on Roth Bridge | Fatal Crash/ Vehicle Fire SB SR 1 Closed | Unknown | Unknown |
| 4/5/2010 | SB SR 1, South of SR 71 | Personal Injury Crash SB SR 1 Closed | Unknown | Unknown |
| 4/5/2010 | NB SR 1 at Christiana Mall | Personal Injury Crash Partial Closure on NB SR 1 | Unknown | Unknown |
| 5/27/2010 | NB SR 1, North of US 40 | Personal Injury Crash NB SR 1 at US 40 Closed | Unknown | Unknown |
| 3/17/2011 | NB SR 1 at Biddles Toll Plaza | EZ Pass Lane Closure | 7.5 Hours | US 13 / Others |
| 4/8/2011 | NB SR 1 at Christiana Mall Ramp | Jack-Knifed Tractor-Trailer | 1 Hour | SR 273 |
| 6/2/2011 | SB SR 1 at Biddles Toll Plaza | EZ Pass Lane Closure | 7.5 Hours | US 13 / Others |
| 7/17/2011 | SR 1 near Christiana Mall | Fatal Crash in the work zone Both NB \& SB SR 1 Closed | 3 Hours | SR 273 |
| 9/29/2011 | NB SR 1 near SR 72 Ramps | Truck Fire - NB SR 1 Closed | 1.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 10/27/2011 | SB SR 1 over Drawyers Creek Overpass | Personal Injury / Possible Fatal Crash - NB \& SB SR 1 Closed | 3 Hours | Unknown |
| 10/27/2011 | NB SR 1 at Christiana Mall Ramp | Personal Injury Crash - NB SR 1 On-Ramp to I-95 Closed | 12.5 Hours | SR 273 |
| 12/12/2011 | NB SR 1 at Tybouts Corner | Vehicle Crash - NB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | US 13 |
| 11/8/2011 | NB SR 1 on Roth Bridge | Vehicle Crash - NB SR 1 Closed | 1.5 Hours | US 13 / Others |
| 1/15/2012 | SB SR 1 at SR 273 | Vehicle Crash - SB SR 1 Closed | 1.0 Hour | SR 273 / US 40 |
| 4/11/2012 | NB SR 1 South of I-95 Ramps | Vehicle Crash - NB SR 1 Closed | 2 Hours | SR 273 |
| 4/16/2012 | SR 1 between SR 273 and AAA Blvd | Maintenance of Traffic | 3 Hours | I-95 / SR 273 |
| 4/18/2012 | SB SR 1 North of SR 72 | Vehicle Crash - SB SR 1 Closed | 1.5 Hours | US 13 / SR 72 |
| 4/30/2012 | SB SR 1 at SR 7 | Vehicle Crash - SB SR 1 Closed | 3 Hours | I-95 / SR 273 |
| 6/15/2012 | NB SR 1 near SR 71 | Maintenance of Traffic - Partial Closure on NB SR 1 | 3.5 Hours | US 13 / SR 273 |
| 9/28/2012 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB SR } 1 \text { near } \\ \text { SR } 273 \end{gathered}$ | Vehicle Crash - NB SR Closed | 1 Hour | SR 72/ SR 7 / US 13 |
| 11/8/2012 | SB SR 1 <br> At Christiana Mall Exit | Vehicle Crash - SB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | SR 273 / US 13 |
| 11/9/2012 | NB SR 1 <br> At Christiana Mall Exit | Vehicle Crash - NB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | SR 273 / I-95 |
| 12/8/2012 | SB SR 1 near Exit 148 | Vehicle Crash - SB SR 1 Closed | 0.5 Hours | US 13 |
| 12/27/2012 | NB SR 1 at Roth Bridge | Unknown | 0.5 Hours | US 13 |
| 1/30/2013 | NB SR 1 near Christiana Mall Exit | Vehicle Crash within the Construction Zone | 1 Hour | SR 273 / I-95 |


| Significant Incidents on SR 1 that Could have Utilized the Spur Road to Accommodate Detoured Traffic - 2004 through present (Continued) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Location | Event | Duration | Roads used for Detour |
| 3/8/2013 | NB SR 1 work zone near I-95 Interchange | Construction equipment malfunction - NB SR 1 Closed | 2 Hours | SR 273 / I-95 |
| 5/25/2013 | SB I-95 s/o I-95 Ramps | Vehicle Rollover Crash - Ramp Closed | 0.5 Hours | SR 273 / I-95 |
| 6/14/2013 | NB SR 1 near l-95 Ramps | Unknown | 1 Hour | SR 273 / I-95 |
| 6/29/2013 | SB I-95 Ramp to SB SR 1 | Vehicle crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 1 Hour | SR 273 / I-95 |
| 10/15/2013 | NB SR 1 n/o Biddles Plaza | Disabled Vehicle - Maintenance of Traffic | 1 Hour | US 13 / SR 896 |
| 12/12/2013 | SB SR 1 n/o SR 273 | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 1 Hour | SR 273 |
| 2/16/2014 | Cedar Lane Road at Marl Pit Road | Vehicle Crash | 3 Hours | Unknown |
| 3/1/2014 | I-95 / SR 7 | Unknown | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 4/9/2014 | I-95 NB exit 7 | TMC - Maintenance Dispatch | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 6/2/2014 | US 13 at Scott Run | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 1 Hour | SR 1 |
| 6/25/2014 | US 301 at N. Broad Street (SR 71) | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 2 Hours | SR 1 / Others |
| 8/18/2014 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { US } 301 \text { (4861 Summit } \\ \text { Bridge Rd) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 12/23/2014 | NB I-95 Ramp near SR 1 SB Ramps | Unknown | 1 hour | Unknown |
| 12/24/2014 | SR 1 NB b/t SR 299 and exit 119 | Unknown | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 1/24/2015 | SR 1 SB at Christiana Mall | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 6/18/2015 | SR 1 Biddles Toll Plaza | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 2 Hours | Unknown |
| 7/8/2015 | SR 1 SB at SR 72 | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 3 Hours | Unknown |
| 9/22/2015 | Rt. 13 NB crossover to SR 1 NB | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 2 Hours | Unknown |
| 10/6/2015 | SR 1 NB on the Roth Bridge | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 10/28/2015 | SR 1 NB on the Roth Bridge | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 2 Hours | Unknown |
| 11/5/2015 | SR 1 NB north of SR 72 | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 2 Hours | Unknown |
| 11/12/2015 | SR 1 NB at SR 72 | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| 11/29/2015 | SR 1 SB south of Tybouts Corner | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of Traffic | 4 Hours | Unknown |
| Total |  |  | 178 Hours |  |


|  | Significant Incidents in the Middletown Region that Could have Utilized <br> the Spur Road to Accommodate Detoured Traffic - 2004 through present |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Location | Event |  | Duration |
| Roads used for Detour |  |  |  |  |
| $11 / 29 / 2004$ | Bethel Church Road | Personal Injury Crash - <br> SB US 301 Left Lane and <br> Left-turn Lane Closed | 1 Hour | Right lane and shoulder <br> on US 301 |
| $9 / 3 / 2005$ | US 301 at SR 71 | Property Damage Crash - <br> US 301 SB and <br> SR 71 NB Left-turn Lane Closed | 1 Hour | Access to Middletown <br> Village back on to US 301 |
| $1 / 30 / 2006$ | SB US 301 at <br> Bethel Church Road | Property Damage Crash \& Fuel <br> Spill - SB US 301 Closed | 7 Hours | Bethel Church Road, <br> Choptank Road and <br> Churchtown Road |
| $8 / 24 / 2006$ | US 301 North of <br> Churchtown Road | Property Damage Crash - <br> US 301 Closed | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| $12 / 25 / 2006$ | SB US 301 South of <br> Summit Bridge | Personal Injury Crash - <br> SB US 301 Closed | 1 Hour | Shoulder Lane on |
| SB US 301 |  |  |  |  |


| Significant Incidents in the Middletown Region that Could have Utilized <br> the Spur Road to Accommodate Detoured Traffic - 2004 through present (Continued) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Location | Event | Duration | Roads used for Detour |
| $12 / 23 / 2015$ | US 301 south of Old <br> School House Road | Vehicle Crash - Maintenance of <br> Traffic | 4 Hours | Unknown |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix E

 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes, SYNCHRO Capacity Reports and Delay Study ResultsFile Name: US 301 at Summit Bridge Rd
Site Code :
Start Date :10/7/2015
Page No : 3

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } 301 \\ \text { Southbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } 301 \\ \text { Northbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Old Summit Bridge Rd |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 10 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 265 | 0 | 306 | 9 | 0 | 315 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 591 |
| 07:15 AM | 16 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 318 | 2 | 0 | 320 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 543 |
| 07:30 AM | 13 | 161 | 0 |  | 174 | 0 | 347 | 3 | 0 | 350 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 538 |
| 07:45 AM | 11 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 312 | 5 | 0 | 317 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 538 |
| Total Volume | 50 | 808 | 0 | 0 | 858 | 0 | 1283 | 19 | 0 | 1302 | 38 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2210 |
| \% App. Total | 5.8 | 94.2 | 0 | , |  | 0 | 98.5 | 1.5 | 0 |  | 76 | 0 | 24 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 781 | . 792 | . 000 | . 000 | . 809 | . 000 | . 924 | . 528 | . 000 | . 930 | . 864 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 833 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 935 |
| CARS \& PEDS | 40 | 685 | 0 |  | 725 | 0 | 1135 | 18 | 0 | 1153 | 36 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1920 |
| \% CARS \& PEDS | 80.0 | 84.8 | 0 | 0 | 84.5 | 0 | 88.5 | 94.7 | 0 | 88.6 | 94.7 | 0 | 50.0 | 0 | 84.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86.9 |
| u turns \& Bikes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% U TURNS \& BIKES | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TRUCKS |  | 123 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 148 | 1 | 0 | 149 |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 289 |
| \% TRUCKS | 18.0 | 15.2 | 0 | 0 | 15.4 | 0 | 11.5 | 5.3 | 0 | 11.4 | 5.3 | 0 | 50.0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.1 |

File Name : US 301 at Summit Bridge Rd
Site Code :
Start Date : 10/7/2015
Page No :5

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } 301 \\ \text { Southbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } 301 \\ \text { Northbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Old Summit Bridge Rd |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 14 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 298 | 0 | 218 | 10 | 0 | 228 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 543 |
| 05:15 PM | 15 | 297 | 0 | 0 | 312 | 0 | 237 | 13 | 0 | 250 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 572 |
| 05:30 PM | 23 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 1 | 228 | 8 | 0 | 237 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 567 |
| 05:45 PM | 19 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 0 | 253 | 13 | 0 | 266 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 610 |
| Total Volume | 71 | 1179 |  | 0 | 1250 | , | 936 | 44 | 0 | 981 | 39 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2292 |
| \% App. Total | 5.7 | 94.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.1 | 95.4 | 4.5 | 0 |  | 63.9 | 0 | 34.4 | 1.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | 772 | . 957 | . 000 | . 000 | . 956 | . 250 | . 925 | . 846 | . 000 | . 922 | . 813 | . 000 | . 750 | . 250 | . 897 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 939 |
| CARS \& PEDS | 64 | 1088 | 0 | 0 | 1152 |  | 833 | 40 | 0 | 873 | 38 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2081 |
| \% CARS \& PEDS | 90.1 | 92.3 | - | 0 | 92.2 | 0 | 89.0 | 90.9 | 0 | 89.0 | 97.4 | 0 | 81.0 | 100 | 91.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90.8 |
| U TURNs \& BIIES | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| \% UTURNs \& BiIEs | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| TRUCKS |  | 91 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 103 | 4 | 0 | 107 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 209 |
| \% TRUCKS | 8.5 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 7.8 | 0 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 0 | 10.9 | 2.6 | 0 | 19.0 | 0 | 8.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.1 |

File Name: US 301 at SR 896 (Boyds Corner Rd)
Site Code :
Start Date $: 10 / 7 / 2015$
Page No $: 3$

|  | US 301Southbound |  |  |  |  | US 301 Northbound |  |  |  |  | SR 896 Westbound |  |  |  |  | SR 896Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | Inter | ction B | gins at | 7:00 AN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 77 | 170 | 4 | 0 | 251 | 2 | 142 | 46 | 0 | 190 | 45 | 11 | 84 | 0 | 140 | 14 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 58 | 639 |
| 07:15 AM | 57 | 138 | 2 | 0 | 197 | 2 | 157 | 41 | 0 | 200 | 54 | 9 | 114 | 0 | 177 | 12 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 614 |
| 07:30 AM | 60 | 123 | 4 | 0 | 187 | 2 | 156 | 46 | 0 | 204 | 50 | 4 | 112 | 0 | 166 | 18 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 58 | 615 |
| 07:45 AM | 64 | 128 | 4 | 0 | 196 | 3 | 170 | 32 | 0 | 205 | 42 | 10 | 112 | 0 | 164 | 8 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 604 |
| Total Volume | 258 | 559 | 14 | 0 | 831 | 9 | 625 | 165 | 0 | 799 | 191 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 647 | 52 | 135 | 8 | 0 | 195 | 2472 |
| \% App. Total | 31 | 67.3 | 1.7 | 0 |  | 1.1 | 78.2 | 20.7 | 0 |  | 29.5 | 5.3 | 65.2 | 0 |  | 26.7 | 69.2 | 4.1 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 838 | . 822 | . 875 | . 000 | . 828 | . 750 | . 919 | . 897 | . 000 | . 974 | . 884 | . 773 | . 925 | . 000 | . 914 | . 722 | . 823 | . 667 | . 000 | . 841 | . 967 |
| CARS \& PEDS | 234 | 529 | 14 | 0 | 777 | 5 | 550 | 111 | 0 | 666 | 137 | 32 | 411 | 0 | 580 | 46 | 131 | 7 | 0 | 184 | 2207 |
| \% CARS \& PEDS | 90.7 | 94.6 | 100 | 0 | 93.5 | 55.6 | 88.0 | 67.3 | 0 | 83.4 | 71.7 | 94.1 | 97.4 | 0 | 89.6 | 88.5 | 97.0 | 87.5 | 0 | 94.4 | 89.3 |
| U TURNS \& BIKES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| \% U TURNS \& BIKES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| TRUCKS | 24 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 4 | 75 | 54 | 0 | 133 | 42 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 55 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 253 |
| \% TRUCKS | 9.3 | 5.4 | 0 | 0 | 6.5 | 44.4 | 12.0 | 32.7 | 0 | 16.6 | 22.0 | 5.9 | 2.6 | 0 | 8.5 | 11.5 | 3.0 | 12.5 | 0 | 5.6 | 10.2 |

File Name : US 301 at SR 896 (Boyds Corner Rd)
Site Code :
Start Date $: 10 / 7 / 2015$
Page No :5

|  | US 301 Southbound |  |  |  |  | US 301 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 896 \\ \text { Westbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SR } 896 \\ \text { Eastbound } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 89 | 171 | 15 | 0 | 275 | 6 | 148 | 25 | 0 | 179 | 65 | 33 | 52 | 0 | 150 | 8 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 630 |
| 05:15 PM | 91 | 184 | 16 | 0 | 291 | 1 | 154 | 48 | 0 | 203 | 72 | 42 | 68 | 0 | 182 | 8 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 702 |
| 05:30 PM | 84 | 178 | 22 | 0 | 284 | 4 | 150 | 38 | 0 | 192 | 67 | 31 | 55 | 0 | 153 | 4 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 659 |
| 05:45 PM | 102 | 199 | 15 | 0 | 316 | 1 | 169 | 42 | 0 | 212 | 62 | 44 | 60 | 0 | 166 | 12 | 24 | 6 | 0 | 42 | 736 |
| Total Volume | 366 | 732 | 68 | 0 | 1166 | 12 | 621 | 153 | 0 | 786 | 266 | 150 | 235 | 0 | 651 | 32 | 82 | 10 | 0 | 124 | 2727 |
| \% App. Total | 31.4 | 62.8 | 5.8 | 0 |  | 1.5 | 79 | 19.5 | 0 |  | 40.9 | 23 | 36.1 | 0 |  | 25.8 | 66.1 | 8.1 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 897 | . 920 | . 773 | . 000 | . 922 | . 500 | . 919 | . 797 | . 000 | . 927 | . 924 | . 852 | . 864 | . 000 | . 894 | . 667 | . 854 | . 417 | . 000 | . 738 | . 926 |
| CARS \& PEDS | 358 | 686 | 68 | 0 | 1112 | 12 | 575 | 118 | 0 | 705 | 211 | 146 | 220 | 0 | 577 | 30 | 78 | 10 | 0 | 118 | 2512 |
| \% CARS \& PEDS | 97.8 | 93.7 | 100 | 0 | 95.4 | 100 | 92.6 | 77.1 | 0 | 89.7 | 79.3 | 97.3 | 93.6 | 0 | 88.6 | 93.8 | 95.1 | 100 | 0 | 95.2 | 92.1 |
| U TURNS \& BIKES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| \% U TURNS \& BIKES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| TRUCKS | 8 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 46 | 35 | 0 | 81 | 48 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 67 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 208 |
| \% TRUCKS | 2.2 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 4.6 | 0 | 7.4 | 22.9 | 0 | 10.3 | 18.0 | 2.7 | 6.4 | 0 | 10.3 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 0 | 0 | 4.8 | 7.6 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{R K d}$ | $\mathfrak{\gamma}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $110 \mathrm{~S}$ | South Pop Vilmingto | DE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | File Na Site C Start Page |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 3 \\ & 10 / 6 / 6 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 1 at <br> 2015 | Arms | rong Co | rner Rd |
|  |  |  | US 301 <br> outhbou |  |  |  |  | US 301 <br> orthboun |  |  |  |  | arl Pit estboun |  |  |  | Arms | ong Co astbound | ner Rd |  |  |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analy | From | 2:00 PN | to 05:4 | PM - P | eak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | Inters | ction B | gins at | 5:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 45 | 208 | 2 | 0 | 255 | 17 | 186 | 16 | 1 | 220 | 38 | 17 | 20 | 1 | 76 | 2 | 14 | 23 | 0 | 39 | 590 |
| 05:15 PM | 42 | 185 | 7 | 0 | 234 | 11 | 188 | 20 | 0 | 219 | 35 | 15 | 18 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 25 | 21 | 0 | 46 | 567 |
| 05:30 PM | 25 | 220 | 2 | 2 | 249 | 10 | 179 | 20 | 0 | 209 | 29 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 19 | 15 | 0 | 36 | 549 |
| 05:45 PM | 34 | 203 | 4 | 0 | 241 | 7 | 172 | 13 | 0 | 192 | 43 | 20 | 17 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 24 | 14 | 0 | 38 | 551 |
| Total Volume | 146 | 816 | 15 | 2 | 979 | 45 | 725 | 69 | 1 | 840 | 145 | 67 | 66 | 1 | 279 | 4 | 82 | 73 | 0 | 159 | 2257 |
| \% App. Total | 14.9 | 83.4 | 1.5 | 0.2 |  | 5.4 | 86.3 | 8.2 | 0.1 |  | 52 | 24 | 23.7 | 0.4 |  | 2.5 | 51.6 | 45.9 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 811 | . 927 | . 536 | . 250 | . 960 | . 662 | . 964 | . 863 | . 250 | . 955 | . 843 | . 838 | . 825 | . 250 | . 872 | . 500 | . 820 | . 793 | . 000 | . 864 | . 956 |
| CARS \& PEDS | 140 | 723 | 13 | 0 | 876 | 43 | 604 | 68 | 0 | 715 | 138 | 63 | 62 | 0 | 263 | 3 | 80 | 68 | 0 | 151 | 2005 |
| \% CARS \& PEDS | 95.9 | 88.6 | 86.7 | 0 | 89.5 | 95.6 | 83.3 | 98.6 | 0 | 85.1 | 95.2 | 94.0 | 93.9 | 0 | 94.3 | 75.0 | 97.6 | 93.2 | 0 | 95.0 | 88.8 |
| U TURNS \& BIKES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% U TURNS \& BIKES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 |
| TRUCKS | 6 | 93 | 2 | 0 | 101 | 2 | 121 | 1 | 0 | 124 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 248 |
| \% TRUCKS | 4.1 | 11.4 | 13.3 | 0 | 10.3 | 4.4 | 16.7 | 1.4 | 0 | 14.8 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 0 | 5.4 | 25.0 | 2.4 | 6.8 | 0 | 5.0 | 11.0 |

```
File Name:US 301 at SR 71 (Broad St)




```

Area Type: Other

```

Cycle Length: 75
Actuated Cycle Length: 75
Offset: 10 (13\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBSB and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.58
Intersection Signal Delay: \(7.9 \quad\) Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 57.4\%
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 10: US 301 \& Old Summit Bridge Road

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\stackrel{ }{*}\) & & & & & & & \(\uparrow\) & 7 & \(\checkmark\) & \(\frac{1}{\downarrow}\) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Lane Group & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Permitted Phases & & & & & & 4 & & & 2 & & & 6 \\
\hline Detector Phase & 3 & 3 & & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Switch Phase} \\
\hline Minimum Initial (s) & 5.0 & 5.0 & & 10.0 & 10.0 & 10.0 & 5.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 & 5.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 \\
\hline Minimum Split (s) & 13.0 & 13.0 & & 17.0 & 17.0 & 17.0 & 12.0 & 29.0 & 29.0 & 12.0 & 29.0 & 29.0 \\
\hline Total Split (s) & 24.0 & 24.0 & & 20.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 & 13.0 & 30.0 & 30.0 & 16.0 & 33.0 & 33.0 \\
\hline Total Split (\%) & 26.7\% & 26.7\% & & 22.2\% & 22.2\% & 22.2\% & 14.4\% & 33.3\% & 33.3\% & 17.8\% & 36.7\% & 36.7\% \\
\hline Maximum Green (s) & 17.0 & 17.0 & & 14.0 & 14.0 & 14.0 & 7.0 & 22.0 & 22.0 & 10.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 \\
\hline Yellow Time (s) & 5.0 & 5.0 & & 4.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline All-Red Time (s) & 2.0 & 2.0 & & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 2.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 \\
\hline Lost Time Adjust (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Lost Time (s) & 7.0 & 7.0 & & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 8.0 & 8.0 & 6.0 & 8.0 & 8.0 \\
\hline Lead/Lag & Lead & Lead & & Lag & Lag & Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag \\
\hline Lead-Lag Optimize? & Yes & Yes & & Yes & Yes & Yes & & & & & & \\
\hline Vehicle Extension (s) & 4.0 & 4.0 & & 4.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline Recall Mode & None & None & & None & None & None & None & C-Min & C-Min & None & C-Min & C-Min \\
\hline Act Efft Green (s) & 13.9 & 13.9 & & 13.7 & 13.7 & 13.7 & 6.8 & 24.1 & 24.1 & 11.3 & 38.8 & 38.8 \\
\hline Actuated g/C Ratio & 0.15 & 0.15 & & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.08 & 0.27 & 0.27 & 0.13 & 0.43 & 0.43 \\
\hline v/c Ratio & 0.25 & 0.60 & & 0.48 & 0.14 & 0.75 & 0.10 & 0.75 & 0.36 & 0.77 & 0.46 & 0.02 \\
\hline Control Delay & 34.8 & 43.5 & & 38.7 & 33.8 & 13.2 & 41.0 & 37.4 & 5.5 & 53.4 & 21.4 & 0.1 \\
\hline Queue Delay & 0.0 & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Delay & 34.8 & 43.5 & & 38.7 & 33.8 & 13.2 & 41.0 & 37.4 & 5.5 & 53.4 & 21.4 & 0.1 \\
\hline LOS & C & D & & D & C & B & D & D & A & D & C & A \\
\hline Approach Delay & & 41.2 & & & 21.8 & & & 30.9 & & & 31.0 & \\
\hline Approach LOS & & D & & & C & & & C & & & C & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Intersection Summary}

\section*{Area Type: Other}

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 2 (2\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77
Intersection Signal Delay: 29.5
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.1\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: \(\quad\) : US 301 \& Churchtown Rd/SR 896


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & , & \\
\hline Lane Group & WBL & WBR & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT \\
\hline Permitted Phases & 4 & Free & & & & \\
\hline Detector Phase & 4 & & 2 & 2 & 1 & 6 \\
\hline Switch Phase & & & & & & \\
\hline Minimum Initial (s) & 6.0 & & 15.0 & 15.0 & 8.0 & 15.0 \\
\hline Minimum Split (s) & 16.0 & & 25.0 & 25.0 & 15.0 & 25.0 \\
\hline Total Split (s) & 28.0 & & 60.0 & 60.0 & 32.0 & 92.0 \\
\hline Total Split (\%) & 23.3\% & & 50.0\% & 50.0\% & 26.7\% & 76.7\% \\
\hline Maximum Green (s) & 19.0 & & 51.0 & 51.0 & 26.0 & 83.0 \\
\hline Yellow Time (s) & 5.0 & & 5.0 & 5.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline All-Red Time (s) & 4.0 & & 4.0 & 4.0 & 2.0 & 4.0 \\
\hline Lost Time Adjust (s) & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Lost Time (s) & 9.0 & & 9.0 & 9.0 & 6.0 & 9.0 \\
\hline Lead/Lag & & & Lead & Lead & Lag & \\
\hline Lead-Lag Optimize? & & & Yes & Yes & & \\
\hline Vehicle Extension (s) & 4.0 & & 7.0 & 7.0 & 4.0 & 7.0 \\
\hline Recall Mode & None & & C-Min & C-Min & None & C-Min \\
\hline Act Effct Green (s) & 15.8 & 120.0 & 56.8 & 56.8 & 23.4 & 86.2 \\
\hline Actuated g/C Ratio & 0.13 & 1.00 & 0.47 & 0.47 & 0.20 & 0.72 \\
\hline v/c Ratio & 0.66 & 0.22 & 0.79 & 0.22 & 0.82 & 0.67 \\
\hline Control Delay & 62.6 & 0.3 & 37.1 & 21.3 & 59.9 & 8.4 \\
\hline Queue Delay & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Delay & 62.6 & 0.3 & 37.1 & 21.3 & 59.9 & 8.4 \\
\hline LOS & E & A & D & C & E & A \\
\hline Approach Delay & 20.0 & & 33.8 & & & 21.3 \\
\hline Approach LOS & C & & C & & & C \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Area Type: Other} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Cycle Length: 120} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Actuated Cycle Length: 120} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Offset: 16 (13\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Natural Cycle: 80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Intersection Signal Delay: 25.2} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Intersection LOS: C} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.3\%} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{ICU Level of Service}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min) 15} & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Splits and Phases: \(\quad 30\) : US 301 \& SR 71

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & 7 & & & 4 & \(\dagger\) & \(p\) & \% & \(\dagger\) & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline Lane Group & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Permitted Phases & & & 8 & & & 4 & & & 2 & & & 6 \\
\hline Detector Phase & 3 & 8 & 8 & 7 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Switch Phase} \\
\hline Minimum Initial (s) & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 15.0 & 15.0 & 5.0 & 15.0 & 15.0 \\
\hline Minimum Split (s) & 11.0 & 12.0 & 12.0 & 11.0 & 12.0 & 12.0 & 11.0 & 23.0 & 23.0 & 11.0 & 23.0 & 23.0 \\
\hline Total Split (s) & 20.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 & 15.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 20.0 & 40.0 & 40.0 \\
\hline Total Split (\%) & 20.0\% & 20.0\% & 20.0\% & 25.0\% & 25.0\% & 25.0\% & 15.0\% & 35.0\% & 35.0\% & 20.0\% & 40.0\% & 40.0\% \\
\hline Maximum Green (s) & 15.0 & 14.0 & 14.0 & 20.0 & 19.0 & 19.0 & 10.0 & 28.0 & 28.0 & 15.0 & 33.0 & 33.0 \\
\hline Yellow Time (s) & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 3.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline All-Red Time (s) & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\
\hline Lost Time Adjust (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Lost Time (s) & 5.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 5.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 5.0 & 7.0 & 7.0 & 5.0 & 7.0 & 7.0 \\
\hline Lead/Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Lead-Lag Optimize?} \\
\hline Vehicle Extension (s) & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 \\
\hline Recall Mode & None & None & None & None & None & None & None & C-Min & C-Min & None & C-Min & C-Min \\
\hline Act Effct Green (s) & 8.4 & 14.4 & 14.4 & 12.7 & 20.9 & 20.9 & 9.0 & 36.8 & 36.8 & 13.0 & 43.0 & 43.0 \\
\hline Actuated g/C Ratio & 0.08 & 0.14 & 0.14 & 0.13 & 0.21 & 0.21 & 0.09 & 0.37 & 0.37 & 0.13 & 0.43 & 0.43 \\
\hline v/c Ratio & 0.36 & 0.57 & 0.26 & 0.58 & 0.34 & 0.14 & 0.49 & 0.54 & 0.23 & 0.67 & 0.47 & 0.19 \\
\hline Control Delay & 46.9 & 44.5 & 2.3 & 46.5 & 35.3 & 0.7 & 53.9 & 29.1 & 5.7 & 56.5 & 24.1 & 4.7 \\
\hline Queue Delay & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Delay & 46.9 & 44.5 & 2.3 & 46.5 & 35.3 & 0.7 & 53.9 & 29.1 & 5.7 & 56.5 & 24.1 & 4.7 \\
\hline LOS & D & D & A & D & D & A & D & C & A & E & C & A \\
\hline Approach Delay & & 36.5 & & & 36.9 & & & 27.0 & & & 26.1 & \\
\hline Approach LOS & & D & & & D & & & C & & & C & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Area Type: Other} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Cycle Length: 100} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Actuated Cycle Length: 100} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Offset: 76 (76\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Natural Cycle: 60} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Intersection Signal Delay: 30.2} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Intersection LOS: C} & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization 48.8\%} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ICU Level of Service A} & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min) 15} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Splits and Phases: 2: US 301 \& Bunker Hill Rd} \\
\hline \[
{ }_{\emptyset 1}
\] & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\[
Y_{02(R)}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\square 3\)} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\(\square 4\)} \\
\hline 20 s & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{35 s} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{20 s} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{25 s} & \\
\hline \[
\psi_{\varnothing 5}
\] & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\[
\approx(R)
\]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{167} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\rightarrow \square 88\)} \\
\hline 15 s & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{40 s} & & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{25 s} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{20 s} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Splits and Phases: 10: US 301 \& Old Summit Bridge Rd

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\rangle\) & & & 7 & & 4 & & \(\uparrow\) & 7 & \(\downarrow\) & \(\downarrow\) & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline Lane Group & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Permitted Phases & & & & & & 4 & & & 6 & & & 2 \\
\hline Detector Phase & 3 & 3 & & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 6 & 1 & 6 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Switch Phase} \\
\hline Minimum Initial (s) & 5.0 & 5.0 & & 10.0 & 10.0 & 10.0 & 5.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 & 5.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 \\
\hline Minimum Split (s) & 13.0 & 13.0 & & 17.0 & 17.0 & 17.0 & 12.0 & 29.0 & 29.0 & 12.0 & 29.0 & 29.0 \\
\hline Total Split (s) & 20.0 & 20.0 & & 22.0 & 22.0 & 22.0 & 13.0 & 30.0 & 35.0 & 18.0 & 35.0 & 30.0 \\
\hline Total Split (\%) & 22.2\% & 22.2\% & & 24.4\% & 24.4\% & 24.4\% & 14.4\% & 33.3\% & 38.9\% & 20.0\% & 38.9\% & 33.3\% \\
\hline Maximum Green (s) & 13.0 & 13.0 & & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 7.0 & 22.0 & 27.0 & 12.0 & 27.0 & 22.0 \\
\hline Yellow Time (s) & 5.0 & 5.0 & & 4.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline All-Red Time (s) & 2.0 & 2.0 & & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 2.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 \\
\hline Lost Time Adjust (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Lost Time (s) & 7.0 & 7.0 & & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 8.0 & 8.0 & 6.0 & 8.0 & 8.0 \\
\hline Lead/Lag & Lead & Lead & & Lag & Lag & Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag \\
\hline Lead-Lag Optimize? & Yes & Yes & & Yes & Yes & Yes & & & & & & \\
\hline Vehicle Extension (s) & 4.0 & 4.0 & & 4.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline Recall Mode & None & None & & None & None & None & None & C-Min & C-Min & None & C-Min & C-Min \\
\hline Act Effct Green (s) & 11.3 & 11.3 & & 15.0 & 15.0 & 15.0 & 6.8 & 23.8 & 40.1 & 12.9 & 40.1 & 23.8 \\
\hline Actuated g/C Ratio & 0.13 & 0.13 & & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.08 & 0.26 & 0.45 & 0.14 & 0.45 & 0.26 \\
\hline v/c Ratio & 0.20 & 0.55 & & 0.60 & 0.55 & 0.56 & 0.10 & 0.76 & 0.24 & 0.81 & 0.53 & 0.13 \\
\hline Control Delay & 36.8 & 44.0 & & 40.0 & 41.3 & 9.4 & 40.3 & 37.8 & 3.2 & 52.1 & 21.5 & 0.5 \\
\hline Queue Delay & 0.0 & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Delay & 36.8 & 44.0 & & 40.0 & 41.3 & 9.4 & 40.3 & 37.8 & 3.2 & 52.1 & 21.5 & 0.5 \\
\hline LOS & D & D & & D & D & A & D & D & A & D & C & A \\
\hline Approach Delay & & 42.2 & & & 29.3 & & & 31.1 & & & 29.9 & \\
\hline Approach LOS & & D & & & C & & & C & & & C & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Intersection Summary}

\section*{Area Type: Other}

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 44 (49\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.81
Intersection Signal Delay: 30.8 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.4\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 8: US 301 \& Churchtown Rd/SR 896


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 7 & & & & , & \\
\hline Lane Group & WBL & WBR & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT \\
\hline Permitted Phases & 4 & Free & & & & \\
\hline Detector Phase & 4 & & 2 & 2 & 1 & 6 \\
\hline Switch Phase & & & & & & \\
\hline Minimum Initial (s) & 6.0 & & 15.0 & 15.0 & 8.0 & 15.0 \\
\hline Minimum Split (s) & 16.0 & & 25.0 & 25.0 & 15.0 & 25.0 \\
\hline Total Split (s) & 28.0 & & 60.0 & 60.0 & 32.0 & 92.0 \\
\hline Total Split (\%) & 23.3\% & & 50.0\% & 50.0\% & 26.7\% & 76.7\% \\
\hline Maximum Green (s) & 19.0 & & 51.0 & 51.0 & 26.0 & 83.0 \\
\hline Yellow Time (s) & 5.0 & & 5.0 & 5.0 & 4.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline All-Red Time (s) & 4.0 & & 4.0 & 4.0 & 2.0 & 4.0 \\
\hline Lost Time Adjust (s) & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Lost Time (s) & 9.0 & & 9.0 & 9.0 & 6.0 & 9.0 \\
\hline Lead/Lag & & & Lead & Lead & Lag & \\
\hline Lead-Lag Optimize? & & & Yes & Yes & & \\
\hline Vehicle Extension (s) & 4.0 & & 7.0 & 7.0 & 4.0 & 7.0 \\
\hline Recall Mode & None & & C-Min & C-Min & None & C-Min \\
\hline Act Effct Green (s) & 18.2 & 120.0 & 52.1 & 52.1 & 25.7 & 83.8 \\
\hline Actuated g/C Ratio & 0.15 & 1.00 & 0.43 & 0.43 & 0.21 & 0.70 \\
\hline v/c Ratio & 0.83 & 0.16 & 0.77 & 0.36 & 0.91 & 0.64 \\
\hline Control Delay & 74.2 & 0.2 & 37.6 & 25.1 & 56.9 & 6.0 \\
\hline Queue Delay & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Delay & 74.2 & 0.2 & 37.6 & 25.1 & 56.9 & 6.0 \\
\hline LOS & E & A & D & C & E & A \\
\hline Approach Delay & 35.1 & & 33.8 & & & 21.7 \\
\hline Approach LOS & D & & C & & & C \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Area Type: Other} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Cycle Length: 120} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Actuated Cycle Length: 120} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Offset: 86 (72\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Natural Cycle: 90} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Intersection Signal Delay: 28.5} & & ersectio & LOS: C \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.3\%} & & Level & Service D \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min) 15} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Splits and Phases: \(\quad 30\) : US 301 \& SR 71

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & & & 7 & & 4 & 4 & \(\dagger\) & \(p\) & \% & \(\dagger\) & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline Lane Group & EBL & EBT & EBR & WBL & WBT & WBR & NBL & NBT & NBR & SBL & SBT & SBR \\
\hline Permitted Phases & & & 8 & & & 4 & & & 2 & & & 6 \\
\hline Detector Phase & 3 & 8 & 8 & 7 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Switch Phase} \\
\hline Minimum Initial (s) & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 15.0 & 15.0 & 5.0 & 15.0 & 15.0 \\
\hline Minimum Split (s) & 11.0 & 12.0 & 12.0 & 11.0 & 12.0 & 12.0 & 11.0 & 23.0 & 23.0 & 11.0 & 23.0 & 23.0 \\
\hline Total Split (s) & 20.0 & 20.0 & 20.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 & 25.0 & 15.0 & 35.0 & 35.0 & 20.0 & 40.0 & 40.0 \\
\hline Total Split (\%) & 20.0\% & 20.0\% & 20.0\% & 25.0\% & 25.0\% & 25.0\% & 15.0\% & 35.0\% & 35.0\% & 20.0\% & 40.0\% & 40.0\% \\
\hline Maximum Green (s) & 15.0 & 14.0 & 14.0 & 20.0 & 19.0 & 19.0 & 10.0 & 28.0 & 28.0 & 15.0 & 33.0 & 33.0 \\
\hline Yellow Time (s) & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 & 3.0 & 5.0 & 5.0 \\
\hline All-Red Time (s) & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\
\hline Lost Time Adjust (s) & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Lost Time (s) & 5.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 5.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 5.0 & 7.0 & 7.0 & 5.0 & 7.0 & 7.0 \\
\hline Lead/Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag & Lead & Lag & Lag \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Lead-Lag Optimize?} \\
\hline Vehicle Extension (s) & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 & 4.0 \\
\hline Recall Mode & None & None & None & None & None & None & None & C-Min & C-Min & None & C-Min & C-Min \\
\hline Act Effct Green (s) & 8.4 & 12.0 & 12.0 & 15.8 & 21.7 & 21.7 & 9.1 & 34.4 & 34.4 & 14.8 & 42.3 & 42.3 \\
\hline Actuated g/C Ratio & 0.08 & 0.12 & 0.12 & 0.16 & 0.22 & 0.22 & 0.09 & 0.34 & 0.34 & 0.15 & 0.42 & 0.42 \\
\hline v/c Ratio & 0.36 & 0.50 & 0.34 & 0.67 & 0.25 & 0.10 & 0.50 & 0.62 & 0.37 & 0.73 & 0.43 & 0.02 \\
\hline Control Delay & 46.6 & 45.1 & 5.4 & 45.8 & 33.5 & 0.4 & 53.7 & 32.0 & 5.2 & 58.3 & 23.8 & 0.1 \\
\hline Queue Delay & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline Total Delay & 46.6 & 45.1 & 5.4 & 45.8 & 33.5 & 0.4 & 53.7 & 32.0 & 5.2 & 58.3 & 23.8 & 0.1 \\
\hline LOS & D & D & A & D & C & A & D & C & A & E & C & A \\
\hline Approach Delay & & 35.1 & & & 38.5 & & & 26.7 & & & 31.2 & \\
\hline Approach LOS & & D & & & D & & & C & & & C & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Intersection Summary} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Area Type: Other} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Cycle Length: 100} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Actuated Cycle Length: 100} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Offset: 62 (62\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Natural Cycle: 60} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Intersection Signal Delay: 31.7} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Intersection LOS: C} & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.1\%} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ICU Level of Service B} & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Analysis Period (min) 15} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Splits and Phases: 2: US 301 \& Bunker Hill Rd/SR 299} \\
\hline \[
\square_{\emptyset 1}
\] & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\[
\mathrm{Y}_{02(R)}
\]} & \[
{ }^{4}
\] & & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\(\square 4\)} \\
\hline 20 s & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{35 s} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{20 s} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{25 s} & \\
\hline \[
\psi_{\varnothing 5}
\] & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\[
\approx(R)
\]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\(\bigcirc 67\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(\rightarrow \square 88\)} \\
\hline 15 s & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{40 s} & & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{25 s} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{20 s} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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