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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The US 301 Spur Road, the subject of this traffic monitoring report, is part of Delaware Department of Transportation's (DeIDOT's) US 301 Project (see Figure 1). In November 2007, after nearly four decades of study, a preferred alternative was selected, as described in the US 301 Final Environmental Impact Statement. The Federal Highway Administration subsequently approved the Record of Decision on April 30, 2008 which authorized DeIDOT to begin final design on the preferred alternative, known as the "Green North + Spur" alternative. In January 2010, the $145^{\text {th }}$ General Assembly of Delaware passed House Resolution No. 35 directing DeIDOT to "sit down over the next 6 weeks to develop and negotiate to final resolution a bill to amend the existing epilogue language, with such bill mandating certain trigger mechanisms for the Spur Road." As a result of that coordination the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program was developed to monitor growth in traffic and land use development, and to evaluate the operational characteristics of key roads and intersections. This monitoring program will provide decision makers with data to make an informed decision on the appropriate timing for the construction of the US 301 Spur Road.

The monitoring program consists of the annual collection and analysis of daily traffic volumes on select roadways, peak period intersection volumes, vehicular delay at unsignalized intersections, crash data, and land use development data. Each year, the data will be analyzed and compared with data and results from prior years. This report represents a summary of the third year of the monitoring program based on data collected in 2012. This report compares the newly collected data with the data collected and summarized in 2010 and 2011, the first two years of the monitoring program. The key findings and data in the report are summarized below:

## Land Development:

- There were approximately 18,000 new housing units in various stages of planning in the study area at the end of 2012. This represents an increase of 2,350 units ( $15 \%$ ) compared to 2011. New Castle County has approved approximately 9,900 of the 18,000 housing units, of which approximately 1,590 units ( $16 \%$ ) were constructed by the end of 2012 . The 9,900 units approved by New Castle County in 2012 represented an increase of 50 units (1\%) and 1,590 units constructed in 2012 represents an increase of 330 units (35\%), compared to 2011. It should be noted that the number of units constructed in 2011 was updated in this report due as a result of some inconsistencies that were recently found in the data provided by WILMAPCO in 2011. The remaining 7,200 of the 18,000 new housing units, including approximately 230 units in Cecil County, MD, are part of developments which are still in the earlier planning stages (pending approval). This represents an increase of 2,200 units compared to 2011, attributable partly to an increase in the number of new applications submitted as well as a shift in the number of units that had previously been planned but were subsequently approved and completed. Lastly, approximately 990 more housing units were proposed in developments in New Castle County for which approval had expired by the end of 2012. This represents an increase of 100 expired housing units, compared to 2011
- Of the developments described above, there are sixteen (16) residential developments in various stages of completion within the Town of Middletown. Seven of these developments were essentially complete by the end of 2007, with an eighth (Middletown Village) essentially completed by the end of 2010 and ninth (Willow Grove Mill) essentially completed by the end of 2012. There were 124 new housing units completed between 2011 and 2012. The 16
developments total 7,728 housing units, including approximately 4,100 single-family detached homes, 500 duplexes, 1,900 townhouses, and 1,200 apartments / condos.
- A total of 2,179 of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown were constructed by the end of 2007, 2,951 were constructed by the end of 2010, 3,008 had been constructed by the end of 2011 and 3,132 of the proposed 7,728 housing units were constructed by the end of 2012. This represents an increase of 953 housing units over the five year period between 2007 and 2012, and includes 124 new units completed between 2011 and 2012.
- The ongoing commercial development within the study area consists of various uses, including office space, retail, and light industrial development (including warehouse space). The commercial developments were divided into Approved and Pending (Exploratory) categories. By the end of 2012, developers had submitted plans that are currently either approved or pending for over 12.3 million square feet of non-residential space in southern New Castle County, which included a new 1.25 million SF Amazon.com distribution center. The distribution center was approved on January 9, 2012 and became operational on October 10, 2012. This represents an increase of 4.2 million square feet (52\%) of approved or pending commercial development, compared to 2011. Physically, 12.3 million SF non-residential space represent approximately 8.8 million SF of approved development (compared to 5.8 million SF in 2011) with another 2.5 million SF in pending approval (compared to 2.3 million in 2011). Of the 8.8 million SF of approved development, at least 4.0 million SF ( $45 \%$ ) has been constructed by the end of 2012.


## Traffic:

- Roadway volumes at seven (7) locations are being monitored and recorded annually.
- Five (5) signalized intersections along the existing US301 Corridor between the Summit Bridge and SR 299 are counted and analyzed annually to monitor the change (degradation or improvement) in operation of each intersection. The following trends were observed in 2010, 2011, and 2012:
o US 301 at Old Summit Bridge Road: The intersection operated at LOS A during both the AM and the PM peak hours for 2010, 2011, and 2012.
o US 301 at SR 896: The intersection operated at LOS C during both the AM and the PM peak hours for 2010, 2011, and 2012.
o US 301 at Armstrong Corner Road / Marl Pit Road: The intersection operated at LOS C during both the AM and PM peak hours in 2010 and 2012; however, the intersection operated at LOS D during both the AM and PM peak hours in 2011. The increase in delay in 2011 may have been attributable to a temporary closure of Cedar Lane Road (for bridge maintenance and repair) at the time the intersection turning movement count was taken.
o US 301 at SR 71: The intersection operated at LOS C during the AM peak hour and LOS D during the PM peak hour for 2010, 2011, and 2012.
o US 301 at SR 299: The intersection operated at LOS D during both the AM and PM peak hours for 2010, 2011, and 2012.
- Three (3) unsignalized intersections are counted and analyzed annually to monitor the change (degradation or improvement) in operation of each intersection and the following trends were observed in 2010, 2011, and 2012:
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o There were relatively minimal changes in delay at the intersection of US 301 and Old School House Road.
o Delay increased significantly (by 21 seconds per vehicle) to nearly a minute of delay per vehicle at the intersection of US 301 and Keenan Auto Body in 2011. In 2012, the delay decreased back down to the 2010 level ( 37 seconds per vehicle).
o Delay increased slightly at the intersection of Choptank Road and Clayton Manor Drive (by 4 seconds per vehicle); however, the average delay per vehicle remains fairly low at 14 seconds per vehicle.

## Highway Safety:

- Average Accident Rates were calculated for eight (8) roadway segments in the vicinity of the US301 Corridor to provide a relative measure of comparison to the Statewide and New Castle County average crash rates. DeIDOT Safety Section provided the Statewide and New Castle County Average Crash Rates for 2010 and 2011. It should be noted that the Statewide and New Castle County Average Crash Rates for 2010 and 2011 were updated due to a new crash reporting methodology adopted by DeIDOT Safety Section in 2012. The change in crash reporting methodology retroactively changed the crash rates for 2010 and 2011. According to the comparison, six (6) of the eight roadway segments being monitored had higher crash rates than the Statewide and New Castle County Average Rate in 2010. In 2011, just two (2) of the eight roadway segments being monitored had higher crash rates than the Statewide and New Castle County Average Rates.
- It should be noted that the DeIDOT Safety Section was not able to provide 2012 Statewide and New Castle County Crash Rates. This information will be updated in future reports when the data becomes available.
- In general, the number of crashes has decreased between 2010 and 2012 at most of the locations being monitored. The exceptions were the curve between Summit Bridge and Bethel Church Road, where the number of crashes increased from 2 to 4, and Choptank Road between Bethel Church Road and Bunker Hill Road, where the number of crashes increased from 8 to 10.
- Roadway segments in the project area that are reported by DeIDOT's Hazard Elimination Program (HEP) and High Risk rural Roads Program (HRRRP) will be monitored each year during construction of the mainline US 301 Project..


## Incident Management:

- DelDOT has been tracking the number of significant incidents that occur each year on several key roads in the Middletown region south of the C\&D Canal, and on SR 1 between the Roth Bridge and I-95. Specifically, the monitoring program identifies any incidents that resulted in detours that could have been accommodated more safely and efficiently on the Spur Road rather than on the local road network.
- Since 2004, there have been 68 incidents that have resulted in 190 or more hours of detours that could have utilized the Spur Road as an alternate detour route.


## Construction Projects:

- DeIDOT and the Town of Middletown will likely have several other active maintenance and construction projects occurring at various times during the duration of the US 301 Spur Monitoring Program that could affect the traffic data being collected. DeIDOT identified five (5) active construction projects in the US 301 project area in 2012. Although the SR 1 / I-95 Interchange project is not located in the vicinity of the US301 project area, it should be mentioned due to its significant traffic impacts to SR 1 in New Castle County. As part of the monitoring program, DeIDOT will continue to monitor all active roadway construction projects in the US 301 project area from south of Middletown to approximately the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal.
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## INTRODUCTION

The US301 Spur Road, the subject of this traffic monitoring report, is part of Delaware Department of Transportation's (DeIDOT's) US 301 Project (see Figure 1). US 301 is a 1,100 mile interstate route stretching between Sarasota, Florida and New Castle County, Delaware. The tolls and congestion on I95 combined with the comparatively low traffic volumes on US 301, have made US 301 an attractive alternative route for vehicles, including trucks, traveling between Washington D.C. and Wilmington, Delaware. DeIDOT has been studying the US 301 corridor since the 1960's. The need for improved capacity and safety has been heightened over the past two decades by the rapid pace of development throughout the Middletown-Odessa-Townsend (MOT) area and the resulting transformation of southern New Castle County from rural farmland to growing suburbia.

In November 2007, after nearly four decades of study, a preferred alternative was selected, as described in the US 301 Final Environmental Impact Statement. The Federal Highway Administration subsequently approved the Record of Decision on April 30, 2008 which authorized DeIDOT to begin final design on the preferred alternative, known as the "Green North + Spur" alternative. In January 2010, the $145^{\text {th }}$ General Assembly of Delaware passed House Resolution No. 35 directing DelDOT to "sit down over the next 6 weeks to develop and negotiate to final resolution a bill to amend the existing epilogue language, with such bill mandating certain trigger mechanisms for the Spur Road." As a result of that coordination the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program was developed to monitor growth in traffic and land use development, and to evaluate the operational characteristics of key roads and intersections. This monitoring program will provide decision makers with data to make an informed decision on the appropriate timing for the construction of the US 301 Spur Road.

This report represents a summary of the third year of the monitoring program based on data collected in 2012. This report compares the newly collected data with the data collected and summarized in 2010 and 2011, the first two years of the monitoring program. The 2012 report also serves as a basis for comparison with data collected in future years.

## US 301 Project History

In the mid-1960's, recognition of the regional significance of the US 301 corridor led DeIDOT to investigate opportunities to improve mobility in the corridor. An earlier study resulted in the location selection and subsequent construction of the existing Summit Bridge by the US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) in the 1950's. Since that time, southern New Castle County has been transformed from a rural and largely agricultural area to a suburban residential area for commuters employed in Newark, Wilmington, Philadelphia, and throughout the I-95 corridor in Delaware, northern Maryland, southern Pennsylvania, and Southern New Jersey. The Levels, southwest of Middletown, once known as Delaware's most productive agricultural area, is currently evolving into the Westown community of Middletown, and job growth is expanding with a full range of commercial and professional employers supporting the influx of new residents in southern New Castle County. As southern New Castle County continued to develop, the solution to improving mobility in the growing region remained elusive.

In 2004, a new phase of the US 301 project planning effort was initiated, which was focused on addressing the safety and mobility needs of the region with consideration of the findings of a prior study conducted in 2000, the Greater Route 301 Major Investment Study. A traffic survey conducted in October 2004 showed that approximately sixty-five percent (65\%) of all northbound traffic originating south of the C\&D Canal is destined for the northeast to Wilmington, Philadelphia, New Jersey, and points beyond. Thirty-Five percent (35\%) of the traffic has destinations to the north towards Newark and Pennsylvania. However, the traffic survey, which asked motorists to document their actual travel routes, showed that despite the majority of northbound destinations being to the northeast, approximately sixty percent (60\%) of motorists currently continue north on US 301/SR 896 and then east on I-95, rather than using a more direct east-west route south of the canal.

With careful consideration of the local and regional travel patterns, projected land use growth of the region, a wide range of other social and environmental resources, and significant public input (5 rounds of public workshops and more than 100 community meetings with concerned parties), DeIDOT performed a detailed evaluation of several alternatives, including a no-build option and a variety of capacity improvement options. Those efforts resulted in the publication of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and a recommended alternative in November 2006. One year later, in November 2007, after nearly four decades of study, a preferred alternative was selected, as described in the US 301 Project Development Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). The Federal Highway Administration subsequently approved the Record of Decision on April 30, 2008 which authorized DeIDOT to begin final design on the preferred alternative, known as the "Green North + Spur" alternative.

## Monitoring Program

In January 2010, the $145^{\text {th }}$ General Assembly of Delaware passed House Resolution No. 35 directing DeIDOT to "sit down over the next 6 weeks to develop and negotiate to final resolution a bill to amend the existing epilogue language, with such bill mandating certain trigger mechanisms for the Spur Road." As a result of that coordination the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program was developed to monitor growth in traffic and land use development, and to evaluate the operational characteristics of key roads and intersections. This monitoring program will provide decision makers with data to make an informed decision on the appropriate timing for the construction of the US 301 Spur Road.

The US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program consists of three (3) primary components: an Annual Monitoring Program, Public Involvement and the publication of an Annual Summary Report.

## Annual Monitoring Program

The US 301 Monitoring Program was created to monitor transportation and land use growth patterns before, during and after construction of the US 301 Mainline Project, as applicable. The monitoring program consists of the annual collection and analysis of daily traffic volumes on select roadways, peak period intersection volumes, vehicular delay at unsignalized intersections, crash data, and land use development data. Each year, the data will be analyzed and compared with data and results from prior years.


## Public Involvement

Public involvement has been and continues to be an important part of the US 301 Project. For the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program, the annual report will be made publicly available each year, and the updates on the Monitoring Program will be presented annually at a WILMAPCO public meeting. Public Involvement will also be solicited at key decision making points, such as the Secretary of Transportation's decision to recommend that construction of the US 301 Spur Road should begin.

The US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program was presented at the FY2012 - FY2015 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Public Workshop on February 28, 2011 at WILMAPCO, attended by DeIDOT staff. The Spur Monitoring Program information was summarized on a large display board that provided an overview of the program including the goals and purpose, and details on the initial data collected on Land Development, Safety, and Traffic.

The most recent US 301 Public Workshop was held on September 6, 2011 to present updates to the US 301 Project, including the US 301 Spur Road. Information on the workshop can be found on the project web site: www.us301.deldot.gov.

A WILMAPCO Public Workshop was not held in February 2012 nor 2013; therefore, there was not an efficient opportunity to present the key findings of the Spur Road Monitoring Program in 2011 or 2012, with the exception of the planned development in the Middletown area, which has continued to increase each year since the monitoring program began. It should be noted that there was very little change in the data and findings between 2010 and 2012. Determination of public involvement in the future years of the monitoring program will be made on a year to year basis, based upon the magnitude of changes found in each area of the monitoring program. This year's report, as well as the reports from previous years are available on the DeIDOT web site.

## Annual Report

This report contains a summary of the most recent data collected and analyzed as part of the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program. These reports will continue to be developed on an annual basis before, during and after the construction of the US 301 mainline. DeIDOT will present these reports to the General Assembly in April of each year. The reports will provide decision makers, including the Secretary of Transportation, data to make an informed decision on the appropriate timing for the construction of the Spur Road.

## MONITORING PROGRAM

## Land Development

The explosive growth in housing and retail in southern New Castle County over the past 10 to 15 years has led to increasing congestion on the local road network, including US 301, SR 299, and SR 896. A number of new residential and retail developments have been completed and many others are in varying stages of construction or planning. As these other planned developments come on line, additional demands will be placed on the transportation infrastructure in the Middletown area.

The Town of Middletown approved the final plans for a proposed Amazon.com distribution center on January 9, 2012. The 1.25 million SF distribution center was constructed within the parcel immediately south of the intersection of US 301 and Merrimac Avenue and a fourth leg was added to the intersection to provide access. The new distribution center became operational on October 10, 2012. It is anticipated that the new distribution center will add more than 850 full-time jobs as well as 2,000 seasonal jobs for up to three months twice a year at its full capacity.

Development activity in New Castle County is monitored by the New Castle County Department of Land Use, the Wilmington Area Planning Council (WILMAPCO), and DeIDOT. Development activity in Middletown is monitored by the Town of Middletown, WILMAPCO, and DeIDOT. WILMAPCO is also tasked with developing short and long-term land-use projections for New Castle County. These projections are constrained on a statewide and countywide basis by the population and employment forecasts provided by the Delaware Population Consortium. WILMAPCO is responsible for projecting how much of that growth will occur in different parts of the county. The primary geographic unit for these projections is the Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ).

DeIDOT and WILMAPCO have committed to tracking the land development activities in a portion of southern New Castle County and an adjoining portion of Cecil County, Maryland as part of this Monitoring Report. The specific area where development will be tracked annually is depicted in Figure 2. This area represents a total of 34 TAZs in Southern New Castle County and two (2) TAZs in Cecil County, Maryland. Development activity will be monitored in these areas for the length of the project to determine when the surrounding roadway infrastructure may need to be improved based on past, present and near-term development trends.

## Summary of Development Activity in Southern New Castle (DE) and Cecil (MD) Counties

WILMAPCO took the lead in coordinating with the various jurisdictions and compiling the land use data for this report. In 2012, a total of sixty-two (62) ongoing commercial and residential developments were in various stages of the planning or building process within the study areas of southern New Castle and Cecil Counties. Fifty (50) of these developments are located in southern New Castle County and twelve (12) developments are located in Cecil County, Maryland. For each development, a description of the development proposal, the current status of the development in the planning process, and what portions (if any) were constructed by the end of 2012 were provided. A full list of the developments can be found in Appendix A. The residential developments range from small subdivision developments with less than 10 homes to major developments with over 1,800 household units planned. The proposed commercial developments range from smaller properties with 5,000 to $25,000 \mathrm{SF}$ to the major commercial centers, such as the 1.7 million SF Scott Run Business Park and

1.25 million SF Amazon.com distribution center. A number of proposals call for mixed-use development, combining residential and commercial activities at one site.

## Residential Development Summary

The ongoing residential development within the study area consists of a variety of housing types, including single-family detached dwellings, townhomes, and apartments. The various residential developments were classified in differing stages of completion: Built, Approved but unbuilt, or Pending (includes Exploratory and Expired Proposals). Figure 3 depicts the number of housing units built, approved but unbuilt, and pending at the end of 2010, 2011 and 2012.


Figure 3: Residential Development in Study Area
As shown in Figure 3, there were approximately 18,000 new housing units in various stages of planning in the study area at the end of 2012. This represents an increase of 2,350 units ( $15 \%$ ) compared to 2011. New Castle County has approved approximately 9,900 of the 18,000 housing units, of which approximately 1,590 units (16\%) were constructed by the end of 2012. The 9,900 units approved by New Castle County in 2012 represented an increase of 50 units ( $1 \%$ ) and 1,590 units constructed in 2012 represents an increase of 330 units ( $35 \%$ ), compared to 2011. It should be noted that the number of units constructed in 2011 was updated in this report due as a result of some inconsistencies that were recently found in the data provided by WILMAPCP in 2011. The remaining 7,200 of the 18,000 new housing units, including approximately 230 units in Cecil County, MD, are part of developments which are still in the earlier planning stages (pending approval). This represents an increase of 2,200 units compared to 2011, attributable partly to an increase in the number of new applications submitted as well as a shift in the number of units that had previously been planned but were
subsequently approved and completed. Lastly, approximately 990 more housing units were proposed in developments in New Castle County for which approval had expired by the end of 2012. This represents an increase of 100 expired housing units, compared to 2011.

Snapshot - Residential Construction in the Town of Middletown: Of the developments described above, there are sixteen (16) residential developments in various stages of completion within the Town of Middletown. Seven of these developments were essentially completed by the end of 2007, with an eighth (Middletown Village) essentially completed by the end of 2010 and ninth (Willow Grove Mill) essentially completed by the end of 2012. There were 124 new housing units completed between 2011 and 2012. The 16 developments include a total of 7,728 housing units, including approximately 4,100 singlefamily detached homes, 500 duplexes, 1,900 townhouses, and 1,200 apartments / condos. WILMAPCO was able to provide data on the number of units built within each of these residential developments between 2007 and 2012:

- By the end of 2007, a total of 2,179 (28\%) of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- By the end of 2010, a total of 2,951 ( $38 \%$ ) of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- By the end of 2011, a total of 3,008 (39\%) of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- By the end of 2012, a total of 3,132 ( $41 \%$ ) of the proposed 7,728 housing units within the Town of Middletown had been constructed.
- This represents an increase of 953 housing units over the five (5) year period between 2007 and 2012 and includes 124 new units completed between 2011 and 2012.

Appendix B lists respectively the number of apartments, duplexes, townhouses, and single family homes that have been built and remain to be built in the Town of Middletown.

## Commercial (Non-Residential) Development

The ongoing commercial development within the study area consists of various uses, including office space, retail, and light industrial development (including warehouse space). The commercial developments were divided into Approved and Pending (Exploratory) categories. By the end of 2012, developers had submitted plans that are currently either approved or pending for over 12.3 million square feet of non-residential space in southern New Castle County, which included a new 1.25 million SF Amazon.com distribution center. The distribution center was approved on January 9, 2012 and became operational on October 10, 2012. This represents an increase of 4.2 million square feet (52\%) of approved or pending commercial development, compared to 2011. Physically, 12.3 million SF non-residential space represent approximately 8.8 million SF of approved development (compared to 5.8 million SF in 2011) with another 2.5 million SF in pending approval (compared to 2.3 million in 2011). Of the 8.8 million SF of approved development, at least 4.0 million SF ( $45 \%$ ) has been constructed by the end of 2012.

Currently, no non-residential developments are proposed in the two (2) TAZs in Cecil County that are included in the study area. Figure 4 depicts and approved and pending commercial development in the study area.


Figure 4: Non-Residential Development in Study Area

## Traffic

Traffic is an important part of the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program. The US 301 project team will gather a variety of traffic data annually on key roads within the project corridor to determine the current level of traffic on these roads and to track growth trends throughout the region. Specifically, the following traffic data is being collected each year: mainline roadway volume counts, intersection turning movement counts, and vehicular delays at unsignalized intersections. The data collected in 2010 serves as the base year data for the US 301 Spur Road Monitoring Program. Intersection turning movement counts and mainline volume counts are being be performed at each location shown in Figure 5 every year during the construction of the new US 301 alignment from the MD/DE state line to SR 1. This annual traffic monitoring will show how traffic volumes change over time as new development continues to occur.

## Roadway Volumes

Mainline volume counts were collected along six (6) key roadways within the US 301 project area during October 2010, 2011, and 2012 (see Figure 5). Automatic traffic recording equipment, commonly called "tube counters", were used to record the volume and classification of vehicles that pass over the equipment in each direction. This data is used to determine the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) and percentage of trucks travelling on each roadway segment (see Tables 1 and 2). Daily traffic volumes have increased modestly at all locations studied between 2010 and 2012. The two locations with the largest increase were Choptank Road, north of Churchtown Road (a $21 \%$ increase) and on US 13 at St. Georges Bridge (a $15 \%$ increase).

It should be noted that SR 9 was closed at Reedy Point Bridge between October 8, 2012 and October 26, 2012 due to bridge repair work. Bridge closure detoured vehicles to St.
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Georges Bridge and resulted in higher daily traffic volume and truck volumes for US 13 at St. Georges Bridge.

## US 301 Spur Road
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Table 1:
Average Daily Traffic for Select Roadway Segments along US 301

| Roadway Link | 2010 <br> ADT* | 2011 <br> ADT | 2012 <br> ADT | 2013 <br> ADT | 2014 <br> ADT | 2015 <br> ADT | 2016 <br> ADT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summit Bridge (US 301) | 27,660 | 32,360 | 29,260 |  |  |  |  |
| Choptank Rd, <br> North of Churchtown Rd | 3,990 | 4,090 | 4,810 |  |  |  |  |
| SR 1 at Roth Bridge | 73,690 | 78,740 | 74,900 |  |  |  |  |
| US 13 at St. Georges Bridge | 10,600 | 9,070 | 12,190 |  |  |  |  |
| US 301/SR 896, <br> North of Mt. Pleasant | 23,450 | 23,810 | 24,750 |  |  |  |  |
| US 301, between Armstrong Corner Rd <br> and Mt. Pleasant | 21,830 | 22,460 | 22,710 |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 Bypass | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |

*Data was collected for a seven (7) day period in October / November 2010, 2011, and 2012. Seasonal Adjustments were not made to these volumes because: a) October/November volumes are typically representative of the annual average volumes, and b) because volumes will be collected during the same months in subsequent years.


Figure 6: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for Summit Bridge (US 301)


Figure 7: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for Choptank Rd, North of Churchtown Rd
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Figure 8: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for Roth Bridge (SR 1)

2030 EIS Forecast: 19,600 2030 "Without Spur" Forecast: 19,700


Figure 9: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for St. George's Bridge (US 13)


Figure 10: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for Existing US 301 North of Mt. Pleasant

2030 EIS Forecast: 21,300
2030 "Without Spur" Forecast: 27,900


Figure 11: Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for Existing US 301, between Armstrong Corner Rd and Mt. Pleasant
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Table 2: Average Daily Truck Volume and Average Daily Truck Percentage* on Select Roadway Segments along US 301

|  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Link | $\begin{gathered} \text { © } \\ \frac{5}{5} \\ \hline 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{9}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{1} \\ & \text { o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & > \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \text { i- } \\ & \text { o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \frac{\text { E }}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{c} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{2}{4} \\ & \text { o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| US 301 at Summit Bridge | 2,210 | 8 | 3,100 | 10 | 2,370 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Choptank Rd, <br> North of Churchtown Rd | 490 | 12 | 560 | 14 | 370 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 1 at Roth Bridge | 7,860 | 11 | 9,020 | 11 | 7,840 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 13 at St. Georges Bridge | 570 | 5 | 440 | 5 | 1,165 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 / SR 896, North of Mt. Pleasant | 1,970 | 8 | 1,840 | 8 | 2,300 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301, between Armstrong Corner Rd and Mt. Pleasant | 2,910 | 13 | 3,000 | 13 | 3,075 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 Bypass | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Trucks include FHWA Class 5-13, representing all trucks larger than and including two-axle single unit trucks, such as UPS delivery trucks and DART Paratransit buses.

## Signalized Intersections

Peak period turning movement counts are being collected on an annual basis at five (5) key signalized intersections in the project area. These five (5) locations, which are all located along the existing US 301 Corridor between Middletown (SR 299) and the Summit Bridge, will be analyzed annually to monitor the change (degradation or improvement) in operation of each intersection. The five (5) locations, summarized in Figure 5, and Table 3, are the signalized intersections of existing US 301 / SR 896 at Old Summit Bridge Road, Boyds Corner Road, Armstrong Corner Road, North Broad Street, and Bunker Hill Road. Peak hour turning movement counts were performed at these intersections during October 2012. This data was used to create a model of the corridor using Synchro (Version 8), a macroscopic traffic analysis software application used to evaluate the operational performance characteristics of signalized and unsignalized intersections. The results of these analyses are summarized in Table 3 and Figures 12 and 13.

For this monitoring report, the operational performance of signalized intersections is presented in terms of average delay per vehicle and a corresponding letter grade, typically referred to as "Level of Service" (LOS). Level of Service "A" (delay $\leq 10 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{vehicle}$ ) represents the best possible operating conditions, whereas LOS " $F$ " (delay $>80 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) represents congested conditions corresponding with traffic that has reached or exceeded available
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intersection capacity, resulting in relatively high average delay per vehicle and higher likelihood that vehicles will take more than one signal cycle to clear the intersection.

The results of the 2010, 2011, and 2012 intersection capacity analyses are summarized in Table 3 and the following trends were observed between 2010 and 2012:

- US 301 at Old Summit Bridge Road: The intersection operated at LOS A during both the AM and the PM peak hours for 2010, 2011, and 2012. No significant changes were observed.
- US 301 at SR 896: The intersection operated at LOS C during both the AM and the PM peak hours for 2010, 2011, and 2012. No significant changes were observed.
- US 301 at Armstrong Corner Road / Marl Pit Road: The intersection operated at LOS C during both the AM and the PM peak hours in 2010 and 2012; however, the intersection operated at LOS D during both the AM and the PM peak hours in 2011. The increase in delay in 2011 may have been attributable to the Cedar Lane Road closure in effect when the intersection turning movement count was performed in 2011. The closure was needed to repair the Cedar Lane Bridge, which is located on Cedar Lane Road south of SR 896 and increased traffic traveling through the intersection of US 301 and Armstrong Corner Road / Marl Pit Road.
- US 301 at SR 71: The intersection operated at LOS C during the AM peak hour and LOS D during the PM peak hour for 2010, 2011, and 2012. No significant changes were observed.
- US 301 at SR 299: The intersection operated at LOS D during both the AM and the PM peak hours for 2010, 2011, and 2012. No significant changes were observed.

Table 3:
Peak Hour LOS at Selected Signalized Intersections along US 301

| Site | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| US 301 at Old Summit Bridge Rd | A | A | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 at SR 896 | C | C | C | C | C | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 at Armstrong Corner Rd | C | C | D | D | C | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing US 301 at SR 71 | C | D | C | D | C | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing US 301 at SR 299 | D | D | D | D | D | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Figure 12: Total Delay and Corresponding Level of Service (LOS) at Select Signalized Intersections along US 301 during the AM Peak Hour


Figure 13: Total Delay and Corresponding Level of Service (LOS) at Select Signalized Intersections along US 301 during the PM Peak Hour

## Unsignalized Intersections

Delay studies were performed at the following three (3) unsignalized intersections along the existing US 301 and Choptank Road corridor:

- US 301 at Old School House Road
- US 301 at Keenan Auto Body
- Choptank Road at Clayton Manor Drive

The locations were selected to represent the typical operation of unsignalized access points along the US 301 and Choptank Road corridors, both of which are likely to be impacted by construction of the Spur Road. Similar to the signalized intersections, the operational performance of unsignalized intersections is presented in terms of average delay per vehicle and a corresponding Level of Service (LOS). For unsignalized intersections, the Level of Service thresholds are somewhat lower than the thresholds for signalized intersections, with LOS F representing conditions where vehicles experience 50 or more seconds of delay.

The number of vehicles stopping at the stop sign and the length of each stop was recorded at each of the three study intersections during the PM peak hour. The PM peak hour was selected since it represents the period that vehicles typically experience the highest level of delay making turns from minor street approaches onto US 301 and Choptank Road. The average delay per stopped vehicle was determined for each location (see Figure 14). In 2012, the average control delay was 38 seconds per vehicle (LOS E) at the intersection of US 301 and Old School House Road, 37 seconds per vehicle (LOS E) at the intersection of US 301 at Keenan Auto Body and 14 seconds per vehicle (LOS B) at the intersection of Choptank Road and Clayton Manor Drive. A comparison of the 2010, 2011, and 2012 studies is shown in Figure 14.

It should be noted that the delay at the intersection of Keenan Autobody increased significantly in 2011 (by 21 seconds per vehicle) to nearly a minute of delay per vehicle. This increase in delay may have been attributable to the Cedar Lane Road closure which was necessary to repair the bridge just north of the Marl Pit Road intersection. Following the completion of the bridge work, the delay decreased back to the 2010 level ( 37 seconds per vehicle). The delay increased slightly at the intersection of Choptank Road and Clayton Manor Drive (by 4 seconds per vehicle); however, the average delay per vehicle remained low at 14 seconds per vehicle. Lastly, the change in delay at the intersection of US 301 and Old School House Road was minimal compared to 2010 and 2011 data.
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Figure 14: Total Delay and Corresponding Level of Service (LOS) at Select Unsignalized Intersections along US 301 during the PM Peak Hour

## Highway Safety

The goal of this annual monitoring report with respect to safety is to monitor the number of crashes occurring on local roads throughout the US 301 Project Area. The number of crashes will be documented each year to determine if any road segments experience a significant increase in crashes.

The number of reported crashes occurring within each key roadway segment in 2010, 2011, and 2012 is shown in Table 4 and on Figure 15. Crash data for prior years, while available, was not included in this summary for two reasons: First, there was a considerable amount of roadway construction activity ongoing during 2007 and 2008 throughout the project area that would likely skew the crash data for those years, including long-term lane reductions and temporary closures of US 301, construction along Choptank Road, etc. Second, data will be collected each year for several years into the future, providing a basis for comparison of several years' worth of crash data, including the identification of crash trends over time.

Average Accident Rates have been calculated for each road segment to provide a relative measure of comparison of each roadway segment, factoring in traffic volumes, with other similar roads throughout Delaware and New Castle County (see Table 4). The calculated Average Accident Rates were compared to the Statewide and New Castle County crash rates for similar roadway segments of the same functional classifications. DeIDOT Safety Section provided the Statewide and New Castle County Average Crash Rates for 2010 and 2011. It should be noted that the Statewide and New Castle County Average Crash Rates for 2010 and 2011 were updated due to a new crash reporting methodology adopted by DeIDOT Safety Section in 2012. The change in
crash reporting methodology retroactively changed the crash rates for 2010 and 2011. According to the comparison, six (6) of the eight roadway segments being monitored had higher crash rates than the Statewide and New Castle County Average Rate in 2010. In 2011, just two (2) of the eight roadway segments being monitored had higher crash rates than the Statewide and New Castle County Average Rates.

It should be noted that DeIDOT Safety Section was not able to provide 2012 Statewide and New Castle County Crash Rates; therefore, those columns were left blank. They will be updated in future reports when the data becomes available.

In general, the number of crashes has decreased between 2010 and 2012 at most of the locations being monitored. The exceptions were the curve between Summit Bridge and Bethel Church Road, where the number of crashes increased from 2 to 4, Choptank Road between Bethel Church Road and Bunker Hill Road, where the number of crashes increased from 8 to 10.

Additional detail for these crashes, including the specific location, type and severity of each crash are summarized in Appendix C.
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Table 4:
Average Accident Rate for Road Type (AART) (Accidents/ Million Vehicle Miles Traveled)

| Site | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 between Summit Bridge and SR 896 (Boyds Corner Rd) | 32 | 1.44 | 0.75 | 0.55 | 21 | 0.93 | 0.74 | 0.53 | 21 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The "curve" between Summit Bridge and Bethel Church Rd | 2 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The intersection of US 301 and Bethel Church Rd | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 between SR 896 and Peterson Rd | 50 | 1.78 | 1.27 | 1.35 | 27 | 0.94 | 1.40 | 1.42 | 42 | 1.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 between Peterson Rd and Levels Rd | 22 | 3.06 | 3.43 | 3.78 | 16 | 2.18 | 3.41 | 3.81 | 22 | 2.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 301 between Levels Rd and DE / MD State Line | 19 | 1.42 | 1.27 | 1.35 | 13 | 0.95 | 1.40 | 1.42 | 10 | 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bethel Church Rd between US 301and Choptank Rd | 6 | 6.05 | 2.10 | 2.91 | 2 | 1.30 | 2.08 | 2.80 | 3 | 2.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Choptank Rd between Bethel Church Rd and Bunker Hill Rd | 8 | 3.32 | 2.10 | 2.91 | 5 | 0.86 | 2.08 | 2.80 | 10 | 1.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bunker Hill Rd between Choptank Rd and US 301 | 5 | 8.83 | 2.10 | 2.91 | 7 | 12.97 | 2.08 | 2.80 | 4 | 4.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 1 between Roth Bridge and US 13 / SR 1 Split (Tybouts Corner) | 53 | 0.41 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 69 | 0.52 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 47 | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 15: Comparison of Crashes for Select Roadways in the US 301 Corridor
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## Hazard Elimination Program

Roadway segments in the project area that are reported within DeIDOT's Hazard Elimination Program (HEP) and High Risk Rural Roads Program (HRRRP) will be identified each year during the construction of US 301. These programs seek improvements focused on reducing the number of crashes at each location. A list of the HEP and HRRRP locations between 2007 and 2012 can be found in Tables 5 and 6.

| US 301 Spur Road 2012 Monitoring Report |  | le 5: <br> Locations - From | April 2013 <br> to 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Start Milepost | End Milepost | Year Studied |
| US 13 | 0.19 miles South of Greylag Road | 0.24 miles North of Boyds Corner Road | 2006 |
| US 301/SR 896 Summit Bridge Rd | 0.44 miles North of Beaston Rd | 0.56 miles South of Bethel Church Rd | 2007 |
| SR 299/Main Street | 0.25 miles West of Brick Mill Road | 0.24 miles East of Brick Mill Road | 2007 |
| SR 299/Main Street | 0.35 miles East of Brick Mill Road | 0.23 miles West of Brick Mill Road | 2009 |
| SR 1 | 1.36 miles South of SR 299 | 0.97 miles south of SR 299 | 2009 |
| SR 299/Main Street | US 301 | 0.11 miles East of Silver Lake Road | 2010 |
| US 301/SR 896 Summit Bridge Rd | 0.21 miles North of Springmill Drive | 0.25 miles Norh of Marl Pit Road | 2011 |
| SR 299 | 0.1 mile west of Park Alley | Northbound US 13 | 2012 |
| US 301 / SR 896 | Churchtown Road | 0.29 mile north of Churchtown Road | 2012 |
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Table 6:
High Risk Rural Roads Program Locations - from 2007 to 2012

| Site | Start Milepost | End Milepost | Year Studied |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Churchtown Rd | 0.11 miles East of <br> Dickerson Lane | 0.33 miles West of <br> SR 896/ Summit <br> Bridge Rd | 2009 |
| Cedar Lane Road | 0.33 mile south of <br> SR 896 | 0.04 mile South of <br> SR 896 | 2012 |

## Incident Management

One of the regional benefits identified with the Spur Road is that it will provide an alternative north-south route for traffic should there be an incident that occurs on the following road segments:
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- Existing US 301 between SR 299 and Bethel Church Road
- SR 896 (Boyds Corner Road) between US 301 and US 13
- Bethel Church Road between US 301 and Choptank Road
- SR 1 between Roth Bridge and I-95

For this monitoring program, DeIDOT is tracking the number of significant incidents that occur each year on these roads which result in detours that could have been accommodated more safely and efficiently on the Spur Road rather than on the local road network. Since 2004, there have been 68 incidents, including 12 in 2012, that have resulted in 190 or more hours of detour-related delay. These incidents occurred in locations that could have utilized the Spur Road as an alternate detour route if it existed, thereby reducing impacts to the local roadway network. Additional detail for each of these incidents that has occurred since 2004 are summarized in Appendix D.

## Construction Projects

DeIDOT and the Town of Middletown will likely have several other active maintenance and construction projects occurring at various times during the duration of the US 301 Spur Monitoring Program that could affect the traffic data being collected. DeIDOT identified five (5) active construction projects in the US 301 project area in 2012, as shown in Table 7. Although the SR 1 / I-95 Interchange project is not located in the vicinity of the US301 project area, it should be mentioned due to its significant traffic impacts to SR 1 in New Castle County. As part of the program, DeIDOT will continue to monitor all active roadway construction projects in the US 301 project area from south of Middletown to approximately the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal.

| US 301 Spur Road 2011 Monitoring Report | Road ing Report |  | April 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Activity in the US 301 Project Area in 2012 |  |  |  |
| Contract Number | Project Title | Start/End | Project Description |
| T200712003 | Jamisons Corner Road, SR 896 (Boyds Corner Road) to Hyetts Corner Road | $\begin{aligned} & 11-10-2011 \\ & / 8-25-2012 \end{aligned}$ | Reconstruct Jamisons Corner Road to improve to current standards |
| T201007101 | N412A, Hyetts Corner Road to Lorewood Grove Road | $\begin{gathered} 6-18-2012 \\ / 1-11-2013 \end{gathered}$ | Reconstruct Road 412A to improve to current standards and construct roundabouts at the intersections with Lorewood Grove Road and Hyetts Corner Road |
| T201009004 | SR 1 / I-95 Interchange, Christiana Mall Road Bridge | September 2011 <br> / October 2013 | Construct high speed connecting ramps from both Northbound SR1 to Northbound I-95 and from Southbound I-95 to Southbound SR 1 |
| Army Corp of Engineers | Summit Bridge Construction | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-26-2011 } \\ / \text { TBD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Bridge repair work requiring daytime intermittent lane closures. |
| Army Corp <br> of Engineers | Reedy Point Bridge Construction | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 2012 / \\ \text { TBD } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | Bridge repair work requiring 3-week lane closures periods. |

## Appendix A

## Proposed Development for Southern New Castle County

| Subdivision | Plan Status | Total Units to be Built | SQ_FT_NRES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Units Built } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Units Built } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Units Built } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UnBuilt } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UnBuilt } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | UnBuilt <br> 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 449 ARMSTRONG CORNER | Expired | 0 | 24,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ASBURY CHASE II | Approved | 47 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 47 | 47 | 16 | 0 |
| BAYBERRY NORTH | Approved | 951 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 71 | 951 | 938 | 880 |
| BAYBERRY SOUTH | Approved | 1,190 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1,186 | 1,186 | 1,186 |
| BAYBERRY TOWN CENTER | Pending | 0 | 559,204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BIGGS FARM | Approved | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| BOHEMIA MILL POND | Approved | 50 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Boyd's Corner Farm | Pending | 116 | 146,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 116 | 116 |
| CANALVIEW AT CROSSLAND (South) | Approved | 432 | 0 | 31 | 86 | 140 | 401 | 346 | 287 |
| CARTER FARM | Pending | 578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 578 | 578 | 578 |
| CEDAR LANE | Approved | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 77 | 77 |
| Christiana Care | Approved | 0 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CHURCHTOWN MANOR | Pending | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 273 | 273 |
| COUNTRY ACRES II | Approved | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| COUNTRY CLUB ESTS | Expired | 407 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 407 | 407 | 407 |
| DEATS FARM | Pending | 1,381 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,381 | 1,381 | 1,381 |
| ESTATES AT RIDGEFIELD | Expired | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| ESTATES AT ST ANNES | Approved | 466 | 0 | 164 | 175 | 217 | 302 | 291 | 249 |
| Gander Hill | Approved | 80 | 0 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 48 | 47 | 47 |
| HUBERS CROSSING | Pending | 0 | 119,385 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HYETTS CORNER | Approved | 143 | 0 | 34 | 40 | 56 | 109 | 103 | 87 |
| Isaacs Subdivision | Exploratory | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| LOREWOOD ESTATES | Approved | 10 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Merrimack Commons | Approved | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| OASIS AT CYPRESS RIDGE | Expired | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| PARKSIDE | Approved | 492 | 0 | 166 | 176 | 179 | 326 | 316 | 313 |
| PLEASANTON | Expired | 434 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 434 | 434 | 434 |
| Promedade at Middletown | Approved | 273 | 145,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 273 | 273 |
| ROTHWELL VILLAGE | Approved | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| SCOTT RUN BUSINESS PK | Approved | 0 | 1,700,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SHANNON COVE | Approved | 409 | 0 | 99 | 108 | 132 | 311 | 301 | 277 |
| SPRING ARBOR AT South Ridge | Approved | 317 | 0 | 111 | 122 | 154 | 206 | 195 | 163 |
| SUMMIT CROSSING PH 2 | Approved | 0 | 5,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Highlands | Approved | 1,242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,242 | 1,242 | 1,242 |
| The Highlands @ Backcreek | Approved | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| THE PARKWAY AT SOUTH RIDGE | Approved | 446 | 0 | 33 | 39 | 39 | 413 | 407 | 407 |
| Townsend Acres | Approved | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| TOWNSEND VILLAGE | Approved | 242 | 0 | 95 | 99 | 111 | 147 | 143 | 131 |
| TOWNSEND VILLAGE | Approved | 336 | 0 | 174 | 182 | 185 | 162 | 154 | 151 |
| TSAGANOS | Approved | 0 | 16,960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VILLAGE OF SCOTT RUN | Pending | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 271 | 271 |
| Westown (Levels) | Approved | 1,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 |
| Westown Commercial (Amazon) | Approved | 0 | 1,250,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Whitehall Phase A | Pending | 1,361 | 79,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,361 | 1,361 |
| Whitehall Phase B | Pending | 529 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 529 | 529 |
| Whitehall Phase C | Pending | 1,853 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,853 | 1,853 |
| WILLOW GROVE MILL Phase II | Approved | 192 | 58,700 | 105 | 118 | 192 | 87 | 74 | 0 |
| WINCHELSEA | Pending | 513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 513 | 513 | 513 |
| Windsor Commons at Hyetts Corner | Approved | 316 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 149 | 149 |
| WOODGRIFF FARMS | Expired | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Browning Creek (Cecil) | Expired | 47 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 47 | 47 |
| John Harrison (Cecil) | Expired | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| John Curtis (Cecil) | Approved | 3 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Worsell Manor (Cecil) | Pending | 41 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Blossom View (Cecil) | approved | 29 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Bayside Development (Cecil) | Pending | 18 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Horse Trails at Worsell Manor (Cecil) | Expired | 27 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| Sycamore Lane Nursery (Cecil) | Pending | 90 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Frisby Meadows (Cecil) | Pending | 75 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Glenn Maple (Cecil) | Approved | 7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Butlers Crossing (Cecil) | Pending | 7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Spirit Airpark (Cecil) | Expired | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
|  |  | 18,077 | 4,168,849 | 1,082 | 1,260 | 1,590 | 13,085 | 16,645 | 16,300 |

## Appendix B

Residential Construction in the Town of Middletown

## Appendix B：

Apartment Complex Construction in the Town of Middletown
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## Appendix B：

Duplex construction in the Town of Middletown

| Site |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 䓂 | 늘 $\frac{⿳ 亠 二 口 欠}{5}$ 5 | $\frac{\stackrel{H}{\bar{\prime}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{H}{\bar{\prime}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{U}{\overline{3}} \\ & \text { ⿳亠二口斤口 } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{L}{\bar{\omega}}}{\bar{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{H}{\bar{\omega}}}{\bar{\omega}}$ |  | 言 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{n}}}{\bar{\omega}}$ | \＃ <br> \＃ <br> S |
| Highlands | 206 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 206 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring Arbor at South Ridge | 12 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parkway at South Ridge | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westown（Levels） | 260 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 494 | 8 | 486 | 8 | 486 | 8 | 486 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix B：
Townhouse construction in the Town of Middletown

| ownhouse construction in the Town of Middletow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { ⿳亠二口斤口㇒ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{\prime}} \\ \bar{\omega} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \stackrel{c}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L⿳亠二口灬口 } \\ & \frac{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { ⿳亠二口欠} \\ & \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{心}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { D } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\square}{\bar{\omega}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{U}{\bar{\omega}} \\ & \bar{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Highlands | 700 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring Arbor at South Ridge | 123 | 48 | 75 | 55 | 68 | 74 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parkway at South Ridge | 226 | 33 | 193 | 39 | 187 | 39 | 187 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westown（Levels） | 403 | 0 | 403 | 0 | 403 | 0 | 403 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willow Grove Mill | 248 | 202 | 46 | 202 | 46 | 248 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willow Grove Mill II | 192 | 105 | 87 | 115 | 77 | 115 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1，892 | 388 | 1，504 | 411 | 1，481 | 476 | 1，416 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix B： <br> Townhouse construction in the Town of Middletown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \bar{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\bar{\prime}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{\omega}} \\ & \bar{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { ⿳亠二口斤口 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 莀 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { 5 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \frac{⿳ 亠 二 口 斤 口 ~}{5} \end{aligned}$ | 莀 |  |
| Estate at <br> St．Andrews | 466 | 157 | 309 | 177 | 289 | 217 | 249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lakeside | 185 | 184 | 1 | 184 | 1 | 184 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legends | 378 | 377 | 1 | 377 | 1 | 377 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longmeadow | 243 | 239 | 4 | 239 | 4 | 239 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merrimac Commons | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middletown Crossing | 134 | 125 | 9 | 125 | 9 | 125 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middletown Village | 262 | 253 | 9 | 253 | 9 | 253 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parkside | 492 | 166 | 326 | 174 | 318 | 179 | 313 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Springmill | 362 | 361 | 1 | 362 | 0 | 362 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring Arbor at South Ridge | 182 | 55 | 127 | 59 | 123 | 72 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westown（Levels） | 1，000 | 0 | 1，000 | 0 | 1，000 | 0 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willow Grove Mill | 339 | 338 | 1 | 339 | 0 | 339 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4，121 | 2，255 | 1，866 | 2，289 | 1，832 | 2，347 | 1，774 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix C

US 301 Corridor Crash Reports

Summit Bridge and SR896

|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 / 17 / 2012$ | $13: 25$ | 2.14 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 / 1 / 2012$ | $19: 35$ | 2.3 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $2 / 6 / 2012$ | $15: 53$ | 0.71 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $3 / 4 / 2012$ | $22: 15$ | 2.57 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $4 / 8 / 2012$ | $17: 04$ | 2.55 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $4 / 12 / 2012$ | $18: 18$ | 1.94 | Other | Fatality | SB/NB |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $4 / 27 / 2012$ | $23: 37$ | 0 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $5 / 7 / 2012$ | $7: 19$ | 2.13 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $5 / 24 / 2012$ | $11: 55$ | 0.71 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $5 / 25 / 2012$ | $14: 38$ | 3.44 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $6 / 19 / 2012$ | $16: 48$ | 1.98 | Rear-end | Injury | SB/SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $6 / 24 / 2012$ | $23: 20$ | 1.56 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $7 / 2 / 2012$ | $18: 49$ | 0.72 | Left-turn | PDO | WB/NB/SBLT |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $8 / 23 / 2012$ | $21: 20$ | 3.75 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $9 / 15 / 2012$ | $20: 44$ | 0.3 | Rear-end | Injury | NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $9 / 15 / 2012$ | $21: 11$ | 1.78 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $9 / 29 / 2012$ | $9: 35$ | Unknown | Left-turn | PDO | NB/SBLT |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $10 / 12 / 2012$ | $20: 24$ | 0.45 | Left-turn | PDO | NB/NB/SBLT |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $11 / 18 / 2012$ | $21: 39$ | 3.83 | Angle | PDO | SB/EBLT |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $12 / 8 / 2012$ | $7: 28$ | 2.04 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $12 / 10 / 2012$ | $6: 41$ | 0.04 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 ~ T o t a l ~}$ | Number of Crashes |  |  |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## US 301 between Summit Bridge and SR896

A total of twenty-one (21) crashes were reported in 2012, and the following trends were identified:

- One (5 percent) of the twenty-one reported crashes resulted in a fatality. The crash occurred on the curve between Summit Bridge and Bethel Church Road.
- Two (9 percent) of the twenty-one reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Eighteen (86 percent) of the twenty-one crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Twelve ( 57 percent) of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes.
- Three ( 14 percent) of the reported crashes were left-turn crashes.
- Two ( 9.5 percent) of the reported crashes were run-off-the-road crashes.
- Two ( 9.5 percent) of the reported crashes were sideswipe-same direction crashes.
- One ( 5 percent) of the reported crashes was an angle crash.
- One (5 percent) of the reported crashes is classified as other. The crash involved a northbound and southbound vehicle. The vehicle traveling northbound lost control in the curve and crossed the median hitting the vehicle traveling southbound. The crash resulted in a fatality and was attributable to aggressive driving.

SR896 and Peterson Road

|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1/27/2012 | 19:14 | 1.96 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/NBUT |
| 2 | 2/1/2012 | 15:24 | 1.01 | Rear-end | Injury | SB/SB |
| 3 | 2/1/2012 | 15:24 | 1.01 | Rear-end | Injury | SB/SB |
| 4 | 2/1/2012 | 15:51 | 1.33 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 5 | 2/10/2012 | 18:22 | 1.20 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 6 | 2/14/2012 | 21:06 | 1.84 | Hit-deer | PDO | SB |
| 7 | 2/14/2012 | 7:15 | 1.00 | Rear-end | Injury | SB/SB/SB |
| 8 | 3/16/2012 | 18:29 | 3.97 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 9 | 3/20/2012 | 15:55 | 2.35 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB/SB |
| 10 | 3/21/2012 | 16:09 | 3.58 | Rear-end | Injury | NB/NB |
| 11 | 3/23/2012 | 16:27 | 1.70 | Rear-end | Injury | SB/SB |
| 12 | 3/26/2012 | 10:24 | 3.22 | ROR-HFO | PDO | NB |
| 13 | 4/19/2012 | 15:31 | 2.52 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB/SB |
| 14 | 5/1/2012 | 15:45 | 3.87 | Angle | PDO | SB/EB |
| 15 | 5/11/2012 | 21:20 | 3.87 | Angle | Injury | SB/EB |
| 16 | 5/11/2012 | 16:25 | 2.46 | Rear-end | Injury | SB/SB |
| 17 | 5/26/2012 | 23:19 | 3.60 | Sideswipe-same | Injury | NB/NB |
| 18 | 5/29/2012 | 14:50 | 3.87 | Angle | PDO | SB/EBLT |
| 19 | 6/1/2012 | 16:28 | 1.08 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 20 | 6/7/2012 | 17:24 | 2.14 | Angle | PDO | NW/WB |
| 21 | 6/13/2012 | 17:54 | 1.09 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 22 | 6/26/2012 | 15:43 | 2.91 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB |
| 23 | 7/9/2012 | 13:36 | 1.59 | Rear-end | Injury | NB/NB |
| 24 | 7/31/2012 | 16:42 | 2.36 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 25 | 8/10/2012 | 17:36 | 2.34 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 26 | 8/26/2012 | 11:16 | 2.14 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB/SB/SB |
| 27 | 8/27/2012 | 1:18 | 4.31 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| 28 | 9/4/2012 | 10:36 | 2.23 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 29 | 9/9/2012 | 2:11 | 1.38 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 30 | 9/11/2012 | 7:11 | 2.32 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 31 | 9/15/2012 | 12:48 | 0.98 | Rear-end | Injury | SB/SB |
| 32 | 9/18/2012 | 7:20 | 0.99 | Other | PDO | NB/SBUT |
| 33 | 10/20/2012 | 9:30 | 3.87 | Angle | Injury | SB/EBLT |
| 34 | 10/21/2012 | 1:11 | 3.18 | Rear-end (DUI) | PDO | SB/SB |
| 35 | 10/27/2012 | 7:29 | 2.14 | Left-turn | PDO | NB/SBLT |
| 36 | 11/12/2012 | 16:06 | 4.22 | Rear-end | Injury | NB/NB/NB |
| 37 | 12/1/2012 | 5:52 | 2.71 | Pedestrian | Fatality | NB/SB |
| 38 | 12/4/2012 | 17:42 | 3.44 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 39 | 12/14/2012 | 16:11 | 3.90 | Left-turn | Injury | EB/WBLT |
| 40 | 12/21/2012 | 11:29 | 2.26 | Other | Injury | NB/NBUT |
| 41 | 12/22/2012 | 3:35 | 0.98 | Angle | Injury | NB/WB |
| 42 | 12/22/2012 | 0:44 | Unknown | ROR-HFO | PDO | NB |
| 2012 Total Number of Crashes |  |  |  |  | 42 |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## US 301 between SR896 and Peterson Road

A total of forty-two (42) crashes were reported in 2012, and the following trends were identified:

- One ( 2.5 percent) of the forty-two reported crashes resulted in a fatality. The crash involved a pedestrian.
- Fifteen ( 35.5 percent) of the forty-two reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Twenty-six (62 percent) of the forty-two reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Twenty-four ( 57 percent) of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes.
- Six (14 percent) of the reported crashes were angle crashes. Four (4) of the crashes occurred at the Ash Boulevard intersection. One (1) of the crashes occurred at the SR 71 intersection and one (1) crash occurred at the Armstrong Corner Road intersection.
- Four (10 percent) of the reported crashes were run-off-the-road crashes. Two (2) of the crashes occurred on northbound US 301 and two (2) crashes occurred on southbound US 301.
- Two ( 5 percent) of the reported crashes were classified as other.
- Two (5 percent) of the reported crashes were left-turn crashes. Both of the left-turn crashes occurred at the Armstrong Corner Road intersection.
- Two (5 percent) of the reported crashes were sideswipe-same direction crashes.
- One ( 2 percent) of the reported crashes involved a motor vehicle and a pedestrian. The crash resulted in a fatality and occurred on southbound US 301 north of Armstrong Road. The crash was attributable to a pedestrian under the influence of alcohol.
- One (2 percent) of the reported crashed involved a motor vehicle and a deer.

Peterson Road and Levels Road

|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 / 18 / 2012$ | $18: 41$ | 2.85 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $3 / 5 / 2012$ | $5: 58$ | 3.10 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $3 / 29 / 2012$ | $10: 22$ | 3.13 | Rear-end | PDO |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $5 / 13 / 2012$ | $15: 15$ | 0.48 | Rear-end | PDO | WBRT/WBRT |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $5 / 27 / 2012$ | $17: 40$ | 0.48 | Angle | Injury | EBLT/SB |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $6 / 6 / 2012$ | $10: 24$ | 2.52 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $6 / 12 / 2012$ | $16: 41$ | 3.13 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $6 / 21 / 2012$ | $22: 04$ | 3.13 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $6 / 28 / 2012$ | $21: 32$ | 3.33 | Left-turn | Injury | SB/NBLT |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $7 / 18 / 2012$ | $19: 45$ | 2.88 | Left-turn | PDO | EBLT/WBLT |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $9 / 26 / 2012$ | $19: 07$ | 3.08 | ROR-HFO (DUI) | Injury | NB |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $10 / 1 / 2012$ | $8: 15$ | 3.15 | Sideswipe-same | Injury | NB/WBRT |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $10 / 19 / 2012$ | $16: 25$ | 3.20 | Left-turn | PDO | SB/NBLT |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $10 / 21 / 2012$ | $11: 21$ | 0.48 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NBRT/NBRT |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $11 / 1 / 2012$ | $13: 04$ | 0.00 | Rear-end | PDO | WBLT/WBLT |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $11 / 15 / 2012$ | $10: 05$ | 0.00 | Angle | PDO | EB/NBRT |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $11 / 18 / 2012$ | $17: 06$ | 3.48 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $11 / 24 / 2012$ | $22: 05$ | 2.61 | Head-on | Injury | SB/EBLT |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $11 / 25 / 2012$ | $18: 24$ | 2.68 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $11 / 26 / 2012$ | $18: 20$ | 0.48 | Rear-end | PDO | WBRT/WBRT |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $12 / 6 / 2012$ | $20: 21$ | 2.38 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $12 / 7 / 2012$ | $18: 15$ | 0.48 | Rear-end | Injury | NB/NB |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 ~ T o t a l ~}$ | Number of Crashes |  | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## US 301 between Peterson Road and Levels Road

A total of twenty-two (22) crashes were reported in 2012, and the following trends were identified:

- Six (27 percent) of the reported sixteen crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Sixteen (73 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Ten (46 percent) of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes.
- Five (23 percent) of the reported crashes were sideswipe-same direction crashes.
- Three (14 percent) of the reported crashes were left-turn crashes.
- Two (9 percent) of the reported crashes were angle crashes.
- One (4 percent) of the reported crashes was a head-on crash.
- One (4 percent) of the reported crashes was a run-off-the-road crash. The crash involved a northbound vehicle and was attributable to a motorists driving under the influence of alcohol.

Levels Road and DE / MD State Line

|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 / 14 / 2012$ | $15: 45$ | 1.51 | Angle | Injury | NB/WBLT |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $10 / 6 / 2012$ | $11: 35$ | 0 | Angle | Injury | SB/EBLT |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $11 / 24 / 2012$ | $13: 00$ | 0.24 | Debris in roadway | PDO | SB/SB |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $7 / 1 / 2012$ | $23: 03$ | 1.19 | Hit-deer | PDO | SB |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $10 / 26 / 2012$ | $18: 14$ | 2.04 | Other | PDO | NB/WBRT |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $2 / 16 / 2012$ | $7: 32$ | 0.84 | Rear-end | Injury | SBLT/SB |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $3 / 23 / 2012$ | $19: 44$ | 1.01 | Rear-end | Injury | SBLT/SB |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $10 / 26 / 2012$ | $14: 52$ | 0.87 | ROR-HFO | PDO | NB(NCV SBLT) |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $12 / 18 / 2012$ | $23: 50$ | 2.04 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $3 / 25 / 2012$ | $2: 01$ | 0.25 | Sideswipe-same | Injury | SBLT/SB |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## US 301 between Levels Road and DE / MD State Line

A total of ten (10) crashes were reported in 2012, and the following trends were identified:

- Five ( 50 percent) of the ten reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Five (50 percent) of the ten reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Two ( 20 percent) of the reported crashes were angle crashes.
- Two (20 percent) of the reported crashes were run-off-the-road crashes. One (1) of the reported crashes involved a northbound vehicle and one (1) of the crashes involved a southbound vehicle.
- Two ( 20 percent) of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes.
- One (10 percent) of the reported crashes was a sideswipe-same direction crash.
- One (10 percent) of the reported crashes involved a motor vehicle and a deer.
- One (10 percent) of the reported crashes involved debris in the roadway and a motor vehicle.
- One (10 percent) of the reported crashes was classified as other.

US301 and Choptank Road

|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $5 / 31 / 2012$ | $21: 15$ | 2.43 | Hit-deer | PDO | WB |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $6 / 11 / 2012$ | $1: 15$ | 2.53 | ROR-HFO | PDO | WB |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $8 / 30 / 2012$ | $13: 04$ | 2.24 | ROR-HFO | Injury | EB |
| 2012 Total Number of Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## Bethel Church Road between US 301 and Choptank Road

A total of three (3) crashes were reported in 2012, and the following trends were identified:

- One (33 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Two (67 percent) of the reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Two (67 percent) of the reported crashes were run-off-the-road (ROR) crashes. One (1) crash involved an eastbound vehicle and one (1) crash involved a westbound vehicle.
- One (33 percent) of the reported crashes involved a motor vehicle and a deer.

Bethel Church Road and Bunker Hill Road

|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 / 27 / 2012$ | $9: 31$ | 0.11 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $3 / 6 / 2012$ | $21: 15$ | 3.94 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $3 / 28 / 2012$ | $14: 48$ | 2.32 | Sideswipe-opposite-direction | PDO | NB/SB |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $7 / 5 / 2012$ | $12: 08$ | 3.47 | Angle | PDO | SB/EB |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $8 / 15 / 2012$ | $1: 34$ | Unknown | ROR-HFO (DUI) | Injury | SB |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $10 / 6 / 2012$ | $19: 58$ | 4.72 | Hit-deer | PDO | EB/NB/SB |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $10 / 9 / 2012$ | $8: 00$ | 1.68 | Angle | PDO | WB/SB |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $11 / 16 / 2012$ | $17: 48$ | 2.37 | Hit-deer | Injury | EB/NB |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $11 / 30 / 2012$ | $10: 24$ | 3.47 | Angle | PDO | SB/EB |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $12 / 25 / 2012$ | $0: 06$ | 2.93 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 ~ T o t a l ~ N u m b e r ~ o f ~ C r a s h e s ~}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## Choptank Rd between Bethel Church Road and Bunker Hill Road

A total of ten (10) crashes were reported in 2012, and the following trends were identified:

- Two (20 percent) of the ten reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Eight ( 80 percent) of the ten reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Three ( 30 percent) of the reported crashes were angle crashes. All (3) of the crashes occurred at the Churchtown Road intersection.
- Four ( 40 percent) of the reported crashes were run-off-the-road (ROR) type crashes. All (4) of the ROR crashes involved southbound vehicles. One (1) of the crashes was attributable to a motorists driving under the influence of alcohol.
- Two (20 percent) of the reported crashes involved a motor vehicle and a deer.
- One (10 percent) of the reported crashes was a sideswipe-opposite direction crash.

Bunker Hill Road between
Choptank Road and US301

|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 / 23 / 2012$ | $7: 25$ | 2.08 | Rear-end | PDO | WB/WB |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 / 17 / 2012$ | $10: 00$ | 0.00 | Angle | PDO | EB/SB |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $3 / 29 / 2012$ | $10: 22$ | 3.13 | Rear-end | PDO | EBRT/EBRT |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $4 / 17 / 2012$ | $6: 00$ | 2.54 | Angle | PDO | WB/SB |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 ~ T o t a l ~ N u m b e r ~ o f ~ C r a s h e s ~}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## Bunker Hill Road between Choptank Road and US 301

A total of Four (4) crashes were reported in 2012, and the following trends were identified:

- All (4) of the reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Two (50 percent) of the reported crashes were angle crashes.
- Two (50 percent) of the reported crashes were run-off-the-road (ROR) type crashes.
and Tybouts Corner

|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1/5/2012 | 19:26 | 4.99 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| 2 | 1/21/2012 | 5:31 | 5.29 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB |
| 3 | 2/6/2012 | 11:14 | 5.08 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |
| 4 | 2/18/2012 | 3:22 | 5.57 | Rear-end | Injury | SB/SB |
| 5 | 2/27/2012 | 11:22 | 3.77 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| 6 | 3/19/2012 | 21:20 | 1.13 | ROR-HFO | PDO | NB |
| 7 | 3/23/2012 | 17:21 | 5.08 | ROR-HFO | Injury | SB |
| 8 | 4/11/2012 | 22:22 | 4.05 | Debris in roadway | PDO | SB |
| 9 | 4/18/2012 | 18:25 | 4.97 | ROR-HFO | Injury | SB |
| 10 | 4/18/2012 | 19:14 | 4.22 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | SB/SB |
| 11 | 4/29/2012 | 20:38 | 4.86 | Debris in roadway | PDO | SB |
| 12 | 5/6/2012 | 10:33 | 3.34 | Sideswipe-same | Injury | SB/SB/SB |
| 13 | 5/19/2012 | 2:56 | 2.93 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB |
| 14 | 5/23/2012 | 23:44 | 4.80 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| 15 | 5/25/2012 | 6:16 | 4.03 | ROR-HFO | Injury | NB |
| 16 | 6/1/2012 | 12:25 | 3.69 | ROR-HFO | Injury | SB |
| 17 | 6/3/2012 | 16:07 | 1.61 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB |
| 18 | 6/7/2012 | 15:37 | 3.91 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| 19 | 6/16/2012 | 10:22 | 5.50 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB |
| 20 | 6/18/2012 | 0:48 | 2.34 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| 21 | 6/18/2012 | 0:14 | 4.51 | ROR-HFO | PDO | NB |
| 22 | 6/20/2012 | 8:35 | 3.95 | Debris in roadway | PDO | NB |
| 23 | 6/21/2012 | 13:15 | 5.03 | Sideswipe-same | Injury | SB/SB/SB |
| 24 | 6/26/2012 | 16:25 | 3.41 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| 25 | 6/29/2012 | 5:31 | 3.59 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| 26 | 7/1/2012 | 18:48 | 2.31 | ROR-HFO | Injury | NB |
| 27 | 7/3/2012 | 8:06 | 3.07 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB |
| 28 | 7/4/2012 | 21:11 | 2.52 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB |
| 29 | 7/12/2012 | 3:30 | 3.89 | ROR-HFO | Injury | NB |
| 30 | 7/24/2012 | 18:14 | 6.98 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| 31 | 7/26/2012 | 15:49 | 7.88 | Rear-end | Injury | SB/SB |
| 32 | 7/29/2012 | 3:31 | 3.92 | Rear-end (DUI) | Injury | SB/SB |
| 33 | 8/8/2012 | 21:05 | 2.89 | Debris in roadway | PDO | NB |
| 34 | 8/8/2012 | 21:31 | 5.05 | Sideswipe-same (DUI) | Injury | SB/SB |
| 35 | 8/23/2012 | 9:11 | 3.60 | Debris in roadway | PDO | SB/SB |
| 36 | 8/25/2012 | 14:00 | 4.65 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | NB/NB |
| 37 | 9/8/2012 | 17:51 | 3.63 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |
| 38 | 9/12/2012 | 21:30 | 5.30 | Hit-deer | PDO | NB |
| 39 | 9/24/2012 | 15:02 | 1.84 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| 40 | 9/25/2012 | 15:49 | 6.05 | Debris in roadway | PDO | SB/SB |
| 41 | 9/27/2012 | 22:48 | 7.88 | Sideswipe-same | PDO | SB/SB |
| 42 | 10/15/2012 | 21:12 | 0.00 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| 43 | 10/18/2012 | 19:05 | 6.58 | Hit-deer | PDO | SB |
| 44 | 10/30/2012 | 6:40 | 7.02 | ROR-HFO | Injury | SB |
| 45 | 11/19/2012 | 18:46 | 5.28 | Hit-deer | PDO | NB |
| 46 | 12/23/2012 | 6:23 | 4.09 | ROR-HFO | PDO | NB |


|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | $12 / 25 / 2012$ | $19: 10$ | 4.88 | Hit-pedestrian | Injury | NB |
| 2012 Total Number of Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## SR1 between Roth Bridge and Tybouts Corner

A total of forty-seven (47) crashes were reported in 2012, and the following trends were identified:

- Fourteen (30 percent) of the forty-seven reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Thirty-three (70 percent) of the forty-seven reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Seventeen ( 36 percent) of the reported crashes were run-off-the-road (ROR) type crashes. Six (6) crashes involved northbound vehicles and eleven (11) crashes involved southbound vehicles.
- Twelve (26 percent) of the reported crashes were sideswipe-same direction crashes. Seven (7) crashes involved northbound vehicles and five (5) crashes involved southbound vehicles.
- One (1) of run-off-the-road crashes involving a southbound vehicle was attributable to a motorists driving under the influence of alcohol.
- Eight (17 percent) of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes.
- Six (13 percent) of the reported crashes involved debris in the roadway and a motor vehicle.
- Three ( 6 percent) of the reported crashes involved a motor vehicle and a deer.
- One (2 percent) of the reported crashes involved a motor vehicle and a pedestrian.
and Bethel Church Road

|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $4 / 12 / 2012$ | $18: 18$ | 1.94 | Other | Fatality | SB/NB |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $6 / 19 / 2012$ | $16: 48$ | 1.98 | Rear-end | Injury | SB/SB/SB |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $6 / 24 / 2012$ | $23: 20$ | 1.56 | Rear-end | PDO | SB/SB |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $12 / 8 / 2012$ | $7: 28$ | 2.04 | ROR-HFO | PDO | SB |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Total Number of Crashes |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## US 301 between Summit Bridge and Bethel Church Road

A total of four (4) crashes were reported in 2012, and the following trends were identified:

- One ( 25 percent) of the four reported crashes resulted in a fatality.
- One ( 25 percent) of the four reported crashes resulted in personal injury.
- Two ( 50 percent) of the four reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- Two ( 50 percent) of the crashes were rear-end crashes.
- One ( 25 percent) crash was a run-off-the-road type crash. The crash involved a southbound vehicle.
- One ( 25 percent) of the reported crashes is classified as other. The crash involved a northbound and southbound vehicle. The vehicle traveling northbound lost control in the curve and crossed the median hitting the vehicle traveling southbound. The crash resulted in a fatality and was attributable to aggressive driving.

Bethel Church Road

|  | Date | Time | Milepoint | Type | Severity | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 / 17 / 2012$ | $13: 25$ | 2.14 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $5 / 7 / 2012$ | $7: 19$ | 2.13 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| 3 | $10 / 13 / 2012$ | $7: 17$ | 2.55 | Rear-end | PDO | NB/NB |
| 2012 Total Number of Crashes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HFO: Hit-fixed-object
ROR: Run-off the Road
PDO: Property Damage Only

## US 301 at Bethel Church Road

A total of three (3) crashes were reported in 2012, and the following trends were identified:

- All of the reported crashes resulted in property damage only.
- All of the reported crashes were rear-end crashes. All of the crashes involved northbound vehicles.


## Appendix D

Significant Incidents on SR 1 and Other Roadways in the Middletown Region

| Significant Incidents on SR 1 that Could have Utilized the Spur Road <br> to Accommodate Detoured Traffic - 2004 through present |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Location | Event | Duration | Roads used for Detour |  |
| $5 / 14 / 2004$ | SR 1 at SR 273 | Property Damage Crash - <br> SB SR 1 Left Lane Closed | 1.5 Hours | Unknown |  |
| $9 / 24 / 2004$ | SR 1 South of SR 273 | Personal Injury Crash - <br> SB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hours | Unknown |  |
| $4 / 3 / 2005$ | SR 1 at SR 72 | Personal Injury Crash - Right and <br> Center Lane Closed on SB SR 1 | 0.5 Hour | Unknown |  |
| $4 / 14 / 2005$ | SR 1 South of US 40 | Dump Truck Rolled Over - <br> SB SR 1 Closed | 3 Hours | Unknown |  |
| $5 / 16 / 2005$ | NB SR 1 at <br> Christiana Mall Ramp | Vehicle Fire - NB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | Unknown |  |
| $7 / 1 / 2005$ | SB SR 1 South of <br> SR 273 | Possible Fatal Crash / Entrapment <br> - SB SR 1 Closed | 2 Hours | Unknown |  |
| $8 / 7 / 2006$ | SB SR 1 at Christiana <br> Mall Ramp | Tractor Trailer Rolled Over - <br> SB SR 1 Clesed | 7.5 Hours | Unknown |  |
| $11 / 30 / 2006$ | NB SR 1 at <br> Tybouts Corner | Personal Injury Crash - <br> NB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | Unknown |  |
| $1 / 31 / 2007$ | SB SR 1 North of <br> School House Road | Property Damage Crash - <br> SB Left and Center Lane and <br> NB Left Lane on SR 1 Closed | 1.5 Hours | Unknown |  |
| $2 / 14 / 2007$ | NB SR 1 South of <br> SR 72 | Tractor Trailer Rolled Over - <br> NB SR 1 Closed at SR 896 | 6.5 Hours | Unknown |  |
| $3 / 7 / 2007$ | NB SR 1 at <br> Christiana Mall | Multiple <br> Injury Crash - NB SR 1 Closed | 1.5 Hours | US 13, SR 72, SR 273 |  |
| and I-95 |  |  |  |  |  |$|$


| Significant Incidents on SR 1 that Could have Utilized the Spur Road to Accommodate Detoured Traffic - 2004 through present (Continued) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Location | Event | Duration | Roads used for Detour |
| 6/29/2009 | SR 1 at SR 273 | Truck Rolled Over SB SR 1 Closed | 2.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 8/2/2009 | SR 1 at SR 273 | Personal Injury Crash SB SR 1 Closed at SR 273 | 2.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 8/6/2009 | SR 1 on Roth Bridge | Fatal Crash/ Vehicle Fire SB SR 1 Closed | Unknown | Unknown |
| 4/5/2010 | SB SR 1, South of SR 71 | Personal Injury Crash SB SR 1 Closed | Unknown | Unknown |
| 4/5/2010 | NB SR 1 at Christiana Mall | Personal Injury Crash Partial Closure on NB SR 1 | Unknown | Unknown |
| 5/27/2010 | NB SR 1, North of | Personal Injury Crash NB SR 1 at US 40 Closed | Unknown | Unknown |
| 3/17/2011 | NB SR 1 at Biddles Toll Plaza | EZ Pass Lane Closure | 7.5 Hours | US 13 / Others |
| 4/8/2011 | NB SR 1 at Christiana Mall Ramp | Jack-Knifed Tractor-Trailer | 1 Hour | SR 273 |
| 6/2/2011 | SB SR 1 at Biddles Toll Plaza | EZ Pass Lane Closure | 7.5 Hours | US 13 / Others |
| 7/17/2011 | SR 1 near Christiana Mall | Fatal Crash in the work zone Both NB \& SB SR 1 Closed | 3 Hours | SR 273 |
| 9/29/2011 | NB SR 1 near SR 72 Ramps | Truck Fire - NB SR 1 Closed | 1.5 Hours | Unknown |
| 10/27/2011 | SB SR 1 over Drawyers Creek Overpass | Personal Injury / Possible Fatal Crash - NB \& SB SR 1 Closed | 3 Hours | Unknown |
| 10/27/2011 | NB SR 1 at Christiana Mall Ramp | Personal Injury Crash - NB SR 1 On-Ramp to I-95 Closed | 12.5 Hours | SR 273 |
| 12/12/2011 | NB SR 1 at Tybouts Corner | Vehicle Crash - NB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | US 13 |
| 11/8/2011 | NB SR 1 on Roth Bridge | Vehicle Crash - NB SR 1 Closed | 1.5 Hours | US 13 / Others |
| 1/15/2012 | SB SR 1 at SR 273 | Vehicle Crash - SB SR 1 Closed | 1.0 Hour | SR 273 / US 40 |
| 4/11/2012 | NB SR 1 South of I-95 Ramps | Vehicle Crash - NB SR 1 Closed | 2 Hours | SR 273 |
| 4/16/2012 | SR 1 between SR 273 and AAA Blvd | Maintenance of Traffic | 3 Hours | I-95 / SR 273 |
| 4/18/2012 | SB SR 1 North of SR 72 | Vehicle Crash - SB SR 1 Closed | 1.5 Hours | US 13 / SR 72 |
| 4/30/2012 | SB SR 1 at SR 7 | Vehicle Crash - SB SR 1 Closed | 3 Hours | I-95/SR 273 |
| 6/15/2012 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB SR } 1 \text { near } \\ & \text { SR } 71 \end{aligned}$ | Maintenance of Traffic - Partial Closure on NB SR 1 | 3.5 Hours | US 13 / SR 273 |
| 9/28/2012 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB SR } 1 \text { near } \\ & \text { SR } 273 \end{aligned}$ | Vehicle Crash - NB SR Closed | 1 Hour | SR 72/ SR 7 / US 13 |
| 11/8/2012 | SB SR 1 <br> At Christiana Mall Exit | Vehicle Crash - SB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | SR 273 / US 13 |
| 11/9/2012 | NB SR 1 <br> At Christiana Mall Exit | Vehicle Crash - NB SR 1 Closed | 1 Hour | SR 273 / I-95 |
| 12/8/2012 | SB SR 1 near Exit 148 | Vehicle Crash - SB SR 1 Closed | 0.5 Hours | US 13 |
| 12/27/2012 | NB SR 1 at Roth Bridge | Unknown | 0.5 Hours | US 13 |
| Total |  |  | 141.5 Hours |  |


|  | Significant Incidents in the Middletown Region that Could have Utilized <br> the Spur Road to Accommodate Detoured Traffic - 2004 through present |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Location | Event | Duration | Roads used for Detour |
| $11 / 29 / 2004$ | Bethel Church Rdload | Personal Injury Crash - <br> SB US 301 Left Lane and <br> Left-turn Lane Closed | 1 Hour | Right lane and shoulder <br> on US 301 |
| $9 / 3 / 2005$ | US 301 at SR 71 | Property Damage Crash - <br> US 301 SB and <br> SR 71 NB Left-turn Lane Closed | 1 Hour | Access to Middletown <br> Village back on to US 301 |
| $1 / 30 / 2006$ | SB US 301 at <br> Bethel Church Road | Property Damage Crash \& Fuel <br> Spill - SB US 301 Closed | 7 Hours | Bethel Church Road, <br> Choptank Road and <br> Churchtown Road |
| $8 / 24 / 2006$ | US 301 North of <br> Churchtown Road | Property Damage Crash - <br> US 301 Closed | 1 Hour | Unknown |
| $12 / 25 / 2006$ | SB US 301 South of <br> Summit Bridge | Personal Injury Crash - <br> SB US 301 Closed | 1 Hour | Shoulder Lane on |
| SB US 301 |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix E

Peak Hour Traffic Volumes, SYNCHRO Capacity Reports and Delay Study Results

# Rummel, $\mathfrak{F l e p p e r} \mathfrak{X}$ Kahl, $\mathfrak{L L P}$ 

Consulting Engineers
81 W Mosher St
Baltimore MD 21217

File Name : US301-OldSummitBridgeRd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 10/4/2012
Page No : 4

|  | US 301 Southbound |  |  |  |  | US 301 Northbound |  |  |  |  | Old Summit Bridge Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 06:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 AM | 4 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 234 | 8 | 0 | 242 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 438 |
| 07:00 AM | 6 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 263 | 9 | 0 | 272 | 30 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 480 |
| 07:15 AM | 9 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 347 | 10 | 0 | 357 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 532 |
| 07:30 AM | 10 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 288 | 7 | 0 | 295 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 498 |
| Total Volume | 29 | 673 | 0 | 0 | 702 | 0 | 1132 | 34 | 0 | 1166 | 63 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1948 |
| \% App. Total | 4.1 | 95.9 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 0 |  | 78.8 | 0 | 21.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 725 | . 940 | . 000 | . 000 | . 929 | . 000 | . 816 | . 850 | . 000 | . 817 | . 525 | . 000 | . 850 | . 000 | . 571 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 915 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1


# Rummel, FKepper © Kahl, $\mathfrak{L L P}$ 

Consulting Engineers
81 W Mosher St
Baltimore MD 21217

File Name : US301-SR896
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 10/4/2012
Page No : 4

|  | US 301 Southbound |  |  |  |  | US 301 Northbound |  |  |  |  | Boyds Corner Rd (SR896) Westbound |  |  |  |  | Churchtown Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 72 | 104 | 3 | 0 | 179 | 2 | 171 | 42 | 0 | 215 | 30 | 8 | 74 | 0 | 112 | 10 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 55 | 561 |
| 07:15 AM | 66 | 88 | 6 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 214 | 51 | 0 | 265 | 24 | 17 | 92 | 0 | 133 | 13 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 47 | 605 |
| 07:30 AM | 56 | 124 | 2 | 0 | 182 | 1 | 186 | 34 | 0 | 221 | 23 | 7 | 89 | 0 | 119 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 36 | 558 |
| 07:45 AM | 56 | 134 | 5 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 185 | 41 | 0 | 226 | 28 | 4 | 78 | 0 | 110 | 7 | 33 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 579 |
| Total Volume | 250 | 450 | 16 | 0 | 716 | 3 | 756 | 168 | 0 | 927 | 105 | 36 | 333 | 0 | 474 | 41 | 130 | 15 | 0 | 186 | 2303 |
| \% App. Total | 34.9 | 62.8 | 2.2 | 0 |  | 0.3 | 81.6 | 18.1 | 0 |  | 22.2 | 7.6 | 70.3 | 0 |  | 22 | 69.9 | 8.1 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 868 | . 840 | . 667 | . 000 | . 918 | . 375 | . 883 | . 824 | . 000 | . 875 | . 875 | . 529 | . 905 | . 000 | . 891 | . 788 | . 756 | . 469 | . 000 | . 845 | . 952 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1

| Hour | inters | ion B | s at | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:00 PM | 80 | 214 | 24 | 0 | 318 | 3 | 151 | 39 | 0 | 193 | 69 | 22 | 47 | 0 | 138 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 676 |
| 05:15 PM | 78 | 206 | 16 | 0 | 300 | 4 | 147 | 27 | 0 | 178 | 55 | 30 | 46 | 0 | 131 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 640 |
| 05:30 PM | 75 | 199 | 16 | 0 | 290 | 3 | 147 | 28 | 0 | 178 | 82 | 32 | 71 | 0 | 185 | 18 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 685 |
| 05:45 PM | 89 | 216 | 11 | 0 | 316 | 4 | 129 | 36 | 0 | 169 | 76 | 27 | 43 | 0 | 146 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 29 | 660 |
| Total Volume | 322 | 835 | 67 | 0 | 1224 | 14 | 574 | 130 | 0 | 718 | 282 | 111 | 207 | 0 | 600 | 50 | 44 | 25 | 0 | 119 | 2661 |
| \% App. Total | 26.3 | 68.2 | 5.5 | 0 |  | 1.9 | 79.9 | 18.1 | 0 |  | 47 | 18.5 | 34.5 | 0 |  | 42 | 37 | 21 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 904 | . 966 | . 698 | . 000 | . 962 | . 875 | . 950 | . 833 | . 000 | . 930 | . 860 | . 867 | . 729 | . 000 | . 811 | . 694 | . 917 | . 781 | . 000 | . 930 | 971 |

# Rummel, $\mathfrak{F l e p p e r} \mathfrak{X}$ Kahl, $\mathfrak{L L P}$ 

Consulting Engineers
81 W Mosher St
Baltimore MD 21217

File Name : US301-ArmstrongCornerRd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 10/4/2012
Page No : 4

|  | US 301 Southbound |  |  |  |  | US 301 Northbound |  |  |  |  | Marl Pit Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  | Armstrong Corner Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 06:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 AM | 22 | 158 | 4 | 0 | 184 | 5 | 180 | 9 | 0 | 194 | 7 | 8 | 27 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 26 | 446 |
| 07:00 AM | 19 | 152 | 5 | 0 | 176 | 6 | 210 | 8 | 0 | 224 | 9 | 15 | 35 | 0 | 59 | 3 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 25 | 484 |
| 07:15 AM | 16 | 127 | 1 | 0 | 144 | 5 | 215 | 10 | 0 | 230 | 14 | 8 | 34 | 0 | 56 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 23 | 453 |
| 07:30 AM | 17 | 123 | 0 | 1 | 141 | 7 | 181 | 8 | 0 | 196 | 15 | 11 | 30 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 27 | 6 | 0 | 35 | 428 |
| Total Volume | 74 | 560 | 10 | 1 | 645 | 23 | 786 | 35 | 0 | 844 | 45 | 42 | 126 | 0 | 213 | 10 | 56 | 42 | 1 | 109 | 1811 |
| \% App. Total | 11.5 | 86.8 | 1.6 | 0.2 |  | 2.7 | 93.1 | 4.1 | 0 |  | 21.1 | 19.7 | 59.2 | 0 |  | 9.2 | 51.4 | 38.5 | 0.9 |  |  |
| PHF | . 841 | . 886 | . 500 | . 250 | . 876 | . 821 | . 914 | . 875 | . 000 | . 917 | . 750 | . 700 | . 900 | . 000 | . 903 | . 833 | . 519 | . 700 | . 250 | . 779 | . 935 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1


# Rummel, $\mathfrak{F l e p p e r} \mathfrak{X}$ Kahl, $\mathfrak{L L P}$ 

Consulting Engineers
81 W Mosher St
Baltimore MD 21217

File Name : US301-SR71
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 10/4/2012
Page No : 4

|  | US 301 Southbound |  |  |  |  | US 301 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | Broad St (SR71) Westbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 06:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 AM | 56 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 158 | 21 | 0 | 179 | 22 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 452 |
| 07:00 AM | 36 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 151 | 34 | 0 | 185 | 42 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 496 |
| 07:15 AM | 44 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 132 | 32 | 0 | 164 | 39 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| 07:30 AM | 43 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 117 | 26 | 0 | 143 | 23 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 383 |
| Total Volume | 179 | 467 | 0 | 0 | 646 | 0 | 558 | 113 | 0 | 671 | 126 | 0 | 338 | 0 | 464 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1781 |
| \% App. Total | 27.7 | 72.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 83.2 | 16.8 | 0 |  | 27.2 | 0 | 72.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 799 | . 878 | . 000 | . 000 | . 887 | . 000 | . 883 | . 831 | . 000 | . 907 | . 750 | . 000 | . 845 | . 000 | . 817 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 898 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1


# Rummel, FKepper © Kahl, $\mathfrak{L L P}$ 

Consulting Engineers
81 W Mosher St
Baltimore MD 21217

File Name : US301-SR299
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 10/4/2012
Page No : 4

|  | US 301 Southbound |  |  |  |  | US 301 Northbound |  |  |  |  | Bunker Hill Rd (SR299) Westbound |  |  |  |  | Main St (SR299) <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 24 | 74 | 9 | 0 | 107 | 12 | 87 | 22 | 2 | 123 | 30 | 76 | 23 | 0 | 129 | 15 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 427 |
| 07:15 AM | 22 | 68 | 9 | 1 | 100 | 11 | 81 | 23 | 0 | 115 | 31 | 59 | 33 | 0 | 123 | 9 | 44 | 2 | 1 | 56 | 394 |
| 07:30 AM | 26 | 79 | 8 | 0 | 113 | 4 | 68 | 28 | 0 | 100 | 38 | 40 | 35 | 0 | 113 | 13 | 45 | 2 | 1 | 61 | 387 |
| 07:45 AM | 23 | 68 | 11 | 0 | 102 | 15 | 78 | 28 | 0 | 121 | 42 | 47 | 25 | 0 | 114 | 6 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 54 | 391 |
| Total Volume | 95 | 289 | 37 | 1 | 422 | 42 | 314 | 101 | 2 | 459 | 141 | 222 | 116 | 0 | 479 | 43 | 179 | 15 | 2 | 239 | 1599 |
| \% App. Total | 22.5 | 68.5 | 8.8 | 0.2 |  | 9.2 | 68.4 | 22 | 0.4 |  | 29.4 | 46.3 | 24.2 | 0 |  | 18 | 74.9 | 6.3 | 0.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 913 | . 915 | . 841 | . 250 | . 934 | . 700 | . 902 | . 902 | . 250 | . 933 | . 839 | . 730 | . 829 | . 000 | . 928 | . 717 | . 913 | . 536 | . 500 | . 879 | . 936 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1


|  | 4 |  | $\square$ | 7 |  |  | $4$ | 4 | \％ |  | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7 \%}$ | 44 | 7 | ＊＊ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 革 | F |
| Volume（vph） | 43 | 179 | 15 | 141 | 222 | 116 | 42 | 314 | 101 | 95 | 289 | 37 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 330 |  | 210 | 390 |  | 230 | 480 |  | 307 | 290 |  | 300 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 100 |  |  | 140 |  |  | 180 |  |  | 85 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3273 | 3539 | 1429 | 3242 | 3438 | 1482 | 1687 | 3085 | 1509 | 1752 | 3406 | 1615 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3273 | 3539 | 1429 | 3242 | 3438 | 1482 | 1687 | 3085 | 1509 | 1752 | 3406 | 1615 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 138 |  |  | 138 |  |  | 131 |  |  | 95 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1027 |  |  | 832 |  |  | 1861 |  |  | 1623 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 20.0 |  |  | 16.2 |  |  | 25.4 |  |  | 22.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 2\％ | 13\％ | 8\％ | 5\％ | 9\％ | 7\％ | 17\％ | 7\％ | 3\％ | 6\％ | 0\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 49 | 203 | 17 | 152 | 239 | 125 | 45 | 338 | 109 | 102 | 311 | 40 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 49 | 203 | 17 | 152 | 239 | 125 | 45 | 338 | 109 | 102 | 311 | 40 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 24 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（ft） | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector（ft） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position（ft） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size（ft） | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position（ft） |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size（ft） |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | custom | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | ( | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 11.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 40.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 40.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 16.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 24.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 26.7\% | 12.7\% | 12.7\% | 26.7\% | 12.7\% | 12.7\% | 10.7\% | 44.7\% | 44.7\% | 16.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 35.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 35.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 19.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 7.7 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 12.4 | 22.1 | 15.2 | 9.4 | 85.4 | 85.4 | 14.0 | 92.2 | 92.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.09 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.57 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.63 | 0.15 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 72.6 | 70.1 | 0.5 | 74.2 | 61.9 | 12.3 | 79.2 | 17.4 | 2.1 | 78.6 | 14.4 | 0.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 72.6 | 70.1 | 0.5 | 74.2 | 61.9 | 12.3 | 79.2 | 17.4 | 2.1 | 78.6 | 14.4 | 0.9 |
| LOS | E | E | A | E | E | B | E | B | A | E | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 66.2 |  |  | 53.5 |  |  | 19.7 |  |  | 27.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 76 (51\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBT and 6:NBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.63

Intersection Signal Delay: 39.1
Intersection Capacity Utilization 48.1\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: D
ICU Level of Service A

Splits and Phases: 2: US 301 \& Bunker Hill Rd


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | A |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | F' | ${ }_{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 10 | 56 | 42 | 45 | 42 | 126 | 23 | 786 | 35 | 74 | 560 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 250 |  | 145 | 250 |  | 60 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (t) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.948 |  |  | 0.920 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.990 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1532 | 0 | 0 | 1672 | 0 | 1805 | 1743 | 1615 | 1480 | 1638 | 1154 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.917 |  |  | 0.795 |  | 0.377 |  |  | 0.175 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1412 | 0 | 0 | 1343 | 0 | 716 | 1743 | 1615 | 273 | 1638 | 1154 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 20 |  |  | 45 |  |  |  | 73 |  |  | 73 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 40 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1915 |  |  | 1875 |  |  | 944 |  |  | 1823 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 32.6 |  |  | 25.6 |  |  | 12.9 |  |  | 24.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 40\% | 18\% | 10\% | 11\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 22\% | 16\% | 40\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 13 | 72 | 54 | 50 | 47 | 140 | 25 | 854 | 38 | 84 | 636 | 11 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 0 | 25 | 854 | 38 | 84 | 636 | 11 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(t) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(tt) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(tt) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (tt) | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (tt) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 2 |  | 2 |


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | * | $\ddagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 4.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 11.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 11.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 25.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 25.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 26.7\% | 26.7\% |  | 26.7\% | 26.7\% |  | 16.7\% | 56.7\% | 56.7\% | 16.7\% | 56.7\% | 56.7\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 34.0 | 34.0 |  | 34.0 | 34.0 |  | 20.0 | 78.0 | 78.0 | 18.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Min | C-Min | Min | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 25.1 |  |  | 25.1 |  | 102.0 | 93.9 | 93.9 | 111.9 | 107.1 | 107.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.17 |  | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.55 |  |  | 0.90 |  | 0.05 | 0.78 | 0.04 | 0.29 | 0.54 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay |  | 55.8 |  |  | 84.4 |  | 2.8 | 15.8 | 0.1 | 10.8 | 13.0 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 55.8 |  |  | 84.4 |  | 2.8 | 15.8 | 0.1 | 10.8 | 13.0 | 0.1 |
| LOS |  | E |  |  | F |  | A | B | A | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 55.8 |  |  | 84.4 |  |  | 14.8 |  |  | 12.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Area Type: |
| :--- |
| Cycle Length: $150 \quad$ Other |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 150 |
| Offset: 49 (33\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBTL and 6:NBTL, Start of Green |
| Natural Cycle: 80 |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90 <br> Intersection Signal Delay: 25.0 <br> Intersection Capacity Utilization $81.2 \%$ <br> Analysis Period $(\min ) 15$$\quad$ Intersection LOS: C Level of Service D |

Splits and Phases: 7: US 301 \& Armstrong Corner Rd


|  | 4 |  |  | 4 | $4$ |  | $4$ | 4 | \％ |  | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7 * 1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 中4 | F |
| Volume（vph） | 41 | 130 | 15 | 105 | 36 | 333 | 3 | 756 | 168 | 250 | 450 | 16 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 100 |  | 0 | 450 |  | 466 | 195 |  | 370 | 400 |  | 220 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.984 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1792 | 0 | 2824 | 1845 | 1568 | 1805 | 3406 | 1335 | 3242 | 3374 | 1615 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 1792 | 0 | 2824 | 1845 | 1568 | 1805 | 3406 | 1335 | 3242 | 3374 | 1615 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 3 |  |  |  | 374 |  |  | 191 |  |  | 109 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1984 |  |  | 1201 |  |  | 1469 |  |  | 1377 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 30.1 |  |  | 32.8 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 18.8 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 4\％ | 7\％ | 24\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 0\％ | 6\％ | 21\％ | 8\％ | 7\％ | 0\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 49 | 155 | 18 | 118 | 40 | 374 | 3 | 859 | 191 | 272 | 489 | 17 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 49 | 173 | 0 | 118 | 40 | 374 | 3 | 859 | 191 | 272 | 489 | 17 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 24 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 24 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（ft） | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector（ft） | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position（ft） | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size（ft） | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position（ft） |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size（ft） |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $4$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | - | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 12.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 12.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 32.0 | 32.0 |  | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 30.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 30.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 21.3\% | 21.3\% |  | 23.3\% | 23.3\% | 23.3\% | 20.0\% | 35.3\% | 35.3\% | 20.0\% | 35.3\% | 35.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 24.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 24.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 20.4 | 20.4 |  | 15.7 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 6.9 | 69.2 | 69.2 | 18.7 | 91.2 | 91.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.14 |  | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.12 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.20 | 0.70 |  | 0.40 | 0.21 | 0.75 | 0.04 | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.67 | 0.24 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 57.6 | 75.7 |  | 65.4 | 61.5 | 15.4 | 96.7 | 20.3 | 2.6 | 70.6 | 15.4 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 57.6 | 75.7 |  | 65.4 | 61.5 | 15.4 | 96.7 | 20.3 | 2.6 | 70.6 | 15.4 | 0.1 |
| LOS | E | E |  | E | E | B | F | C | A | E | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 71.7 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  | 34.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 85 (57\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBT and 6:NBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75

Intersection Signal Delay: 29.7
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.8\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: C
ICU Level of Service C

Splits and Phases: 8: US 301 \& Churchtown Rd/SR 896


|  | $\bigcirc$ | $4$ | $\dagger 1$ |  | 7 | - | $\frac{1}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBU | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | \# | 44 | 「 | \% | 44 |
| Volume (vph) | 63 | 17 | 0 | 1132 | 34 | 29 | 673 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | 100 | 250 |  | 384 | 445 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  | 85 |  |  | 85 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1454 | 1900 | 3505 | 1615 | 1752 | 3312 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  | 0.139 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1454 | 1900 | 3505 | 1615 | 256 | 3312 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 30 |  |  | 41 |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 35 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 277 |  |  | 1231 |  |  | 2058 |
| Travel Time (s) | 5.4 |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 25.5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 3\% | 9\% |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 111 | 30 | 0 | 1380 | 41 | 31 | 724 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 111 | 30 | 0 | 1380 | 41 | 31 | 724 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | R NA | Left | Right | Left | Left |
| Median Width(ft) | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| Link Offset(ft) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.14 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | 9 | 9 |  | 9 | 15 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Detector Template | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector 2 Type |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |



Splits and Phases: $\quad$ 10: US 301 \& Old Summit Bridge Road


|  | $\bigcirc$ | $4$ |  |  | $1$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 | 4 | F | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Volume (vph) | 126 | 338 | 558 | 113 | 179 | 467 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 315 | 0 |  | 400 | 250 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1752 | 1538 | 1776 | 1553 | 1656 | 1712 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1752 | 1538 | 1776 | 1553 | 1656 | 1712 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | No |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 412 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 35 |  | 45 |  |  | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1186 |  | 916 |  |  | 549 |
| Travel Time (s) | 23.1 |  | 13.9 |  |  | 7.5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 5\% | 7\% | 4\% | 9\% | 11\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 154 | 412 | 613 | 124 | 201 | 525 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 154 | 412 | 613 | 124 | 201 | 525 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |
| Median Width(ft) | 12 |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| Link Offset(ft) | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | 9 |  | 9 | 15 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Detector Template | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector 2 Type |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free | NA | Prot | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Permitted Phases | 7 | Free |  |  |  |  |


|  | 1 | 4 | 4 | \% | ( | $\frac{1}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Detector Phase | 7 |  | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 16.0 |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 |  | 68.0 | 68.0 | 52.0 | 120.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% |  | 45.3\% | 45.3\% | 34.7\% | 80.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 21.0 |  | 59.0 | 59.0 | 46.0 | 111.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 4.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.1 | 150.0 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 24.6 | 113.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 1.00 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.16 | 0.76 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.73 | 0.27 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.74 | 0.40 |
| Control Delay | 83.0 | 0.4 | 25.8 | 15.5 | 89.9 | 5.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 83.0 | 0.4 | 25.8 | 15.5 | 89.9 | 5.6 |
| LOS | F | A | C | B | F | A |
| Approach Delay | 22.9 |  | 24.1 |  |  | 28.9 |
| Approach LOS | C |  | C |  |  | C |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 136 (91\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBT and 6:NBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.74
Intersection Signal Delay: $25.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.3\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: $\quad$ 30: US 301 \& SR 71


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $4$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | $1$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7\％ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*} 1$ | 44 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「＇ | ${ }^{1}$ | 中鱼 | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 113 | 248 | 16 | 310 | 211 | 106 | 61 | 565 | 250 | 179 | 465 | 31 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 330 |  | 210 | 390 |  | 230 | 480 |  | 307 | 290 |  | 300 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 100 |  |  | 140 |  |  | 180 |  |  | 85 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3467 | 3610 | 1615 | 3433 | 3574 | 1509 | 1805 | 3343 | 1583 | 1770 | 3406 | 1615 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3467 | 3610 | 1615 | 3433 | 3574 | 1509 | 1805 | 3343 | 1583 | 1770 | 3406 | 1615 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 138 |  |  | 138 |  |  | 275 |  |  | 95 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1051 |  |  | 832 |  |  | 1861 |  |  | 1623 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 20.5 |  |  | 16.2 |  |  | 25.4 |  |  | 22.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 1\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 2\％ | 1\％ | 7\％ | 0\％ | 8\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 6\％ | 0\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 145 | 318 | 21 | 365 | 248 | 125 | 67 | 621 | 275 | 185 | 479 | 32 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 145 | 318 | 21 | 365 | 248 | 125 | 67 | 621 | 275 | 185 | 479 | 32 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 24 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（ft） | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector（ft） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position（ft） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size（ft） | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position（ft） |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size（ft） |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | custom | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |


|  | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | V | $\frac{1}{\square}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 11.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 40.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 40.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 16.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 24.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 26.7\% | 12.7\% | 12.7\% | 26.7\% | 12.7\% | 12.7\% | 10.7\% | 44.7\% | 44.7\% | 16.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 35.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 35.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 19.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 11.6 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 21.3 | 32.2 | 22.5 | 10.9 | 61.5 | 61.5 | 21.7 | 74.7 | 74.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.75 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.51 | 0.45 | 0.34 | 0.73 | 0.28 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 73.7 | 64.0 | 0.3 | 71.6 | 50.4 | 9.3 | 79.9 | 35.2 | 5.0 | 73.2 | 17.8 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 73.7 | 64.0 | 0.3 | 71.6 | 50.4 | 9.3 | 79.9 | 35.2 | 5.0 | 73.2 | 17.8 | 0.2 |
| LOS | E | E | A | E | D | A | E | D | A | E | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 64.1 |  |  | 53.9 |  |  | 29.7 |  |  | 31.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 62 (41\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBT and 6:NBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75
Intersection Signal Delay: 42.2
Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.4\%
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: US 301 \& Bunker Hill Rd/SR 299


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | $\overline{7}$ |
| Volume (vph) | 3 | 54 | 34 | 51 | 44 | 73 | 29 | 710 | 48 | 138 | 1008 | 12 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (tt) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 250 |  | 145 | 250 |  | 60 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (t) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.949 |  |  | 0.942 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| FIt Protected |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.985 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1691 | 0 | 0 | 1763 | 0 | 1805 | 1792 | 1615 | 1687 | 1743 | 1615 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.739 |  | 0.157 |  |  | 0.223 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1678 | 0 | 0 | 1323 | 0 | 298 | 1792 | 1615 | 396 | 1743 | 1615 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 19 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  | 73 |  |  | 73 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 40 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (tt) |  | 1915 |  |  | 1875 |  |  | 944 |  |  | 1823 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 32.6 |  |  | 25.6 |  |  | 12.9 |  |  | 24.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 7\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 7\% | 9\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 4 | 71 | 45 | 59 | 51 | 84 | 32 | 772 | 52 | 142 | 1039 | 12 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 32 | 772 | 52 | 142 | 1039 | 12 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(t) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(tt) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(tt) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (tt) | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (tt) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 2 |  | 2 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $4$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | * | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 4.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 11.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 11.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 25.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 25.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 26.7\% | 26.7\% |  | 26.7\% | 26.7\% |  | 16.7\% | 56.7\% | 56.7\% | 16.7\% | 56.7\% | 56.7\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 34.0 | 34.0 |  | 34.0 | 34.0 |  | 20.0 | 78.0 | 78.0 | 18.0 | 78.0 | 78.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Min | C-Min | Min | C-Min | C-Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 22.7 |  |  | 22.7 |  | 103.5 | 95.3 | 95.3 | 114.3 | 107.4 | 107.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.15 |  |  | 0.15 |  | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.76 | 0.72 | 0.72 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.45 |  |  | 0.88 |  | 0.12 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.83 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay |  | 52.2 |  |  | 90.1 |  | 2.4 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 23.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 52.2 |  |  | 90.1 |  | 2.4 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 23.0 | 0.0 |
| LOS |  | D |  |  | F |  | A | A | A | A | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 52.2 |  |  | 90.1 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 21.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: $\quad$ Other
Cycle Length: $150 \quad 150$

Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 9 (6\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBTL and 6:NBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88
Intersection Signal Delay: $22.9 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 88.5\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 7: US 301 \& Armstrong Corner Rd/Marl Pit Road


|  | 4 |  |  | 4 | $4$ |  | $4$ | 4 | \％ |  | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7 * 1}$ | ＋ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 中4 | F |
| Volume（vph） | 50 | 44 | 25 | 282 | 111 | 207 | 14 | 574 | 130 | 322 | 835 | 67 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 100 |  | 0 | 450 |  | 466 | 195 |  | 370 | 400 |  | 220 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.945 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1805 | 1748 | 0 | 3072 | 1863 | 1583 | 1687 | 3505 | 1335 | 3273 | 3374 | 1553 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1805 | 1748 | 0 | 3072 | 1863 | 1583 | 1687 | 3505 | 1335 | 3273 | 3374 | 1553 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 17 |  |  |  | 256 |  |  | 140 |  |  | 109 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 1984 |  |  | 1173 |  |  | 1469 |  |  | 1377 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 30.1 |  |  | 32.0 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 18.8 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 2\％ | 4\％ | 14\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 7\％ | 3\％ | 21\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 4\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 54 | 47 | 27 | 348 | 137 | 256 | 15 | 617 | 140 | 335 | 870 | 70 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 54 | 74 | 0 | 348 | 137 | 256 | 15 | 617 | 140 | 335 | 870 | 70 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 24 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 24 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（ft） | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector（ft） | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position（ft） | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size（ft） | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position（ft） |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size（ft） |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ( | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 12.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 12.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 32.0 | 32.0 |  | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 30.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 30.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 21.3\% | 21.3\% |  | 23.3\% | 23.3\% | 23.3\% | 20.0\% | 35.3\% | 35.3\% | 20.0\% | 35.3\% | 35.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 24.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 24.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 11.4 | 11.4 |  | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 7.9 | 65.9 | 65.9 | 21.4 | 87.3 | 87.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.08 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.40 | 0.50 |  | 0.67 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.17 | 0.40 | 0.21 | 0.72 | 0.44 | 0.07 |
| Control Delay | 73.5 | 62.0 |  | 64.9 | 59.7 | 10.0 | 90.7 | 13.3 | 1.9 | 66.7 | 19.3 | 1.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 73.5 | 62.0 |  | 64.9 | 59.7 | 10.0 | 90.7 | 13.3 | 1.9 | 66.7 | 19.3 | 1.9 |
| LOS | E | E |  | E | E | A | F | B | A | E | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 66.8 |  |  | 44.9 |  |  | 12.7 |  |  | 30.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 44 (29\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBT and 6:NBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72
Intersection Signal Delay: $31.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.6\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 8: US 301 \& Churchtown Rd/SR 896


|  | $\bigcirc$ | $4$ | 71 |  | 7 | + | $\frac{1}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBU | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | $\square$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }_{1}$ | 44 |
| Volume (vph) | 37 | 17 | 0 | 741 | 49 | 81 | 1122 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | 100 | 250 |  | 384 | 445 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  | 85 |  |  | 85 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1805 | 1442 | 1900 | 3438 | 1615 | 1641 | 3471 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  | 0.291 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1805 | 1442 | 1900 | 3438 | 1615 | 503 | 3471 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 19 |  |  | 56 |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 35 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 277 |  |  | 1227 |  |  | 2058 |
| Travel Time (s) | 5.4 |  |  | 15.2 |  |  | 25.5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 10\% | 4\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 41 | 19 | 0 | 842 | 56 | 91 | 1261 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 41 | 19 | 0 | 842 | 56 | 91 | 1261 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | R NA | Left | Right | Left | Left |
| Median Width(ft) | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| Link Offset(ft) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | 9 | 9 |  | 9 | 15 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Detector Template | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector 2 Type |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 6 |  | 6 | 2 | 2 |


Area Type:
Cycle Length: $75 \quad$ Other
Actuated Cycle Length: 75
Offset: 58 (77\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBTL and 6:NBTU, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.43 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 4.9 | Intersection LOS: A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $52.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service A |
| Analysis Period $(\min ) 15$ |  |

Splits and Phases: 10: US 301 \& Old Summit Bridge Rd


|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | $1$ | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | 4 | F | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Volume (vph) | 170 | 238 | 551 | 207 | 428 | 767 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 315 | 0 |  | 400 | 250 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1805 | 1599 | 1810 | 1599 | 1787 | 1776 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1805 | 1599 | 1810 | 1599 | 1787 | 1776 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | No |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 270 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 35 |  | 45 |  |  | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1186 |  | 916 |  |  | 549 |
| Travel Time (s) | 23.1 |  | 13.9 |  |  | 7.5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 1\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 193 | 270 | 633 | 238 | 451 | 807 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 193 | 270 | 633 | 238 | 451 | 807 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |
| Median Width(ft) | 12 |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| Link Offset(ft) | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | 9 |  | 9 | 15 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Detector Template | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector 2 Type |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Turn Type | NA | Free | NA | Prot | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Permitted Phases | 7 | Free |  |  |  |  |


|  | 7 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | ( | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Detector Phase | 7 |  | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 16.0 |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 |  | 68.0 | 68.0 | 52.0 | 120.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% |  | 45.3\% | 45.3\% | 34.7\% | 80.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 21.0 |  | 59.0 | 59.0 | 46.0 | 111.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 9.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 4.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 20.0 | 150.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 42.0 | 112.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.13 | 1.00 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.28 | 0.75 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.17 | 0.82 | 0.35 | 0.90 | 0.61 |
| Control Delay | 87.1 | 0.2 | 50.4 | 34.7 | 70.0 | 7.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 87.1 | 0.2 | 50.4 | 34.7 | 70.0 | 7.0 |
| LOS | F | A | D | C | E | A |
| Approach Delay | 36.4 |  | 46.1 |  |  | 29.6 |
| Approach LOS | D |  | D |  |  | C |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 150
Actuated Cycle Length: 150
Offset: 86 (57\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBT and 6:NBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay: $36.4 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.1\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: $\quad$ 30: US 301 \& SR 71















